Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

PRODUCTION OF 2,3-BUTANEDIOL FROM RENNET WHEY PERMEATE BY KLEBSIELLA PNEUMONIAE IMMOBILIZED IN ALGINATE GEL

A THESIS PRESENTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF
THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF
MASTER OF TECHNOLOGY IN BIOTECHNOLOGY AT
MASSEY UNIVERSITY

LEE HUNG KIONG (1985)

ABSTRACT

The successful immobilization of cells of Klebsiella pneumoniae(NCIB 8017) in sodium alginate gels was demonstrated. A cell to alginate ratio of 1ml original cell culture to 1.25ml sodium alginate solution(20g/1) was found to be optimum for butanediol production from rennet whey permeate. Preliminary batch fermentation studies revealed that immobilized cells incubated in a non-agitated mode produced a higher concentration of 2,3-butanediol than those in an agitated mode. Smaller beads(1.8mm diameter) produced higher quantities of 2,3-butanediol than larger beads(5.5mm diameter), while bead storage at 4°C in either 0.1M Tris-HCl buffer or the gelating agent proved satisfactory although some activation was required to realise the full butanediol producing potential of the beads. Supplementation of 3g/1 calcium chloride to the whey permeate was noninhibitory to butanediol production and led to enhanced calcium alginate bead stability. Acclimatization of cells in high lactose concentration prior to cell immobilization did not result in enhanced butanediol production or lactose utilization. Product and substrate inhibition effects were not detected. In batch fermentation, a butanediol productivity of 0.11g/1.h was obtained. In continuous fermentation in a CSTR, the productivity was increased to 0.74g/l.h. Using packed columns operated in the vertical mode, similar productivities to those using the CSTR was attained. However, the columns suffered from an accumulation of carbon dioxide bubbles. This problem was overcome by placing a stainless steel mesh inside the column, and operating at an angle of 10° to the horizontal. Under these conditions, a butanediol productivity of 2.40g/1.h was achieved, representing an improvement over values reported in the literature.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to acknowledge and thank the following:-

Dr. I. S. Maddox for his often stimulating, pertinent, critical and meticulous supervision.

Mr. J. Alger for his often timely technical assistance.

Laboratory staff of the Biotechnology Department.

New Zealand Dairy Research Institute for the supply of whey permeate.

Diana, for her industrious help in preparing this thesis.

Professor Earle, dean, Faculty of Technology, for his offer of admission.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF TABLES	хi
LIST OF PLATES	xiv
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Immobilized cell technology.	6
2.1.1 Reasons for cell	
immobilization.	6
2.1.2 Advantages of cell	
immobilization.	7
2.1.3 Disadvantages of cell	
immobilization.	8
2.1.4 Immobilization methods.	8
2. 1.4.1 Covalent	
attachment.	9
2.1.4.2 Adsorption.	9
2.1.4.3 Cross-linking	
leading to insolu	ıble
aggregates.	11
2.1.4.4 Entrapment.	11
2.1.5 Factors influencing	
product formation using	
alginate immobilized cel	ls. 14
2.1.6 Methods used in alginate	
bead stabilization.	24

1.0	80
2.1.6.1 Addition of	
calcium chloride	
to the substrate.	24
2.1.6.2 Partial drying of	
alginate beads.	25
2.1.6.3 Surface coating	
with cross linking	
agents.	25
2.1.6.4 Other miscellaneous	
methods of bead	
stabilization.	25
2.1.7 Industrial applications of	
immobilized cells.	26
2.2 Microbial production of 2,3-	
butanediol.	26
2.2.1 Biochemistry.	26
2.2.2 Production of 2,3-	
butanediol by Klebsiella	
pneumoniae.	31
2.2.3 Production of 2,3-	
butanediol by Bacillus	
polymyxa.	36
2.2.4 2,3-butanediol production	
by other organisms.	38
2.2.5 Production of 2,3-	
butanediol by immobilized	
cells.	38
2.2.6 Factors affecting the 2,3-	
butanediol fermentation.	41
2.2.6.1 Type of substrate	
and organism	
employed.	41
2.2.6.2 Acclimatization of	
cultures	41

	Page
2.2.6.3 Temperature.	42
2.2.6.4 pH.	42
2.2.6.5 Aeration and	42
agitation(oxygen	
transfer rate).	43
2.2.6.6 Nutrient	43
	45
supplement.	43
2.2.6.7 Sugar	45
concentration.	46
2.2.6.8 Water activity.	46
2.2.7 Product recovery. 2.3 Conclusion.	50
2.5 Conclusion.	30
CHAPTER 3: MATERIALS AND METHODS	
3.1 Materials.	51
3.1.1 Microbiological media.	51
3.1.2 Rennet whey permeate.	51
3.1.3 Chemicals.	51
3.1.4 Organism.	51
3.2 Methods.	52
3.2.1 Media preparation.	52
3.2.2 Sterilization of media,	32
chemicals, reagents and	
apparatus.	52
3.2.3 Cleaning of glassware.	52
3.2.4 Cell cultivation.	52
3.2.5 Cell harvest.	53
3.2.6 Cell immobilization	
procedure.	53
3.2.7 Analytical methods.	53
3.2.7.1 pH measurement.	53
3.2.7.2 Sugar analysis.	53
3.2.7.3 Ethanol, acetoir	
and 2,3-butanedi	
analysis.	54

	Page
	54
3.2.8 Batch fermentation.	
3.2.9 Continuous fermentation.	54
3.2.9.1 Continuous	
stirred tank	
reactor.	55
3.2.9.2 Packed column.	55
3.2.10 Calculations of dilution	
rate, retention time and	
butanediol productivity	
in CSTR and packed	
experiments.	55
3.2.10.1 CSTR.	60
3.2.10.2 Packed column.	60
CHAPTER 4: RESULTS.	61
4.1 Batch fermentation.	61
4.1.1 Effects of cell	
immobilization on $2,3-$	
butanediol production.	61
4.1.2 Effect of agitation on	
butanediol production by	
free and immobilized cell	s. 64
4.1.3 Effect of cell numbers on	
butanediol production by	
immobilized cells.	66
4.1.4 Comparisons of barium,	
calcium and strontium ion	s
on alginate bead stabilit	y .
and butanediol production	. 66
4.1.5 Supplementation of barium	
chloride or calcium	
chloride to whey permeate	
and their effects on	
butanediol production by	
entrapped cells.	69

		Pag	ge
	4.1.6	Effect of bead size on	
		butanediol production.	73
	4.1.7	Effect of bead size and	
		bead recycle on	
		butanediol production.	76
	4.1.8	Effect of lactose	
		concentration during	
		culturing of cells on	
		subsequent butanediol	
		production and lactose	
		utilization by immobilized	
		cells.	77
	4.1.9	Discussion.	84
	4.2 Conti	inuous fermentation.	85
	4.2.1	Continuous stirred tank	
		reactor.	86
	4.2.2	Packed columns.	89
		4.2.2.1 100ml column.	89
		4.2.2.2 200ml column.	93
		4.2.2.3 300ml column.	00
CHAPTER 5:	FINAL DIS	SCUSSION AND CONCLUSION. 1	08
REFERENCES:		1	10
APPENDIX:		1	30

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	The biochemical pathway leading to the formation of 2,3-butanediol from a hexose.	28
2.2	Mechanism for the formation of 2,3-butanediol stereoisomers by bacteria as proposed by Taylor and Juni(1960) for <u>Klebsiella pneumoniae</u> .	30
2.3	Proposed mechanism for the formation of 2,3-butanediol stereoisomers by <u>Klebsiella</u> pneumoniae .	30
2.4	Mechanism for the formation of 2,3-butanediol stereoisomers by Klebsiella pneumoniae as proposed by Ui et al(1985).	32
2.5	Specific growth rate of <u>Klebsiella</u> pneumoniae versus water activity.	47
3.1	Continuous fermentation in a continuous stirred tank reactor.	56
3.2	Continuous fermentation in a packed column reactor.	57
4.1	2,3-butanediol production by <u>Klebsiella</u> pneumoniae immobilized in barium alginate beads.	62

LIST OF FIGURES CONTINUED

Figure	P	age
4.2	2,3-butanediol production by free cells of <u>Klebsiella pneumoniae</u> .	63
4.3	Effect of cell numbers on maximum butanediol production.	68
4.4	Production of 2,3-butanediol by Klebsiella pneumoniae cells entrapped in barium alginate gels.	70
4.5	Production of 2,3-butanediol by Klebsiella pneumoniae cells entrapped in calcium alginate gels.	71
4.6	Production of 2,3-butanediol by Klebsiella pneumoniae in strontium alginate gels.	72
4.7	Effect of storage time and conditions on 2,3-butanediol production(1 st incubation).	78
4.8	Production of 2,3-butanediol and lactose utilization in a $CSTR(1st Run)$.	87
4.9	Production of 2,3-butanediol and lactose utilization in a $CSTR(2^{nd} Run)$.	88
4.10	Effect of dilution rate on butanediol productivity, concentration and lactose utilization in a CSTR.	90
4.11	Production of 2,3-butanediol and lactose utilization in 100ml column.	91

LIST OF FIGURES CONTINUED

rigure		rage
4.12	Effect of dilution rate on butanediol productivity, concentration and lactose utilization in 100ml column.	92
4.13	2,3-butanediol production and lactose utilization in a 200ml packed column (1 $^{\rm st}$ Run).	96
4.14	2,3-butanediol production and lactose utilization in a 200ml packed column (2^{nd} Run).	97
4.15	2,3-butanediol production and lactose utilization in a 200ml packed column (Final Run).	98
4.16	Effect of dilution rate on butanediol productivity, concentration and lactose utilization in a 200ml column.	99
4.17	2,3-butanediol production and lactose utilization in a 300ml meshed column (1 $^{\rm st}$ Run).	102
4.18	2,3-butanediol production and lactose utilization in a meshed column(Final Run).	103
4.19	Effects of dilution rates on butanediol productivity, concentration and lactose concentration in a 300ml meshed column.	104

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.1	An estimate of the volume of whey produced and the volume processed for the 1982 - 1983 dairying season in	
	New Zealand.	2
1.2	Chemical composition of whey permeates.	3
1.3	Some products obtained from whey by microbial fermentation.	4
2.1	Cell immobilization by adsorption.	10
2.2	Some biochemicals produced by micro- organisms entrapped in calcium alginate gel.	15
2.3	Industrial processes employing immo- bilized cells.	27
2.4	Organisms which produced minor amounts of 2,3-butanedio1.	39
2.5	Physical properties of the stereo-isomeric 2,3-butanediol.	48
4.1	Maximum butanediol production and yields by free and immobilized cells under agitated and non-agitated	
	conditions.	6.5

LIST OF TABLES CONTINUED

Table		Page
4.2	Cell number and amount of sodium alginate solution used to test the effect of cell numbers on butanediol	67
4.3	production by immobilized cells. Effect of barium or calcium chloride	67
	supplements to whey permeate on butanediol production by entrapped cells.	74
4.4	Effect of bead diameter on butanediol production by barium alginate immobilized cells.	75
4.5	Effect of 2 weeks storage and bead recycle on butanediol production.	79
4.6	Effect of 3 weeks storage and bead recycle on butanediol production.	80
4.7	Effect of 4 weeks storage and bead recycle on butanediol production.	81
4.8	Effect of lactose concentration during growth on subsequent 2,3-butanediol production by immobilized cells incubated in unsupplemented whey	0.0
	permeate.	82

LIST OF TABLES CONTINUED

able		Page
4.9	Effect of lactose concentration	
	during growth on subsequent	
	2,3-butanediol production by	
	immobilized cells incubation	
	lactose-supplementation whey permeate.	83
4.10	Summary of maximum butanediol	
	productivities achieved by immobilized	
	cells in batch and continuous fermen-	
	tation.	107

LIST OF PLATES

Plate		Page
3.1	Meshed column inclined at 10° to the horizontal.	58
3.2	Short column in a vertical mode, without a steel mesh.	59
4.1	Adverse effect of ${\rm CO}_2$ build up in the column.	94
4.2	Bead compaction as a result of $^{\rm CO}_2$ build up in column.	95
4.3	Transport of CO_2 bubbles out of column.	105

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Whey is a by-product of cheese and casein manufacture. It contains approximately 5% lactose, 0.9% nitrogenous materials and small amounts of vitamins and minerals (Sandhu and Waraich, 1983). There are many types of whey produced by various manufacturing processes. Zealand, the estimated volume of whey produced and the volume processed for the 1982-1983 dairying season is presented in Table 1.1(Hobman, 1982). Whey permeate is the material remaining after ultrafiltration of whey to recover soluble proteins. The chemical composition of whey permeate is shown in Table 1.2. The abundance of this dairy effluent, coupled with its high biochemical oxygen demand(ca. 33,000mg/1) means that it cannot be discharged directly into natural water systems, and thus pose a waste disposal problem to the dairy industry. New Zealand, it is common practice to spray irrigate pasture with this material, which in turn helps to maintain soil and pasture fertility. In recent years, the dairy industry has recognised whey as a by-product rather than a waste product(Maddox and Archer, 1984). Processes such as the extraction of protein using ultrafiltration have become quite common in dairy factories. More recently, the realization that whey and its permeates are potentially useful substrates for microbial fermentation has generated interest in many temperate countries. A list of some microbial products that can be obtained via fermentation using various microorganisms is shown in Table 1.3.

The ever-increasing international demand for crude oil has resulted in fear and uncertainty as to the future supply of this dwindling natural resource. Thus, the idea of producing chemical feedstocks and liquid fuels, such as 2,3-butanediol, from naturally renewable resources such as whey, becomes an intriguing possibility for the future. The importance of 2,3-butanediol lies in its chemical properties. Its dehydration yields the industrial

Table 1.1 An estimate of the volume of whey produced and the volume processed for the 1982-1983 dairying season in New Zealand(Hobman, 1982)

	Base Product	
	Cheese	Casein
Quantity(tonnes)	120,000	57,000
Volume of whey produced (m ³)	912,000	1,480,000
Volume of whey used(m ³) for:		
Whey cheese	2,600	=
Lactose	373,000	-
Whey powder	100,000	108,000
Whey protein (extraction only)	-	293,000
Whey protein extraction plus lactose utilization	60,000	371,000
Miscellaneous	500	
Total	536,100	772,000
Percentage of whey partially or totally processed	59	52
Percentage of whey not processed	41	48

Table 1.2 Chemical composition of whey permeates (Matthews, 1978)

,——————			
	Sulphuric casein whey permeate	Lactic casein whey permeate	Rennet whey permeate
Total solids %	5.69	5.93	5.40
Ash %	0.78	0.71	0.44
Lactose %	4.26	4.21	4.77
Na g/kg	0.6	0.48	0.36
Ca g/kg	1.17	1.36	0.36
K g/kg	1.45	1.53	1.41
C1 g/kg	0.09	0.98	1.06
PO ₄ g/kg	1.92	2.0	0.68
SO ₄ g/kg	1.51	-	-
Lactate g/kg	-	0.64	-

 $\underline{\text{Table 1.3}}$ Some products obtained from whey by microbial fermentation

		1
Organism	Product	Reference
Yeast	ethanol	Maddox & Archer (1984)
Yeast	ethanol (whey wine)	Kosikowski (1979)
<u>Kluyveromyces</u> <u>ragi</u>	ethanol	King & Zall (1983)
Kluyveromyces fragilis	single cell protein	Sandhu & Waraich (1983) Kosikowski(1979)
<u>Wingea</u> robertsii	single cell protein	Sandhu & Waraich (1983)
Fusarium moniliforme	gibberellic acid	Gohlwar <u>et al</u> (1984)
Clostridium acetobutylicum	butano1	Maddox & Archer (1984)
Methanogens	methane	-do-
Asperigillus niger	citric acid	-do-
Klebsiella pneumoniae	2,3-butanedio1	Lee & Maddox (1984)

solvent methyl ethyl ketone. Further dehydration produces 1,3-butadiene which is the monomer of synthetic rubber. Dimerization of butadiene by the Diels-Alder reaction gives styrene, an important aromatic intermediate in the lucrative polymer industry.

The advantages of fermentation systems based on immobilized cell technology have resulted in enhanced productivities over those observed in traditional batch systems. The objective of the present work was to investigate the feasibility of producing 2,3-butanediol from rennet whey permeate based on the principles of continuous fermentation and immobilized cell technology.