Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

AN EVALUATION OF CHATHAM RISE PHOSPHORITE AS A DIRECT-APPLICATION PHOSPHATIC FERTILIZER

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Soil Science at Massey University

Alec Donald Mackay

1982

83.01870

ABSTRACT

Chatham Rise phosphorite (CRP) occurs as nodules on the sea floor some 800 km to the east of the South Island of New Zealand. The phosphate component is a carbonate fluorapatite and the material contains approximately 9% phosphorus (P) and 25% CaCO₃. Several lines of evidence suggest that CRP has potential as a direct-application phosphatic fertilizer for pasture.

In an initial evaluation in the glasshouse, CRP was found to be an effective source of P for ryegrass when compared to superphosphate over six harvests with four soils. The form (powdered or pelletised) and method (surface applied or incorporated) of application of CRP were found to have a marked effect on the agronomic effectiveness of this P source in the glasshouse. The effectiveness of CRP, when compared at 90% of the yield maxima obtained with superphosphate, which was assigned a value of 100, decreased in the order of powdered and incorporated (100 to 106) > powdered and surface applied (96 to 100) > pelletised and surface applied (85 to 104) > pelletised and incorporated (83 to 90).

Results from a comprehensive, long-term field evaluation of CRP at four contrasting sites under permanent pasture over 3 years confirmed and extended the findings of the preliminary glasshouse study with CRP. Apart from some initial differences, pelletised CRP was as effective as superphosphate at all four sites and at two of the hill-country sites (Ballantrae and Wanganui) it showed a marked residual effect in the third year. This was particularly pronounced in the clover component of the sward at these two sites. In fact at these two sites a single, initial application of 70 kgP ha⁻¹ as CRP was agronomically as effective in the

i

third year as three annual applications of 35 kgP ha⁻¹ as superphosphate. This finding has implications to the strategy of fertilizer use. The origin of the marked residual effect shown by CRP at Ballantrae and Wanganui in the third year appears to result from the effect of $CaCO_3$ on the rate of release of P from CRP.

The findings that pelletised CRP was almost always as effective as both powdered CRP and superphosphate in the field contrasts with the results of the preliminary glasshouse study with four soils. This discrepancy probably results from the fact that in glasshouse studies a number of factors which can operate in the field and which may contribute to an increased effectiveness of a surface-applied, pelletised phosphate rock (PR) material are excluded (e.g. earthworms).

In a glasshouse study, earthworms increased the effectiveness of CRP as a source of P to ryegrass by 15 to 30% over seven harvests. Subsequent studies showed that both the burrowing and casting activity of earthworms indirectly increased the availability to ryegrass of P in the PR by improving the physical distribution and degree of contact of the PR particles with the soil. Interestingly, good agreement was found between the agronomic effectiveness of pelletised CRP in the field and in the glasshouse when earthworms were included as a treatment in the glasshouse. Consequently, care must be taken in extrapolating to the field situation, the results obtained with pelletised PR materials in the glasshouse in the absence of biological mixing.

In a comparison in the glasshouse, using six soils and both ryegrass and white clover as indicator species, CRP was as effective as North Carolina phosphate rock (NCPR) and Sechura phosphate rock (SPR), both of which are reactive PR materials. The agronomic data from this glasshouse study were used to evaluate a number of conventional, single chemicalextraction procedures used for assessing the likely agronomic effectiveness of PR materials. Of these, 2% formic acid appears to offer the most promise. However, sequential extraction appears to be necessary with PR materials which contain appreciable amounts of CaCO₂.

A procedure involving a single extraction with 0.5M NaOH was developed for measuring the extent of dissolution of a PR in soil. Because apatite minerals are largely insoluble in dilute NaOH and because this reagent extracts sorbed inorganic P, increases in 0.5M NaOH-extractable P in a soil to which a PR is added, provide a good estimate of the amount of P dissolved and retained on sorption sites. The extent of dissolution of SPR, measured by NaOH extraction, was found to vary from 22% of added P on the low P-sorbing Tokomaru soil to 48% on the high P-sorbing Egmont soil during incubation at 15° C for 90 days. A high correlation (r = 0.935**) was obtained for the relationship between the dissolution of SPR, measured by NaOH extraction, and the P-sorption capacity of the six soils used.

Whereas increasing the P status of the Wainui soil, by the addition of KH_2PO_4 , had no measurable effect on the extent of dissolution of SPR, increasing addition of Ca(OH)_2 markedly decreased the dissolution of SPR in this soil. Of the decrease measured in the dissolution of SPR on liming the Wainui soil from pH 5.2 to 6.9, 75-79% of the decrease could be accounted for by the effect of Ca, which also increases on liming. Results with the Egmont soil indicate that a PR can dissolve at pH 6.5. This suggests that the effect of a higher pH on dissolution is decreased in a soil of high P-sorption capacity.

Although the extent of dissolution of SPR increased as the P-sorption capacity of the soils increased, the amounts of water-, Bray-, and bicarbonate-extractable P in the same soils decreased. Of these three estimates of plant-available P, both the Bray and bicarbonate procedures

iii

were found to be useful indicators of short-term, plant-available P when SPR and CRP were added to three contrasting soils. Of the two procedures, the Bray procedure accounted for more of the variability, possibly reflecting the difference in the mechanisms by which these two extractants remove P from soil. In contrast, a single waterextraction procedure grossly underestimated the amount of short-term, plant-available P in the soil to which a PR was added.

A simple model, based on a modified Mitscherlich equation, was developed to describe and predict the dissolution of SPR in soil. The model, which was developed and evaluated using contrasting soils, appears to have good practical application and should prove useful in future studies of the reactions of PR materials in soils.

Although not yet commercially available, CRP appears to have very good potential as a direct-application P fertilizer for pasture and, of particular relevance to hill country farming, it shows a good residual effect. A possible disadvantage is the relatively low P content.

iv

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am extremely grateful to:

Professor J. Keith Syers for supervision, unending enthusiasm and encouragement during my studies.

Dr. P.E.H. Gregg for supervision and friendship during my studies.

Dr. J.A. Springett and Mr. R.W. Tillman for assistance and helpful discussion with several aspects of the study.

Other members of the Department of Soil Science, particularly Lance Currie and Martin Lewis.

Mr. R. Harrison and Mr. H.M. Speed for allowing trials to be sited on their farms and whose interest in the project has been much appreciated.

Dr. R.W. Brougham (Director, DSIR Grasslands Division, Palmerston North) for making land available at the Ballantrae Hill Country Research Station and Mr. M.G. Lambert (also DSIR Grasslands Division) for useful comments on the field study at Ballantrae.

Messrs. D.H. Hopcroft and R. Bennett (DSIR, Palmerston North) for assistance in preparing the scanning electron micrographs.

Dianne, for creating order out of disorder.

Massey University for Helen E. Akers and Johannes August Anderson scholarships.

Lastly, but most important, to my family.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		i
ACKNOWLEI	D GEME N T	S				•	•	•	•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		v
TABLE OF	CONTEN	TS		•		•	•		•					•	•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		vi
LIST OF H	FIGURES		•	•	•	•	•	•	•				•		•	•	•	•	•				•	•		•		xi
LIST OF 7	TABLES		•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•		•		•		•		•	xxi
LIST OF H	PLATES					•																						xxvii

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION																							1
	•	•	•		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		_

CHAPTER 2

REVIE	EW OF LITERATURE	4
2.1	Forms of Phosphorus in Phosphate Rock Materials	4
2.2	Origin of Phosphate Rock Materials	6
	2.2.1 Apatite deposits of igneous origin	6
	2.2.2 Marine phosphorites	6
	2.2.3 Phosphatized rocks derived from guano	8
2.3	Composition of Apatite in Phosphate Rock Materials	9
2.4	Effect of Apatite Composition on the Potential Agronomic Effectiveness of Phosphate Rock Materials	11

CHAPTER 3

A PR	ELIMINAL	RY EVALUATION OF CHATHAM RISE PHOSPHORITE	
AS A	DIRECT-	-APPLICATION PHOSPHATIC FERTILIZER	12
3.1	Introdu	uction	12
3.2	Materia	als and Method	14
	3.2.1	Phosphate sources	14
	3.2.2	Soils used in glasshouse study	19
	3.2.3	Conduct of glasshouse study	20

vii

Page

3.3	Result	s and Discussion	22
	3.3.1	Effectiveness of powdered Chatham Rise phosphorite when compared to superphosphate	22
	3.3.2	Effectiveness of pelletised Chatham Rise phosphorite when compared to superphosphate	28
3.4	Genera	l Discussion	32

CHAPTER 4

FIEL	D EVALUA	ATION OF CHATHAM RISE PHOSPHORITE
4.1	Introd	uction
4.2	Materia	als and Methods
	4.2.1	Field-trial selection and description
	4.2.2	Phosphate sources used 39
	4.2.3	Fertilizer treatments
	4.2.4	Field-trial design and establishment 44
	4.2.5	Sampling techniques
		4.2.5.1 Assessment of pasture production
		4.2.5.2 Assessment of botanical composition 48
		4.2.5.3 Earthworm populations
		4.2.5.4 Soil sampling 49
	4.2.6	Soil and plant analysis
		4.2.6.1 Soil analysis 49
		4.2.6.2 Plant analysis
/ 3	Pegult	s and Discussion 50
4.J	4 3 1	Sulphur and phoephorus responses
	4.5.1	4.3.1.1 Pasture production
		4.3.1.2 Clover production 53
	1. 2 2	Fffeet of polleticing Chather Digo phogphorite
	4.J.2	(2.2.1) Destruction of a lower and better
		4.3.2.1 Pasture and clover production
		phosphorus uptake by pasture
	4.3.3	Initial effectiveness of Chatham Rise phosphorite 60
		4.3.3.1 Pasture production
		4.3.3.2 Botanical composition of the sward
		and clover production
		4.3.3.3 Phosphorus concentration in pasture and
		phosphorus uptake by pasture 64
		4.3.3.4 Estimates of plant-available phosphorus in soil

viii

	4.3.4	Residual	effect of Chatham Rise phosphorite			72
		4.3.4.1	Pasture production			72
		4.3.4.2	Botanical composition of the sward and clover production			77
		4.3.4.3	Phosphorus concentration in pasture and phosphorus uptake by pasture			81
		4.3.4.4	Extractable phosphorus in soil			89
	4.3.5	Effective phosphor:	eness of superphosphate and Chatham Rise ite as phosphatic fertilizers		•	96
4.4	General	l Discuss:	ion			100

CHAPTER 5

THE I	EFFECT (OF EARTHW	ORMS ON THE AVAILABILITY OF			
PHOS	PHORUS	IN A PHOS	PHATE ROCK	•	•	111
5.1	Introd	uction .		•		111
5.2	Materia	als and M	ethods			113
	5.2.1	Soil use	d in experiments	•		114
	5.2.2	Glasshou	se experiment involving earthworms	•		114
	5.2.3	Incorpor	ation experiment involving tillage	•		116
	5.2.4	Incubati	on study with earthworms			121
	5.2.5	Soil ing	estion trials with earthworms			122
5.3	Result	s and Dis	cussion • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			123
	5.3.1	Effect o phosphor phosphor	f earthworms on the plant availability of us in superphosphate and Chatham Rise ite			123
		5.3.1.1	Changes in earthworms population			123
		5.3.1.2	Effectiveness of superphosphate			126
		5.3.1.3	Effectiveness of Chatham Rise phosphorite			128
	5.3.2	Possible effect o	mechanisms of incorporation and the possibl f ingestion of phosphate rock particles by	.e		
		earthwor	ms	٠	•	132
		5.3.2.1	Incorporation experiment involving tillage	•	•	132
		5.3.2.2	Incubation studies with earthworms	•	•	134
		5.3.2.3	Soil ingestion trials with earthworms	•	•	144
5.4	Genera	l Discuss	ion			148

ix

CHAPTER 6

. 6

METHOI EFFECT	DS FOR A	ASSESSING AND PREDICTING THE AGRONOMIC S OF PHOSPHATE ROCK MATERIALS	157
6.1	Introdu	uction	157
6.2	Materia	als and Methods	160
	6.2.1	Phosphate sources used	160
	6.2.2	Conduct of glasshouse study	160
	6.2.3	Assessment of chemical extraction procedures	164
	6.2.4	Outline of new approaches	165
		6.2.4.1 Studies with hydrous ferric oxide gel	165
		6.2.4.2 Electro-ultrafiltration	166
6.3	Results	s and Discussion	168
	6.3.1	Agronomic evaluation of phosphate rock materials in the glasshouse	168
		6.3.1.1 Response of perennial ryegrass	168
		6.3.1.2 Response of white clover	176
	6.3.2	Comparison of chemical extraction procedures for predicting agronomic effectiveness of	1.00
		6 2 2 1 Polationshing for personal meaning	102
		6.3.2.2 Polationships for white alover	104
	633	The new approaches for according the according	191
	0.5.5	effectiveness of phosphate rock materials	195
	· · ·	6.3.3.1 Hydrous ferric oxide gel	195
		6.3.3.2 Electro-ultrafiltration	201
6.4	Genera	l Discussion	207
		CHAPTER 7	
REACT	IONS OF	PHOSPHATE ROCK MATERIALS IN SOILS	214
7.1	Introd	uction	214
7.2	Materia	als and Methods	217
	7.2.1	Phosphate sources and soils	217
	7.2.2	Preliminary incubation studies on the effect	
		phosphorus in soil	220

	7.2.3	An evalu of plant	ation of laboratory estimates —available phosphorus in soil	220
	7.2.4	Effect o characte phosphat	f soils of contrasting phosphorus ristics on the reactions of e rock and superphosphate in soils	222
	7.2.5	Evaluati exchange phosphat	on of the effect of soil pH and able calcium on the reactions of e rock and superphosphate in soils	224
7.3	Result	s and Dis	cussion	225
	7.3.1	Changes a phosph	in extractable phosphorus in soil to which ate rock and superphosphate were added	225
		7.3.1.1	Effect of phosphate rock solubility on water-extractable phosphorus in soil	225
		7.3.1.2	Effect of rate of application of superphosphate and a phosphate rock on water- and bicarbonate-extractable phosphorus in soil	228
	7.3.2	Relation in soil	ship between extractable phosphorus and uptake of phosphorus by plants	235
	7.3.3	Reactions in soils	s of superphosphate and a phosphate rock of contrasting phosphorus characteristics	245
		7.3.3.1	Effect of phosphate-sorption capacity on the reactions of superphosphate and a phosphate rock in soils	248
		7.3.3.2	Influence of soil phosphate status on the reactions of superphosphate and a phosphate rock in a soil	264
	7.3.4	Effect o the reac rock in	f soil pH and exchangeable calcium on tions of superphosphate and a phosphate soil	270
	7.3.5	Initial the diss	development of a simple model to describe olution of phosphate rock materials in soils.	276
7.4	Genera	l Discuss	ion	287
STIMMA			NS	29.8
SUMMA	KI AND	CONCLUSIO	си,	290
BIBLI	OGRAPHY			304

,

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure

3.1 Relative cumulative yield data for six harvests with the four soils as influenced by phosphate source and placement (surface-applied superphosphate = 100). a = Wainui silt loam, b = Ramiha silt loam, c = Kumeroa silt loam, and d = Tokomaru silt loam 26

- 4.2 Phosphorus uptake by pasture in the first (a) and second (b) year at the three hill-country sites as influenced by phosphate source. Least significant difference (LSD) at the 1% and 5% level 61

4.4	Phosphorus uptake by pasture in the first year at the four sites as influenced by phosphate source. Least significant difference (LSD) at the 1% and	
	5% level	68
4.5	Clover and pasture production in the second year at the four sites as influenced by phosphate source. Least significant difference (LSD) at the 1% and 5% level	75
4.6	Clover and pasture production in the third year at the four sites as influenced by phosphate source. Least significant difference (LSD) at the 1% and 5% level	76
4.7	Changes in the phosphorus concentration in pasture over three years at the three hill-country sites as influenced by phosphate source. A = single initial application of 70 kgP ha ⁻¹ as Chatham Rise phosphorite, B = annual application of 35 kgP ha ⁻¹ as superphosphate, C = single initial application of 70 kgP ha ⁻¹ as superphosphate, and D = control. a = Ballantrae, b = Wanganui, and c = Pahiatua	82
4.8	Changes in the phosphorus concentration in pasture over three years at Tokomaru as influenced by phosphate source. A = single initial application of 70 kgP ha ⁻¹ as Chatham Rise phosphorite, B = single initial application of 70 kgP ha ⁻¹ as superphosphate, C = annual application of 35 kgP ha ⁻¹ as superphosphate, D = annual application of 35 kgP ha ⁻¹ as Chatham Rise phosphorite, and E = control. a = single initial application of 70 kgP ha ⁻¹ , and b = annual application of 35 kgP ha ⁻¹	84
4.9	Phosphorus uptake by pasture in the second year at the four sites as influenced by phosphate source. Least significant difference (LSD) at the 1% and 5% level	86

4.10	Phosphorus uptake by pasture in the third year at the four sites as influenced by phosphate source. Least significant difference (LSD) at the 1% and 5% level	87
4.11	Changes in bicarbonate-extractable phosphorus in soil over the three years at the three hill-country	
4.12	sites as influenced by phosphate source. A = annual application of 35 kgP ha ⁻¹ as super- phosphate, B = single initial application of 70 kgP ha ⁻¹ as Chatham Rise phosphorite, C = single initial application of 70 kgP ha ⁻¹ as superphosphate, and D = control. a = Ballantrae, b = Wanganui, and c = Pahiatua	90
	over the three years at Tokomaru as influenced by phosphate source. A = single initial application of 70 kgP ha ⁻¹ as superphosphate, B = single initial application of 70 kgP ha ⁻¹ as Chatham Rise phosphorite, C = annual application of 35 kgP ha ⁻¹ as superphosphate, D = annual application of 35 kgP ha ⁻¹ as Chatham Rise phosphorite, E = control. a = single initial application of 70 kgP ha ⁻¹ , and b = annual application of 35 kgP ha ⁻¹	91
4.13	Changes in phosphorus concentration in pasture over three years at Ballantrae as influenced by phosphate source and topdressing strategy. A = single initial application of 70 kgP ha ⁻¹ as Chatham Rise phosphorite, B = single initial application of 70 kgP ha ⁻¹ as superphosphate, C = annual application of 35 kgP ha ⁻¹ as superphosphate, and D = control. a = single initial application and b = single versus annual application	101
5.1	Yield of ryegrass (a) and phosphorus uptake by ryegrass (b) over seven harvests as influenced by earthworms and the source and method and rate of application of phosphate	124

5.2 Relative cumulative yield of ryegrass (a) and phosphorus uptake by ryegrass (b) for seven harvests with superphosphate in the presence and absence of earthworms (surface-applied superphosphate in the absence of earthworms = 100). A = surface-applied superphosphate, B = superphosphate incorporated and C = control. 127 5.3 Amounts of water-extractable phosphorus in the soil from three depths as influenced by earthworms and the source and method of application of phosphate 129 $a = 0-2 \text{ cm}, b = 2-4 \text{ cm}, c = 4-6 \text{ cm}, \dots$ 5.4 Relative cumulative yield of ryegrass (a) and phosphorus uptake by ryegrass (b) for seven harvests with pelletised Chatham Rise phosphorite in the presence and absence of earthworms (surface-applied superphosphate in the absence of earthworms = 100). D = pelletised Chatham Rise phosphorite, E = pelletised Chatham Rise phosphorite incorporated, 5.5 Amounts of water-extractable soil phosphorus at three depths (0-2, 2-4, and 4-6 cm) after the first and fourth harvests as influenced by tillage and the form of application of phosphate. Pelletised Sechura phosphate rock (SPR) treatments were surface-applied and powdered 133 Sechura phosphate rock was incorporated (0-4 cm). . . . 5.6 Amounts of Bray-extractable phosphorus as influenced by the addition of Sechura phosphate rock (SPR) in the presence and absence of earthworms over 70 days. Control = no added Sechura phosphate rock 135 5.7 Amounts of 0.5M NaOH-extractable phosphorus in soil and casts (collected after 7 days) as influenced by the addition of Sechura phosphate rock (SPR) in the presence and absence of earthworms over 70 days. Control = no added Sechura phosphate rock 136

5.8	Percentage of soil affected by the activity of
	L. rubellus and A. caliginosa as measured by
	casts (a), burrows (b), and casts and burrows (c)
	on an area basis
5.9	Schematic representation of the origin of the effect
	of earthworms on the availability of phosphorus in a
	phosphate rock (PR) 156
6.1	Relative cumulative ryegrass yield data for four
	harvests as influenced by phosphate source
	(superphosphate = 100). a = Ramiha, b = Konini,
	and c = Wainui
6.2	Relative cumulative white clover yield data for
	four harvests as influenced by phosphate source
	(superphosphate = 100). a = Ramiha, b = Konini,
	and c = Wainui
6.3	Relative agronomic effectiveness of the five
	phosphate rock materials using yield of ryegrass
	(a) and phosphorus uptake by ryegrass (b) over
	individual and combined harvests at both rates of
	application on six soils
6.4	Relative agronomic effectiveness of the three
	phosphate rock materials using yield of white clover
	(a) and phosphorus uptake by white clover (b) over
	individual and combined harvests at both rates of
	application on three soils 193
6.5	Release of phosphorus to hydrous ferric oxide gel
	(pH 6.0) as influenced by phosphate source during
	17 days
6.6	Effect of pH of hydrous ferric oxide gel on the
	dissolution of Sechura phosphate rock (SPR) and
	Tennessee phosphate rock (TPR) during 17 days of
	dialysis. A = pH 3, B = pH 4, C = pH 5, and D = pH 6 199

6.7	Effect of pH of hydrous ferric oxide gel (Fe gel) and the presence of a cation exchange resin (CER) on the dissolution of Sechura phosphate rock during 17 days of dialysis. A = pH 4, B = pH 5, C = pH 6 and D = deionized water
6.8	Release of phosphorus to hydrous ferric oxide gel (pH 4.0) containing a cation exchange resin, as influenced by phosphate source during 17 days 202
6.9	<pre>Release of phosphorus from Sechura phosphate rock during electro-ultrafiltration in the automatic mode as influenced by solution:solid ratio. A = 40:1, B = 100:1, C = 250:1, D = 500:1, E = 750:1, and F = 1000:1</pre>
6.10	Cumulative amount of phosphorus released from Sechura phosphate rock during electro-ultrafiltration in the manual mode, with 10, 5-min extractions at 400V at a solution:solid ratio of 250:1, as influenced by solution conductivity. A = 1.25 μ S, B = 12 μ S, C = 31 μ S, D = 55 μ S, and E = 72 μ S 205
6.11	Cumulative amount of phosphorus released during electro-ultrafiltration in the manual mode, with 10, 5-min extractions at 400V at a solution, deionized water (a) and 0.03 mg NaCl ml ⁻¹ solution: solid ratio of 250:1, as influenced by the phosphate source
7.1	Change in water-extractable phosphorus in the soil over 90 days following Sechura phosphate rock (SPR) or Tennessee phosphate rock (TPR) addition at 500 μ gP g ⁻¹ of soil to three contrasting soils. a = Wainui, b = Konini, and c = Ramiha
7.2	Change (Δ) in water- and bicarbonate-extractable phosphorus in soil over 300 days following superphosphate addition at three rates to two soils. a = Wainui and b = Ramiha

- 7.3 Change in water-extractable phosphorus in soil over 300 days following Sechura phosphate rock (SPR) and Chatham Rise phosphorite (CRP) addition at three rates to two soils. a = Wainui and b = Ramiha. 231
- 7.4 Change in bicarbonate-extractable phosphorus in soil over 300 days following Sechura phosphate rock (SPR) and Chatham Rise phosphorite (CRP) addition at three rates to two soils. a = Wainui and b = Ramiha . 234

- 7.7 Recovery of phosphorus from three soils of contrasting phosphate-sorption capacity over 300 days following Sechura phosphate rock (SPR) addition at 500 µgP g⁻¹ of soil. A = water-extractable phosphorus, B = bicarbonate-extractable phosphorus, C = Bray-extractable phosphorus and D = uptake of phosphorus by ryegrass. a = Wainui, B = Konini and c = Ramiha 241
- 7.8 Recovery of phosphorus from three soils of contrasting phosphate-sorption capacity over 300 days following Chatham Rise phosphorite (CRP) addition at 500 μ gP g⁻¹ of soil. A = water-extractable phosphorus, B = bicarbonate-extractable phosphorus, C = Brayextractable phosphorus and D = uptake of phosphorus by ryegrass. a = Wainui, b = Konini and c = Ramiha 243

7.9	Relationship between uptake of phosphorus by ryegrass and amounts of (a) water-, (b) bicarbonate-, and (c) Bray-extractable phosphorus in three contrasting soils at various times following either Sechura phosphate rock or Chatham Rise phosphorite addition at 500 gP g ⁻¹ of soil
7.10	Change (Δ) in (a) water- and (b) bicarbonate-extractable phosphorus in soil over 90 days following superphosphate addition at 500 µgP g ⁻¹ of soil to six soils of contrasting phosphate-sorption capacity
7.11	Change (Δ) in (a) bicarbonate- and (b) Bray-extractable phosphorus in soil over 90 days following Sechura phosphate rock addition at 500 μ gP g ⁻¹ of soil to six soils of contrasting phosphate sorption capacity 250
7.12	Change (Δ) in NaOH-extractable phosphorus over 90 days following Sechura phosphate rock addition at 500 µgP g ⁻¹ of soil to six soils of contrasting phosphate-sorption capacity
7.13	Relationship between dissolution of Sechura phosphate rock (SPR) or Tennessee phosphate rock (TPR) in soils, measured by increases (Δ) in NaOH-extractable phosphorus, and phosphate-sorption capacity of the soils 257
7.14	Changes in NaOH-extractable phosphorus in soil over 90 days following Tennessee phosphate rock (TPR), Chatham Rise phosphorite (CRP), or Sechura phosphate rock (SPR) addition at 500 μ gP g ⁻¹ of soil to three soils of contrasting phosphate-sorption capacity. a = Wainui, b = Konini and c = Ramiha
7.15	Relationship between organic carbon content and phosphate-sorption capacity of six soils. Data for

Egmont soil not included in linear regression analysis . . . 262

7.16	Change in water-extractable phosphorus in the soil
	over 90 days following superphosphate addition at
	500 µgP g ^{-1} of soil to the Wainui soil with
	increasing initial phosphate status (PO, Pl, P2, and P3) 265
7.17	Change in bicarbonate-extractable phosphorus in
	soil over 90 days following Sechura phosphate rock
	addition at 500 µgP g ⁻¹ of soil to the Wainui
	soil with increasing initial phosphate status
	(PO, P1, P2, and P3) 266
7.18	Changes in Bray-extractable phosphorus in soil
	over 90 days following (a) Sechura phosphate rock (SPR)
	and (b) Tennessee phosphate rock (TPR) addition at
	500 µgP g ^{-1} of soil to the Wainui soil with increasing
	initial phosphate status (PO, P1, P2, P3)
7.19	Changes in NaOH-extractable phosphorus in soil over
	90 days following Sechura phosphate rock addition at
	500 μ gP g ⁻¹ of soil to the Wainui soil with increasing
	initial phosphate status (PO, P1, P2, and P3)
7.20	Change in bicarbonate-extractable phosphorus in soil
	over 90 days following superphosphate addition at
	500 μ gP g ⁻¹ of soil to the Wainui soil without (o)
	and with increasing pH and exchangeable Ca (pH 1, pH 2,
	and pH 3) or increasing exchangeable Ca (Ca 1, Ca 2, and
	Ca 3). Control is for no Sechura phosphate rock addition . 274
7.21	Change in (a) bicarbonate- and (b) Bray-extractable
	phosphorus in soil over 90 days following Sechura
	phosphate rock addition at 500 μ gP g $^{-1}$ of soil to the
	Wainui soil without (o) and with increasing pH and
	exchangeable Ca (pH 1, pH 2, and pH 3) or increasing
	exchangeable Ca (Ca 1, Ca 2, and Ca 3). Control is
	for Sechura phosphate rock addition

7.22	Change in NaOH-extractable phosphorus in soil over	
	90 days following Sechura phosphate rock addition at	
	500 μ gP g ⁻¹ of soil to the Wainui soil without (o) and	
	with increasing pH and exchangeable Ca (pH 1, pH 2 and	
	pH 3) or increasing exchangeable Ca (Ca 1, Ca 2, and	
	Ca 3). Control is for no Sechura phosphate rock	
	addition	277
7.23	Fitted curves, calculated from the modified	
	Mitscherlich equation, for describing the dissolution	
	of Sechura phosphate rock in four soils, expressed	
	as 🛆 NaOH-extractable phosphorus values	279
7.24	Curves calculated from the modified Mitscherlich	
	equation with a constant curvature coefficient	
	(c = 0.137) for describing the dissolution of	
	Sechura phosphate rock in four soils, expressed as	
	Δ NaOH-extractable phosphorus values. Actual data	
	points indicated by *	281
7.25	Relationship between asymptote (A) values obtained	
	from a recalculated multiple regression equation	
	(Predicted A) and A values calculated from the	
	modified Mitscherlich equation using a constant	
	curvature coefficient (Actual A) for the dissolution	
	of Sechura phosphate rock in the thirteen soils	286

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Pa	age
3.1	Location and total phosphorus and calcium carbonate contents of Chatham Rise phosphorite samples from		
	individual sites on the Chatham Rise	•	15
3.2	Particle-size distribution of powdered Chatham Rise phosphorite using the "end-point" method		17
3.3	Some physical and chemical characteristics of the phosphate sources		18
3.4	Some characteristics of the soils		21
3.5	Total yield (g) in six harvests of perennial ryegrass as influenced by phosphate source, rate, and placement. Treatments 3 - 11 surface applied, treatments 12 - 17 incorporated in upper 2 cm of soil		23
3.6	Total phosphorus uptake (mg) in six harvests by perennial ryegrass as influenced by phosphate source, rate, and placement. Treatments and Duncan's symbols as in Table 3.5		24
3.7	Phosphorus concentration (mg g ⁻¹) in perennial ryegrass as influenced by phosphate source and placement. Treatments as in Table 3.5		27
4.1	Location, farm type, and past fertilizer history of field trial sites		40
4.2	Several characteristics of the soils		43
4.3	Several physical and chemical characteristics of superphosphate, monocalcium phosphate (MCP), and Chatham Rise phosphorite (CRP)		45
4.4	Fertilizer treatments used at Ballantrae, Wanganui, and Pahiatua (1-9 in each case) and at Tokomaru (1-8 and 1-10).		46

Page

Tabl	e
------	---

4.5	Pasture production at the four sites in the first and second years as influenced by phosphorus and sulphur addition	51
4.6	Clover production at the four sites in the first and second years as influenced by phosphorus and sulphur addition	54
4.7	Numbers of <u>L</u> . <u>rubellus</u> and <u>A</u> . <u>caligninosa</u> at the four sites and in the surrounding pastures in the Autumn of 1981	58
4.8	Phosphorus concentration in pasture in initial harvests of the first year at the three hill-country sites as influenced by phosphate source	59
4.9	Nitrogen uptake by pasture in the first year at the four sites as influenced by phosphate source	65
4.10	Phosphorus concentration in pasture in initial harvests of the first year at the four sites as influenced by phosphate source	66
4.11	Bicarbonate- and Bray-extractable phosphorus in the soil five months after the addition of either super- phosphate or Chatham Rise phosphorite (CRP) at the four sites	69
4.12	Regression equations and correlation coefficients between phosphorus uptake by pasture in the first year and either Bray-, bicarbonate-, or water-extractable phosphorus in soil five months after the addition of either superphosphate or Chatham Rise phosphorite at the three hill country sites	71
4.13	Bicarbonate-extractable phosphorus in the soil at the end of the first year at the four sites as influenced by phosphate source	73

LT

4.14	Average clover content of the sward in the spring and summer harvests of the second and third years at the four sites as influenced by phosphate source	78
4.15	Nitrogen uptake by pasture in the second and third year at the three hill-country sites as influenced by phosphate source	80
4.16	Apparent recovery of phosphorus by pasture over two and a half years at Pahiatua and three years at Ballantrae, Wanganui, and Tokomaru as influenced by phosphate source	88
4.17	Regression equations and correlation coefficients between phosphorus uptake by pasture in the second year and either Bray-, bicarbonate-, or water-extractable phosphorus in the soil at the beginning of the second year to which either superphosphate or Chatham Rise phosphorite was added as a single application in the first year	93
4.18	Regression equations and correlation coefficients between phosphorus uptake by pasture in the third year and either Bray-, bicarbonate-, or water-extractable phosphorus in the soil at the beginning of the third year to which either superphosphate or Chatham Rise phosphorite was added as a single application in the first year	94
4.19	Recovery of phosphorus by 0.5M NaOH at the end of the third year and apparent recovery of phosphorus by pasture during the two and a half years at Pahiatua and three years at Ballantrae, Wanganui and Tokomaru as influenced by phosphate source	97
5.1	Some chemical characteristics of Tokomaru silt loam	115

Table	е
-------	---

.

5.2	Changes in field populations of earthworms under
	permanent pasture from July 1978 to February 1979 117
5.3	Some physical and chemical characteristics of
	Sechura phosphate rock (SPR) and superphosphate 119
5.4	Total yield of ryegrass and phosphorus uptake by
	ryegrass over four harvests as influenced by tillage
	and the source, form, method, and rate of application
	of phosphate
5.5	Number and weight of earthworms present at the start
	(July 1978) and at the end (February 1979) of the
	experiment
5.6	Bicarbonate-extractable soil phosphorus ($\mu g g^{-1}$)
	values at 70 days as influenced by the source of
	phosphate addition of organic matter, and earthworms.
	Treatments 1-3 earthworms absent; treatments 4-6
	earthworms present
6.1	Total phosphorus and calcium carbonate contents
	of the phosphate sources
6.2	Some characteristics of the soils 162
6.3	Total yield (g) in four harvests of perennial ryegrass
	on six soils as influenced by phosphate source and
	rate of application
6.4	Total P uptake (mg) in four harvests by perennial
	ryegrass on six soils as influenced by phosphate
	source and rate of application
6.5	Total yield (g) in four harvests of white clover on
	three soils as influenced by phosphate source and
	rate of application
6.6	Total phosphorus uptake (mg) in four harvests by white
	clover on four soils as influenced by phosphate source
	and rate of application

6.7

	measured by the various chemical extraction procedures		183
6.8	Correlation coefficients between amounts of phosphorus		
	ryegrass at the first harvest on six soils		186
6.9	Correlation coefficients between amounts of phosphorus extracted by the indicated extractant and yield of ryegrass at the first, second, third, and fourth harvests on the Ramiha silt loam and Maharahara sandy		
	loam. Calciphos is excluded from calculation	•	188
6.10	Correlation coefficients between amounts of phosphorus by the indicated extractant and phosphorus uptake by the ryegrass at the first harvest on six soils		190
6.11	Correlation coefficients between amounts of phosphorus extracted by the indicated extractant and phosphorus uptake by the ryegrass at the first, second, third, and fourth harvests on the Ramiha silt loam and Maharahara sandy loam. Calciphos is excluded from calculations		192
6.12	Correlation coefficients between amounts of phosphorus extracted by the indicated extractant and yield of white clover and phosphorus uptake by white clover at the		
	first harvest on three soils		194

The solubility of the phosphate sources (% of total P)

6.13 Effect of phosphate source on the pH of the hydrous ferric oxide gel suspension over 14 days of dialysis . . . 198

7.1	Some physical and chemical characteristics of super-	
	phosphate, Tennessee phosphate rock (TPR), Sechura	
	phosphate rock (SPR), and Chatham Rise phosphorite (CRP)	218

7.2 Some chemical characteristics of the six soils 219

7.3	Total counts and specific activity of $^{ m 32}$ P in bicarbonate	
	and Bray extracts of four soils of contrasting phosphate-	
	sorption capacity	254

7.4	Dissolution of Tennessee phosphate rock (TPR), Sechura phosphate rock (SPR), and superphosphate, measured by NaOH extraction at 90 days, expressed as increases (Δ) in 0.5M NaOH-extractable phosphorus in soil and as a percentage of the total phosphorus added (500 µgP g ⁻¹ of soil	258
7.5	Effect of adding increasing amounts of KH_2PO_4 on the phosphate status of Wainui silt loam at 42 days	268
7.6	Effect of adding increasing amounts of Ca(OH) ₂ or CaCl ₂ on pH and exchangeable Ca of Wainui silt loam at 42 days	271
7.7	Dissolution of Tennessee phosphate rock (TPR), Sechura phosphate rock (SPR), and superphosphate, measured by NaOH extraction at 90 days, as influenced by pH and exchangeable Ca of Wainui silt loam, and expressed as increases (Δ) in 0.5M NaOH-extractable phosphorus in soil and as a percentage of the total phosphorus added (500 µgP g ⁻¹ of soil)	274
7.8	Values for asymptote (A) and curvature coefficient (c) calculated from the modified Mitscherlich equation describing the dissolution of Sechura phosphate rock in soils and an estimate (r ²) of the fit of the exponential curve to the experimental data	280
7.9	Values for asymptote (A) calculated from the modified Mitscherlich equation with a constant curvature coefficient (c = 0.137) describing the dissolution of Sechura phosphate rock in soils and an estimate (r^2) of the fit of the exponential curve to the experimental data	282
7.10	Correlation coefficients (r^2) between the asymptote (A) and several properties of the thirteen soils \ldots	284

xxvii

LIST OF PLATES

Plate		Page
4.1	View of the Ballantrae field trial site	41
4.2	View of the Wanganui field trial site	42
5.1	Nature and extent of the contact between Sechura phosphate rock particles when mixed with sieved (<2 mm) Tokomaru silt loam (magnification = 80)	138
5.2	Nature and extent of the contact between Sechura phosphate rock particles and soil material in casts after passage through the earthworm's digestive tract (magnification = 80)	139
5.3	Scanning electron micrograph of a Sechura phosphate rock particle after passage through the earthworm's digestive tract (magnification = 400)	140
5.4	Scanning electron micrograph of a Sechura phosphate rock particle after incubation in sieved (<2 mm) Tokomaru silt loam (magnification = 400)	141
5.5	Scanning electron micrograph of a Sechura phosphate rock particle prior to incubation (magnification = 400)	142

.