

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**EPIDEMIOLOGICAL ANALYSIS OF
TUBERCULOSIS IN CATTLE HERDS**

Sileshi Zewdie

**A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Philosophy**

Massey University

1997

ABSTRACT

Studies were made of the occurrence and distribution of bovine tuberculosis in the Taumarunui and Masterton veterinary districts, within which endemic wildlife tuberculosis is widespread. These districts were compared with the movement control herds of the surveillance areas of New Zealand, which are free of wildlife tuberculosis. The study covers the period from 1985-1990. The frequencies of tuberculosis infection for different categories of herds and different veterinary districts were examined and comparisons made of rates and risk of disease between the herd categories and districts under consideration.

A comparison of incidence measures showed a strong positive correlation between cumulative, corrected and true incidence values. Cumulative and corrected cumulative incidence values calculated for calendar, financial and test years were compared. Some disparity was found between annual cumulative incidences and annual corrected cumulative incidences calculated on the basis of calendar and test years, with measures calculated on the basis of test year having the highest values.

Regional comparisons showed that movement control herds in surveillance areas had higher incidences of tuberculosis than did herds in the Taumarunui and Masterton veterinary districts. Beef dry stock herds had higher incidences of tuberculosis than did dairy or beef breeding enterprises. Endemic areas had the highest incidence of all tuberculosis area classes.

Simple regression analyses indicated that the risk of tuberculosis for any animal in a herd was more closely related to the level of infection in adult cows than any other age or sex group. Cumulative incidence in yearlings was a poor predictor of risk for individual animals in a herd but there was a stronger relationship for the level of infection in 2 year-old animals.

Stepwise logistic regression was used to explore and quantify associations between cumulative incidence and putative risk factors. The odds of cattle testing positive in herds

in endemic areas was about five times as high as in herds in surveillance and fringe areas, where the risks of tuberculosis were about the same. The likelihood of reacting to the tuberculin test was considerably lower for animals in the Masterton and Taumarunui districts than for animals from movement control herds in surveillance areas outside those districts. The overall risk of infection increased slightly from 1985 to 1990.

Poisson regression was used to examine the relationships between incidence density and the same independent variables which were examined using logistic regression. The relative risks for infection were higher in beef breeding, beef dry stock and other herd types than in dairy herds. Herds in endemic areas had rates of infection about seven times those in Fringe and Surveillance area herds, where the rates were about the same. The incidence of infection in herds increased with increased herd size and was considerably less in the Masterton and Taumarunui districts than in movement control herds in the Surveillance areas. There was a good general agreement between the logistic and poisson regression models in the overall relationships between the predictor variables common to both models and their respective dependent variables.

Survival analysis showed that after going on to movement control for the first time, about 75% of herds could be expected to be still on movement control after 12 months and about 50% after 2 years. Herds in the Masterton veterinary district tended to stay on movement control longer than herds in the Taumarunui veterinary district and Surveillance areas (Risk ratio = 0.69). After 2 years of testing, about 60% of infected herds in the Taumarunui veterinary district and Surveillance areas had come off movement control, compared to 40% of infected herds in the Masterton veterinary district.

The survivorship probability of infected herds in Fringe, Surveillance and non-endemic zones for coming off movement control was lower than that for infected herds in endemic zones (Risk ratio = 0.61). The estimated median time on movement control was 3 years for herds from endemic areas and 2 years for herds in Fringe, Surveillance and non-endemic zones. The risk of coming off movement control decreased with increasing herd size. Herds with high levels of cumulative incidence were more likely to stay on movement control for longer periods than those with lower levels of incidence.

Simple linear regression indicated that distance from the nearest case herd with tuberculosis, distance from the Rangitoto buffer, distance from the nearest case in year one after breakdown and total number of cattle purchased were poor predictors of cumulative incidence.

A multivariate logistic regression analysis of the association between cumulative incidence and putative risk factors during the first year after a breakdown indicated that risk was lower on farms where the main activity was dairying (MAINOP) and in herds in which the proportion of adult cattle (ADULTCAT) was high. Over the whole period for which herds were under movement control, risk levels remained lower for dairy farms and increased as the proportion of beef cattle was increased.

Increased rates of infection were associated with the practice of buying replacements (BUYREPLA) in the first year after breakdown and rates were higher for the whole period in herds which ran cattle on agistment (OTHERCAT). Rates were lower on farms where cattle had access to bush (BUSHACCE), but despite the higher rates, survival analysis clearly showed that herds with access to bush could be expected to stay on movement control for longer periods than farms with no bush access. The median time on movement control was 1 year for farms without access to the bush and slightly more than 2 years for farms with access to the bush. Movement control farms without bush access were free from infection by 3 years, whereas farms with bush access took more than 5 years.

Both the risk and incidence of infection tended to be lower at higher stocking rates in the short and long term after first going onto movement control. The association between personal qualities of farmers and the risk and rate of infection were also examined using multivariable regression analyses.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I came to Massey University to study epidemiological principles and techniques of disease management to equip me better for my work in disease control programmes in Ethiopia. I am satisfied that I have achieved those objectives and acknowledge the help and assistance that I received from many quarters to reach those goals.

I am particularly grateful to my chief supervisor, Professor Roger Morris, who guided and encouraged me during my stay in New Zealand. My second supervisor, Dr Terry Ryan, was also extremely helpful and both persons gave freely of their special expertise. Dr Stan Fenwick, my other supervisor, was always available for help when required.

I enjoyed a close and warm friendship with all of the other postgraduate students in the epidemiology group, and greatly appreciate the support given by Dirk Pfeiffer, Joanna McKenzie, Tata Hutabarat and Ron Jackson. Fiona Dickinson, secretary to Professor Morris, was always on hand to assist with matters of word processing and document preparation.

Thanks are also due to the field staff of the Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries who provided much of the data considered in this thesis.

I am grateful to the World Bank for funding my study leave and the staff of the Ministry of Agriculture, Ethiopia, for their support and the opportunity to gain experience in epidemiology.

Most special acknowledgment is to my parents who have always given me strong support and encouragement. Without their guidance, none of this would have been possible

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
LIST OF TABLES	xv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
CHAPTER 1	1
INTRODUCTION	3
BOVINE TUBERCULOSIS	6
History of the disease	6
Aetiology	8
Resistance of tubercle bacilli to environmental factors	8
Characteristics of <i>M. bovis</i>	9
Modes of infection in cattle	10
Susceptibility	14
Pathogenesis	15
Pathology	17
Immunity	18
Clinical signs	19
Diagnosis	20
<i>Microscopic examination</i>	20
<i>Animal inoculation</i>	21
<i>Serological tests</i>	21
Lymphocyte transformation test (LTT)	23
Gamma-interferon assay	24
<i>Tuberculin and the tuberculin test</i>	25
Single intradermal test (SID)	28
Short thermal test	30
Intravenous tuberculin test	30
Stormont test	31
The comparative intradermal test	31
Special aspects of sensitivity to tuberculin	32
<i>Site of injection</i>	32
<i>Potency of tuberculin</i>	32
<i>Desensitisation during tuberculin testing</i>	33
Non-specific sensitisation to tuberculin	34
Immunity in tuberculosis	36
Artificial immunisation	38
BCG vaccine	38
The vole bacillus vaccine	39
Chemotherapy	39

THE CONTROL OF BOVINE TUBERCULOSIS	41
Control on a herd basis	41
Control on an area basis	44
Ostertag's Method	45
Eradication	46
Public health aspects	
47	
POSSUMS AND OTHER FERAL ANIMALS AS SOURCE OF TUBERCULOSIS	48
Tuberculosis in possums	53
Transmission of the disease between possums	54
Spatial distribution of tuberculous possums	54
Transmission from possums to other animals	55
<i>Farmed cattle and deer</i>	55
<i>Flesh eating animals</i>	56
<i>Pigs</i>	56
<i>Cats</i>	57
<i>Ferrets</i>	57
<i>Hedgehogs and rats</i>	58
<i>Deer</i>	58
CHAPTER TWO	
AN OUTLINE OF SOME EPIDEMIOLOGICAL METHODS RELEVANT TO STUDIES OF DISEASE IN HERDS	63
INTRODUCTION	65
Measuring disease occurrence	65
Proportions	65
Ratios	65
Rates	65
Incidence	66
Incident cases	66
Cumulative incidence	67
True incidence rate	67
Prevalence	69
Mortality measures	72
Cause-specific mortality rate	72
EPIDEMIOLOGICAL RESEARCH METHODS WHICH CAN BE USED TO STUDY HERD DISEASE DATA	73
Case control studies	73
Cohort study	75
Bias assessment	77
Cross-sectional study	79

MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS FOR EPIDEMIOLOGICAL STUDIES	82
Regression analysis	82
Logistic regression	84
Discriminant analysis	84
CHAPTER THREE	87
TUBERCULOSIS CONTROL PROGRAMMES IN NEW ZEALAND	
INTRODUCTION	89
National Livestock Database	90
Herd classification	90
Area classification	91
<i>Endemic areas</i>	91
<i>Fringe areas</i>	92
<i>Non-endemic areas</i>	93
<i>Surveillance areas</i>	93
Testing considerations within the various areas	93
TEST CLASSIFICATION	94
Whole herd test	94
<i>Whole herd testing of beef breeding and dairy herds</i>	94
<i>Whole herd testing of beef dry-stock herds</i>	94
<i>Whole herd testing for miscellaneous herds</i>	94
Whole herd test programmes	95
Tests other than whole herd tests	95
<i>Partial herd test</i>	95
<i>Miscellaneous test</i>	95
<i>Sale test</i>	95
<i>Tb cull veterinary direction test</i>	95
Tuberculin testing: dose and interpretation	96
<i>Accredited Tb-free herds</i>	96
<i>Tb-free herds</i>	96
<i>Movement control herds</i>	96
Introduction or removal of cattle from a herd	96
Movement control herds	97
Suspension or cancellation of a herd's Tb status	97
Approved tests	97
Testing requirements for movement control herds	98
Tb-free herds outside special Tb control areas	98
Ancillary testing	99
Use of a comparative cervical test less than 60 days after caudal fold test	101
Use of transitional status	101
Tuberculous cattle	102
TESTING POLICIES FOR SPECIAL TUBERCULOSIS CONTROL AREAS	102
Endemic areas	103
<i>Where tuberculosis eradication is being attempted</i>	103
Testing policy immediately prior to possum control	104

Testing policy options following an initial possum control operation	104
<i>Option 1 The ideal testing frequency</i>	104
<i>Option 2</i>	104
Testing policy two to five years after an initial possum control operation	105
<i>Testing policy for herds not under movement control nor a close neighbour to a movement control herd</i>	105
<i>Testing policy for herds under movement control or a close neighbour of movement controlled herd</i>	105
Testing policy five years after initial possum control operation	106
Where tuberculosis eradication is not being attempted	106
Testing policy for herd in localised possum control areas	107
HERD BREAKDOWN INVESTIGATION	107
Herd breakdown investigation outside endemic areas	107
<i>Investigation where M. bovis is not suspected</i>	107
<i>Investigation where M. bovis is suspected or confirmed</i>	107
<i>First level of the herd breakdown investigation</i>	108
<i>Second level of herd breakdown investigation</i>	108
Testing of neighbouring herds	109
HERD BREAKDOWN INVESTIGATION WITHIN ENDEMIC AREAS	110
Possum control programmes	110
Possum survey	111
Brief locally initiated possum control	112
POSSUM CONTROL STRATEGIES	112
Eradication of Tb from the possum population in endemic areas	112
<i>Containment of spread from endemic areas</i>	113
<i>Buffers</i>	113
<i>Reducing reactor numbers</i>	113
FUNDING	113
STRATEGIC PLANNING	114
CHAPTER FOUR	115
THE EPIDEMIOLOGY OF BOVINE TUBERCULOSIS IN CATTLE HERDS IN THE MASTERTON AND TAUMARUNUI VETERINARY DISTRICTS AND SURVEILLANCE AREAS	
INTRODUCTION	117
MATERIALS AND METHODS	117
Source of data	117
Taumarunui veterinary district database	118
Masterton veterinary district database	118
Movement control herds in surveillance areas throughout New Zealand	118
Calculation of measures of disease occurrence and data analysis	118

Cumulative incidence (CI)	119
Incidence density (ID)	119
RESULTS	121
DESCRIPTIVE EPIDEMIOLOGY	121
Cumulative incidence in herds classified by herd size: Taumarunui region	121
Incidence density classified by herd size: Taumarunui district	123
Cumulative incidence classified by herd size: Masterton district	125
Incidence density x 10 ⁻² year ⁻¹ classified by herd size: Masterton district	127
Distributions of annual cumulative incidence in six categories of herd classified by size of herd: surveillance area	129
Distribution of incidence density in six categories of herds classified by size of herd: surveillance area	131
Distribution of cumulative incidence in herds in endemic and fringe zones: Taumarunui district	133
Distribution of incidence density in herds in endemic and fringe zones: Taumarunui district	135
Distribution of cumulative incidence in herds in endemic and fringe zones: Masterton district	137
Distribution of incidence density in herds in endemic and fringe zones: Masterton district	139
Distribution of cumulative incidence from 0 to ≥ 2.0 per 100 cattle in endemic areas within herd size categories of 1-100, 101-200 and > 500 cattle	141
Distribution of cumulative incidence in beef breeding herds in the Taumarunui and Masterton endemic areas	143
Distribution of cumulative incidence according to the type of herd in beef breeding, beef dry stock and dairy herds in the Taumarunui district	146
Distribution of incidence density according to type of herd: Taumarunui district	148
Distribution of cumulative incidence according to type of herd: Masterton district	150
Distribution of incidence density according to type of herd: Masterton district	152
Distribution of cumulative incidence according to type of herd: surveillance areas	154
Distribution of incidence density according to type of herd: surveillance areas	156
Cumulative incidence of lesioned reactors in six categories of herds classified by Herd size – Taumarunui district	158
Incidence densities of lesioned reactors in six categories of herds classified by Herd size: Taumarunui district	160
Incidence densities of lesioned reactors in six categories of herds classified by Herd size: Masterton district	162
Incidence densities of lesioned reactors in six categories of herds classified by Herd size: Masterton district	164
Cumulative incidence of lesioned reactors in six categories of herds classified by Herd size: surveillance areas	166
Incidence densities of lesioned reactors in six categories of herds classified by Herd size: surveillance areas	168
Herd incidence densities of movement control herds at and following initial Breakdown: Taumarunui district	170
First year after initial breakdown	170
Second year after initial breakdown	172
Third year after initial breakdown	172

Herd incidence densities of movement control herds a and following initial breakdowns: Masterton district	174
First year after initial breakdown	174
Second and third year after initial breakdown	176
Distribution of incidence densities after herd breakdowns: surveillance area	178
First year after initial breakdown	178
Second and third year after initial breakdown	180
Rates of reactors with lesions: Taumarunui district	182
Rates of reactors with lesions: Masterton district	184
Rates of reactors with lesions: surveillance areas	186
Changes in herd status over time: Taumarunui district	187
Changes in herd status over time: Masterton district	188
RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN INCIDENCE MEASURES	188
Correlations between herd cumulative incidence and cumulative incidence calculated separately for adult cows and yearlings, and 2-year-old bulls and heifers	189
Comparisons of distributions of mean cumulative incidences calculated for whole districts and surveillance areas, zones designated by status, and different types of herds	190
Comparisons of distributions of means of corrected cumulative incidence calculated for whole districts and surveillance areas, zones designated by status, and herds of different types	191
Comparisons of distributions of means of incidence density calculated for whole districts and surveillance areas, zones designated by status, and herds of different types	192
Comparison between cumulative and corrected cumulative incidences calculated for Calendar, Financial and Test years	193
REGRESSION MODELS	193
Logistic regression analysis	193
Poisson regression	194
SURVIVAL ANALYSIS	195
Proportional hazards regression model	197
DISCUSSION	198
CHAPTER FIVE	201
An analysis of bovine tuberculosis case data from a case-control study	
MATERIALS AND METHODS	203
Case herds	203
RESULTS	203
DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS	203
Cumulative incidence in case herds classified by herd size	203
Distributions of incidence density in case herds classified by herd size	204

Distribution of cumulative incidence in case herds in endemic, non-endemic and surveillance areas	207
Distribution of incidence density in case herds in endemic, non-endemic and surveillance areas	209
Distributions of cumulative incidence in case herds according to herd type	211
Distributions of incidence density according to herd type	213
Length of time between consecutive herd tests	215
Distribution of time of tuberculin testing by month of year	217
Average rates of infection in case herds over three year periods following herd breakdowns	218
Distribution of incidence densities after herd breakdowns in six classes of herds categorised by herd size	219
Distribution of incidence densities in year two and three after herd breakdowns in six classes of herds categorised by herd size	220
UNIVARIATE ANALYSES	222
Examination of linear relationships between putative risk factors and risk of breakdowns and rates of disease using simple linear regression	222
<i>Prediction of cumulative incidence</i>	222
<i>Prediction of incidence density</i>	222
MULTIVARIATE ANALYSES	223
<i>Cumulative incidence during first year on movement control</i>	223
<i>Cumulative incidence for the full period for which case herds were on movement control</i>	224
<i>Incidence density in case herds in their first year on movement control</i>	225
<i>Poisson regression model for disease rates for the whole period for which case herds were on movement control</i>	226
Survival analysis	227
Cox's proportional hazard regression model	229
DISCUSSION	229
CHAPTER SIX	231
DATABASE STORAGE OF ANIMAL DISEASE CONTROL DATA IN NEW ZEALAND	233
Basic requirements	233
Upgrading the current system	234
Data entities and attributes	235
The people, farm, and herd or flock module	235
Surveillance module	236
Vector module	236
Special module	236
Software	236
CONCLUSIONS	237

CHAPTER SEVEN	239
GENERAL DISCUSSION	241
BIBLIOGRAPHY	247

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1	Matrix table showing changes in herd status over the period 1985-89 for herds in the Tamarunui district	187
Table 4.2	Matrix table showing changes in herd status over the period 1985-89 for herds in the Masterton district	188
Table 4.3	Matrix table showing changes in herd status over the period 1985-89 for herds in surveillance areas	188
Table 4.4	Correlations between cumulative incidence, corrected cumulative incidence and incidence density measured by the Pearson product moment correlation	188
Table 4.5	Correlations between cumulative incidence, corrected cumulative incidence and density measured by the Spearman rank correlation (sample size)	189
Table 4.6	Pearson correlations (r) and Spearman rank correlations (r_s) between herd cumulative incidence and cumulative incidence stratified by age and sex	189
Table 4.7	Pearson and Spearman rank correlations between herd corrected cumulative incidence and cumulative incidence stratified by age and sex	189
Table 4.8	Pearson and Spearman rank correlations between herd incidence density and cumulative incidence stratified by age and sex	190
Table 4.9	Kruskal-Wallis test of comparisons of distributions of mean cumulative incidence calculated for whole districts and surveillance areas, zones designated by status, and herds of different types	190
Table 4.10	Kruskal-Wallis test of comparisons of distributions of mean corrected cumulative incidences calculated for whole districts and surveillance areas, zones designated by status, and herds of different types	192
Table 4.11	Kruskal-Wallis test of comparisons of distributions of herd incidence densities calculated for whole districts and surveillance areas, zones designated by status, and herds of different types	193
Table 4.12	Final Logistic regression model for relationship between predictor variables and cumulative incidence	194
Table 4.13	Final poisson regression model for effects of predictor variables on incidence density	195
Table 4.14	Final Cox's proportional hazards regression model	198
Table 5.1	Summary results for simple linear regression for prediction of cumulative incidence	222
Table 5.2	Summary results for simple linear regression for prediction of incidence density	222
Table 5.3	Final logistic regression model of cumulative incidence in case herds during the first year following an initial breakdown	223
Table 5.4	Final logistic regression model of cumulative incidence in case herds during the whole period for which they were on movement control	224
Table 5.5	Final poisson regression model of incidence density in case herds in their first year after breakdown	226

Table 5.6	Final poisson regression model for incidence density for case herds for the whole time they were on movement control	227
Table 5.7	Final Cox's proportional hazard regression model	227

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4. 1. Taumarunui district. The relative frequencies of all levels of cumulative incidence from 0 to 7 per 100 cattle in six categories of herds classified by size of herd	122
Figure 4. 2. Taumarunui district. The relative frequencies of all levels of cumulative incidence from 0 to ≥ 2.0 per 100 cattle in six categories of herds classified by size of herd	122
Figure 4.3. Taumarunui district. The relative frequencies of all levels of incidence density from 0 to $7.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$ in six categories of herds classified by size of herd	124
Figure 4.4. Taumarunui district: The relative frequencies of all levels of incidence density from 0 to $\geq 2.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$ in six categories of herds classified by size of herd	124
Figure 4.5. Masterton district. The relative frequencies of all levels of cumulative incidence from 0 to 7.0 per 100 cattle in six categories of herds classified by size of herd	126
Figure 4.6 Masterton district. The relative frequencies of all levels of cumulative incidence from 0 to ≥ 2.0 per 100 cattle in six categories of herds classified by size of herd	126
Figure 4.7. Masterton district. The relative frequencies of all levels of incidence density from 0 to $7.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$ in six categories of herds classified by size of herd	128
Figure 4.8. Masterton district. The relative frequencies of all levels of incidence density from 0 to $\geq 2.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$ in six categories of herds classified by size of herd	128
Figure 4.9. Surveillance areas The relative frequencies of all levels of cumulative incidence from 0 to 7.0 per 100 cattle in six categories of herds classified by size of herd	130
Figure 4.10. Surveillance areas. The relative frequencies of all levels of cumulative incidence from 0 to ≥ 2.0 per 100 cattle in six categories of herds classified by size of herd	130
Figure 4.11. Surveillance areas. The relative frequencies of all levels of incidence density from 0 to $7.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$ in six categories of herds classified by size of herd	132
Figure 4.12. Surveillance areas. The relative frequencies of all levels of incidence density from 0 to $\geq 2.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$ in six categories of herds classified by size of herd	132
Figure 4.13. The distribution of levels of cumulative incidence from 0 to 7.0 per 100 cattle in herds in the endemic Taumarunui area and its fringe zone	134
Figure 4.14. The distribution of levels of cumulative incidence from 0 to ≥ 2.0 per 100 cattle in herds in the endemic Taumarunui area and its fringe zone	134
Figure 4.15. The distribution of levels of incidence density from 0 to $7.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$ in herds in the endemic Taumarunui area and its fringe zone	136
Figure 4.16. The distribution of levels of incidence density from 0 to $\geq 2.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$ in herds in the endemic Taumarunui area and its fringe zone	136
Figure 4.17. The distribution of levels of cumulative incidence from 0 to 7.0 per 100 cattle in herds in the endemic Masterton area and its fringe zone	138
Figure 4.18 The distribution of levels of cumulative incidence from 0 to ≥ 2.0 per 100 cattle in herds in the endemic Masterton area and its fringe zone	138
Figure 4.19. The distribution of levels of incidence density from 0 to $7.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$ in herds in the endemic Taumarunui area and its fringe zone	140

Figure 4.20. The distribution of levels of incidence density from 0 to $\geq 2.0 \times 10^{-2}$ year ⁻¹ in herds in the endemic Taumarunui area and its fringe zone	140
Figure 4.21. The relative frequencies of levels of cumulative incidence from 0 to ≥ 2.0 per 100 cattle in herds of 1-100 cattle in the Taumarunui and Masterton endemic areas	141
Figure 4.22. The relative frequencies of levels of cumulative incidence from 0 to ≥ 2.0 per 100 cattle in herds of 201-300 cattle in the Taumarunui and Masterton endemic areas	142
Figure 4.23. The relative frequencies of levels of cumulative incidence from 0 to ≥ 2.0 per 100 cattle in herds of >500 cattle in the Taumarunui and Masterton endemic areas	142
Figure 4.24. The relative frequencies of levels of cumulative incidence levels from 0-to ≥ 2.0 per 100 cattle in beef breeding herds of 1-100 cattle in the Taumarunui and Masterton endemic areas	144
Figure 4.25. The relative frequencies of levels of cumulative incidence from 0-to ≥ 2.0 per 100 cattle in beef breeding herds of 201-300 cattle in the Taumarunui and Masterton endemic areas	144
Figure 4.26. The relative frequencies of levels of cumulative incidence from 0-to ≥ 2.0 per 100 cattle in beef breeding herds of >500 cattle in the Taumarunui and Masterton endemic areas	145
Figure 4.27. The distribution of levels of cumulative incidence from 0 to 7.0 per 100 cattle in beef breeding, beef dry stock and dairy herds in the Taumarunui district	147
Figure 4.28. The distribution of levels of cumulative incidence from 0 to ≥ 2.0 per 100 cattle	147
Figure 4.29. The distribution of levels of incidence density from 0 to 7.0×10^{-2} year ⁻¹ in beef breeding, beef dry stock and dairy herds in the Taumarunui district	149
Figure 4.30. The distribution of levels of incidence density from 0 to $\geq 2.0 \times 10^{-2}$ year ⁻¹ in beef breeding, beef dry stock and dairy herds in the Taumarunui district	149
Figure 4.31. The distribution of levels of cumulative incidence from 0 to 7.0 per 100 cattle in beef breeding, beef dry stock and dairy herds in the Masterton district	151
Figure 4.32. The distribution of levels of cumulative incidence from 0 to ≥ 2.0 per 100 cattle in beef breeding, beef dry stock and dairy herds in the Masterton district	151
Figure 4.33. The distribution of levels of incidence density from 0 to 7.0×10^{-2} year ⁻¹ in beef breeding, beef dry stock and dairy herds in the Masterton district	153
Figure 4.34. The distribution of levels of incidence density from 0 to $\geq 2.0 \times 10^{-2}$ year ⁻¹ in beef breeding, beef dry stock and dairy herds in the Masterton district	153
Figure 4.35. The distribution of levels of cumulative incidence from 0 to 7.0 per 100 cattle in beef breeding, beef dry stock and dairy herds in the surveillance areas	155
Figure 4.36. The distribution of levels of cumulative incidence from 0 to ≥ 2.0 per 100 cattle in beef breeding, beef dry stock and dairy herds in the surveillance areas	155
Figure 4.37. The distribution of levels of incidence density from 0 to 7.0×10^{-2} year ⁻¹ in beef breeding, beef dry stock and dairy herds in the surveillance areas	157
Figure 4.38. The distribution of levels of incidence density from 0 to $\geq 2.0 \times 10^{-2}$ year ⁻¹ in beef breeding, beef dry stock and dairy herds in the surveillance areas	157
Figure 4.39. Taumarunui district: The distribution of cumulative incidence of lesioned reactors from 0 to 7.0 per 100 cattle in six categories of herds classified by size of herd	159
Figure 4.40. Taumarunui district. The distributions of cumulative incidence of lesioned reactors from 0 to ≥ 2.00 per 100 cattle in six categories of herds classified by size of herd	159

Figure 4.41. Taumarunui district: Distributions of incidence density of lesioned reactors from 0 to $7.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$ in six categories of herds classified by herd size	161
Figure 4.42. Taumarunui district: Distributions of incidence density of lesioned reactors from 0 to $\geq 2.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$ in six categories of herds classified by herd size	161
Figure 4.43. Masterton district. Distributions of cumulative incidence of lesioned reactors from 0 to 7.0 per 100 cattle in six categories of herds classified by herd size	163
Figure 4.44. Masterton district. Distributions of cumulative incidence of lesioned reactors from 0 to ≥ 2.0 per 100 cattle in six categories of herds classified by herd size	163
Figure 4.45. Masterton district. Distributions of incidence density of lesioned reactors from 0 to $7.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$ in six categories of herds classified by herd size	165
Figure 4.46. Masterton district. Distributions of cumulative incidence of lesioned reactors from 0 to $\geq 2.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$ in six categories of herds classified by herd size	165
Figure 4.47. Surveillance areas. Distributions of cumulative incidence of lesioned reactors from 0 to 7.0 per 100 cattle in six categories of herds classified by herd size	167
Figure 4.48. Surveillance areas. Distributions of cumulative incidence of lesioned reactors from 0 to ≥ 2.0 per 100 cattle in six categories of herds classified by herd size	167
Figure 4.49. Surveillance areas. Distributions of incidence density of lesioned reactors from 0 to $7.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$ in six categories of herds classified by herd size	169
Figure 4.50. Surveillance areas. Distributions of incidence density of lesioned reactors from 0 to $\geq 2.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$ in six categories of herds classified by herd size	169
Figure 4.51. Average incidence densities $\times 10^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$ for movement control herds in the Taumarunui district in each year after initial breakdowns	171
Figure 4.52. The relative frequencies of levels of incidence density from 0 to $1.6 \times 10^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$ in herds in the Taumarunui district in the first year after initial breakdowns	171
Figure 4.53. The relative frequencies of levels of incidence density from 0 to $1.6 \times 10^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$ in herds in the Taumarunui district in the second year after initial breakdowns	173
Figure 4.54. The relative frequencies of levels of incidence density from 0 to $1.6 \times 10^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$ in herds in the Taumarunui district in the third year after initial breakdowns	173
Figure 4.55. Average incidence densities for movement control herds in the Masterton district in each year after initial breakdowns	175
Figure 4.56. The relative frequencies of levels of incidence density from 0 to $1.6 \times 10^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$ in herds in the Masterton district in the first year after initial breakdowns	175
Figure 4.57. The relative frequencies of levels of incidence density from 0 to $1.6 \times 10^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$ in herds in the Masterton district in the second year after initial breakdowns	177
Figure 4.58. The relative frequencies of levels of incidence density from 0 to $1.6 \times 10^{-2} \text{ year}^{-1}$ in herds in the Masterton district in the third year after initial breakdowns	177
Figure 4.59. Average incidence densities for movement control herds in the Surveillance areas and the Taumarunui and Masterton districts in the years after an initial breakdown	179

Figure 4.60. The relative frequencies of levels of incidence density from 0 to 1.6×10^{-2} year ⁻¹ in herds in Surveillance areas in the first year after initial breakdowns	179
Figure 4.61. The relative frequencies of levels of incidence density from 0 to 1.6×10^{-2} year ⁻¹ in herds in Surveillance areas in the second year after initial breakdowns	181
Figure 4.62. The relative frequencies of levels of incidence density from 0 to 1.6×10^{-2} year ⁻¹ in herds in Surveillance areas in the third year after initial breakdowns	181
Figure 4.63. Average incidence densities of reactors with lesions in movement control herds in the Taumarunui district in each year after initial breakdown	183
Figure 4.64. Percentages of different sized herds in the Taumarunui district at levels of incidence density of reactors with lesions from 0 to $>1.6 \times 10^{-2}$ year ⁻¹ in the first year after the initial breakdown	183
Figure 4.65. Average incidence densities of reactors with lesions in movement control herds in the Masterton district in each year after initial breakdown	185
Figure 4.66. Percentages of different sized herds in the Masterton district at levels of incidence of reactors with lesions from 0 to $>1.6 \times 10^{-2}$ year ⁻¹ in the first year after the initial breakdown	185
Figure 4.67. Average incidence densities of reactors with lesions in movement control herds in Surveillance areas in each year after initial breakdown	186
Figure 4.68. Percentages of different sized herds in Surveillance areas at levels of incidence of reactors with lesions from 0 to $>1.6 \times 10^{-2}$ year ⁻¹ in the first year after the initial breakdown	187
Figure 4.69. Survivorship function for the first episode of movement control of 624 herds in the Taumarunui and Masterton veterinary districts and in Surveillance areas	196
Figure 4.70. Comparison of survivorship functions between first episode movement control herds in the Masterton veterinary district (2) and first episode movement control herds from the Taumarunui veterinary district and surveillance areas (1)	197
Figure 4.71. Comparison of survivorship functions between first episode movement control herds in endemic zones and in Surveillance and fringe zones	197
Figure 5.1. The relative frequencies of all levels of cumulative incidence from 0 to 7 per 100 cattle in six categories of case herds, classified by size of herd	204
Figure 5.2. The relative frequencies of all levels of cumulative incidence from 0 to ≥ 2 per 100 cattle in six categories of case herds, classified by size of herd	204
Figure 5.3. The relative frequencies of all levels of incidence density from 0 to 7×10^{-2} year ⁻¹ in six categories of case herds, classified by size of herd	206
Figure 5.4. The relative frequencies of all levels of incidence densities from 0 to $\geq 2 \times 10^{-2}$ year ⁻¹ cattle in six categories of case herds, classified by size of herd	206
Figure 5.5. Frequency distributions of levels of cumulative incidence from 0 to 7.0 per 100 cattle in case herds in endemic, non-endemic and surveillance areas	208
Figure 5.6. Frequency distributions of levels of cumulative incidence from 0 to ≥ 2.0 per 100 cattle in case herds in endemic, non-endemic and surveillance areas	208
Figure 5.7. Frequency distributions of levels of incidence density from 0 to 7.0×10^{-2} year ⁻¹ in case herds in endemic, non-endemic and surveillance areas	210
Figure 5.8. Frequency distributions of levels of incidence density from 0 to $\geq 2.0 \times 10^{-2}$ year ⁻¹ in case herds in endemic, non-endemic and surveillance areas	210

Figure 5.9. Frequency distributions of levels of cumulative incidence from 0 to 7.0 per 100 cattle in beef breeding, beef dry stock and dairy case herds	212
Figure 5.10. Frequency distributions of levels of cumulative incidence from 0 to ≥ 2.0 per 100 cattle in beef breeding, beef dry stock and dairy case herds	212
Figure 5.11. Frequency distributions of levels of incidence density from 0 to 7.0×10^{-2} year ⁻¹ in beef breeding, beef dry stock and dairy case herds	214
Figure 5.12. Frequency distributions of levels of incidence density from 0 to $\geq 2.0 \times 10^{-2}$ year ⁻¹ in beef breeding, beef dry stock and dairy case herds	214
Figure 5.13. Distribution of periods of time between consecutive herd tests of case herds	216
Figure 5.14. Distribution of periods of time between consecutive herd tests of control herds matched on management practices	216
Figure 5.15. Monthly patterns of herd tests for tuberculosis for case and matched control herds	217
Figure 5.16. Average rates of infection in case herds over the three years after herd Breakdowns	218
Figure 5.17. Relative distributions of incidence densities in the year after herd breakdowns in six classes of herds categorised by herd size	219
Figure 5.18. Relative distributions of incidence densities in the second year after herd breakdowns in six classes of herds categorised by herd size	221
Figure 5.19. Relative distributions of incidence densities in the third year after herd breakdowns in herds with 101 to 200, 201 to 300, 401 to 500 and more than 500 cattle	221
Figure 5.20. Survival probabilities of becoming clear from Infection and coming off movement control for all 95 case herds under consideration	228
Figure 5.21. Survival probabilities of becoming clear from infection and coming off movement control for 59 case herds with bush access (group 2) and 32 case herds (group 1) without bush access	228