Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

MODELING HEAT TRANSFER IN BUTTER PRODUCTS

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy (PhD)

in

Bioprocess Engineering



Institute of Technology and Engineering Massey University Palmerston North, New Zealand

AMSHA NAHID 2007

ABSTRACT

Butter keeping quality and pallet physical stability during transport and storage are dependent on the temperature distribution through the product. Understanding these temperature changes are of vital importance for the dairy industry with regard to butter manufacture, storage and shipping.

Three dimensional mathematical models of heat transfer were developed to predict thawing and freezing in butter products. These models require accurate thermophysical data as an input. Specific heat capacity and enthalpy of butter with different composition was measured using Differential Scanning Calorimetry. The specific heat capacity of butter differs for cooling and heating operations due to significant supercooling and delayed crystallization of the fat fraction of butter at temperatures well below the equilibrium phase change temperature during cooling. This reduces the heat capacity for cooling relative to that for heating.

Thawing of individual blocks of butter was accurately predicted by the conduction only model (no mass transfer limitations) with equilibrium thermal properties giving accurate predictions when the butter was completely frozen before thawing. For partially frozen butter the conduction model with the measured temperature dependent specific heat capacity data for unfrozen butter including melting of some of the fat fraction gave accurate predictions.

For freezing it was observed that water in the butter supercools many degrees below its initial freezing point before freezing due to its water in oil structure. Experiments suggested that during freezing release of latent heat observed as a temperature rebound is controlled as much by the rate of crystallisation of water in each of the water droplets as by the rate of heat transfer. A conduction only model including water crystallization kinetics based on the Avrami Model predicted freezing in butter successfully. Simple models with equilibrium thermal properties and nucleation only kinetics (based on homogenous nucleation theory) or the sensible heat only model (no release of latent heat) gave poor predictions.

The models for individual blocks were extended to predict heat transfer in butter pallets. A butter pallet contains product, packaging material and the air entrapped between the packaging and butter cartons. Measurements were made for freezing and thawing of full and half pallets at a commercial storage facility and in the University laboratory. Thawing and freezing in wrapped tightly stacked

pallets was predicted accurately by the conduction only model with effective thermal properties (incorporating butter, packaging and air) estimated by the parallel model.

For unwrapped tightly stacked or loosely stacked pallets there is potential for air flow between the adjacent cartons of butter. An alternative approach was developed which consisted of modeling the pallet on block by block basis using effective heat transfer coefficients for each surface. Different heat transfer coefficients were used on different faces of the blocks depending on the location of the block in the pallet. This approach gave good predictions for both unwrapped tightly stacked and loosely stacked pallets using the estimated effective heat transfer coefficients from the measured data. Further experimental and/or modelling work is required in order to develop guidelines for estimating effective heat transfer coefficient values for internal block face for industrial scenarios.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It has been said by a wise person, "Preserve your knowledge by writing it". This PhD thesis consists of knowledge I gained during the last several years of my study at Massey University. Gaining this knowledge and its preservation would have not been possible without the help (and patience) of a number of people. I would like to thank to these people for a huge variety of reasons.

Firstly I like to thank my supervisors Associate Prof. John Bronlund, Professor Don Cleland (Massey University) and Bruce Philpott (Fonterra Co-operative Group Limited) for their continuous support, guidance and constructive criticism while supervising this work. I could not have imagined having a better supervising team for my PhD.

My special thanks to Dr. Ashraf Choudhary (Member of New Zealand Parliament) for helping me to come and study in Massey University and advising me to pursue Doctoral research.

I am grateful to The New Zealand Foundation for Research, Science and Technology (FRST) for Enterprise scholarship funding and Fonterra Co-operative Group Ltd for funding the project costs.

Lot of thanks goes to the administrative staff of ITE, specially Joan Brookes, Gayle Leader, Trish O'Grady, Alisha Anan and John Hayward for their help and support throughout the project.

Thanks to **Susan Lane** for assisting me with the use of DSC and sample preparation and to Dr. **David Oldfield** and **Chris Ballentyne** for their help during the early stage of the project.

I appreciate **Grant Gilbert** at Whareroa site for his great help and time in conducting experiments at the dairy factory, **Garry Radford** for keeping tons of butter in freezers and then disposing off it, **John Edwards** who was always there for me whenever I needed a hand in experimental work, **Humphrey O'Hagan** for cutting, chopping and drilling my butter, **Bruce Collins** and **Craig Bellhouse** for giving me a hand in understanding data logging equipment. Huge thanks to **Dr. Tehseen Aslam** and **Muhammad Ali** (my Pakistani friends and colleagues at Massey University) for their support and help during my stay in New Zealand especially **Dr. Zulfiqar Butt** and **Dr. Zaker Hussain**, who always supported me as my real brothers.

I won't be able to forget the support, understanding and encouragement given by the Pakistani community in Palmerston North and Wellington specially **Dr Khalid Sandhu**, **Dr. Razia Sandhu** and **Aunty Salima Bibi** for their moral support and prayers.

A very sincere gratitude to my friends Misbah Akhar, Asifa Butt, Shazia Ali, Samina Zakir, Erum Tariq, Nasreen Bhali, Rizwana Tehseen and Robina Babar for their help and support that made the last stage of my PhD a bit easier.

I am forever indebted to my father Professor Abdul Hamid (late), my mother Nasim Akhtar, my father in law Choudhary Nazir Ahamad (late) and my mother in law Khurshid Bibi for their prayers and support without which I would have never been able to complete this task. Thanks to my brothers Mumtaz, Abbas, Ilyas, Usman, and Suleman, my sister Uzma, my sisters and brothers in law and all other family members for their encouragement.

Lastly my hubby **Tahir**, my daughter **Bazal** and son **Hashim** for their love, understanding, endless patience and encouragement when it was most required.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABS	TRACT	i
ACH	KNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TAE	BLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST	Г OF TABLES	xii
LIST	Γ OF FIGURES	XV
LIS	Γ OF APPENDICES	xxvii
CHA	APTER 1: PROJECT OVERVIEW	
1.1	Background and Project Definition	1-1
1.2	Project Objectives	1-2
CHA	APTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	Introduction	2-1
2.2	Butter Composition and Structure	2-1
2.3	Milkfat Composition	2-2
2.4	Crystallisation of Fat	2-3
2.5	Aqueous Phase of Butter	2-6
2.6	Crystallisation of Aqueous Droplets	2-6
2.7	Manufacturing Process	2-9
	2.7.1 Fritz Buttermaking Process	2-9
	2.7.2 Ammix Buttermaking Process	2-10
	2.7.3 Difference Due to Manufacturing processes	2-11
2.8	Thermophysical Properties	2-11

2.8.1 Initial Freezing Point	2-12
2.8.2 Density	2-14
2.8.3 Thermal Conductivity	2-16
2.8.4 Specific Heat Capacity and Enthalpy	2-19
Heat Transfer Models	2-24
2.9.1 General Heat Conduction Equation	2-24
2.9.2 Initial and Boundary Conditions	2-25
2.9.3 Analytical Solution for Conduction Problems	2-27
2.9.4 Numerical Solutions for Heat Transfer	2-27
2.9.5 Freezing Models for Water – enriched foods	2-28
Literature Conclusions	2-30
APTER 3: ESTIMATION AND MEASUREME	NT OF
CRMAL PROPERTIES OF BUTTER	
Introduction	3-1
Material	3-1
Initial Freezing Point	3-7
3.3.1 Measurement Methods	3-7
3.3.1.1 Extrapolation Method	3-8
3.3.1.2 Calibration with the Standard Solution	3-9
3.3.2 Measurement of Initial freezing Point of Butter	3-9
3.3.3 Alternative Approaches	3-12
3.3.3.1 Empirical Curve Fitting Models	3-12
	 2.8.1 Initial Freezing Point

	3.3.4	Estimati	on of Initial freezing Point of Salted Butter	3-16
3.4	Den	sity	·····	3-17
3.5	Spec	ific Heat	Capacity and Enthalpy	3-20
	3.5.1	Measure	ment Techniques	3-20
	3.5.2	Measure	ment of Specific heat Capacity and Enthalpy of	of
		Butter		3-28
		3.5.2.1	Generic Methodology	3-28
		3.5.2.2	Measurement Technique	3-29
		3.5.2.3	Scanning Rate	3-32
		3.5.2.4	Phase Separation	3-33
		3.5.2.5	Sample Homogeneity	3-34
		3.5.2.6	Variation in Frozen Water Contents	3-35
		3.5.2.7	Equilibrium Versus Supercooling of the Water Phase	se3-36
		3.5.2.8	Equilibrium versus Supercooling of the Bulk Fat Ph	ase3-39
		3.5.2.9	Hysteresis Effects Brought About by Microstructure	e3-40
		3.5.2.10	Method Summary	3-41
		3.5.2.11	Results and Discussion	3-42
		3.5.2.12	Adjusting and Modeling the Measured Data	3-44
		3.5.2.13	Comparison With Literature Data	3-51
	3.5.3	Conclus	ions	3-52
3.6	Ther	mal Con	ductivity	3-52
	3.6.1	Estimati	on of Thermal Conductivity of Butter	3-55
3.7	Cond	clusions.		3-57

CHAPTER 4: HEAT TRANSFER IN BUTTER BLOCKS

4.1	Intro	duction		4-1
4.2	Mate	erial and M	ethod	4-1
	4.2.1	Butter		4-1
	4.2.2	Temperature	e Measurements	4-2
	4.2.3	Position of 7	Thermocouples	4-2
		4.2.3.1 Sa	Ited Pat (B18)	4-3
		4.2.3.2 Un	salted Pat (B16)	4-3
		4.2.3.3 Sal	Ited Block (B15)	4-4
		4.2.3.4 Un	salted Block (B19)	4-5
	4.2.4	Initial and A	Ambient Conditions	4-5
4.3	Res	ults and Dis	scussion	4-7
	4.3.1	Heat Transf	fer in Salted Butter Pats	4-7
	4.3.2	Heat Transf	er in Unsalted Butter Pats	4-10
	4.3.3	Heat Transfe	er in Salted Butter Blocks	4-15
	4.3.4	Heat Transf	er in Unsalted Butter Blocks	4-21
4.4	Con	clusions		4-26
CHA	PTE	R 5 - MOD	ELING HEAT TRANSFER IN B	U TTER
5.1	Intro	duction		5-1
5.2	Mod	el Formula	tion	5-1
	5.2.1	Conceptual	Model	5-1
	5.2.2	Validity of A	Assumptions	
	5.2.3	Mathematic	al Formulation	5-4

5.3	System Input Parameters	5-6
5.4	Numerical Solution of the Heat Transfer Model	5-6
5.5	Maths Checking	5-7
	5.5.1 Numerical Error Checking	5-7
	5.5.2 Checks against Previously Validated Solution	5-8
5.6	Evaluation of Mathematical Model	5-9
	5.6.1 Sensitivity Analysis	5-9
	5.6.2 Model Predictions – Thawing	5-11
	5.6.2.1 Predicting Thawing of Pats	5-12
	5.6.2.2 Predicting Thawing of Blocks	5-18
	5.6.3 Model Predictions – Freezing	5-25
	5.6.3.1 Predicting Freezing of Pats	5-25
	5.6.3.2 Predicting Freezing of Blocks	5-30
5.7	Conclusions	5-36
	5.7.1 Thawing of Butter	5-36
	5.7.2 Freezing of Butter	5-36
CHA	APTER 6 - MODELING FREEZING OF BUTTE	R
6.1	Introduction	6-1
6.2	Model Formulation	6-2
6.3	Model Freezing Kinetics	6-4
6.4	Measurement and Estimation of Input Data	6-9
	6.4.1 Measurement of Nucleation Rate	6-10
	6.4.2 Specific Heat Capacity	6-16

	6.4.3 Equilibrium Freezing Temperature
	6.4.4 Latent Heat
	6.4.5 Thermal Conductivity
	6.4.6 Model Solution
6.5	Nucleation Only Model Predictions
6.6	Avrami Model Predictions
	6.6.1 Unsalted Butter Block (B19)
	6.6.2 Sensitivity Analysis
	6.6.3 Salted and Unsalted Pats
	6.6.3.1 Salted Pat (B18)6-26
	6.6.3.2 Unsalted Pat (B16)
	6.6.4 Predictions for Salted Butter Block
6.7	Conclusions
CHA	APTER 7: HEAT TRANSFER IN PALLETIZED BUTTER
7.1	Introduction7-1
7.2	Data Collection7-1
	7.2.1 Full Pallet Trials7-3
	7.2.2 Half Pallet Trials7-6
7.3	Experimental Results7-11
	7.3.1 Trial 1 – Wrapped Full Pallet
	7.3.2 Trial 2 – Unwrapped Full Pallet7-17
	7.3.3 Trial 3 – Wrapped Loosely Stacked Half Pallet7-25
	7.3.4 Trial 4 – unwrapped Tightly Stacked Half Pallet

	7.3.5 Trial 5 – Unwrapped Loosely Stacked Half Pallet7-41
7.4	Conclusions7-50
CHA	APTER 8: MODELING HEAT TRANSFER IN
PAL	LETISED BUTTER
8.1	Introduction
8.2	Modeling Approaches
	8.2.1 Modeling Heat Transfer in Pallets With No Internal Air
	Flow
	8.2.1.1 Calculation of the Volume of Air Gaps
	8.2.1.2 Effective Thermal Conductivity Model
	8.2.1.3 Effective Density
	8.2.1.4 Effective Specific Heat Capacity and Enthalpy
	8.2.1.5 Effective Heat Transfer Coefficients, Ambient and Initial
	Conditions8-7
	8.2.1.6 Results and Discussion
	8.2.2 Modeling Heat Transfer in Pallets With Internal Air Flow8-15
8.3	Conclusions
CHA	APTER 9: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
9.1	Conclusions9-1
9.2	Recommendations
REF	FERENCES
APP	PENDICES

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Fatty acids composition of spring and summer milkfat	2-3
Table 2.2	Composition of butter used by Pham (1994) and Lindsay &	
	Lovatt (1993)	2-13
Table 2.3	Freezing point depression of salted and unsalted butters	2-13
Table 2.4	Density of butters as a function of temperature and air contents	2-14
Table 2.5	Composition of butter and fitted parameters for the thermal conductivity	
	model	2-16
Table 2.6	Thermal conductivity of butter (Olenew, 1959)	2-17
Table 2.7	Specific heat capacity of butter for different composition	
	(Houska et al. 1953)	2-19
Table 2.8	Average specific heat capacity of unsalted butter as a function of	
	Temperature (McDowell, 1953)	2-20
Table 2.9	Enthalpy of butter for different composition Houska et al.	
	(1994)	2-21
Table 2.10	Parameters fitted to enthalpy equations for different compositions of	
	butter	2-22
Table 3.1	Butter samples collected from different seasons	3-2
Table 3.2	Composition of butters	3-3
Table 3.3	Solid fat contents of butter at different temperatures	3-4
Table 3.4	Measured initial freezing point of unsalted butters	3-10
Table 3.5	Solute concentration in the aqueous phase of butter compared with T_{if}	3-11
Table 3.6	Element analysis of salted, unsalted and lactic butter	3-15
Table 3.7	Initial freezing point estimated using salt solution and empirical curve	
	fitting	3-17
Table 3.8	Density of butter measured at 12°C	3-18
Table 3.9	Density of butter measured at 20°C	3-19
Table 3.10	Calibration standards for the DSC	3-29
Table 3.11	Constants for specific heat capacity of sapphire	3-30
Table 3.12	Comparison of measured and DSC derived initial freezing point	3-43

Table 3.13	Enthalpy equations parameters for all butters	3-47
Table 3.14	Thermal conductivity of butter components in frozen and unfrozen range	
	using Chio & Okos (1986) models	3-56
Table 4.1	Dimensions and type of butter used in experiments (without	
	packaging)	4-1
Table 4.2	Thermocouple position in the butter block with the origin as shown	
	in Figure 4.3	4-5
Table 4.3	Experimental conditions for the trial on butter pats and blocks	4-6
Table 5.1	Sensitivity analysis of the heat transfer models	5-10
Table 5.2	Input data for salted butter pat (B18) heat transfer predictions	
	(Trial SPH – 1)	5-12
Table 5.3	Input data for unsalted butter pat (B16) heat transfer predictions	
	(Trial UPH – 1)	5-14
Table 5.4	Input data for unsalted butter pat (B15) heat transfer predictions	
	(Trial SBH -1a)	5-17
Table 5.5	Input data for unsalted butter pat (B16) heat transfer predictions	
	(Trial UPH – 3)	5-18
Table 5.6	Input data for unsalted butter pat (B15) heat transfer predictions	
	(Trial SBH – 2a)	5-22
Table 5.7	Input data for unsalted butter block (B19) heat transfer predictions	
	(Trial UBH – 1a)	5-24
Table 5.8	Input data for salted butter pat (B18) heat transfer predictions	
	(Trial SPC – 1)	5-26
Table 5.9	Input data for unsalted butter block (B16) heat transfer predictions	
	(Trial UPC – 1)	5-28
Table 5.10	Input data for salted butter block (B15) heat transfer predictions	
	(Trial SBC – 1a)	5-31
Table 5.11	Input data for unsalted butter block (B18) heat transfer predictions	
	(Trial UBC – 1a)	5-34
Table 6.1	Theoretical values of Avrami constants	6-8
Table 6.2	Measured kinetics constants 'a' and 'b' for different emulsions	6-12

Table 6.3	Calculation of the nucleation rate J from the experimental data	6-15
Table 6.4	Enthalpy equation parameters for experimental butters	6-18
Table 7.1	Experiment plan for half and full pallet trials	7-3
Table 8.1	Pallet component thermal properties	8-3
Table 8.2	Summary of the effective properties for butter half and full pallet	
	used in model predictions	8-6
Table 8.3	Input data for the full pallet and half pallet trials	8-8
Table 8.4	Effective heat transfer coefficients (W $m^{-2} K^{-1}$) used in the model	
	to predict freezing in trial PC – 5	8-18
Table 8.5	Effective heat transfer coefficients (W $m^{-2} K^{-1}$) used in the model	
	to predict thawing in trial PH – 5	8-20
Table 8.6	Input data for unwrapped loosely stacked trial	8-20
Table 8.7	Input data for unwrapped tight packed full pallet trial	8-24

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Structure of butter	2-2
Figure 2.2	Most extreme differences between New Zealand summer and spring	
	milkfat (MacGibbon, 1993)	2-5
Figure 2.3	The temperature transition of the five stages of freezing for water and	
	aqueous solution	2-7
Figure 2.4	The Fritz butter making process	2-9
Figure 2.5	The Ammix butter making process	2-10
Figure 2.6	Schematic diagram of temperature-ice fraction relationship	2-12
Figure 2.7	Density of butter as a function of temperature	2-15
Figure 2.8	Thermal conductivity of butter as a function of temperature	2-18
Figure 2.9	Specific heat capacity of butter as a function of temperature	2-20
Figure 2.10	Enthalpy of butter as a function of temperature	2-23
Figure 2.11	Enthalpy – Temperature relationship	2-30
Figure 3.1	Solid fat contents of Summer, Spring and Autumn milk fat	3-5
Figure 3.2	Solid fat contents of butter (a) Summer (b) Spring (C) Autumn	3-5
Figure 3.3	Thermal history of a sample during ceroscopy measurements	3-8
Figure 3.4	Extrapolation method for determining the initial freezing point	3-8
Figure 3.5	Initial freezing point of unsalted butters as a function of solute	
	concentration in the aqueous phase	3-11
Figure 3.6	Estimation of initial freezing point from measured enthalpy data	3-14
Figure 3.7	Initial freezing point of salt solutions	3-16
Figure 3.8	(a) Comparison calorimeter (b) Example of cooling curve for use	
	in method of comparison calorimeter	3-22
Figure 3.9	Specific heat capacity measurement for frozen specimen	3-23
Figure 3.10	The concept of guarded hot plate method for specific heat capacity	
	measurement	3-24
Figure 3.11	Schematic diagram of differential scanning calorimeter	3-25
Figure 3.12	Typical specific heat thermogram of food by DSC	3-27
Figure 3.13	Measured specific heat capacity and enthalpy of sapphire	

	compared with literature data3-30
Figure 3.14	(a) Specific heat capacity (b) Enthalpy of unsalted butter (B17)
	with two curve method compared with Mohsenin's Method3-31
Figure 3.15	(a) Specific heat capacity (b) Enthalpy of salted butter (B15)
	at different scanning rates
Figure 3.16	(a) Specific heat capacity (b)Enthalpy from repeated DSC trial
	with the same sample of butter (B15)
Figure 3.17	(a) Specific heat capacity (b) Enthalpy of the same kind of butter
	(B17) for two samples done on the same day
Figure 3.18	(a) Specific heat capacity (b) Enthalpy of unsalted butter (B17)
	frozen to different temperatures
Figure 3.19	(a) Specific heat capacity (b) Enthalpy of salted butter (B15) measured
	by heating runs after cooling to -20°C, -40°C and at to -70°C3-36
Figure 3.20	Cooling of salted butter sample (B15) at a rate of 10°Cmin ⁻¹ to
	avoid water supercooling problem
Figure 3.21	Specific heat capacity repeated heating and cooling of one
	unsalted butter sample (B12)
Figure 3.22	Specific heat capacity measured form repeated heating and
	cooling DSC runs for one salted butter sample (B15)3-38
Figure 3.23	Specific heat capacity of repeated heating and cooling of milkfat3-39
Figure 3.24	Specific heat capacity and enthalpy of milkfat compared with the
	specific heat capacity and enthalpy of salted butter (B15) freeze
	to -40°C and unsalted butter (B19) freeze to -20°C
Figure 3.25	Specific heat capacity (a) Unsalted butter (B19) (b) Salted
	butter (b15) measured by cooling DSC runs. Run 2 measured
	eight months later than Run 1
Figure 3.26	Measured enthalpy for (a) Salted butters
	(b) Unsalted butters using DSC
Figure 3.27	Measured and modeled enthalpy of butter
Figure 3.28	Modeled data for unsalted butters
Figure 3.29	Solid fat contents of butters at different temperatures

Figure 3.30	(a) Adjusted enthalpy for salted butters	
	(b) Solid fat contents for salted butters	
Figure 3.31	a) Adjusted enthalpy for lactic butters	
	(b) Solid fat contents for lactic butters	
Figure 3.32	Comparison of measured enthalpy data with the literature data3-51	
Figure 4.1	Position of thermocouples in the salted butter pat (B 18)4-3	
Figure 4.2	Position of thermocouples in the unsalted butter pat (B 16)4-4	
Figure 4.3	Position of thermocouples in the salted butter block (B 15)4-4	
Figure 4.4	4 Position of thermocouples in the unsalted butter block (B 19)4-5	
Figure 4.5	Heat transfer in salted butter pat (B18)	
	(a) Cooling (SPC - 1) with: $T_i = 10^{\circ}C$, $T_a = -24^{\circ}C$, $t_p=10h$	
	(b) Heating (SPH –1) with: $T_i = -24^{\circ}C$, $T_a = 10^{\circ}C$, $t_p=13h$	
Figure 4.6	Heat transfer in salted butter pat (B18)	
	(a) Cooling (SPC $- 2$) for 60 hours	
	(b):Thawing (SPH $- 2$)after cooling at -25° C for 60 hours4-9	
Figure 4.7	Heat transfer in unsalted butter pat (B16)	
	(a)Cooling (UPC - 1) with: $T_i = 15^{\circ}C$, $T_a = -15^{\circ}C$, $t_p = 10h$	
	(b) Heating(UPH –1)with: $T_i = 15^{\circ}C$, $T_a = 20^{\circ}C$, $t_p = 12h$ 4-11	
Figure 4.8	Heat transfer in unsalted butter pat (B16)	
	(a) Cooling (UPC – 2) with: $T_i = 20^{\circ}C$, $T_a = -70^{\circ}C$, $t_p = 10h$	
	(b) Heating (UPH – 2) with: $T_i = -70^{\circ}C$, $T_a = 20^{\circ}C$, $t_p= 12h$	
Figure 4.9	Heating of unsalted butter pat (UPH -3)	
	with: $T_i = -15^{\circ}C$, $T_a = 20^{\circ}C$, $t_p = 12h$ 4-13	
Figure 4.10	Comparison of thawing time for an unsalted pat	
	with (UPH $- 3$) and without(UPH $- 1$) complete prior water freezing4-14	
Figure 4.11	Heat transfer in butter block (B15) with cardboard packaging	
	(a) Cooling (SBC – 1a) with: $T_i = 4^{\circ}C$, $T_a = -18^{\circ}C$, $t_p=3$ days	
	(b) Heating (SBH – 1a) with: $T_i = -18^{\circ}C$, $T_a = 2^{\circ}C$, $t_p = 3$ days4-15	
Figure 4.12	Heat transfer in butter block (B15) without cardboard packaging	
	(a) Cooling (SBC – 1b) with: $T_i = 4^{\circ}C$, $T_a = -18^{\circ}C$, $t_p = 3$ days	
	(b) Heating (SBH – 2b) with: $T_i = -18^{\circ}C$, $T_a = 2^{\circ}C$, $t_p = 3$ days4-17	

Figure 4.13	Comparison of with box and without packaging trails for
	salted butter (B15) (a) Cooling (b) Heating4-18
Figure 4.14	Position of the thermocouples in the plan view of
	frozen salted block (B15)4-19
Figure 4.15	Thawing of frozen salted butter block (SBH - 2a) with
	$T_i = -10^{\circ}C, T_a = 10^{\circ}C, t_p = 1$ year4-20
Figure 4.16	Comparison of two independent thawing trials $(SBH - 2a)$ and
	(SBH – 2b) of salted butter blocks (B15) with
	$T_i = -10^{\circ}C, T_a = 10^{\circ}C, t_p = 1$ year4-21
Figure 4.17	Heating of a frozen unsalted butter block (UBH - 1a)
	with: $T_i = -10^{\circ}C$, $T_a = 10^{\circ}C$, $t_p = 6$ months
Figure 4.18	Comparison of heating times for salted (SBH – 2a) and
	unsalted (UBH – 1a) butter blocks4-23
Figure 4.19	Cooling of unsalted butter block (UBC – 1a)
	with: $T_i = 10^{\circ}C$, $T_a = -23^{\circ}C$, $t_p = 3$ weeks4-24
Figure 4.20	Comparison of two cooling trial in the same unsalted butter
	block (B 19) under identical cooling conditions as
	$T_i = 10^{\circ}$ C, $T_a = -23^{\circ}$ C, $t_p = 3$ weeks4-25
Figure 4.21	Cooling of unsalted butter block (UBC – 2)
	with: $T_i = 10^{\circ}C$, $T_a = -10^{\circ}C$, $t_p = 3$ weeks
Figure 5.1	A block of butter5-2
Figure 5.2	Comparison of measured data for thawing of salted butter
	pat (B18, Trial SPH -1) with equilibrium property model
	and sensible heat only model predictions5-13
Figure 5.3	Comparison of measured data for thawing of unsalted butter
	pat (B16, Trial UPH -1) with equilibrium properties model
	and sensible heat only Model predictions5-15
Figure 5.4	Comparison of measured data for unsalted butter pat
	(B16, Trial UPH -1) with sensible heat only model using
	temperature dependent specific heat capacity5-16
Figure 5.5	Comparison of thawing data of a fully frozen butter pat

	(B16, Trial UPH – 3) with equilibrium properties model5-17
Figure 5.6	(a) Comparison of the measured data for the salted block
	(B15, Trial SBH – 1a) with equilibrium property model and
	sensible heat only predictions for surface and centre
	(b) Comparison of the measured data for the salted block using
	equilibrium properties model predictions for all the positions5-20
Figure 5.7	Comparison of measured data for salted butter block without
	packaging (B15, Trial SBH – 1b) with predictions using sensible heat
	only model predictions with temperature dependent heat capacity5-21
Figure 5.8	Measured data for thawing of fully frozen salted butter block
	(B 15, Trial SBH $-2a$) compared with the equilibrium thermal
	properties model predictions5-23
Figure 5.9	Measured data for thawing of fully frozen unsalted butter block
	(B 19, Trial UBH – 1a) compared with the equilibrium
	thermal properties model predictions5-24
Figure 5.10	(a): Comparison of measured data for the freezing of the salted pat
	(B18, Trial SPC - 1) with Equilibrium thermal properties
	model predictions and the sensible heat only model predictions
	(b) Measured data for the salted pat(B18) with the sensible heat only
	model for all the positions5-27
Figure 5.11	a) Measured data for the unsalted butter pat (B16, Trial UPC -1)
	compared with the sensible heat only model and the equilibrium
	property model predictions(b) Measured data compared with the
	sensible heat only model predictions for all the position
Figure 5.12	(a) Measured data for the salted butter block (B15, Trial SBC-1a)
	compared with the sensible heat only model and the equilibrium
	property model predictions(b) Measured data with the sensible
	heat only model for all the positions in the salted block5-32
Figure 5.13	Measured data for the salted butter block (B15, Trial SBC - 1b)
	compared with the sensible heat only model for all the positions
	in the salted block

Figure 5.14	Measured data for the unsalted butter block (B19) compared
	with the sensible heat only model and the equilibrium property
	model predictions (a) Freezing with an air temperature of -23°C
	(UBC-1a)(b) Freezing with an air temperature of -10°C (UBC-2)5-35
Figure 6.1	DSC thermogram for Fritz and Ammix butter
Figure 6.2	DSC thermogram for the Fritz unsalted butter with a constant
	cooling rate of 1.25°C min ⁻¹
Figure 6.3	InJ as a function of super cooling for both Fritz butter and
	the Ammix butter6-13
Figure 6.4	Nucleation rate as a function of temperature for the Fritz and
	Ammix butters6-14
Figure 6.5	lnJ as a function of super cooling calculated from the experimental
	freezing data and the DSC measurements
Figure 6.6	Nucleation rate J as a function of temperature calculated from two
	freezing experiments on a butter block (B19)6-16
Figure 6.7	Specific heat capacity of butter (B19) measured by DSC in
	heating and cooling modes
Figure 6.8	(a) Equilibrium enthalpy-temperature diagram for butter (B19)
	showing specific heat capacity values used for different
	temperature ranges. (b) Enthalpy-temperature diagram for the
	calculation of equilibrium freezing temperature
Figure 6.9	Comparison of the measured data (B19) with the prediction using
	the nucleation-only model. (a) Freezing of butter block (UBC – 1a)
	with an ambient temperature of -23°C.(b) Freezing of butter
	block (UBC – 2) with an ambient temperature of -11° C6-22
Figure 6.10	Comparison of the measured data for unsalted butter (B19) with
	the predictions using the Avrami model. (a) Freezing with an
	ambient of -23° C (UBC – 1a,UBC – 1b)(b)Freezing with an
	ambient of -11°C (UBC – 2)
Figure 6.11	Comparison of the measured data (UBC $- 2$) for unsalted butter (B19)
	with the Avrami model using a U value of 0.012 rather than 0.0146-25

Figure 6.12	Effect of U and b values on the model prediction using the	
	Avrami Model with $T_a = -23^{\circ}C$, $T_i = 11^{\circ}C$, $U = 0.014$, $b = -0.0018$ 6-26	
Figure 6.13	Comparison of the measured data (SPC -1) for the salted butter	
	pat (B18) with the prediction using various freezing models	
	(a) For 10 Hours (b) For 70 hours	
Figure 6.14	Comparison of the measured data(SPC-2) for the salted butter	
	pat (B18))with the prediction using Avrami Model predictions for l	
	onger period of time(b) Comparison of measured data (SPH-2) for	
	the thawing of salted butter pat (B18) with the equilibrium properties	
	models and sensible heat only model predictions after cooling	
	at -25°C for 60 hours	
Figure 6.15	Comparison of the measured data (UPC -1) for the unsalted butter	
	pat (B 16) with the prediction using various freezing models predictions	
	(a) For 10 hours (b) For 80 hours	
Figure 6.16	Comparison of the measured data (SBC -1a) for the salted butter	
	block(B 15) with the prediction using various freezing models	
	(a) For 70 hours (b) For 200 hours	
Figure 6.17	Comparison of the measured data for the salted block freeze to -23°C	
	with all the freezing models	
Figure 7.1	Plan and elevation view of the full butter pallet configuration	
	(approximate outer dimensions in mm)7-2	
Figure 7.2	Wrapped full pallet used for Trial 1(PC – 1, PH – 1)7-5	
Figure 7.3	Unwrapped full pallet used for Trial 2 (PC – 2, PH – 2)7-5	
Figure 7.4	Plan views ((a) and (b)) and front elevation (c) of half pallet	
	trails (Trials 3-5)7-8	
Figure 7.5	Position and code numbers of thermocouples in the middle layer of the	
	three layered half pallet trials	
Figure 7.6	Position of the thermocouples in cartons 1, 2, 7 and 8 in half	
	pallet Trials 3-57-10	
Figure 7.7	Direction of air flows in the experimental room	
	(a): Plan view (freezing) (b): Plan view (thawing)	

	(c): Elevation (freezing) (d): Elevation (thawing)7-10
Figure 7.8	Experimental temperature profiles measured for trial $PC - 1$
	(a) pallet faces (b) across the pallet diagonal from bottom LHS front
	corner to top RHS back corner - positions in mm indicated are relative
	to bottom LHS of pallet as in Figure 7.1(c) across layer 47-12
Figure 7.9	Experimental temperature profiles measured for trial PC - 1 at centre
	of the blocks (one on each layer) from top to bottom of the pallet
Figure 7.10	Experimental temperature profiles measured for trial PH – 1
	(a). pallet faces (b). across the pallet diagonal from bottom LHS front
	corner to top RHS back corner - positions in mm indicated are relative
	to bottom LHS of pallet as in Figure 7.1(c). across layer 4
Figure 7.11	Experimental temperature profiles measured for trial PH - 1 at the centres
	of the blocks (one on each layer) from top to bottom of the pallet
Figure 7.12	Experimental temperature profiles measured for trial $PC - 2$
	(a). pallet faces (b). across the pallet diagonal from bottom LHS front
	corner to top RHS back corner - positions in mm indicated are relative
	to bottom LHS of pallet as in Figure 7.1(c). across layer 47-18
Figure 7.13	Experimental temperature profiles measured for trial $PC - 2$ at centers
	of the blocks (one on each layer) from top to bottom of the pallet
Figure 7.14	Comparison of the slowest cooling position (16) in the wrapped (PC $- 1$)
	and unwrapped (PC – 2) full pallet trials7-21
Figure 7.15	Experimental temperature profile measured for trial PC - 2 (a)Centre
	of all the faces of the Block 31 (b). positions inside the Block 313-22
Figure 7.16	Experimental temperature profiles measured for trial $PH - 2$ at the centre
	of all the faces of the Block 387-23
Figure 7.17	Experimental temperature profiles measured for trial $PH - 2$ at positions
	inside the Block 387-24
Figure 7.18	Comparison of thawing of wrapped $(PH - 1)$ and unwrapped $(PH - 2)$
	in the full pallet trials
Figure 7.19	Experimental temperature profiles measured for trial PC - 3
	(a). Temperature profile at the centre of the faces exposed to ambient

	(b). Temperature profile at the centre of each block7-25
Figure 7.20	Temperature readings (between the blocks and corresponding butter
	surfaces) after 50 hours in Trial PC – 37-27
Figure 7.21	Experimental temperature profiles measured for the wrapped loosely
	stacked half pallet trial (PC $- 3$)(a) Comparison of block 1 & 8
	(b) Comparison of block 2 & 77-28
Figure 7.22	Experimental temperature profiles measured for the wrapped loosely
	stacked half pallet trial (PC $- 3$) (a): Temperature profiles of the
	slowest freezing position along with the other positions around the centre
	of the half pallet.(b): Schematic diagram of the centre of the pallet7-29
Figure 7.23	Experimental temperature profiles measured for trial $PH - 3$
	(a). Temperature profile at the centre of the faces exposed to ambient
	(b). Temperature profile at the centre of each block
Figure 7.24	Temperature readings (between the blocks and corresponding butter
	surfaces) after 50 hours in Trial PH – 37-31
Figure 7.25	Experimental temperature profiles measured for the wrapped loosely
	stacked half pallet trial (PH $- 3$)(a) Comparison of block 1 &8
	(b) Comparison of block 2 & 7
Figure 7.26	Experimental temperature profile measured for the slowest thawing
	position along with other positions around the centre of the
	half pallet (PH – 3)
Figure 7.27	Experimental temperature profiles measured for Trial PC - 4
	(a). Temperature profile at the centre of each block along with the
	average ambient temperature on four sides of the half pallet
	(b). Temperature profile for the centres of all the blocks7-34
Figure 7.28	Temperature readings (between the blocks and corresponding
	butter surfaces) after 50 hours for Trial PC – 47-35
Figure 7.29	Experimental temperature profiles measured for the unwrapped half
	pallet trial (PC -4) (a) Comparison of block 1 and 8
	(b) Comparison of block 2 and 77-36
Figure 7.30	Experimental temperature profiles measured for the slowest freezing

	position along with other positions around the centre of the half
	pallet (PC - 4)7-37
Figure 7.31	Experimental temperature profiles measured for Trial PH – 4
	(a): Centre of all the faces exposed to ambient (the position 12 on block 1
	is missing)(b): Centres of all the blocks in the middle layer7-38
Figure 7.32	Temperature readings (between the blocks and corresponding butter
	surfaces) after 50 hours for Trial PH – 47-39
Figure 7.33	Experimental temperature profiles measured for Trial PH – 4
	(a) Comparison of Blocks 1 & 8 (b) Comparison of Blocks 2 & 77-40
Figure 7.34	Experimental temperature profiles measured for the slowest thawing
	position along with the other position around the centre of the half
	pallet Trial PH – 47-41
Figure 7.35	Experimental temperature profiles measured for the half pallet loosely
	stacked trial $PC - 5$ at the centre of all the blocks along with the
	ambient temperature on four sides of the half7-42
Figure 7.36	Experimental temperature profile measured for the positions at the
	surfaces of the blocks $(PC - 5a)$ (a) Block 1 (b) Block 2 (c) Block 8
	(d) Block 77-43
Figure 7.37	Temperature readings (between the blocks and corresponding
	butter surfaces) after50 hour for Trial PC – 5a7-44
Figure 7.38	Experimental temperature profile measured for trial PC - 5a at the
	positions inside the blocks along with the average ambient temperature.
	(a) Block 1 (b) Block 2 (c) Block 8 (d) Block 77-44
Figure 7.39	Experimental temperature profiles measured at the centre of each
	block along with the ambient temperature on four sides of the
	half pallet (PH – 5)7-46
Figure 7.40	Experimental temperature profiles measured for trial $PH - 5$ at the surfaces
	of the blocks(a) Block (b) Block 2 (c) Block 8 (d) Block 77-46
Figure 7.41	Temperature readings (between the blocks and corresponding butter
	surfaces) after 50 hours for Trial PH – 57-47
Figure 7.42	Experimental temperature profiles measured for trial $PC - 5$ inside the

	blocks along with the average ambient temperature.(a) Block 1
	b) Block 2 (c) Block 8 (d) Block 77-48
Figure 7.43	Comparison of the slowest freezing point for both the freezing runs
	of Trial 5 (PC – 5a and PC – 5b)7-50
Figure 7.44	Comparison of slowest changing positions in the half pallet Trials 3-5
	(a) Freezing (b) Thawing7-51
Figure 8.1	Comparison of measured enthalpy with the effective enthalpy for
	the unwrapped half pallet8-7
Figure 8.2	Measured thawing data for the centre of the wrapped full pallet
	trial $(PH - 1)$ compared with model predictions using conduction only
	model with effective thermal properties calculated by Maxwell Eucken,
	Parallel and Series models $T_i = -6^{\circ}C$, $T_a = 10^{\circ}C$
Figure 8.3	Measured freezing data for the centre of the wrapped full pallet
	trial (PC $- 1$) compared with model predictions using conduction
	only model with effective thermal properties calculated by the
	Parallel model. $T_i = 10^{\circ}C$, $T_a = -11^{\circ}C$
Figure 8.4	Measured data for the centre of the wrapped half pallet trial $(PH - 3)$
	during thawing compared with the conduction only model with effective
	thermal properties calculated by the Parallel model (Trial 3)
	with $T_i = -23^{\circ}C$, $T_a = 20^{\circ}C$
Figure 8.5	Predictions for the wrapped half pallet trial $(PH - 3)$ using enthalpy for
	the fully and partially frozen butter compared with the measured data8-12
Figure 8.6	Comparison of measured data for the freezing of wrapped loosely
	stacked half pallet trial (PC - 3) considering heat transfer as conduction
	only with effective thermal properties based on the parallel model.
	$T_i = 22^{\circ}C, T_a = -23^{\circ}C$
Figure 8.7	Comparison of model predictions with the measured data for the
	unwrapped half pallet trial $(PH - 4)$ considering heat transfer as
	conduction only with effective thermal properties based on the parallel
	model.(a)Thawing with $T_i = -16^{\circ}C$, $T_a = 23^{\circ}C$
	(b) Freezing with $T_i = 21^{\circ}C$, $T_a = -22^{\circ}C$

Figure 8.8	Effective heat transfer coefficient for freezing of Block 2 and 7 in
	unwrapped half pallet trial (PC – 5)8-17
Figure 8.9	Effective heat transfer coefficient for freezing of Block 7 and 8 in
	unwrapped half pallet trial (PH – 5)8-19
Figure 8.10	Measured data compared with the model prediction for freezing of
	unwrapped loosely stacked half trial with gaps $(PF - 3)$
	with $T_i = 11^{\circ}C$, $T_a = -25^{\circ}C(a)$ Block1 (b) Block 2
Figure 8.11	Measured data compared with the model prediction for thawing of
	unwrapped loosely stacked half pallet trial with gaps (PH - 5)
	with T $_{i}$ = -25°C, T $_{a}$ =20°C(a) Block8 (b) Block 78-22
Figure 8.12	Comparison of the model predictions with measured data for the
	unwrapped full pallet trial $((PH - 2) \& (PC - 2))(a)$ and
	(b) Freezing in Block 30 with $T_i = 10^{\circ}C$, $T_a = -11^{\circ}C$
	(c) Thawing in Block 31 with T $_i$ = -11°C, T _a =11°C8-25
Figure 8.13	Effective heat transfer coefficient for freezing of Block 7 in
	unwrapped closely stacked half pallet trial (PC $- 4$) for Block 78-27
Figure 8.14	Measured freezing data compared with the model prediction for unwrapped
	closely stacked half pallet trial (PC – 4) with $T_i = 21^{\circ}C$, $T_a = -21^{\circ}C$
Figure 8.15	Measured data for thawing of Block 7 in thawing of unwrapped half
	pallet trial with no gaps (Trial PH-4) with $T_i = -18^{\circ}C$, $T_a = 22^{\circ}C$

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A1: Nomenclature	A-1
Appendix A2: Standard Methods Used to Measure	e the
Composition of Butter	A-4
Appendix A3: Numerical Formulation of Model	A-6
Appendix A4: Matlab Code for the Freezing Mode	els for 25 kg
Block of Butter	A-8
Appendix A5: Plans of Thermocouples Positions a Pallet Trials	and Data for A-9