Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Simply A Numbers Game?

Smart Growth Implementation and the Determination of Open Space Requirements in New Zealand

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master's of Resource and Environmental Planning

at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

Rebecca Mary Eng

ABSTRACT

This research is concerned with the New Zealand implementation of the USA-developed growth management tool known as Smart Growth, specifically in relation to the provision of open space at the local authority and community level. It uses Tauranga as a case-study as it is the first New Zealand city to seriously attempt to institute an urban growth strategy based on Smart Growth principles. This research examines current issues with respect to reserve provision in New Zealand where Smart Growth is implemented, and considers the possibility of alternative approaches to what is being currently used and proposed in the future that may be closer aligned to the demographic characteristics of intensified communities and the goals of Smart Growth.

Sources from the United States provide a limitless range of material advocating Smart Growth and its desired outcomes. There is little information in terms of the implementation of it, particularly in terms of specific aspects such as open space provision. The discussion draws upon both overseas and New Zealand literature to provide an origin for current approaches to open space provision. It becomes clear that the models used by many territorial authorities in New Zealand are based on demographic and community characteristics that hold little relevance in the twenty-first century.

It is thought that an alternative approach may also go some way towards providing territorial authorities such as Tauranga City Council (TCC) with a policy tool for providing open space that may be founded to a lesser extent on quantitative measures. Further it may provide guidance for other local authorities that are contemplating or are using urban growth management such as Smart Growth or any such approach that involves residential intensification. It is further hoped that this research will draw other useful conclusions regarding the general approach to reserve provision across New Zealand.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would firstly like to thank Craig Batchelar for providing me with an insight in to the potential issues related to implementing Smart Growth and a number of topic options. From the Resource & Environmental Planning Programme at Massey University I would like to offer a most heartfelt acknowledgement to my supervisor Caroline Miller, and a congratulatory note for so effectively providing additional services as my therapist. Also of Massey University is Mike Roche, who I would like to acknowledge for assisting me in a time of need.

Special mention is due to the Environmental Policy staff at Tauranga City Council, including Cheryl Steiner, Andy Ralph and David Phizacklea. Thank you to Rex Maranda for providing me with maps.

Every student balancing work with academia must acknowledge the personalities in their place of employment who inevitably bear the brunt (patiently) of somebody attempting to achieve that balance with success. I would like to thank Beca Carter Hollings & Ferner Ltd, specifically the planning team, which made it possible for me to do exactly that. They are Bryce Julyan, Don Lyon, Graeme Roberts, Greg Pollock, Ainsley McLeod, John Duffy, Christine Ralph, Keith Frentz, Lucy Brake, Ainslie Bennett, and Fiona Low. I would also like to thank John Revington and Paula Scher for the donation of photocopying and printing resources.

I would finally like to thank all of those people close to me who supplied their enduring love, patience and encouragement throughout a year that has turned out to be a great one. You know who you are.

CONTENTS

ABSTRACTi						
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTSii					
1.0	Introduction					
1.1	Tauranga: A Thumbnail Sketch					
1.2						
1.3	9					
1.4						
1.5						
1.6	1					
2.0	Methodology					
2.1	Literature Review					
2.2						
2.3						
2.4	Development and Application of An Appropriate Method					
2.5		.21				
3.0	Smart Growth: A Review of Literature and Sources					
3.1						
	.1.1 Sustainable Development					
	.1.2 Smart Growth Defined					
	.1.3 Smart Growth in New Zealand					
	.1.4 Residential Intensification					
3.2						
3.3	9					
3.4						
4.0	A Review of the History of Reserve Provision in New Zealand					
4.1						
4.2	3					
	.2.1 Radius of Efficiency					
	.2.2 Minimum Standards					
	.2.3 Strategic Recreation Planning					
	What is Required in the Future?					
	.3.1 Reserves & Recreation in the 21 st Century	. 59				
	.3.2 Demographics and Recreation					
5.0	Conclusion The Legislative Framework					
	Legislation					
	i.1.1 Resource Management Act 1991					
	5.1.2 Local Government Act 2002					
	5.1.3 Reserves Act 1977					
5.2						
6.0	Alternative Methods for Assessing Open Space					
6.1						
6.2						
	5.2.1 CABE Space: Green Space Strategies	78				
	5.2.2 ODPM: Planning for Open Space					
	5.2.3 URGE: Making Greener Cities					
6.3	•					
6.4						
	6.4.1 Step One: Identifying Local Needs					
	5.4.2 Step Two: Auditing Local Provision					
	5.4.3 Step Three: Setting Provision Standards					
	5.4.4 Step Four: Applying Provision Standards					
(7. T. T. Stop I out, Applying I levision Standards					

7.0	Analy	sis and Discussion	102
7.1		oduction	
7.2	'Red	creation A' Passive Reserves	102
7.	2.1	Berescourt Reserve	103
7.	2.2	Epsom Reserve	
7.	2.3	Eversham Road Reserve	106
7.	2.4	Grenada Park 'Recreation A'	106
7.	2.5	Pacific Park	
7.	2.6	Tahara Crescent Reserve	111
7.3	Rec	reation 'B' Active Reserves	.112
	3.1	Oceandowns Reserve	
7.	3.2	Grenada Park 'Recreation B'	. 114
7.	3.3	Links Avenue Reserve	.116
7.4		at Next?	
8.0		usions and Recommendations	
8.1	Con	clusions	
	1.1	Introduction	
	1.2	Medium Density Living: Whom Do We Cater For?	
0.00	1.3	On-Site Amenity Standards	
	1.4	Quality of Existing Open Spaces	
	1.5	Implementing Smart Growth in Tauranga: Concluding Comments	
8.2	n 3.500	ommendations	
	2.1	Stepping into the Twenty-First Century	
	2.2	The Way Forward for Tauranga City Council	
		S	
		One	
	_	a District Planning Maps E2, SO2 & SO3	
		wo	
A	udit Fo	rm Example	. 136
		a District Planning Maps G5, H6 & H7	
App	endix 1	hree	. 145
		ed Audit Forms — Pilot Assessments	
		and Completed Audit Forms	
		nent Results	
		ncept Plans: Oceandowns Reserve, Grenada Park Reserve, and Link	
		Reserve	. 190
		a District Council Neighbourhood Reserves Management Plan Fact	404
S	neets	- District Osses II Deat Deather Oside for Naishbard Deather	
	aurang:	a District Council Best Practice Guide for Neighbourhood Reserves	201

List of Figures

Figure 1: 1	Mt Maunganui From the Air	2
	Total Population of the Tauranga District	
	Tauranga District New Dwellings Created by Zone	
	Suburbs of Tauranga City	
Figure 5: \	Western Bay of Plenty Sub-Region – SmartGrowth	11
	Sub-Regional Development Pattern Map – Tauranga City	
	Arlington County, Virginia	
	Ilustrations of Residential Development Types in the Western Bay of F	
Figure 9: E	Breaking the 'Back-to-Back' Rule	
	Street Orientation I	
Figure 11:	Street Orientation II	40
	History of New Zealand Planning System	
	Scenic Corridor Reserves Post 1908	
Figure 14:	Activity Levels of Adults	63
Figure 15:	Top Sports and Active Leisure Activities for Older Adults	65
	Proposed Bayfair Residential Intensification Node	
	Memorial Park	
Figure 18:	Wharepai Domain	97
Figure 19:	Berescourt Reserve	104
Figure 20:	Epsom Reserve	105
Figure 21:	Eversham Road Reserve	106
Figure 22:	Location of Aquatic Centre	108
Figure 23:	Aquatic Centre Layout	108
Figure 24:	Aquatic Centre Under Construction	109
Figure 25:	Grenada Park 'Recreation A'	109
Figure 26:	Pacific Park	111
Figure 27:	Tahara Crescent Reserve	112
	Oceandowns Reserve	
Figure 29:	Grenada Park 'Recreation B'	115
Figure 30:	Links Avenue Reserve	116
Figure 31:	Policy Decision-Making for Existing Reserves	127