Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

SOMATIC CELL COUNTS MASTITIS AND MILK PRODUCTION

. .

SUPPLY HERDS

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements

for the degree

of

MASTER OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE

in

ANIMAL SCIENCE

at

MASSEY UNIVERSITY

MUNJIT SINGH GILL

,

1977

ABSTRACT

The study involved work carried out over a period of 12 months from April 1975 to March 1976 with the Town Milk Supply herds of the Manawatu Co-operative Milk Producers, Palmerston North. It could be divided into two parts.

- Bulk milk samples from all 72 herds of the Town Milk Supply.
- 19 of the above 72 herds were selected for individual farm visits in May 1975, August 1975, November 1975 and February 1976.

Information on somatic cell counts was obtained from bulk milk samples of the 72 herds; also from the individual cows and farm bulk milk from the 19 herds. Mean cell numbers for the 72 herds was 430,000 cells per ml and the percentages of herds below 250,000; between 250,000 and 500,000 and over 500,000 cells per ml averaged 21, 45.5 and 33.5 and showed wide variation during months. The survey showed that there were marked differences from month to month and the lowest average cell counts were in July 1975 and highest in November and December 1975. On a between herd basis a relationship was demonstrated between milk yield; milk fat yield and total milk protein yield and herd bulk milk cell count ($P \le 0.01$). The analysis indicating a loss of .6 litres in milk yield; 0.03 kg in fat yield and 0.02 kg in protein yield per cow per day for each increase of 250,000 cells per ml. Information from the 19 herds showed that the percentage of cows with clinical mastitis were lowest in May (1.9%) and highest in November (2.6%) and this difference was not significant between and within herds.

The relationship between the herd bulk milk cell counts

and the percentage of cows in the herd with less than 250,000 cells per ml, 250,000 to 500,000 cells per ml and above 500,000 cells was described and discussed from the 19 herds and the correlations obtained were -0.91; 0.57 and 0.95 respectively. A relationship between the herd bulk milk cell count and the incidence of clinical mastitis was reported (P < 0.01).

Linear regression analysis between the production index and cell counts of individual cows revealed a significant relation (P< 0.01) which indicated that a decrease of 0.14 litres of milk per cow per day for each 100,000 cells per ml increase.

Chi square analysis indicated that there was a relationship between age of cows and level of cell counts; an increase in age was associated with an increase in mean somatic cell count of cows.

Daily measurement of bulk milk cell counts for 19 herds for a month in May 1975 and February 1976 showed an average coefficient of variation of 21% and 20% respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

To the many people who so willingly assisted in this work I am indebted and have pleasure in recording my gratitude. I wish to express my deepest respect and sincere thanks to my Supervisor Dr. C. W. Holmes, for his guidance, valuable advice, encouragement and stimulating criticism throughout the work. I feel greatly indebted to Dr. D.D.S. MacKenzie for his useful advice, co-operation and cheerful assistance and Professor Don Flux for his highly appreciated advice and assistance on the analyses of statistical data during this work.

The following are also extended my sincere thanks.

Mr. N. A. McLean for his conscientious technical assistance and willingness with the analytical work.

Messrs. G.C. Dukes, J. A. Raven (Dairy Husbandry Department) for their willing and skilled technical assistance.

Mrs. Margaret Booker of the Dairy Husbandry Department for her understanding and help.

The Manawatu Co-operative Milk Producers Palmerston North for their financial help and help with collection of milk samples from the various herds. Special thanks to Mr. Graham Guy and Mr. Pat Snoxell for their consideration and help.

The Livestock Improvement Association (Wellington-Hawke's Bay) Inc., especially the Herd Testing Officers. Special thanks to Mr. Ian Hook and David Olson for providing financial help with regards typing and photocopying of the thesis.

My sincere thanks are accorded to Mrs. Rosemary Swinburne for her valuable help, conscientious and skilled typing of this thesis.

iv

I extend special appreciation to my parents Mr. & Mrs Taram Singh for their love, encouragement and financial help throughout my study at Massey University.

To my friends and colleagues at Massey and Palmerston North for making my stay here fruitful and enjoyable.

TABLE	0F	CONTENTS
TADLL	01	CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iv
Table of Contents	vi
List of tables	viii
List of Figures	x
Chapter One Introduction	2 l
Chapter Two Review of Literature	3
2.1 Introduction	3
2.2 The Clinical Diagnosis of Mastitis	6
2.3 Bacteriological Diagnosis	8
2.4 Somatic Cell Counting	14
. 2.4.1 Type and Occurrence of Somatic Cells in mill	x 14
2.4.2 Interpretation of Somatic Cell Counts	16
1. Origin of Samples	16
(i) Quarter Samples	16
(ii) Composite Udder or Cow Samples	16
(iii) Bulk Milk Samples	17
2. Factors Influencing Somatic Cell Counts	17
Lactational Factors	23
(i) Stage of Lactation	23
(ii) Age or lactation number	24
. (iii) Diurnal Variation and Milking Inter	rval 25
(iv) Milk Fraction	27
Stress Related Factors	27
Miscellaneous Factors	28
2.4.3 Determination of Somatic Cell Count	29
1. Direct methods for Cell Determination	30
(i) Microscopic Counting	30
(ii) Electronic Counting	31
2. Indirect Methods of Cell Determination	33
(i) Whiteside Test.	33
(ii) California Mastitis Test	33
(iii) Brabant Mastitis Test	35

6

Page

		(iv)	The Michigan Mastitis Test	35
		(v)	The Wisconsin Mastitis Test	36
		(vi)	Rolling Ball Viscometer	36
		(vii)	Enzymatic Procedure	37
	2.4.4		c Cells in relation to Milk	37
	2.4.5	The Na Servio	ational Milk Quality Advisory	40
Chapter	Three	Materia	als and Methods	43
	1.		k Samples from all 72 Herds of Supply.	43
	2.		n of the 72 herds involved in visits ailed examination.	45
Chapter	Four	Results		48
enapter	4.1.		k Somatic Cell Counts for 72	48
	4.1.	Herds .	Some con counce for 72	
		4.1.1.	Mean Values	48
		4.1.2	Data from herds which provided information about milk production	54
	4.2		com nineteen of the 72 herds selected cm visits.	60
		4.2.1	Mean Values	60
		4.2.2	The relation between Farm Bulk Milk Cell Count and the Cell Counts in Milk of individual cows in the herds; the incidence of clinical mastitis in the same herd.	64
		4.2.3	Production Index and Cell Counts for individual cows.	71
		4.2.4	Age and Cell Counts	71
		4.2.5	Daily values for cell counts in May 1975 - February 1976.	74
Chapter	Five	Discus	sion and Conclusions	78
Appendi	x			96
Referen	ces			98

LIST OF TABLES

-

TABLE		Page
2.1	Assessment of Cytological - Bacteriological findings in Mastitis Diagnosis	5
2.2	Average somatic cell counts for composite milk samples in relation to the numbers of quarters infected. (Natzke et.al.,1972)	18
2.3	Somatic Cells in milk from quarters with various types of bacteria (Ward & Schultz, 1972)	19
2.4	Cell Counts in Normal Milk (million/ml) Cullen(1966)	24
2.5	Counts in Glen field Dairy Average (Hoare,1977)	26
2.6	General changes in milk composition associated with elevated somatic cells.	39
4.1	The Arithmetic Mean and Standard Errors of bulk somatic milk cell counts for 72 herds measured monthly from April 1975 to March 1976	47
4.2	Distribution of 72 herds according to average bulk milk somatic cell count for 12 months (April 1975 - March 1976)	48
4.3	Mean Values for each of the 56 herds calculated from twelve monthly measurements on bulk milk for each herd analysed for somatic cell count, milk yield, milk fat percentage, fat yield, protein percentage and protein yield.	53
4.4.	Mean values and range for bulk milk for 56 herds (April 1975 to March 1976).	54
4.5	Results of regression analyses between annual mean bulk milk cell counts (BMCC) and each herd (Independent Variable) and several dependent variables.	55
4.6	Mean values and range for the 19 herds; calculated from twelve monthly values (April 1975 to March 1976)	60
4.7	Mean values for 19 herds (calculated from data recorded on 4 visits during period April 1975 to March 1976) for farm bulk milk cell count, clinical mastitis and the distribution of individual cows according to their mean cell count in each of three groups.	64
4.8.	Summary of linear regression analyses showing the relation between mean cell counts (X) (arithmetic and geometric) and production index (Y) of individual cows.	70

TABLE

1721 cows.

4.9	Relation between age and cell count of cows and somatic cell counts using individual cows. (Chi-square distribution analyses.	72
4.10	Average somatic cell count of cows at different ages.	73
4.11	Somatic cell counts of bulk milk collected daily during May 1975 and February 1976 from Milk Processing Station.	75 ,
4.12	Mean of Daily Bulk Milk Cell Counts (BMCC) collected in May 1975 and February 1976 and the corresponding values for Factory and Farm Bulk Milk Cell Counts collected on one day.	76
5.1	Relationship between annual mean values for milk yield, milk fat yield, and total protein yield and bulk milk cell count from 56 herds. Results calculated from Fig. 4.4; 4.5; and 4.6.	82
5.2	Bulk Milk Cell Counts compared to percentage of cows with less than 250,000 cells; greater than 500,000 cells per ml and incidence of clinical mastitis. Data compiled from 19 herds which had	85

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	* . *	Page
2.1	The cell count in relation to different milk components and quantity of milk delivered (n = 2636 quarter milk sample). Heeschen (1975)	20
2.2.	The proportion of bacteriological positive foremilk samples (<u>Streptococcus agalactiae</u> , Streptococcus dysgalactiae, Streptococcus uberis, coagulase + staphylococci) with regard to the cell count (10010 quarter samples). Reichmuth (1975)	22
2.3	A schematic representation of the operation of National Milk Quality Advisory service of New Zealand.	41
3.1	Printed cards that were sent to farmers.	44
4.1	Monthly mean Herd Bulk Milk Cell Counts \pm Standard Errors for 72 herds from April 1975 to March 1976.	49
4.2	Distribution of 72 herds according to their Herd Bulk Milk Cell Counts within each month; expressed as the percentage of herds in each of the 4 groups.	51
4.3	Monthly Mean Herd Bulk Milk Cell Counts + Standard Errors for the 5 herds with the highest and the 5 herds with the lowest annual Mean Bulk Milk Cell Counts.	52
4.4	Relation between Mean Milk Yield (Y) and Mean Herd Bulk Milk Cell Count (X) calculated for 56 herds from 12 monthly values from April 1975 to March 1976	56
4.5	Relation between Mean Fat Yield (Y) and Mean Herd Bulk Milk Cell Count(X) calculated for 56 herds from 12 monthly values from April 1975 to March 1976	57
4.6	Relation between Mean Total Protein Yield (Y) and Mean Herd Bulk Milk Cell Count (X) calculated for 56 herds from 12 monthly values from April 1975 to March 1976.	58
4.7	Relation between Fat Percentage (Y) and Mean Herd Bulk Milk Cell Count (X) calculated for 56 herds from 12 monthly values from April 1975 to March 1976	59
4.8	Relation between the cell counts in Bulk Milk Tank Samples collected from the Milk Processing Centre (Y) and the cell counts of Bulk Milk Tank samples collected from the farms on the day it was visited (X); results for 19 herds.	61 ed
4.9	Monthly Mean Bulk Milk Cell Counts and <u>+</u> Standard Error together with the cases of clinical mastitis per 100 calculated from 19 herds from April 1975 to March 1970	cows

FIGURES

		Page
4.10	Regression lines of percentage of cows with low, medium and high somatic cell count (Y) on farm bulk milk cell counts (X).	66-67
4.11	Relation between cases of clinical mastitis per 100 cows (Y) and Herd Bulk Milk Cell Count (X) for 19 herds.	68
4.12	Relation of cases of Sub Clinical mastitis per 100 cows (above 500,000 cells per ml) and cases of Clinical Mastitis per 100 cows).	69

Deser