

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

FACTORS INFLUENCING THE TRANSFORMATION AND
FATE OF SULPHUR AND NITROGEN IN GRAZED
HILL COUNTRY PASTURES

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Soil Science
at Massey University

KARUPPAN SAKADEVAN

1991

Massey University Library
Thesis Copyright Form

Title of thesis: *FACTORS INFLUENCING THE TRANSFORMATION AND
FATE OF SULPHUR AND NITROGEN IN GRAZED HILL COUNTRY
PASTURES.*

- (1) (a) I give permission for my thesis to be made available to readers in Massey University Library under conditions determined by the Librarian.
- (b) ~~I do not wish my thesis to be made available to readers without my written consent for ... months.~~
- (2) (a) ~~I agree that my thesis, or a copy, may be sent to another institution under conditions determined by the Librarian.~~
- (b) I do not wish my thesis, or a copy, to be sent to another institution without my written consent for 4 months.
- (3) (a) I agree that my thesis may be copied for Library use.
- (b) I do not wish my thesis to be copied for Library use for 4 months.

Signed

[Signature]

Date

14-6-1991

The copyright of this thesis belongs to the author. Readers must sign their name in the space below to show that they recognise this. They are asked to add their permanent address.

NAME AND ADDRESS

DATE

K. SAKADEVAN

14-6-1991

DEPT. OF SOIL SCIENCE

MASSEY UNIVERSITY

15

PALMERSTON NORTH

ABSTRACT

The increasing cost of agricultural grade sulphur and the high leaching losses of sulphate sulphur(S) from superphosphate fertilized pastures in New Zealand create a need to develop more efficient S fertilization techniques. The objective of the present study was to identify the main origins of the sulphate being leached from superphosphate fertilized hill country pastures with soils (Typic Dystrachrepts) developed from underlying sedimentary parent materials.

Origins of leached sulphate were categorized as S leached directly from fertilizer, from zones enriched in animal excreta and from the mineralization of soil organic matter. Mineralization studies, both in laboratory and in field were conducted to establish the extent of and the relationship between sulphur and nitrogen mineralization and the fate of mineralized nutrients in pasture soils that contrasted in their superphosphate fertilizer history.

In the preliminary laboratory study in which an open incubation technique was used to measure potential net mineralization, top soils (0-7.5cm) taken from sites that had received higher rates of superphosphate in the past, mineralized more soil organic sulphur and nitrogen than soils taken from sites that had received smaller amounts of superphosphate in the past. In addition top soils collected from low slope (0-12°) sites where a greater proportion of animal excreta is returned, mineralized more S and N than the soils from medium slope (13-25°) sites. The ratio of N to S mineralized was narrower (2.0 to 3.6) than the N to S ratio of the whole soil (7.1 to 8.9) suggesting that in these soils relatively more S remains in a mineral form in the soil and is more susceptible to leaching than N which is conserved in the soil.

Cylindrical, mini-lysimeters with ion exchange resin traps for collecting solutes from drainage water were developed to measure the net mineralization of soil organic S and N under field conditions. Leaching losses of S and N, pasture uptake of S and N and changes in mineral S and N pools in the soil at the same site were measured simultaneously and the rate of mineralization calculated. A laboratory evaluation of the lysimeter showed that the resin trap was capable of removing all the sulphate from

drainage water at several different flow rates. The main advantage of these lysimeters over the conventional methods of measuring the leaching losses of anions and cations in the field is that regular drainage collection was not necessary. By introducing mixtures of both anion and cation exchange resins in the trap in the lysimeter it was possible to monitor the amount of anions and cations in field drainage over long periods of time before it was necessary to change the resin mixtures.

In the initial field lysimeter study the net mineralization and pasture uptake of N (119 to 251 kg N ha⁻¹) was 10 times more than that of S (12 to 27.5 kg S ha⁻¹), yet approximately 10 times more sulphate S (2.0 to 17.3 kg S ha⁻¹) than mineral N (0.19 to 1.3 kg N ha⁻¹) was lost by leaching. Previous fertilizer history had a marked effect on the leaching losses of sulphate with seven times more S lost (2.1 vs 15.3 kg S ha⁻¹) from sites which received greater rates of superphosphate and had higher stocking rates. During the initial seven month period S leaching losses on the low and high fertility sites were equivalent to 15% and 33% of the annual fertilizer application. More sulphate was leached from areas identified as animal camping areas. The lack of any change in sulphate below the 150mm soil depth during a period of active plant growth and no leaching suggested that any sulphate that moved below 150mm of the soil could be considered to be effectively lost from the system. Increased leaching losses of calcium and magnesium were associated with increased sulphate losses. The amount of calcium lost by leaching (4.75 to 12.5 kg Ca ha⁻¹) was far greater than potassium (0.8 to 3.6 kg K ha⁻¹), although twice the amount of potassium (240 kg K ha⁻¹ vs 120 kg Ca ha⁻¹) was cycled through the plant-animal system. The amount of magnesium lost by leaching was greater than the amount of potassium lost by leaching.

In a second lysimeter study the direct effects of freshly applied fertilizer on the mineralization of S and N from soil organic matter, their plant availability and losses by leaching were studied under field conditions using ³⁵S labelled superphosphate. Fertilizer application significantly increased the mineralization of both organic S and N. The recovery and measurement of ³⁵S activity over a nine month period showed that major proportions of pasture S (85 and 86% of the pasture S for low and high fertility farmlets, respectively) and leached S (75 and 87% of the leached S for low

the mineralization of soil organic matter and not recently applied fertilizer. The amounts of both S and N mineralized from soil organic matter depends upon the past fertilizer history of the site and the present fertilizer application rate (22 and 40 kg S ha⁻¹ and 125 and 204 kg N ha⁻¹ for low and high fertility farmlets, respectively). Further, when the net mineralization of S was greater a greater proportion (59%) of mineralized S was lost by leaching than removed by pasture (39%). Irrespective of the amount N mineralized virtually all was removed by pasture. The results suggested that low N availability was a major factor limiting carbon fixation and the formation of organic S in these pasture soils.

In a third lysimeter study, field simulated sheep dung and urine events boosted pasture growth and S and N uptake by approximately (50%), whereas the leaching losses of S and N were not influenced by the their application.

A preliminary computer simulation model describing the mineralization of soil organic S, pasture S uptake and leaching losses in grazed pasture was developed. The preliminary model gave reasonable predictions of the changes in soil sulphate concentrations in the soil up to a depth of 25cm, pasture uptake of S and leaching losses of S at four pasture sites varying in their fertilizer history. Further refinement of the model is necessary before it can provide the basis for predicting fertilizer S requirement for hill country pastures.

The experimental results and model output confirm balance study predictions that large leaching losses of S occur and these are derived mainly from the mineralization of soil organic matter which accumulates in well fertilized soils. The extent of S losses appear to be a function of the general levels of soil productivity and the data suggested that only a small, probably less than 20% reduction in this loss could be achieved by changing to slow release S fertilizers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere thanks to the following people for their contribution towards the completion of this thesis.

Dr M.J.Hedley for his supervision, encouragement, patience, guidance and friendship during my study.

Dr A.D.Mackay for his supervision, encouragement, patience, guidance and friendship during my study.

Other members of the Soil Science Department, particularly Dr D.R.Scotter for valuable discussions.

The DSIR Grasslands for providing the experimental site.

Members of the DSIR Grasslands' hill country research group, particularly Roanne Poi, Venessa Pokiya, Des Costall, Phil Budding, Nick Dimmock and Brian Devantier for their help in conducting the field experiment.

Mr Donald Tambunan, Ms Sylvia Weil and Sathien Phimsarn for their help with proof reading part of the thesis.

Messrs G.N.Magesan, S.Mahimairaja and S.Baskaran for their help and friendship during my study.

The University Grants Committee for providing Post Graduate Fellowship.

Lastly, but most important, to my family.

ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF TABLES	xix
LIST OF APPENDICES	xxiii

CHAPTER-1

1	INTRODUCTION	1
---	--------------------	---

CHAPTER-2

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

2.1	INTRODUCTION TO SULPHUR IN THE BIOSPHERE	4
2.2	AMOUNT AND FORMS OF SULPHUR IN THE SOIL	4
2.3	NATURE OF SOIL SULPHUR	6
2.3.1	Soil Organic Sulphur	7
2.3.1.1	<i>Chemical Nature of Organic Sulphur</i>	7
2.3.1.2	<i>Hydriodic Acid Reducible Sulphur</i>	9
2.3.1.3	<i>Carbon Bonded Sulphur</i>	10
2.3.2	Soil Inorganic Sulphur	11
2.3.2.1	<i>Soil Inorganic Sulphate</i>	11
2.3.2.2	<i>Water Soluble Sulphates</i>	12
2.3.2.3	<i>Adsorbed Sulphate</i>	12
2.3.2.4	<i>Insoluble Sulphate</i>	13
2.3.3	Plant Available Sulphur in the Soil	14
2.4	TRANSFORMATION OF SULPHUR IN SOILS	17
2.4.1	Microbial Biomass Sulphur	19
2.4.1.1	<i>Factors Affecting Microbial Sulphur</i>	19
2.4.2	Mineralization by Microorganisms	21
2.4.2.1	<i>Mechanism of S Mineralization</i>	21

2.4.2.2	<i>Factors Affecting Mineralization</i>	23
2.4.2.2.1	<i>Temperature</i>	23
2.4.2.2.2	<i>Moisture</i>	23
2.4.2.2.3	<i>pH</i>	24
2.4.2.2.4	<i>Availability of Substrate and other Nutrients</i>	24
2.4.2.3	<i>Relationship Between Sulphur and Nitrogen Mineralization</i>	25
2.4.3	<i>Immobilization</i>	26
2.4.3.1	<i>Biochemistry of Immobilization</i>	29
2.4.4	<i>Leaching of Sulphate</i>	29
2.4.4.1	<i>The Influence of Soil Texture</i>	31
2.4.4.2	<i>Factors Affecting the Accumulation of Soluble S-pool</i>	31
2.5	SULPHUR CYCLING IN GRAZED PASTURE SYSTEM	34
2.5.1	<i>Fertilizer Sulphur Inputs</i>	34
2.5.2	<i>Sulphur Returned in Plant Litter</i>	35
2.5.3	<i>Sulphur Return in Animal Excreta</i>	36
2.5.3.1	<i>Dung and Urine Sulphur Content</i>	37
2.5.3.2	<i>Dung and Urine Distribution by Grazing Stock</i>	37
2.5.3.3	<i>Decomposition of Dung Sulphur</i>	38
2.5.3.4	<i>Urine in the Sulphur Cycle</i>	39
2.5.4	<i>Losses Through Animal</i>	39
2.5.4.1	<i>Losses in Animal Products</i>	39
2.5.4.2	<i>Losses by Transfer</i>	40
2.5.4.3	<i>Losses of Sulphur from Dung and Urine Patches</i>	40
2.6	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	40

CHAPTER-3

LABORATORY INCUBATIONS TO DETERMINE THE POTENTIAL OF SOILS TO MINERALIZE SULPHUR AND NITROGEN

3.1	INTRODUCTION	43
3.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	44
3.2.1	Description of Soils	44
3.2.2	Incubation Techniques	46
3.2.3	Leaching Procedures	46
3.2.4	Analytical Measurements	49
3.2.5	Presentation of Results	50
3.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	50
3.3.1	Characteristics of the Soils Prior to Incubation	50
3.3.2	Effect of Incubation System on Organic Matter Mineralization	53
3.3.3	Mineralization Measured Using the Open Incubation System	55
3.3.4	Fertilizer History	57
3.3.5	Topography	59
3.3.6	Relationships between C, N and S mineralized	61
3.4	GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	67

CHAPTER-4

DEVELOPMENT OF AN ION EXCHANGE RESIN TRAP LYSIMETER SYSTEM FOR MONITORING SULPHATE SULPHUR LOSSES BY LEACHING IN GRAZED HILL COUNTRY PASTURES.

4.1	INTRODUCTION	69
4.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	70
4.2.1	Construction of Lysimeters	70
4.2.2	Preparation of Anion Exchange Resin	72
4.2.3	Leaching	74

4.2.4	Herbage and Soil Analysis	75
4.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	75
4.3.1	Sulphate Leaching	75
4.3.2	Drymatter Production and S Removed	76
4.3.3	Net Mineralization of Sulphur	79
4.4	GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	79

CHAPTER-5

FIELD INVESTIGATION OF THE NET MINERALIZATION OF SULPHUR AND NITROGEN, THEIR RELATIVE PLANT UPTAKE AND LEACHING LOSSES IN GRAZED HILL COUNTRY PASTURES

5.1	INTRODUCTION	81
5.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	82
5.2.1	Field Site	82
5.2.2	Lysimeter Installation	82
5.2.3	Measurements	84
	5.2.3.1 <i>Drainage</i>	84
	5.2.3.2 <i>Pasture Production</i>	85
	5.2.3.3 <i>Ion Exchange Resin</i> <i>and Resin Care</i>	85
	5.2.3.4 <i>Soil Sampling</i>	87
5.2.4	Analytical Measurements	87
5.2.5	Statistical Analysis	88
5.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	88
5.3.1	Rainfall and Drainage	88
5.3.2	Soil Sulphate	90
5.3.3	Mineral Nitrogen	93
5.3.4	Leaching Losses of S and N	96
5.3.5	Leaching Losses of Cations	99
5.3.6	Pasture Production	102
5.3.7	Pasture S and N Concentration	102

5.3.8	Sulphur and N Removed in Pasture	105
5.3.9	Net Mineralization of S and N	108
5.3.9.1	<i>Quantitative Estimation of Excreta Return</i>	108
5.3.9.2	<i>Net mineralization of S and N</i>	111
5.4	GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	120

CHAPTER-6

FATE OF FERTILIZER SULPHUR APPLIED TO GRAZED HILL COUNTRY PASTURES

6.1	INTRODUCTION	122
6.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	123
6.2.1	Preparation of Radioactively Labelled ³⁵ S Superphosphate	124
6.2.2	Application of Fertilizer	125
6.2.3	Rainfall, Drainage and Pasture Measurements	125
6.2.4	Analytical Measurements	127
6.2.5	Preparation of Scintillation Cocktail	127
6.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	128
6.3.1	Rainfall and Drainage	128
6.3.2	Pasture Production	128
6.3.3	Herbage Sulphur and Nitrogen Concentrations	134
6.3.4	Sulphur and N Removed by Pasture	139
6.3.4.1	<i>Contribution of Soil and Fertilizer S to S Removed by Pasture</i>	139
6.3.5	Sulphur Lost by Leaching	143
6.3.5.1	<i>Contribution of Soil and Fertilizer S to S Leaching Losses</i>	145
6.3.6	Soil Sulphate and Mineral Nitrogen	151
6.3.7	Net Mineralization of S and N	154
6.4	GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	157

CHAPTER-7

THE INFLUENCE OF SHEEP EXCRETA ON THE PASTURE UPTAKE AND LEACHING LOSSES OF SULPHUR IN GRAZED HILL COUNTRY PASTURES.

7.1	INTRODUCTION	160
7.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	162
7.2.1	Application of Dung and Urine	162
7.2.2	Measurements	163
	7.2.2.1 <i>Rainfall and Drainage</i>	163
	7.2.2.2 <i>Pasture Production</i>	163
	7.2.2.3 <i>Resin Replacement</i>	165
7.2.3	Analytical Measurements	165
7.2.4	Statistical Analysis	165
7.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	166
7.3.1	Pasture Production	166
7.3.2	Sulphur, N and K concentrations in pasture	168
7.3.3	Sulphur, N and K Removed in Pasture	170
7.3.4	Leaching Losses of S, N and K	173
7.3.5	Leaching losses of Calcium and Magnesium	175
7.4	GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	177

CHAPTER-8

MODELLING THE FATE OF SULPHUR IN THE SOIL-PLANT SYSTEM IN GRAZED PASTURE

8.1	INTRODUCTION	179
8.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	180
8.2.1	Determination of the relationship between extractable and solution sulphate	182

8.3	MODEL DEVELOPMENT	182
8.3.1	Water balance	182
8.3.1.1	<i>Calculating drainage volumes</i>	182
8.3.1.2	<i>Estimating actual daily evapotranspiration</i>	183
8.3.2	Testing the concept of single sulphate pool for plant and leached sulphate	186
8.3.3	Modelling the fluxes of S between various pools	195
8.3.3.1	<i>Predicting the soil solution sulphate concentration</i>	196
8.3.3.2	<i>Accounting for Leaching of Sulphate</i>	202
8.3.3.2.1	<i>A layered drainage model with mobile and immobile water phases.</i>	202
8.3.3.2.2	<i>Estimating the mobile volume (α)</i>	205
8.3.3.3	<i>Plant Uptake of Sulphur</i>	205
8.3.3.4	<i>Accounting for mineralization of soil organic Sulphur</i>	207
8.3.3.5	<i>Executing the Model</i>	207
8.4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	208
8.4.1	Comparison of model output with field measurements	208
8.4.2	Sensitivity analysis of K_d and α values	214
8.5	CONCLUSION	221

CHAPTER-9

SUMMARY

9.1	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	223
9.2	LABORATORY MINERALIZATION STUDIES	223
9.3	DEVELOPMENT OF A TECHNIQUE TO MEASURE MINERALIZATION RATES IN FIELD SOILS	224
9.4	MEASURING MINERALIZATION RATES IN FIELD SOILS	225
9.5	THE FATE OF FRESHLY APPLIED SUPERPHOSPHATE SULPHUR	227

9.6	THE FATE AND INFLUENCE OF DUNG AND URINE	227
9.7	MODELLING THE FATE OF SULPHUR IN GRAZED PASTURES	228
9.10	APPLICATION OF CONCLUSIONS TO FUTURE RESEARCH . . .	229
	REFERENCES	230

List of figures

Fig.2.1	The S Cycle	18
Fig.3.1	Incubation system used in the experiment.	47
Fig.3.2	Effect of incubation system on the net mineralization of S, N and C, change in the amounts of HI-reducible S and change in pH during 140 days of incubation in soils from all four farmlets (see Table 3.1 for full description of symbols).	54
Fig.3.3	Effect of fertilizer application on the net mineralization of S, N and C and the decrease in HI-reducible S in soils from all four farmlets during 140 days of incubation in open system (see Table 3.2 for full description of symbols).	58
Fig.3.4	Effect of land slope on the net mineralization of S, N and C and the decrease in HI-reducible S in soils from all four farmlets during 140 days of incubation in open system (see Table 3.2 for full description of symbols)	60
Fig.3.5a	Relationship between nitrogen mineralized and the total soil nitrogen during 140 days of incubation in open system for soils from all four farmlets.	62
Fig.3.5b	Relationship between sulphur mineralized and the total soil sulphur during 140 days incubation in open system for soils from all four farmlets	63
Fig.3.5c	Relationship between nitrogen mineralized and the soil N:C ratio during 140 days of incubation in open systems all four farmlets	64
Fig.3.5d	Relationship between sulphur mineralized and the C:S ratio during 140 days of incubation in open systems for soils from all four farmlets	65
Fig.4.1	The mini lysimeter with ion exchange resin trap used in the experiment.	71

Fig.5.1	Exclusion cage and lysimeter installation in the field (A, Exchange resin above ground access tube; B, Soil suction cup sampler).	86
Fig.5.2	Rainfall and predicted net drainage for the period from Jun. 1989 to Jan. 1990 and the long term (1979-1988) average rainfall for the same period.	89
Fig.5.3	Soil sulphate present for the depths 0-75 mm (—), 75-150 mm (—+—) and 150-250 mm (—*—) at all sites from Jun. 1989 to Jan. 1990. Soil samplings were done on 31/5/89, 4/7, 24/8, 5/9, 6/10, 30/11 and 8/1/1990. The L.S.D values are given in Appendix-5.3.	91
Fig.5.4	Soil mineral nitrogen present for the depths 0-75 mm (—), 75-150 mm (—+—) and 150-250 mm (—*—) at all sites from Jun. 1989 to Jan. 1990. Soil samplings were done on 31/5/89, 4/7, 24/8, 5/9, 6/10, 30/11 and 8/1/1990. The L.S.D values are given in Appendix-5.4	94
Fig.5.5	Cumulative amounts of sulphur removed by pasture from Jun. 1989 to Jan. 1990 at all four sites. Harvests were made on 5/9/89, 6/10, 6/11, 30/11 and 8/1/90 (Vertical bars=LSD, $p<0.01$)	106
Fig.5.6	Cumulative amounts of nitrogen removed by pasture from Jun. 1989 to Jan. 1990 at all four sites. Harvests were made on 5/9/89, 6/10, 6/11, 30/11 and 8/1/90 (Vertical bars=LSD, $p<0.01$)	107
Fig.5.7	Net mineralization of S and N from Jun. 1989 to Jan. 1990 at all four sites (Vertical bars=LSD, $p<0.01$).	113
Fig.5.8	Relationship between nitrogen net mineralized and pasture dry matter production from Jun. 1989 to Jan. 1990 at all four sites. Values for 40 individual lysimeters.	117
Fig.5.9	Relationship between nitrogen net mineralized and pasture dry matter other than legumes produced from Jun. 1989 to Jan. 1990 at all four sites. Values for 40 individual lysimeters.	118

Fig.5.10	Relationship between sulphur net mineralized and pasture dry matter production from Jun. 1989 to Jan. 1990 at all four sites. Values for 40 individual lysimeters..	119
Fig.6.1	Rainfall and predicted drainage from Jan. to Sep. 1990, rainfall for the year 1989 and the long term average rainfall (1979-1989) for the same period.	129
Fig.6.2	Predicted and measured drainage for the experimental period across all four sites. Symbols referred to four different sites.	130
Fig.6.3	Pasture production for fertilized and unfertilized plots at all sites during the period from Jan to Oct. 1990 (Vertical bars= LSD, $p<0.01$).	133
Fig.6.4	Amounts of S removed by pasture in fertilized and unfertilized plots at all four sites during the period from Jan. to Oct. 1990 (Vertical bars=LSD, $p<0.01$).	137
Fig.6.5	Amounts of N removed by pasture from fertilized and unfertilized plots at all four sites during Jan. to Oct. 1990 (Vertical bars=LSD, $p<0.01$).	138
Fig.6.6	Changes in specific activity of ^{35}S in pasture with time at all sites from Jan. to Oct. 1990.	140
Fig.6.7	Amounts of S lost by leaching from fertilized and unfertilized plots at all four sites during the period from Jan. to Oct. 1990 (Vertical bars=LSD, $p<0.01$).	144
Fig.6.8	Changes in specific activity of ^{35}S in leachate with time at all four sites during the period from Jan. to Oct. 1990 (Vertical bars=LSD, $p<0.01$).	146
Fig.6.9	Amounts of N lost by leaching from fertilized and unfertilized plots at all four sites during the period from Jan. to Oct. 1990 (Vertical bars=LSD, $p<0.01$).	149
Fig.6.10	Amounts of fertilizer(F) and soil(S) sulphur removed by pasture and lost by leaching between Jan. to Oct. 1990 in LF-LS, LF-MS, HF-LS and HF-MS sites.	150

Fig.6.11	Soil sulphate present for the depths 0-75 mm (—), 75-150 mm (—+—) and 150-250 mm (—*—) at all sites from Jan. to Oct. 1990. Soil samplings were done on 8/1, 15/2, 26/3, 30/4, 11/6, 14/7, 23/8 and 10/10. The L.S.D values are given in Appendix-6.1.	152
Fig.6.12	Soil mineral nitrogen present for the depths 0-75 mm (—), 75-150 mm (—+—) and 150-250 mm (—*—) at all sites from Jan. to Oct. 1990. Soil samplings were done on 8/1, 15/2, 26/3, 30/4, 11/6, 14/7, 23/8 and 10/10. The L.S.D values are given in Appendix-6.2.	153
Fig.8.1	A simple conceptual dynamic sulphur model for grazed pastures.	181
Fig.8.2	Effect of increasing soil water deficit on the amount of evapotranspiration for 0-75mm soil depth from LF-LS site.	185
Fig.8.3a	Cumulative amounts of ³⁵ S activity removed by pasture with time at all four sites from Jan. to Oct. 1990.	188
Fig.8.3b	Cumulative amounts of S removed by pasture with time at all four sites from Jan. to Oct. 1990.	189
Fig.8.4	Relationship between predicted and measured specific activity of S in pasture for the period from Jan. to Oct. 1990 at all four sites.	192
Fig.8.5	Relationship between predicted (from plant S specific activities) and observed specific activity of the leached S at all four sites from Jan. to Oct. 1990.	194
Fig.8.6	Hierarchical order of the processes in the S-cycle	197
Fig.8.7	Relationship between the soil solution sulphate measured in the laboratory (- - -) or in the field (—) and 0.04M calcium phosphate extractable sulphate for the 150-250mm soil layer.	200

Fig.8.8	Relationship between extractable sulphate and solution sulphate measured in the laboratory for the soils from 0-75, 75-150 and 150-250mm depths for LF and HF farmlets.	201
Fig.8.9	Predicted (--+--) and measured (__.__) cumulative amounts of sulphur removed by pasture at LF-LS and LF-MS sites.	209
Fig.8.10	Predicted (--+--) and measured (__.__) cumulative amounts of sulphur removed by pasture at HF-LS and HF-MS sites.	210
Fig.8.11	Predicted (--+--) and measured (__.__) extractable soil sulphate present in the profile (0-25cm) at LF-LS and LF-MS sites.	212
Fig.8.12	Predicted (--+--) and measured (__.__) extractable soil sulphate present in the profile (0-25cm) at HF-LS and HF-MS sites.	213
Fig.8.13	Effect of changing the value of α (by 10%) on the cumulative amount of sulphate leached at HF-LS site during the period from Jun. 1989 to Oct. 1990 (No leaching occurred from Oct. 1989 to Jan. 1990)	215
Fig.8.14	Effect of changing the values of K_d (by 10%) for each soil layer on the cumulative amount of sulphate leached at HF-LS site during the period from Jun. 1989 to Oct. 1990 (No leaching occurred from Oct. 1989 to Jan. 1990)	216
Fig.8.15	Effect of changing the value of α (by 10%) on the cumulative amount of sulphur removed by pasture at HF-LS site during the period from Jun. 1989 to Jan. 1990.	217
Fig.8.16	Effect of changing the values of K_d (by 10%) for each soil layer on the cumulative amount sulphur removed by pasture at HF-LS site during the period from Jun. 1989 to Jan. 1990.	218

LIST OF TABLES

xix

Table 2.1	Empirical methods for extracting sulphur containing organic compounds from soils and their likely origin (Freney,1961).	8
Table 2.2	Effect of incubation systems and period of incubation on the ratio of N to S mineralized and the ratio of N to S present in the soil from different regions of the world.	27
Table 2.3	Experimental techniques used to evaluate sulphate leaching losses under glasshouse and field conditions.	30
Table 3.1	Amounts of P (kg ha^{-1}) and S (kg ha^{-1}) applied as single superphosphate to each farmlet from 1975-1988.	45
Table 3.2	Physical and chemical characteristics of surface soils taken from low (LS) and medium (MS) slopes in each of the four farmlets before incubation.	48
Table 3.3	Amounts of HI-reducible and C-bonded S before and after the incubation in open system for soils taken from four farmlets.	52
Table 3.4	Net mineralization of carbon, nitrogen and sulphur and the change in pH for 140 days of incubation for the soils taken from four farmlets (mg kg^{-1} soil).	56
Table 4.1	Net drainage collected and the amount of sulphate leached for soil cores collected from LF and HF sites (Leaching volume 4.2 ml/min, duration 7 hours/event, total applied 99 mm/event and for five events 495mm.	77

Table 4.2	Initial and final sulphate present in the soil for three depths, S lost by leaching, S removed by plant and the net mineralization of S for the soils from HF and LF sites.	78
Table 5.1	The fertility, land slope and percentage occurrence of each slope class in each of the two farmlets.	83
Table 5.2	Amounts of Nitrate (N) and Ammonium (A) present at three depths in the soil for all the four sites during the experiment. No nitrate was observed in some of the samplings.	95
Table 5.3	Predicted net drainage and the amounts of sulphate and mineral N lost by leaching in winter and spring at all four sites.	97
Table 5.4	Amounts of cations leached for all the four sites between Jun. 1989 and Jan. 1990.	100
Table 5.5	Pasture production from Jun. 1989 to Jan. 1990 at all four sites.	103
Table 5.6	Effect of fertilizer history and land slope on pasture S and N concentrations and N/S ratios.	104
Table 5.7	Amounts of dry matter and S and N consumed and the amounts of readily available S and N returned in excreta in whole farmlets and the calculated amounts of excretal S and N taken up by pasture.	110
Table 5.8	Calculation of the net mineralization of S and N during the periods of early winter and late spring for LF-LS and HF-LF sites (the symbols are described in text).	112
Table 5.9	Amounts of S and N net mineralized* during the experiment (31/5/1989 to 8/1/1990) for all four sites.	115

Table 6.1	Initial sulphate and mineral N present in the soil, fertilizer sulphate and ^{35}S activity applied to each core and the specific activity of fertilizer S for all four sites.	126
Table 6.2	Amounts of pasture produced in fertilized and unfertilized plots at each site from Jan. to Oct. 1990.	132
Table 6.3	Pasture S concentrations for fertilized and unfertilized plots for all four sites from Jan. to Oct. 1990.	135
Table 6.4	Pasture N concentrations for fertilized and unfertilized plots for all four sites from Jan. to Oct. 1990.	136
Table 6.5	Amounts of ^{35}S activity, % fertilizer S removed, amounts of fertilizer and soil S removed by pasture and the percentage contribution of fertilizer and soil S to pasture at all sites from Jan. to Oct. 1990.	141
Table 6.6	Amounts of ^{35}S activity, % of applied fertilizer S leached, amounts of soil and fertilizer S lost by leaching and the % contribution of fertilizer and soil S to leaching losses at all sites from Jan. to Oct. 1990.	147
Table 6.7	Net mineralization of S and N from soil organic matter and the percentage of mineralized S and N removed by pasture and lost by leaching at all four SSP fertilized sites from Jan. to Oct. 1990.	156
Table 7.1	Chemical composition of major plant nutrients in dung and urine and the amounts of nutrients applied to both dung and urine treatments.	164

Table 7.2	Pasture dry matter response to fertilizer plus dung or urine application for a period of 150 days.	167
Table 7.3	Effect of fertilizer and fertilizer plus dung or urine on pasture S, N and K concentrations for all treatments in three harvests.	169
Table 7.4	Effect of fertilizer and fertilizer plus dung or urine on the amounts of S, N and K removed in pasture during 150 days.	171
Table 7.5	Effect of fertilizer and fertilizer plus dung or urine on the amounts of S, N and K lost by leaching during 150 days.	174
Table 7.6	Effect of fertilizer and fertilizer plus dung or urine on the amounts of Ca and Mg lost by leaching during 150 days.	176
Table 8.1a	Regressions for the cumulative uptake of ^{35}S activity (cpm/core) with time for all four sites.	190
Table 8.1b	Regressions for the cumulative uptake of S (mg S/core) with time for all the four sites.	190
Table 8.2	Soil solution sulphate concentrations sampled by suction cup and amounts of $0.04\text{M Ca}(\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4)_2$ extractable sulphate present in the soil from the 15-25cm depth for LF-LS and HF-LS sites for seven sampling events.	199
Table 8.3	Measured and predicted leaching losses of sulphate during the experimental period at all four sites.	211

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix-5.1	Soil moisture content for the period from Jun. 1989 to Jan. 1990 (seven sampling occasions) for three depths at all four sites	254
Appendix-5.2	Soil bulk densities for the depths 0-7.5, 7.5-15 and 15-25cm at all four sites measured during July 1989 . . .	255
Appendix-5.3	Sulphate (kg/ha) present in the soil for three depths during the experimental period.	256
Appendix-5.4	Mineral nitrogen (kg/ha) present in the soil for three depths during the experimental period.	257
Appendix-5.5	Sulphur and nitrogen returned in excreta.	258
Appendix-6.1	Sulphate (kg/ha) present in the soil for three depths during the experimental period.	261
Appendix-6.2	Mineral nitrogen (kg/ha) present in the soil for three depths during the experimental period.	262
Appendix-7.1	Distribution of excreta in grazed hill country pastures	263
Appendix-8.1	Change in specific activity of plant and leached S-35 with time and the days on which major drainage (vertical bars) occurred for all four sites from Jan. 1990 to Oct. 1990.	264
Appendix-8.2	Ratio of shoot and root for the months from Jun. to Jan.	265
Appendix-8.3a	Rainfall during the experimental period (Jun. 1989 to Jan.1990)	266
Appendix-8.3b	Evapotranspiration (E _p) during the experimental period (Jun. 1989 to Jan.1990).	267
Appendix-8.4	Predicted and measured extractable soil sulphate present in the soil for three depths at all four sites.	268