

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

A STUDY OF PRODUCTION FACTORS AFFECTING SEED VIGOUR
IN GARDEN PEAS (*Pisum sativum* L.) AND THE RELATIONSHIPS
BETWEEN VIGOUR TESTS AND SEED LOT FIELD AND STORAGE
PERFORMANCE

A thesis presented in partial
fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY IN SEED TECHNOLOGY
at Massey University, Palmerston North,
New Zealand

ABRAHAM G. CASTILLO

1992

ABSTRACT

Results of studies on seed vigour of 206 seed lots from six cultivars of garden peas (*Pisum sativum* L.), conducted at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand are reported in this thesis. The relationship between vigour tests and field emergence of garden pea seed lots with varying seed quality characters was evaluated in 1988 for 82 seed lots from six cultivars under unirrigated conditions and under both irrigated and unirrigated conditions in 1989 for 23 seed lots from three cultivars (Section One). Vigour of seeds produced from various plant populations, row spacings, sowing times, methods of harvest and pod positions from field experiments in the 1988-1989 and 1989-1990 cropping seasons was recorded from 96 seed lots for two cultivars (Section Two). The performance of five seed lots of varying seed quality characters stored under eight different conditions formed the basis for a discussion of potential storage, relative storability and prediction of storage life in garden peas (Section Three).

Stressful conditions, i.e. extremely dry or very wet conditions, can limit germination and field emergence in garden peas. The 1988 environment (favourable rainfall and temperature) allowed good field emergence. However, low rainfall in November 1989 (unirrigated sowings) and excessive water following rain at the 30 October and 20 December 1989 (irrigated) sowings, caused reductions in field emergence. The germination test was strongly correlated with field emergence when conditions for sowing were favourable and when low germinating seed lots were included in the analysis. However, when low germinating seed lots (less than 85%) were excluded, the relationship between germination and field emergence was low and unreliable. Differences in field emergence between seed lots were a reflection of differences in vigour which were detected by the conductivity test. The conductivity test was strongly correlated with field emergence of garden pea seed lots under all sowing conditions.

Expected field emergence (EFE) did not differ from the conductivity test for cv. Small Sieve Freezer under stress conditions, but it did not predict field emergence under all sowing conditions and for all cultivars. Multiple linear regression equations derived from the results differed among cultivars and for various sowing conditions, but none resembled the EFE equation currently used commercially. Removing the hollow heart effect from the EFE increased the relationship between EFE and field emergence under

favourable conditions but reduced the relationship under stress conditions. Hollow heart is therefore an important component of seed lot performance under stress sowing conditions. In order to include the effect of hollow heart in the prediction of field emergence, EFE should be used. Further, use of EFE allows the determination of the quantity of seed needed for sowing to achieve a specific population, which the conductivity test result alone cannot provide. The EFE approach should be further evaluated.

Conductivity and controlled deterioration test results illustrated seed vigour differences resulting from various production practices i.e.:

- seeds from a population of 200 plants m^{-2} and a 10 cm row width harvested at 15% seed moisture content (SMC) had lower vigour than less dense plantings. Furthermore, there was a high hollow heart incidence, especially in bottom pod seeds. At lower population densities (50 and 100 plants m^{-2}), the top pod seeds harvested at 15% SMC had higher leachate conductivity than the bottom pod seeds. These effects on seed quality were attributed to high temperature and RH within the crop canopy. The temperature within the crop canopy was 2°C - 5°C higher than the air temperature, especially at the 200 plants m^{-2} population density. The relative humidity within the canopy at the 200 plants m^{-2} population density was 5% - 10% higher than within the canopy at the 50 and 100 plants m^{-2} population densities.
- seeds harvested at 40% SMC were of low vigour when machinery was used in harvesting. Although the seeds had attained physiological maturity, they were prone to damage when harvested at this seed moisture content. Higher vigour seeds were produced when harvesting was done at 25% SMC than at 15% SMC, even when machinery was used.
- seeds from a December sowing were higher in vigour than seeds from a November sowing, which was attributed to a more favourable environment during seed development and maturity. For the later sowing, seeds developed and matured during February / March when the temperature (2°C - 5°C lower than January) and RH (5% - 10% lower than January) were more suitable for seed development.
- seed deterioration in the field was increased by windrowing because during the time seeds were in the swath prior to harvest, they were exposed to high temperature and relative humidity.

Decline in germination and field emergence was faster in low vigour seed lots than high vigour seed lot in all storage conditions. Results from the conductivity and controlled deterioration tests (vigour tests) provided better data for determining potential storability in garden peas than the germination test. The conductivity and 6 day CD tests had the best relationships in most of the controlled storage conditions and were good predictors of germination and field emergence after storage. However, better prediction of storage life was obtained under controlled storage conditions than under ambient storage conditions, probably because of greater uniformity in the germination decline. Further work is required to develop a test for predicting storage life in ambient conditions.

Probit analysis of the decline in germination under the eight different storage conditions produced variable results. Under controlled storage (e.g. 25°C / constant 13% SMC) which produced a high decline in germination, the germination data when transformed into probits followed a curve, rather than the expected straight probit line for all seed lots. This may be attributed to the indeterminate character of peas which causes variable seed quality parameters at harvest, and therefore the production of heterogeneous seed lots. The data suggest that the probit model is not entirely appropriate for the prediction of storage life in garden peas, and more work is required to determine the effect of heterogeneity on storage performance.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A thesis produced from enormous research identifies a person, but can only be completed through the efforts of many helping hands. My sincerest thanks and gratitude to my chief supervisor, Dr. John G. Hampton of the Seed Technology Centre, Massey University, for his patience and wise supervision throughout the conduct of the experiments and the writing of the manuscript.

Appreciation and thanks are extended to Dr. Peter Coolbear and Dr. Hugo Varela-Alvarez, my co-supervisors. The encouragement and guidance during the conduct of the experiments and patience shown in the improvement of the manuscript by Dr. Peter Coolbear was extremely valuable. The guidance of Dr. Hugo Varela-Alvarez in the analysis of data was vital in the completion of the manuscript.

My sincere thanks are also extended to:

Professor Murray J. Hill, Director of the Seed Technology Centre, Massey University, for his guidance and parental care, especially for my family while we were in New Zealand.

All the staff of the Seed Technology Centre, Massey University, for their valuable help in so many ways which allowed the successful completion of this study.

The students of the Seed Technology Centre for their friendship and help in very many ways, especially Roger, Songvut, Luck, Joe and Nit.

The New Zealand Government, particularly the Ministry of External Relations and Trade in giving financial assistance for me and my family while we enjoyed the beautiful atmosphere of New Zealand.

I also extend my great appreciation to the Philippine Government, specifically the University of Southern Mindanao, for allowing me to study in New Zealand.

Lastly, I thank all my relatives, particularly my wife and children for their great support and sacrifice during the conduct of the experiments and writing of the manuscript. This work is dedicated to them, especially to my late mother.

Finally, I thank the LORD for all HIS blessings during our wonderful stay in this scenic AOTEAROA, NEW ZEALAND.

PREFACE

This thesis is composed of studies concerned with seed vigour in garden peas (*Pisum sativum* L.) and is presented in three sections. Each section is presented as a complete piece of work, containing an introduction, review of literature, materials and methods, results and discussion.

Section One (Relationships between seed quality and field emergence in garden peas (*Pisum sativum* L.)) presents the vigour problems associated with field emergence. The vigour test methods used in the prediction of field emergence under various sowing environments are discussed.

Factors affecting seed vigour during seed development and maturation are discussed in Section Two (Seed vigour associated with mother plant environment and method of harvest in garden peas (*Pisum sativum* L.)). Particular attention is paid to the environmental factors associated with the mother plant and how they affect vigour of the seeds produced.

Section Three (Laboratory methods for the prediction of storage life in garden peas (*Pisum sativum* L.)) discusses the problems of storage in garden peas, factors affecting seed storage life and the prediction of seed storage life. Results from 24 months storage of garden peas under various storage conditions are presented and discussed.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
PREFACE	vi
LIST OF TABLES	xv
LIST OF FIGURES	xxi
LIST OF APPENDICES	xxv

SECTION ONE: RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN SEED QUALITY AND FIELD EMERGENCE IN GARDEN PEAS (*Pisum sativum* L.)

CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	2
CHAPTER 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	5
2. 1. GERMINATION AND FIELD EMERGENCE	5
2. 2. SEED VIGOUR	6
2.2.1. Genetic Constitution	7
2.2.2. Environment During Seed Development	8
2.2.3. The Importance of Seed Intactness (Mechanical Damage)	10
2.2.4. Storage Condition	11
2. 3. TESTS THAT MEASURE SEED VIGOUR IN GARDEN PEAS	12
2.3.1. Conductivity Test	12
2.3.2. Hollow Heart Test	15
2.3.3. Expected Field Emergence	16
2.3.3.1. Advantages of EFE	18
2.3.3.2. Limitations of EFE	19

CHAPTER 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	21
3. 1. SEED LOTS	21
3. 2. DETERMINATION OF SEED QUALITY	21
3.2.1. Seed Moisture Content	21
3.2.2. Germination Test	22
3.2.3. Hollow Heart Test	22
3.2.4. Conductivity Test	22
3.2.5. Expected Field Emergence	23
3. 3. FIELD EMERGENCE	23
3.3.1. Experimental Field	23
3.3.2. 1988 Field Emergence	23
3.3.3. 1989 Field Emergence	24
3. 4. WEATHER DATA	25
3. 5. DATA ANALYSIS	25
CHAPTER 4. RESULTS	26
4. 1. 1988 SOWING	26
4.1.1. Weather at Sowing	26
4.1.2. Seed Quality Characters	26
4.1.2.1. Germination and expected field emergence	29
4.1.2.2. Conductivity and hollow heart	29
4.1.2.3. Field emergence	31
4.1.3. Relationship Between Field Emergence and Seed Quality	31
4.1.3.1. Germination	31
4.1.3.2. Expected field emergence	35
4.1.3.3. Conductivity	35

4.1.3.4. Hollow heart	38
4.1.3.5. Comparison of the effects of germination, conductivity and EFE	38
4.1.3.6. Combined effects of germination, conductivity and hollow heart	38
4. 2. 1989 SOWING	41
4.2.1. Weather Data	41
4.2.2. Seed Quality Characters	41
4.2.3. Field Emergence	44
4.2.4. Relationship Between Seed Quality and Field Emergence in 1989 sowings	45
4.2.4.1. Relationship in the all seed lots group	45
4.2.4.2. Relationship in cultivar Patea	49
4.2.4.3. Relationship in cultivar SSF	52
4.2.5. Combined Effects	52
CHAPTER 5. DISCUSSION	59
 SECTION TWO: SEED VIGOUR ASSOCIATED WITH MOTHER PLANT ENVIRONMENT AND METHOD OF HARVEST IN GARDEN PEAS (<i>Pisum sativum</i> L.)	 65
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	66
CHAPTER 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	68
2. 1. IMPORTANCE OF PEAS	68
2. 2. SEED DEVELOPMENT AND MATURATION	69
2. 3. SPECIALISED SEED PRODUCTION AREAS	75

2. 4.	SOIL CONDITIONS AND CROP GROWTH	76
2. 5.	SOIL FERTILITY	77
2. 6.	SEED SIZE AS INFLUENCED BY FERTILITY AND MOISTURE	79
2. 7.	PLANT POPULATION AND PLANT DISTANCES	79
2. 8.	TIME OF SOWING	81
2. 9.	CLIMATE DURING SEED DEVELOPMENT	82
2.9.1.	Effects of Temperature	82
2.9.2.	Effects of Moisture	83
2.9.3.	Environment Within the Crop	86
2.10.	SEED DETERIORATION DURING SEED MATURATION AND HARVEST	87
2.11.	CONCLUSION	89
CHAPTER 3.	MATERIALS AND METHODS	91
3. 1.	EXPERIMENTAL FIELD	91
3. 2.	LAND PREPARATION	91
3. 3.	SEED LOTS	91
3. 4.	TREATMENTS, EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND FIELD LAY-OUT	93
3.4.1.	Treatments (1988-1989)	93
3.4.1.1.	Row width and plant population	93
3.4.1.2.	Time of sowing	93
3.4.2.	Treatments (1989-1990)	93
3.4.2.1.	Row width and plant population	93
3.4.2.2.	Time of sowing	97
3.4.3.	Experimental Design and Field Lay-Out	97
3. 5.	METHOD OF SOWING	97

3. 6.	CROP MANAGEMENT	98
3. 7.	SEED MOISTURE CONTENT DETERMINATION	98
3. 8.	TIME AND METHOD OF HARVEST	99
3. 9.	SEED QUALITY AND VIGOUR TESTS	100
3.9.1.	Thousand Seed Weight	101
3.9.2.	Controlled Deterioration Test	101
3.10.	DATA ANALYSIS	102
3.11.	CANOPY ENVIRONMENT EXPERIMENT	103
3.11.1.	Field Experiment Canopy Environment	103
3.11.2.	Environment Read From Data Logger	103
CHAPTER 4.	RESULTS	105
4. 1.	FIELD ESTABLISHMENT	105
4. 2.	SEED MOISTURE CONTENT AT HARVEST	106
4. 3.	ORGANISATION OF RESULTS PRESENTATION	106
4. 4.	POPULATION DENSITY EXPERIMENT	107
4.4.1.	Germination Test	107
4.4.2.	Thousand Seed Weight	107
4.4.3.	Hollow Heart Test	109
4.4.4.	Conductivity Test	09
4.4.5.	Controlled Deterioration Test	112
4. 5.	ROW WIDTH EXPERIMENT	114
4.5.1.	Germination Test	114
4.5.2.	Conductivity Test	114
4.5.3.	Thousand Seed Weight	114
4.5.4.	Hollow Heart Test	114
4.5.5.	Controlled Deterioration Test	117

4. 6.	TIME OF SOWING EXPERIMENT	119
4.6.1.	Germination Test	119
4.6.2.	Thousand Seed Weight	122
4.6.3.	Conductivity Test	123
4.6.4.	Hollow Heart Test	123
4.6.5.	Controlled Deterioration Test	126
4. 7.	HARVEST EXPERIMENT	128
4.7.1.	Germination Test	128
4.7.2.	Thousand Seed Weight	128
4.7.3.	Hollow Heart Test	128
4.7.4.	Conductivity Test	133
4.7.5.	Controlled Deterioration Test	133
4. 8.	THE ENVIRONMENT IN THE CROP CANOPY	133
4.8.1.	Temperature in the Crop Canopy	137
4.8.2.	Relative Humidity in the Crop Canopy	137
CHAPTER 5.	DISCUSSION	143
5. 1.	EFFECTS ON GERMINABILITY	144
5. 2.	EFFECTS ON THOUSAND SEED WEIGHT	145
5. 3.	EFFECTS ON HOLLOW HEART INCIDENCE	146
5. 4.	EFFECTS ON SEED VIGOUR	147
5. 5.	CONCLUSION	151

SECTION THREE: LABORATORY METHODS FOR THE PREDICTION OF STORAGE LIFE IN GARDEN PEAS (*Pisum sativum* L.) 154

CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	155
CHAPTER 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	158
2. 1. METHODS FOR PREDICTING STORAGE LIFE	158
2.1.1. Seed Survival Curves	159
2.1.2. Accelerated Ageing Techniques	162
2.1.3. Controlled Deterioration	163
2.1.4. Other Techniques for Evaluating Storability	164
2. 2. FACTORS THAT AFFECT STORAGE LIFE	164
2.2.1. Genetic Effects	165
2.2.2. Seed Structure and Composition	165
2.2.3. Seed Dormancy and Hard Seededness	166
2.2.4. Seed Moisture Content	167
2.2.5. Storage Environment	169
2. 3. SEED PACKAGING FOR SEED LONGEVITY	170
2. 4. CONCLUSION	171
CHAPTER 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	173
3. 1. SEED LOTS	173
3. 2. STORAGE EXPERIMENTS	173
3. 3. SEED QUALITY AND VIGOUR TESTS	176
3.3.1. Before Storage	176
3.3.2. Accelerated Ageing Test	176

3.3.3. Stored Seeds	176
3. 4. DATA ANALYSIS	177
CHAPTER 4. RESULTS	178
4. 1. SEED QUALITY BEFORE STORAGE	178
4. 2. AMBIENT STORAGE CONDITIONS	181
4. 3. SEED QUALITY AFTER STORAGE	181
4.3.1. Germination	181
4.3.2. Seed Moisture Content	184
4.3.3. Conductivity	184
4.3.4. Field Emergence	187
4. 4. PREDICTION OF STORAGE LIFE	187
4.4.1. Seed Quality Characters	187
4.4.2. Probit Analysis	193
CHAPTER 5. DISCUSSION	198
5. 1. EFFECTS OF VIGOUR ON SEED STORAGE LIFE	198
5. 2. PREDICTION OF SEED STORAGE LIFE	200
5. 3. PROBIT ANALYSIS	202
5. 4. CONCLUSION	2204
CONCLUSIONS AND SCOPE FOR FURTHER STUDY	206
CONCLUSIONS	206
SCOPE FOR FUTURE STUDY	211
BIBLIOGRAPHY	213
APPENDICES	233

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
I.1.	Climate data for the months of November and December 1988.	27
I.2.	Range and median for germination and expected field emergence for 1988 seed lots.	28
I.3.	Range and median for conductivity and hollow heart for 1988 seed lots.	30
I.4.	Range and median for field emergence in November and December 1988 sowings.	32
I.5.	Correlation between field emergence and germination, conductivity, hollow heart and expected field emergence (with and without hollow heart effect) for November and December 1988 sowings.	33
I.6.	Multiple linear regression table for the November 1988 sowing.	36
I.7.	Multiple linear regression table for the December 1988 sowing.	40
I.8.	Climate data for the months of October, November and December 1989.	42
I.9.	Range and median for seed quality data for 1989 sowings.	43
I.10.	The relationship between field emergence and germination, conductivity, hollow heart and expected field emergence (with and without hollow heart effect), as measured by the coefficient of correlation in irrigated and unirrigated sown garden peas for the all seed lots group.	46

I.11.	The relationship between field emergence and germination, conductivity, hollow heart and expected field emergence (with and without hollow heart effect), as measured by the coefficient of correlation in irrigated and unirrigated sown garden peas for CULTIVAR PATEA sown 1989.	51
I.12.	The relationship between field emergence and germination, conductivity, hollow heart and expected field emergence (with and without hollow heart effect), as measured by the coefficient of correlation in irrigated and unirrigated sown garden peas for CULTIVAR SSF sown 1989.	53
I.13.	Predictive equation for field emergence as obtained from the different sowing dates in irrigated and unirrigated sowing conditions in all seed lots group.	55
I.14.	Predictive equation for field emergence as obtained from the different sowing dates in irrigated and unirrigated sowing conditions in cv. Patea.	57
I.15.	Predictive equation for field emergence as obtained from the different sowing dates in irrigated and unirrigated sowing conditions in cv. SSF.	58
II.A	Description of the reproductive stages in garden peas <i>Pisum sativum</i> L.	71
II.1.	Seed lot quality characters for both the 1988-1989 and 1989-1990 seasons.	92
II.2.	Description of treatments used in field experiments for population density, row width and time of sowing experiments.	94

II.3.	Population density achieved in field sowings for the 1988-1989 and 1989-1990 seasons.	105
II.4.	Seed moisture content at harvest.	106
II.5.	The effects of the time of harvest, population density and pod position as a single factor on the seed quality characters of garden pea seeds (cv. Pania) obtained from the population density experiment in two cropping seasons (1988-1989 and 1989-1990).	108
II.6.	The combined effects of time of harvest and population density on the conductivity reading of garden pea seeds (cv. Pania) sown at various population densities in the 1988-1989 cropping season.	112
II.7.	The effects of the time of harvest, row width and pod position as a single factor on the seed quality characters of garden pea seeds (cv. Pania) obtained from the row width experiment in two cropping seasons (1988-1989 and 1989-1990).	115
II.8.	Combined effects of time of harvest and pod position on the electroconductivity reading of garden pea seeds obtained from peas sown at various row widths in the 1988-1989 cropping season.	116
II.9a.	Combined effects of time of harvest and pod position on the incidence of hollow heart in garden pea seeds obtained from peas sown at various row widths in the 1988-1989 cropping season.	116
II.9b.	The combined effects of row width and pod position on the incidence of hollow heart in garden pea seeds (cv. Pania) sown at various row widths in the 1988-1989 cropping season.	117

II.10.	Percent normal seedlings obtained from 2d CD test on garden pea seeds (cv. Pania) as affected by row width, time of harvest and pod position (1988-1989).	119
II.11.	The effects of the time of harvest, time of sowing and pod position as a single factor on the seed quality characters of garden pea seeds (cv. Pania) obtained from the time of sowing experiment in two cropping seasons (1988-1989 and 1989-1990).	121
II.12a.	Combined effects of time of harvest and time of sowing on the thousand seed weight of garden pea seeds (cv. Pania) obtained from the time of sowing experiment in the 1989-1990 cropping season.	122
II.12b.	Combined effects of pod position and time of sowing on the thousand seed weight of garden pea seeds (cv. Pania) obtained from the time of sowing experiment in the 1989-1990 cropping season.	123
II.13.	Combined effects of time of harvest and time of sowing on the electroconductivity reading of garden pea seeds (cv. Pania) obtained from the time of sowing experiment in the 1989-1990 cropping season.	124
II.14a.	Combined effects of pod position and time of sowing on the incidence of hollow heart in garden pea seeds (cv. Pania) obtained from the time of sowing experiment in the 1988-1989 cropping season.	124
II.14b.	Combined effects of pod position and time of harvest on the incidence of hollow heart in garden pea seeds (cv. Pania) obtained from the time of sowing experiment in the 1988-1989 cropping season.	125

II.14c.	Combined effects of pod position and time of sowing on the incidence of hollow heart in garden pea seeds (cv. Pania) obtained from the time of sowing experiment in the 1989-1990 cropping season.	125
II.14d.	The combined effects of time of harvest and pod position on the incidence of hollow heart in garden pea seeds (cv. Pania) obtained from the time of sowing experiment in the 1989-1990 cropping season.	126
II.15a.	Percent normal seedlings obtained from the 2d CD test of garden pea seeds (cv. Pania) as affected by the interaction of sowing date, time of harvest and pod position in the 1988-1989 cropping season.	127
II.15b.	Combined effects of time of harvest and time of sowing on the germination from 2d CD test of garden pea seeds (cv. Pania) obtained from the time of sowing experiment in the 1989-1990 cropping season.	127
II.16.	The effects of time of harvest on the electroconductivity in cv. Pania and hollow heart incidence in cv. Pania and cv. Princess.	132
II.17.	The effect of method of harvest on the electroconductivity and hollow heart in cv. Pania.	132
III.1a.	Description of the storage conditions.	174
III.1b.	Amount of glycerine and water to produce 45% RH and 95% RH obtained from the actual measurements of relative humidity at 5°C and 25°C storage conditions.	175
III.2.	Germination, seed moisture content, conductivity, hollow heart and field emergence of the various seed lots before storage.	179

III.3a.	Relationships of seed quality characters obtained before storage to field emergence and germination before storage.	190
III.3b.	Relationship between germination after various times and conditions of storage and seed quality characters obtained before storage.	191
III.3c.	Relationship between field emergence after storage in various conditions for 12 and 18 months and various initial seed quality tests.	192
III.4.	The effects of storage at 5°C and at various relative humidities on the germination decline pattern of different garden pea seed lots.	194
III.5.	The effects of storage at 25°C and at various relative humidities on the germination decline pattern of different garden pea seed lots.	195
III.6.	The effects of ambient storage conditions on the germination decline pattern of different garden pea seed lots.	196

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
I.1.	Example of relationships between germination test results from various groups and field emergence in the 1988 sowings.	34
I.2.	Example of relationships between expected field emergence from various groups and field emergence from December sowing.	36
I.3.	Example of relationships between conductivity test results from different groups and field emergence from the November 1988 sowing.	37
I.4.	Relationship between expected field emergence and field emergence in the all seed lots group for (a) 21 November 1989 unirrigated sowing and (b) 20 December 1989 irrigated sowing.	47
I.5.	Relationship between hollow heart test and field emergence in the all seed lots group for (a) 21 November 1989 unirrigated sowing and (b) 20 December 1989 irrigated sowing.	48
I.6.	Relationship between conductivity test and field emergence in the all seed lots group for (a) 21 November 1989 unirrigated sowing and (b) 20 December 1989 irrigated sowing.	50
I.7.	Relationship between expected field emergence and field emergence in cv. Small Sieve Freezer for (a) 21 November 1989 unirrigated sowing and (b) 20 December 1989 irrigated sowing.	54

II.A.	Reproductive stages in garden peas <i>Pisum sativum</i> .	72
II.1.	The field lay-out for experiments conducted in the 1988-1989 cropping season.	95
II.2.	The field lay-out for experiments conducted in the 1989-1990 cropping season.	96
II.3	The incidence of hollow heart in garden pea seeds (cv. Pania) as affected by the interaction of time of harvest, population density and pod position in the 1989-1990 season.	110
II.4	The electroconductivity readings in garden pea seeds (cv. Pania) as affected by the interaction of time of harvest, population density and pod position in the 1989-1990 season.	111
II.5	Percent normal seedlings obtained from the 2d CD test of garden pea seeds (cv. Pania) as affected by the interaction of time of harvest, population density and pod position in the 1989-1990 season.	113
II.6.	Percent hollow heart in garden pea seeds (cv. Pania) as affected by the interaction of time of harvest, row width and pod position in the 1989-1990 season.	118
II.7.	Percent normal seedlings obtained from the 2d CD test of garden pea seeds (cv. Pania) as affected by the interaction of the time of harvest, row width and pod position in the 1989-1990 season.	120
II.8.	Percent normal germination of garden pea seeds (cv. Pania) as affected by time and method of harvest.	129
II.9.	Percent normal germination of garden pea seeds (cv. Princess) as affected by time and method of harvest.	130

II.10.	Mean thousand seed weight of garden pea seeds (cv. Pania) as affected by time and method of harvest.	131
II.11.	The electroconductivity reading obtained from garden pea seeds (cv. Princess) as affected by time and method of harvest.	134
II.12.	Percent normal seedlings obtained from the 2d CD test of garden pea seeds (cv. Pania) as affected by time and method of harvest.	135
II.13.	Percent normal seedlings obtained from the 2d CD test of garden pea seeds (cv. Princess) as affected by time and method of harvest.	136
II.14.	The temperature (°C) within the canopy obtained at 1:00 p.m. from the different population densities, and air temperature.	138
II.15.	The temperature (°C) within the canopy of cv. Pania and cv. Princess sown 200 plants m ⁻² and air temperature obtained at 1:00 p.m. in a ten day period before the 15% SMC harvest.	139
II.16.	Example of temperatures (°C) of the top, middle and bottom of the canopy obtained at 1:00 p.m. from the different population densities compared with the air temperature in cv. Princess.	140
II.17.	The percent relative humidity within the canopy of garden pea (cv. Princess) grown at different population densities obtained at 1:00 p.m. in a ten day period before 15% SMC harvest in the 1989-1990 season.	141
II.18.	The percent relative humidity within the canopy of cv. Pania and cv. Princess sown at 200 plants m ⁻² and air relative humidity obtained at 1:00 p.m. in a ten day period before the 15% SMC harvest in 1990-1991 season.	142

II.19.	The weather data in January - March 1989 obtained at DSIR, Palmerston North, New Zealand; (a) minimum and maximum temperatures (b) rainfall and relative humidity.	149
II.20.	The weather data in January - March 1990 obtained from DSIR, Palmerston North, New Zealand; (a) minimum and maximum temperatures (b) rainfall and relative humidity.	150
III.1.	Percent germination of seed lots exposed to different times of (a) controlled deterioration and (b) accelerated ageing before storage.	180
III.2.	The mean monthly temperature and relative humidity in ambient storage.	182
III.3.	Percent germination of seed lots after storage in various conditions and for various times.	183
III.4.	Percent seed moisture content of seed lots stored in various conditions for up to 24 months.	184
III.5.	The electroconductivity reading ($\mu\text{S g}^{-1}$ seed) of seed lots after storage in various conditions and for various times.	186
III.6.	Percent field emergence of seed lots after storage in various conditions and for various times.	187

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	T I T L E	PAGE
I. 1.	Seed quality results for 82 seed lots in the 1988 season.	233
I. 2.	Regression table for November 1988.	235
I. 3.	Seed quality characters of different seed lots used during the 1989 sowing season for field emergence experiments.	236
I. 4.	Percent field emergence in irrigated plots sown at different dates in 1989.	237
I. 5a.	Percent field emergence without irrigation at different sowing times as influenced by different weather conditions in the field during spring 1989.	238
I. 5b.	Percent field emergence without irrigation at different sowing times as influenced by different weather conditions in the field during spring 1989.	239
I. 6.	Regression table for 1989 irrigated and unirrigated sowings for the all seed lots group.	240
I. 7.	Regression table for 1989 irrigated and unirrigated sowings for cultivar Patea.	241
I. 8.	Regression table for 1989 irrigated and unirrigated sowings for cultivar SSF.	242
II. 1.	Percent germination and hollow heart obtained from the crop canopy experiments in the 1989-1990 and 1990-1991 seasons.	243

III. 1.	Percent seed moisture content of the various seed lots exposed to different times of controlled deterioration before storage.	244
III. 2.	Percent seed moisture content of the various seed lots exposed to different times of accelerated ageing before storage.	244
III. 3.	Relationship between germination after various times of storage at 5°C / 45% RH and seed quality characters obtained before storage.	245
III. 4.	Relationship between germination after various times of storage at 5°C / 95% RH and seed quality characters obtained before storage.	246
III. 5.	Relationship between germination after various times of storage at 5°C in sealed bags and seed quality characters obtained before storage.	247
III. 6.	Relationship between germination after various times of storage at 25°C / 45% RH and seed quality characters obtained before storage.	248
III. 7.	Relationship between germination after various times of storage at 25°C / 95% RH and seed quality characters obtained before storage.	249
III. 8.	Relationship between germination after various times of storage at 25°C in sealed bags and seed quality characters obtained before storage.	250
III. 9.	Relationship between germination after various times of storage in ambient open bags and seed quality characters obtained before storage.	251

III.10.	Relationship between germination after various times of storage in ambient sealed bags and seed quality characters obtained before storage.	252
III.11.	Relationship between field emergence after storage in various conditions for 12 and 18 months and various initial seed quality tests.	253
III.12.	Probit lines from probit analysis of Pania 1 seed lot at different storage conditions and times of storage.	254
III.13.	Probit lines from probit analysis of Pania 2 seed lot at different storage conditions and times of storage.	255
III.14.	Probit lines from probit analysis of Princess 1 seed lot at different storage conditions and times of storage.	256
III.15.	Probit lines from probit analysis of Princess 2 seed lot at different storage conditions and times of storage.	257
III.16.	Probit lines from probit analysis of Princess 3 seed lot at different storage conditions and times of storage.	258