Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT MAKING A DIFFERENCE FOR CHILDREN: CO-CONSTRUCTING UNDERSTANDINGS IN EARLY CHILDHOOD CENTRES

A Thesis presented in fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Education
at
Massey University
Palmerston North
New Zealand

Barbara Jeanette Jordan

2003

Erratum

The following pages are bound out of order:

pp. ix and viii pp. 3 & 4 pp. 56 & 57

ABSTRACT

This thesis reports an investigation of the ways in which teachers in four early childhood centres valued children's voices as the basis for developing authentic learning experiences with them. The purpose of the study was to support teachers as they identified the changes they needed to make in their programming in order first to hear and second to engage with and extend children's thinking. In the process of the research, teachers identified their current philosophies of learning and the evidence of this in their practices, with a view to working increasingly in sociocultural modes of interaction with and planning for children's understandings. Changes in three propositions related to children's and adults' development of understandings, around which this report is organised, also represent the changes in the teachers' thinking about children's learning.

Teachers in four case study centres were involved in reflecting critically on their practices, in progressively focused action research programmes. Through critical analysis of teacher-child dialogues, it became increasingly clear that the metaphor of scaffolding, as researched in the psychological literature, was an inadequate one to support these teachers in developing and maintaining intersubjectivity with children. A more adequate metaphor for the sharing and revisiting of ideas seemed to be that of co-construction. In order to hear and respond to the child's voice, as the foundation for developing intersubjectivity and co-constructing meanings, the teachers found they needed to work collaboratively with their community of learners.

A model representing levels of intersubjectivity was developed in response to the struggle to conceptualise similarities and differences between scaffolding and co-constructing learning. The model was useful in supporting understandings of the teacher's roles in planning for children's learning from a sociocultural perspective, through the development of ongoing and in-depth projects. The participant teachers' transformation of their own participation and some influences on these changes were further clarified as a diagram linking the personal, the interpersonal and the institutional/community planes of interaction.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research report would never have happened without the support of a great many people, many of whom I have never met, others who have shared intensely my life and my work. All have worked alongside me, across time and space, in co-constructing understandings of ways of encouraging teachers to engage with children in authentic learning activities that empower children.

My thanks to my children and grandchildren, for encouraging my commitment to research and writing, with my consequent absences from many family events. Trevor, your help in developing microphones for recording teacher-child dialogue was crucial in my data generation. Susan, your own experiences as a Masters student, including your recommendations of useful references, have been especially supportive. Vernon and Marjan, you worked even through Christmas Day to ensure that my computer was up to the task. Jenny and Angela, your support in so many ways has been consistent and valued. My extended whānau, especially Myra and Sandy you have each made your special contribution. To my partner, Mike, the perceptiveness of your "woolshed psychology" alternately supports and confronts my articulated theories of learning, providing a mirror that clarifies my thinking. While you question the vagaries of academia your support for my work is never in question.

To the teachers, the children and their parents in my case study centres, your trust in your own processes and in me has enabled many to benefit, and your challenges to me have generated new directions for understanding for us all about what happens in children's lives. To the many early childhood groups who have invited me to speak on the topics of my research, thank you for the inspiration you have afforded me in thinking my messages through.

To my Supervisors, Joy Cullen and Wayne Edwards, for your contribution to this work from your extensive experience and wisdom in many fields. You have challenged me and gently guided me, never doubting that I could do it, however frustrated at the time it might take me to reach the conclusion.

To the facilitator of one of the centre programmes, your protected anonymity does not detract from my sincere appreciation of the thoroughness of your work in and your full records of, the centre's programme of professional development.

To Chrissy Lepper and Anne MacIntyre, my thanks for taking the time to comment on my early drafts, especially when you were each facing challenges in your own lives.

To Massey University College of Education, I am grateful for financial and moral support, especially in the positive reinforcement of every achievement along the way. My thanks to College of Education Pro-Vice Chancellors, Heads of Department and other colleagues, especially in the Early Years group, who have supported my work in countless ways.





Learning and Teaching Private Bag 11 222, Palmerston North, New Zealand Telephone: 64 6 356 9099 Facsimile: 64 6 351 3383

CERTIFICATE OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

This is to certify that the research carried out in the Doctoral Thesis entitled

Professional Development Making A Difference For Children: Co-Constructing Understandings In Early Childhood Centres

in the Department of Learning and Teaching, at Massey University, New Zealand:

- (a) is the original work of the candidate, except as indicated by appropriate attribution in the text and/or in the acknowledgements;
- (b) that the text, excluding appendices/annexes, does not exceed 100 000 words;
- (c) all the ethical requirements applicable to this study have been complied with as

required by Massey University, other organisations and/or committees: Early childhood case study management teams; the Kindergarten Association; and the Ministry of Education, which had a particular association with this study, and relevant legislation.

Please insert Ethical Authorisation code(s) here if applicable HEC97/81

Candidate's Name: Barbara Jordan

Signature:

Bg Jevelen 23.07.03 Date:

Supervisor's Name: Dr. Joy Cullen

Signature: g. L. buller

23.07.03 Date:







Learning and Teaching Private Bag 11 222, Palmerston North, New Zealand Telephone: 64 6 356 9099

Facsimile: 64 6 351 3383

CANDIDATE'S DECLARATION

This is to certify that the research carried out for my Doctoral thesis entitled Professional development making a difference for children: Co-constructing understandings in early childhood centres in the Department of Learning and Teaching, Massey University, Hokowhitu, New Zealand is my own work and that the thesis material has not been used in part or in whole for any other qualification.

Candidate's Name: Barbara Jordan

Signature

& fferdu Date





Learning and Teaching Private Bag 11 222, Palmerston North, New Zealand Telephone: 64 6 356 9099

Facsimile: 64 6 351 3383

SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

This is to certify that the research carried out for the Doctoral thesis entitled "Professional development making a difference for children: Co-constructing understandings in early childhood centres" was done by Barbara Jordan in the Department of Learning and Teaching, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand. The thesis material has not been used in part or in whole for any other qualification, and I confirm that the candidate has pursued the course of study in accordance with the requirements of the Massey University regulations.

Supervisor's Name Professor Joy Cullen

J. L. bullen

Signature

Date 25 July 2003

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| ABSTRACT | | |
|--------------------|--|----|
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | | |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | | |
| LIST OF APPENDICES | | |
| LIST OF TABLES | | |
| LIST OF | FIGURES | x |
| GLOSSA | RY | xi |
| СНАРТЕ | R 1: BACKGROUND AND INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY | 1 |
| 1.1 INTRODUCTION | | 1 |
| | Initial questions | 2 |
| 1.2 | MY PERSONAL BIASES AS A RESEARCHER | 2 |
| 1.3 | SOCIOCULTURAL FOUNDATIONS | 4 |
| 1.4 | HEARING CHILDREN'S VOICES | 6 |
| 1.5 | PILOT AND PROPOSITIONS | 7 |
| | Initial propositions | 8 |
| | Revised questions | 9 |
| | Revised propositions | 9 |
| 1.6 | OVERVIEW OF THESIS CHAPTER STRUCTURE | 9 |
| CHAPTEI | R 2: ENHANCING TEACHER-CHILD INTERACTIONS | 11 |
| 2.1 | INTRODUCTION | 11 |
| 2.2 | THEORETICAL DISCOURSES | 12 |
| | 2.2.1 Sociocultural theory | 15 |
| * | 2.2.2 Hearing children's voices in early childhood | 28 |
| | 2.2.3 Agency and children's rights | 30 |
| 2.3 | IMPACT OF THEORETICAL DISCOURSES ON PRACTICES AND RESEARCH | 32 |
| | 2.3.1 Learning environment: implications for children | 34 |
| | 2.3.2 Two metaphors for teacher-child interactions | 38 |
| 2.4 | AN EXEMPLARY PROGRAMME | 48 |
| 2.5 | CHAPTER SUMMARY AND RATIONALE FOR THIS STUDY | 50 |
| CHAPTER | 3: TEACHER CHANGE | 52 |
| 3.1 | INTRODUCTION | 52 |
| | 3.1.1 Whole team professional development | 54 |
| | 3.1.2 Motivation for teacher involvement | 55 |
| | 3.1.3 Range of approaches to professional development | 57 |
| 3.2 | COLLABORATIVE PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT | 59 |
| | 3.2.1 Collaboration/collaborative research | 60 |
| | 3.2.2 Reflective activities | 61 |
| 3.3 | ACTION RESEARCH | 62 |
| | 3.3.1 Limitations of the action research methodology | 64 |

| | 3.3.2 Insider/outsider roles in research | 65 |
|--------|--|-----|
| 3.4 | SOCIOCULTURAL LEARNING IN RESEARCH | 66 |
| | 3.4.1 The application of the zone of proximal development to research | 67 |
| | 3.4.2 Links between co-constructed learning and action research | 69 |
| | 3.4.3 Action research equated to co-constructed learning | 71 |
| | 3.4.4 Data generation in sociocultural research | 72 |
| | 3.4.5 Questions relevant to the sociocultural paradigm | 73 |
| 3.5 | THE CASE STUDY METHOD | 74 |
| | 3.5.1 The case study and qualitative research | 75 |
| | 3.5.2 Levels of generalisations in the case study | 75 |
| | 3.5.3 The role of the case study researcher | 76 |
| | 3.5.4 Examples of case studies with children | 77 |
| 3.6 | ETHICS OF QUALITATIVE RESEARCH | 78 |
| | 3.6.1 Ethical checkpoints in three planes of analysis | 79 |
| | 3.6.2 Issues of Ethics specific to this study | 80 |
| 3.7 | VALIDATION OF QUALITATIVE RESEARCH | 82 |
| | 3.7.1 Dimensions of validity and reliability | 83 |
| | 3.7.2 Triangulation | 84 |
| 3.8 | SUMMARY | 85 |
| СНАРТЕ | R 4: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION | 87 |
| 4.1 | INTRODUCTION | 87 |
| 4.2 | PROJECT OUTLINE | 87 |
| 4.3 | THE PILOT STUDY | 88 |
| | 4.3.1 Pilot phases | 89 |
| | 4.3.2 Discussion of pilot findings | 92 |
| 4.4 | SELECTION PROCEDURES | 93 |
| | 4.4.1 Selection of case study centres | 93 |
| | 4.4.2 Selection of teachers and children within the case study centres | 94 |
| 4.5 | DATA ANALYSIS IN THE SOCIOCULTURAL PARADIGM | 95 |
| | 4.5.1 Questions relevant to sociocultural research | 95 |
| | 4.5.2 The use of propositions in handling qualitative data | 97 |
| | 4.5.3 Three initial propositions | 98 |
| | 4.5.4 The methods of data generation for these initial propositions | 99 |
| | 4.5.5 Computer-assisted qualitative data analysis | 100 |
| | 4.5.6 Revised propositions | 102 |
| 4.6 | THE PROGRAMME OF PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT | 103 |
| | 4.6.1 Exploratory professional development session | 103 |
| | 4.6.2 Schedule of video recording | 104 |
| | 4.6.3 Schedule of discussions with participants | 105 |
| 4.7 | THE ROLE OF THE RESEARCHER-AS-FACILITATOR | 107 |

| | 4.8 | CHAPTER SUMMARY | 109 |
|-------------------------------------|-------|--|-----|
| CHAPTER 5: MANUKA CASE STUDY REPORT | | | |
| | 5.1 | INTRODUCTION | 110 |
| | 5.2 | INTRODUCTION TO MANUKA | 111 |
| | 5.3 | PROJECTS WITH TWO CHILDREN | 112 |
| | | 5.3.1 Kaleb | 112 |
| | | 5.3.2 Annabelle | 118 |
| | 5.4 | ITEMS FROM MANUKA IN PROPOSITION ONE | 125 |
| | 5.5 | ITEMS FROM MANUKA IN PROPOSITION TWO | 129 |
| | 5.6 | ITEMS FROM MANUKA IN PROPOSITION THREE | 137 |
| | 5.7 | MANUKA SUMMARY | 150 |
| CHA | APTER | 6: THREE CENTRES CONTRIBUTING TO UNDERSTANDINGS | 152 |
| | 6.1 | INTRODUCTION | 152 |
| | 6.2 | CASE STUDY TWO: KAURI KINDERGARTEN | 153 |
| | | 6.2.1 Introducing Kauri Kindergarten | 154 |
| | | 6.2.2 Outcomes of professional development | 155 |
| | | 6.2.3 Outcomes for children of teachers' professional development | 168 |
| | | 6.2.4 Kauri Summary | 170 |
| | 6.3 | CASE STUDY THREE: TERRACE CENTRE | 171 |
| | | 6.3.1 Introducing Terrace Centre | 171 |
| | | 6.3.2 Dialogue analysis as a tool in professional development | 172 |
| | | 6.3.3 A model of intersubjectivity that makes sense for practitioners | 475 |
| | | 6.3.4 Outcomes for children of teachers' professional development | 180 |
| | | 6.3.5 Summary Terrace Centre | 181 |
| | 6.4 | CASE STUDY FOUR: HAVEN CHILDCARE CENTRE | 182 |
| | | 6.4.1 Introducing Haven Centre | 182 |
| | | 6.4.2 Results from Haven as propositions | 183 |
| | | Proposition One | 184 |
| | | Proposition Two | 194 |
| | | Proposition Three | 202 |
| | | Outcomes for Haven children | 202 |
| | | Outcomes for Haven teachers | 207 |
| | 6.5 | SUMMARY HAVEN CENTRE | 210 |
| | 6.6 | CHAPTER SUMMARY | 211 |
| CHA | APTER | 7: PLANES, RECIPROCALLY SPIRALLING AND AS A WHĀRIKI | 213 |
| | 7.1 | INTRODUCTION | 213 |
| | 7.2 | PROPOSITION ONE | 217 |
| | | 7.2.1 Interactions contributing to both scaffolding and to co-construction | 217 |
| | | 7.2.2 Scaffolding interactions | 218 |
| | | 7.2.3 Co-constructive Interactions | 218 |

| | 7.3 | PROPOSITION TWO | 219 |
|------|-----------------------|--|-----|
| | | 7.3.1 Articulated and collaborative planning | 219 |
| | | 7.3.2 Professional development opportunities | 220 |
| | | 7.3.3 Researching topics of children's interest | 220 |
| | 7.4 | OUTCOMES FOR CHILDREN ACROSS THE FOUR CASE STUDY CENTRES | 220 |
| | | 7.4.1 Transformation of participation for children | 220 |
| | 7.5 | PROPOSITION THREE | 224 |
| | | 7.5.1 Outcomes for teachers in the personal plane | 229 |
| | | 7.5.2 Outcomes for teachers in the interpersonal plane | 230 |
| | | 7.5.3 Outcomes for teachers in the community/institutional plane | 230 |
| | | 7.5.4 Scaffolding children's learning and co-constructing projects | 234 |
| | 7.6 | SUMMARY | 238 |
| СНА | PTER | 8: FROM SCAFFOLDING FOR TO CO-CONSTRUCTION WITH | 240 |
| | 8.1 | INTRODUCTION | 240 |
| | 8.2 | CLARIFICATION OF SCAFFOLDING AND CO-CONSTRUCTION | 240 |
| | | 8.2.1 From scaffolding learning for children to co-constructing understandings with them | 243 |
| | | 8.2.2 From scaffolding learning for teachers, to co-constructing understanding with them | 244 |
| | 8.3 | OUTCOMES OF A CO-CONSTRUCTIVE LEARNING ENVIRONMENT | 250 |
| | 8.4 | CONTRIBUTION TO THE IMPLEMENTATION OF SOCIOCULTURAL THEORY | 254 |
| | | 8.4.1 Contributions to the support of teachers | 254 |
| | | 8.4.2 Contributions to the field of professional development | 255 |
| | | 8.4.3 Contributions to research methodology | 256 |
| | 8.5 | REFLECTION ON THE METHODOLOGY OF RESEARCH, IN THREE PLANES | 257 |
| | | 8.5.1 Personal | 257 |
| | | 8.5.2 Interpersonal | 258 |
| | | 8.5.3 Community/institutional | 259 |
| | 8.6 | LIMITATIONS OF RESEARCH | 262 |
| | 8.7 | RECOMMENDATIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH | 263 |
| | | 8.7.1 Recommendations in practices of teaching in early childhood | 264 |
| | | 8.7.2 Suggestions for further research in the practices of early childhood | 266 |
| | | 8.7.3 Implications and recommendations for professional development | 267 |
| EPIL | OGUE | | 270 |
| REF | EREN | CES | 271 |
| LIST | LIST OF APPENDICES 28 | | |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 3.1 | Links Between Processes of Action Research and Co-Constructing Understandings | 72 |
|-----------|---|-------|
| Table 4.1 | Project Outline | 88 |
| Table 4.2 | Teacher's Scaffolding Behaviours | 90 |
| Table 4.3 | Brief Case Study Centre Details | 94 |
| Table 5.1 | Aspects of Centre Planning and Curriculum Implementation | 111 |
| Table 7.1 | Aspects of Centre Planning and Curriculum Implementation, modifie | d 216 |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| Appendix A: Copies Information Sheet, Letters To Participants, Permission | | |
|---|---|-------------|
| For | rms | 29 0 |
| | Appendix A1 Information Sheet For Case Study Children's Parents | 291 |
| | Appendix A2 Information Sheet, For Staff And Management Committee | 294 |
| | Appendix A3 Research Consent Form Parent/Guardian Of Child Case Study | 297 |
| | Appendix A4 Research Consent Form Staff Involvement | 298 |
| 4 | Appendix A5 Research Consent Form Centre Involvement | 299 |
| Ap | pendix B: Details Of Pilot Study | 300 |
| Ap | pendix C: Nudist Programme Information And Data Storage | 308 |
| 1 | Appendix C1 About Nudist | 309 |
| 4 | Appendix C2 Document List of All Transcriptions Coded For Analysis | 311 |
| 1 | Appendix C3 Example of Coding Structure Under Nodes And Sub Nodes | 312 |
| 1 | Appendix C4 Example of Text Units Imported Into Nudist Programme | 313 |
| 1 | Appendix C5 Examples of Tree Displays At Various Nodes And Sub-Nodes | 314 |
| 1 | Appendix C6: Tree Display of Developing Evidence For Propositions | 316 |
| 1 | Appendix C7 Example of Definitions At Nodes | 318 |
| Apj | pendix D: Records Of Professional Development | 319 |
| A | Appendix D1 Records of A Professional Development Session | 320 |
| A | Appendix D2 Professional Development In Case Study Centres. | 322 |
| A | Appendix D3 Sample Transcription of Teachers' Discussion With Facilitator | 326 |
| A | Appendix D4 Items Identified In Each Centre By Propositions | 339 |
| A | Appendix D5 Project Phases Adapted To The New Zealand Context | 347 |
| A | Appendix D6 Examples of Adapted Research Structures | 348 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 6.1 | A Model of Intersubjectivity | 177 |
|------------|---|-----|
| Figure 7.1 | Transformation of Participation for Children as a Whāriki of Planes | 223 |
| Figure 7.2 | Outcomes for Teachers as a Spiral Reciprocity of Planes | 232 |
| Figure 8.1 | Teaching Continuum | 242 |
| Figure 8.2 | Modified Teaching Continuum | 242 |

GLOSSARY

45:45 Expresses the number of children enrolled in public kindergarten

morning and afternoon sessions, in this case 45 in each.

Core Regular programme provided for children; includes routines and a

curriculum range of activities.

Emergent The programme of activities that develops in response to children's

curriculum own interests and strengths.

ERO The Education Review Office. A government organisation established

under the 1989 education reforms with responsibility for reporting to

the Ministry of Education on their reviews of schools and early

childhood centres.

Encarta Computer online encyclopaedia

Focus child One of the children who are the current focus of the teaching team's

planning. Each teacher was responsible for her whanau group (see

below), from which she nominated one child for each planning cycle.

The teaching team then made decisions about appropriate activities and

projects planned to extend each focus child's interests and strengths, as

ascertained through the team's observations and discussions with the

parents of each child and with the children themselves.

NUDist Non-numerical Unstructured Data Indexing Searching and Theorising.

(Qualitative Solutions & Research, 1997). A computer package

designed to help users handle non-numerical and unstructured data in

qualitative analysis by supporting processes of indexing, searching and

theorising. A NUDist project is the product of the researcher's

knowledge and organisational and analytical skills. NUDist creates a

powerful environment to store and explore data and ideas, to minimise

clerical routine and maximise flexibility and to discover new ideas and

build on them. For further information and examples of the use of this programme in this research project, see Appendices C and D.

Playcentre

The Playcentre movement is a uniquely New Zealand early childhood parent co-operative that teaches and practices empowerment for both adults and children.

Portfolio

A folder or file for each child containing sample records of learning. Might include observations, teacher-child dialogues, records of projects, art work, photographs of activities. Maintained by Whānau teacher.

Private kindergarten A sessional early childhood programme, registered under the childcare regulations with the Ministry of Education.

Process cooking

An area set up to provide children with maximum individual control in their cooking experiences. Equipment consists of storage units for materials and cooking utensils at child height, with choice of recipes and a display shelf for placing cards in order. Children follow instructions with support from adults; they make and cook one item, often in a microwave they programme with the aid of coloured dots; they then wash their dishes and leave everything tidy for the next child.

Project

An in-depth investigation of a topic worth learning more about. The investigation is usually undertaken by a small group of children within a class or group, sometimes by a whole group and occasionally by an individual child. The key feature of a project is that it is a research effort deliberately focused on finding answers to questions posed either by the children, the teacher, or the teachers and the children in collaboration (Katz, 1994).

Project board A display area on which children and teachers collaborate to present the progress and some of the products of a project. This may consist of information about the topic of investigation, artifacts that are products of this investigation and children's representations of their developing understandings about the topic. This is a dynamic display to which teachers and children frequently refer and contribute.

Public kindergarten

An early childhood centre, usually sessional (3 hours), provided under the auspices of the Aotearoa/New Zealand Free Kindergarten Association. In contrast to some private kindergartens, public kindergartens are not run for profit. In New Zealand, early childhood centres generally cater for children in the birth to 5 years range; public kindergartens cater for the 3-5 year olds. Children usually start public schooling after their 5th birthday.

Whānau

Literally, "family grouping". Often an early childhood centre groups children and teachers in smaller units, fostering closer relationships between teachers and children and between teachers and families. These units are sometimes called whānau, or roopu.

Whānau planning

The teacher of a whānau group maintained the portfolio records of the children in her group and was the main contact person with "her" children's families. Although planning for each child is ideally a collaborative exercise in the teaching team, the whānau teacher had the major responsibility for co-ordinating plans for the children in her whānau group. Each child would be the focus of planning for a period of weeks, during which the child would be especially encouraged to engage in an ongoing project from their own particular interests, or a new group project might emerge.

Whāriki

Māori word meaning a mat of woven threads. Because the New Zealand national early childhood curriculum document is called *Te Whāriki*, the word and the metaphor of a whāriki have become popular in early childhood terminology.