Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

COMMUNICATING HEALTH INFORMATION TO PACIFIC WOMEN

A Thesis Presented in Partial Fulfilment to the Requirements for the Degree of Masters of Business Studies in Communications Management at Massey University.

Anna Margaret Jameson

ABSTRACT

The ability to acquire and use information is an essential component in the process of obtaining knowledge. It is an interesting phenomenon that people do not always access information that is beneficial for them (Chatman, 1991). As New Zealand becomes increasingly multicultural the need to communicate health messages in a culturally appropriate and effective way is expanding in importance.

This study examined the nature of current methods used by Pacific women to access cervical screening information. It also explored the barriers to obtaining that information and how the participants believed communication about cervical screening should take place to reach Pacific women in a culturally appropriate way. Results are analysed in the light of the knowledge gap hypothesis. This hypothesis places emphasis on socioeconomic factors as being predictors of knowledge.

Twenty Pacific women living in Palmerston North were selected for the study using the snowball sampling technique. The participants varied in age from 20 to over 50 years and their education ranged from primary to tertiary level. The third key variable was length of time in New Zealand. This ranged from three months to 33 years. Semi-structured indepth interviews of approximately two hours duration were completed. These were recorded and transcribed with the permission of the participants. Transcripts were analysed using the technique of content analysis.

The study found that knowledge gaps were related to the "subject matter". The topic of cervical screening was perceived to be very sensitive because of the taboo nature of discussing topics related to sex and the sexual organs. Sensitivity appeared to be related to age and length of time in New Zealand but not to education. Socioeconomic factors did not appear to be closely linked to levels of understanding by Pacific women about cervical screening. Interest in the topic and the motivation to acquire information were more indicative of knowledge gaps.

Three key barriers to successful communication were identified: cultural background, lack of confidentiality and embarrassment. Of these, cultural background was perceived by the participants to have the most significant influence on their ability to access information. Face-to-face communication was the preferred method for obtaining both health information and cervical screening information. Print, radio or visual media communications were not considered effective due to the sensitivity of the subject and the indirect nature of the media. Written communication was advocated by the participants to supplement face-to-face communication when initially finding out about cervical screening.

The study suggested that holding face-to-face meetings with a health professional present, was the most effective way of reaching Pacific women. The lack of research in the area of communicating with Pacific women about health information places greater emphasis on the importance of the findings of this study. It also highlights the need to develop a subsequent body of research to ensure communication is effective, appropriate and not misdirected.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

"A journey of a thousand miles begins with just one step"

There are many people who have helped me on the journey to completing my thesis. Some have provided guidance and others encouragement and also chocolate and coffee, which has been essential in maintaining my motivation.

This study would not have been possible without the participation of the Pacific women who allowed me to share in their experiences and knowledge about cervical screening. I feel privileged to have been able to talk with these women and would like to sincerely thank them for their participation.

I have appreciated the experience, wisdom and commitment of my supervisors, Associate Professor Frank Sligo and Margie Comrie. A special thank you to you both for your guidance and constant motivation.

I am grateful to Anne McSherry from the Manawatu/Wanganui Cervical Screening Programme for her help and guidance.

Thank you to Jackie for her professional layout skills and her encouragement.

Lastly, I must acknowledge all the love and support from my friends and family. A big thank you to Lance for being my legs in the library and being such a huge help in so many ways. Also to Rachel for sharing the joys and downfalls of the thesis journey with me. Special thanks to Petra who has sustained me with food and consistently encouraged me. I am very privileged to have a loving and supportive family who have provided assistance in many ways and have always had faith in my ability.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Abstract | i |
|---|-----|
| Acknowledgments | iii |
| Table of Contents | iv |
| Table | ix |
| CHAPTER 1 – Introduction | 1 |
| Purpose and Context of the Research | 1 |
| Research Objectives | 3 |
| Structure of the Thesis | 3 |
| CHAPTER 2 - Literature Review | 5 |
| Introduction | 5 |
| 1. The Knowledge Gap Hypothesis | 5 |
| 1.1. Situational Specific Differences | 10 |
| 1.2. Motivational Factors | 11 |
| 1.3. Media Effects | 12 |
| 1.4. Alternative Approaches | 15 |
| 2. Cross-Cultural Communication Research | 20 |
| 3. A Public Relations Perspective | 21 |
| 4. Health Studies | 22 |
| 4.1. Cross-Cultural Health Communication | 23 |
| 4.2. Concepts of Health | 25 |
| 4.3. Cervical Cancer Research | 27 |
| 4.4. Promoting Health Messages | 31 |
| 4.5. The Knowledge Gap and Health Studies | 35 |
| Conclusion | 37 |

| CHAPTER 3 - Research Design, Methodology And Analysis | 38 | | |
|--|----|--|--|
| Introduction | 38 | | |
| Setting For The Study | 38 | | |
| Research Design | 39 | | |
| Research Processes | 40 | | |
| Ethical Considerations | 41 | | |
| Sampling Techniques | 42 | | |
| Procedures for Selecting Participants | 42 | | |
| Data Collection Methods | 43 | | |
| Data Analysis | 44 | | |
| | | | |
| | 46 | | |
| Introduction | 46 | | |
| Participant Profile | 47 | | |
| Age | 49 | | |
| Sampling Techniques 42 Procedures for Selecting Participants 42 Data Collection Methods 43 Data Analysis 44 CHAPTER 4 - Results 46 Introduction 46 Participant Profile 47 Age 49 Length of Time in New Zealand 49 Level of Education 50 Interview Findings 50 1. Understanding of Cervical Screening 50 1.1. Awareness of the Cervical Screening Programme 51 1.2. Understanding of the Purpose of Cervical Screening 51 1.3. Frequency of Smears 52 1.4. Location of Smear Takers 52 1.5. Cost 52 | | | |
| Data Analysis 44 CHAPTER 4 - Results 46 Introduction 46 Participant Profile 47 Age 49 Length of Time in New Zealand 49 Level of Education 50 Interview Findings 50 1. Understanding of Cervical Screening 50 1.1. Awareness of the Cervical Screening Programme 51 1.2. Understanding of the Purpose of Cervical Screening 51 1.3. Frequency of Smears 52 1.4. Location of Smear Takers 53 | | | |
| Interview Findings | 50 | | |
| Understanding of Cervical Screening | 50 | | |
| 1.1. Awareness of the Cervical Screening Programme | 51 | | |
| 1.2. Understanding of the Purpose of Cervical Screening | 51 | | |
| 1.3. Frequency of Smears | 52 | | |
| 1.4. Location of Smear Takers | 53 | | |
| 1.5. Cost | 53 | | |
| 2. Sensitivity of the Subject | 53 | | |
| 2.1. A "Taboo" Topic | 54 | | |
| 2.2. Confidentiality Concerns | 55 | | |
| 2.3. Other Concerns | 56 | | |

| 3. | Health Information Networks | | | | | |
|----|--|---|----|--|--|--|
| | 3.1. | Face-to-Face Communication | 57 | | | |
| | 3.2. | Talking with Friends | 58 | | | |
| | 3.3. | Community Networks | 59 | | | |
| | 3.4. | School Networks | 59 | | | |
| | 3.5. | Media Communication | 60 | | | |
| | 3.6. | Written Communication | 60 | | | |
| 4. | Sour | ces of Information about Cervical Screening | 61 | | | |
| | 4.1. | Visiting Health Professionals | 61 | | | |
| | 4.2. | Talking with Friends | 62 | | | |
| | 4.3. | Cultural Group Meetings | 62 | | | |
| | 4.4. | Media Communication | | | | |
| 5. | Sources of Additional Information about Cervical Screening | | | | | |
| | 5.1. | Formal Communication | 64 | | | |
| | | 5.1.1. Issues Surrounding the use of the Pacific Island Smear Taker | 65 | | | |
| | 5.2. | Informal Communication | 65 | | | |
| | 5.3. | 0800 Information Line | 66 | | | |
| | 5.4. | Written Communication | | | | |
| 6. | Information Sources for Women new to New Zealand 6 | | | | | |
| | 6.1. | Talking with Friends | 68 | | | |
| | 6.2. | Talking with Relatives | 68 | | | |
| | 6.3. | Church Networks | 68 | | | |
| | 6.4. | Other Information Sources | 69 | | | |
| 7. | The I | Effectiveness of Written Communication | 69 | | | |
| | 7.1. | Effectiveness of the Pamphlets | 70 | | | |
| | 7.2. | Supermarket Docket Advertising | 72 | | | |

| | 8. | Perceived Barriers to obtaining Cervical Screening Information and to having a Smear | | | |
|-----|-----|--|---|----|--|
| | | 8.1. | Cultural Factors | 73 | |
| | | 8.2. | Religion | 75 | |
| | | 8.3. | Confidentiality Concerns | 76 | |
| | | 8.4. | Embarrassment Concerns | 77 | |
| | | 8.5. | Fear of the Unknown | 77 | |
| | | 8.6. | Education | 77 | |
| | | 8.7. | Other Barriers | 78 | |
| | 9. | | ested ways of Reaching Pacific Women about | 78 | |
| | | 9.1. | Face-to-Face Communication | 79 | |
| | | 9.2. | The Communicator | 80 | |
| | | 9.3. | Using Community and Church Networks | 81 | |
| | | 9.4. | Using the Media | 83 | |
| СНА | PTE | ER 5 - | Discussion of the Results | 85 | |
| | Int | roduc | tion | 85 | |
| | 1. | . Knowledge Gap Implications | | | |
| | 2. | Barriers to obtaining Information and to having a Cervical Smear | | 88 | |
| | | 2.1. | Cultural Background | 89 | |
| | | 2.2. | Confidentiality Concerns | 90 | |
| | | 2.3. | Embarrassment Concerns | 91 | |
| | 3. | Com | munication Channels | 91 | |
| | | 3.1. | General Health Information | 92 | |
| | | 3.2. | Sources of Information about Cervical Screening | 93 | |
| | | 3.3. | Sources of Additional Information about Cervical Screening | 94 | |
| | | 3.4. | Information Sources for Women new to New Zealand | 95 | |
| | | 3.5. | Written Communication Sources | 95 | |

| | 4. | Cross- | Cultural Implications | 96 |
|------|---|---------|---|-----|
| | 5. Suggested approaches to reaching Pacific Women about Cervical Screening | | | 00 |
| | | | | 98 |
| | | 5.1. | ApproachApproach | 99 |
| CHA | PTE | R6 - | Conclusions | 101 |
| | Main Findings | | | 101 |
| | 1. | The F | Knowledge Gap Hypothesis | 101 |
| | | 1.1. | Media Implications | 102 |
| | | 1.2. | Alternative Knowledge Gap Approaches | 102 |
| | 2. | Barrie | ers to obtaining Information and to having a Cervical Smear | 102 |
| | | 2.1. | Cultural Concerns | 102 |
| | | 2.2. | Confidentiality Concerns | 103 |
| | | 2.3. | Embarrassment Concerns | 103 |
| | 3. | Effect | tive Promotion of Cervical Screening | 103 |
| | 4. | Sourc | ces of Information about Cervical Screening | 104 |
| | Suggested Approaches to reaching Pacific Women about Cervical Screening Limitations of the Study | | | |
| | | | | 105 |
| | | | | 106 |
| | Di | ection | ns For Further Research | 107 |
| | Pra | actical | Implications of the Study | 108 |
| | Со | nclusi | on | 109 |
| | | | | |
| Refe | ren | ces | | 110 |
| Арре | end | ices | | |
| | 1. | Infor | mation Sheet for Prospective Participants | 117 |
| | 2. | Cons | ent Form to Participate in Research Project | 119 |
| | 3. | Requ | est Form for Summary of Research Findings | 120 |
| | 4. | Interv | view Question Guide | 121 |
| | 5. | Samp | oles of Cervical Screening Pamphlets | 126 |

TABLE

| Table 1: | Characteristics of the Partic | ipants | 48 |
|----------|-------------------------------|--------|----|
|----------|-------------------------------|--------|----|