

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

A SURVEY OF ORGANIC FARMING  
IN NEW ZEALAND

A thesis  
presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements  
for the degree of  
Master of Agricultural Science  
In Plant Science  
at  
Massey University

Rosalie Jeanne Bakker  
1993

## **Abstract**

The characteristics of organic farms and farmers were studied and management factors determined. The effects of changing over to an organic farming system were also examined.

A postal survey was sent to 77 organic farms and 32 conventional farms around New Zealand.

Farmers use organic methods for pragmatic rather than spiritual reasons. The majority come from diverse occupational backgrounds before becoming a farmer, unlike most conventional farmers who had been farmers all their working life.

Organic farms averaged 123 hectares, of which 83% was used for actual organic production. The conventional farms averaged 410 hectares. Properties usually had two or more types of farm enterprises present, with organic farms being more diverse: beef, vegetables and fruit being the more popular choices. Conventional farmers tended to stay with the more traditional enterprises of sheep, beef and wool.

Grazing and cropping systems on organic farms were not significantly more soil conservative. Compost and fish fertilisers were the most frequently used fertilisers on organic farms with reactive phosphate rock as a phosphate source. Conventional farmers used the traditional superphosphate, potassic super, longlife super and urea. Lime was used by both groups.

Organic farmers ranked internal parasites worse than any other problem, whilst conventional farmers felt it to be about equal to flystrike. Facial eczema and grass staggers noted as problems by the conventional group, were not even mentioned by the organic farmers. Thistles were a much bigger weed problem on organic farms than on conventional properties. Changing to organic farming did not change these problems, nor was there any significant difference in intensity of control methods used between the two farming systems.

Over a quarter of the organic farmers were involved in some processing of produce on their property, and on average labelled this as organic. Forty percent of organic produce was sold to conventional outlets.

Fifty-four percent of organic farmers and 94% of conventional farmers had all or nearly all of their income provided by their property.

There was no significant difference between organic or conventional

properties concerning labour.

Organic farming did not significantly change yields and income per hectare, either in the first or subsequent years after changing from conventional farming. Labour requirements also remained the same.

In conclusion, the majority of all the farmers surveyed felt satisfied with their present farming system.

## Acknowledgements

I wish to acknowledge my supervisor, Dr. I.L. Gordon for his considerable encouragement and invaluable criticism during the course of this study. His guidance has been invaluable in this work. My previous supervisor, Mr A.G. Robertson gave valuable advice during the earlier part of this study before his retirement.

I would also like to give my thanks to the farmers who participated in this survey, without whom this study would not have been possible.

The following are also gratefully acknowledged:

- The C. Alma Baker Trust for partially providing financial support for this survey.
- The staff and post-graduate students of the Agronomy Department for the helpful discussions and comments made.

Finally, I would also like to especially thank my family for their encouragement and support during this time, and last but not least, Muffy for her patience.

## Table of Contents

	Page
Abstract	II
Acknowledgements	IV
Table of Contents	V
List of Tables	VII
List of Figures	VIII
List of Appendices	IX
Chapter One - Introduction and Objectives	1
Glossary of Terms	3
Chapter Two - Literature Review	6
2.1.1    Organic Farming - Historical	6
2.1.2    Organic Farming - Present Status	16
2.1.3    Organic Farming - Definition	17
2.2       Survey Methods	21
2.3       Statistical Analysis	22
2.3.1    Sampling Error	22
2.3.2    Principal Components	23
Chapter Three - Materials and Methods	26
3.1       Survey area	26
3.2       Survey Method and Questionnaire Design	26
3.2.1    Survey Method	26
3.2.2    Layout	26
3.2.3    Question Type	27
3.3       Administration of the Survey	30
3.3.1    Pre-testing	30
3.3.2    Survey Practice	30
3.4       Data Processing and Analysis	31
3.4.1    Data Collecting and Editing	31
3.4.2    Coding of Responses	32
3.4.3    Statistical Analysis	32
Chapter Four - Results	37
4.1       Farmers and their Attitudes	37
4.2       Farm Description	40
4.3       Farm Management	41
4.3.1    Farming System	41
4.3.2    Soil Fertility	42
4.3.3    Problems	43
4.3.4    Labour	50
4.4       Marketing	52
4.5       Advice and Information	54
4.6       Financial Data	56
4.6.1    Income Items	56

4.6.2	Cost Items	59
4.6.3	Income Status of Farm	62
4.7	Comparison and Evaluation	63
Chapter Five - Discussion		65
5.1	Characteristics of organic farms and farmers	65
5.2	Management factors of organic farms	66
5.3	Effects of changing over to organic farming	70
References		72
Appendices		79

## List of Tables

Table	Title	Page
2.1	Dairy cattle intakes and production	8
3.1	Principal components analyses carried out	35
3.2	Information graphed	36
3.3	Data both graphed and statistically analysed	36
4.1	Personal data of organic farms	37
4.2	Correlations of personal data	38
4.3	Principal Component Analysis(PC) on personal data	39
4.4	Past occupational groups of farmers	39
4.5	Results of PC on farm description data	41
4.6	Adoption of soil conservative management techniques	41
4.7	Results of PC on farm management data	42
4.8	Soil test data	43
4.9	Change in animal health after changing to organic farming	44
4.10	Change in plant health after changing to organic farming	45
4.11	Change in weed status after changing to organic farming	45
4.12	Correlations in animal health data	46
4.13	Results of PC on Animal Health Data	46
4.14	Correlations Amongst Plant Health Data	47
4.15	Results of PC on plant health data	47
4.16	Correlations amongst weed data	48
4.17	Results of PC on weed data	49
4.18	Intensity of control methods used on the problems	50
4.19	Labour units employed on farms	51
4.20	Correlations on labour data	51
4.21	Results of PC on labour data	52
4.22	Correlations amongst marketing data	53
4.23	Results of PC on marketing data	53
4.24	Availability of advice and information	54
4.25	Correlations of advice and information data	55
4.26	Results of PC on advice and information data	56
4.27	Ranking of income items by farmers	57
4.28	Correlations of income data	58
4.29	Results of PC on income items	59
4.30	Ranking of costs by farmers	60
4.31	Correlations of cost items	61
4.32	Results of PC on cost items	62
4.33	Farmer evaluation	63
4.34	Corelations of assessment data	64
4.35	Results of PC on assessment data	64

## List of Figures

Figure	Title	Between Pages
3.1	Location of properties in survey	26-27
4.1	Farming systems present in survey	37-38
4.2	Degree of adoption of organic and biodynamic practices	37-38
4.3	Certification held by organic farms	37-38
4.4	Years since adoption of organic/biodynamic practices	37-38
4.5	PC2 versus PC1 for personal data	38-39
4.6A	Total farm size of properties in survey	40-41
4.6B	Area of properties farmed organically and conventional	40-41
4.7	PC2 versus PC1 for farm description data	40-41
4.8	Farm enterprises present in survey	41-42
4.9	PC2 versus PC1 for farm system analysis	42-43
4.10	Trace elements tested for	42-43
4.11	Fertilisers used on organic and conventional farms	43-44
4.12	Animal health problems	43-44
4.13	Plant health problems	44-45
4.14	Weed problems	44-45
4.15	PC2 versus PC1 for animal health data	46-47
4.16	PC2 versus PC1 for plant health data	47-48
4.17	PC2 versus PC1 for weed data	48-49
4.18	Problems where disallowed chemicals were used	50-51
4.19	PC2 versus PC1 for labour data	51-52
4.20	Produce processed on organic farms	52-53
4.21	Markets for organic produce	52-53
4.22	PC2 versus PC1 for marketing data	54-55
4.23	PC2 versus PC1 for advice and information data	56-57
4.24	Proportion of total income derived from farming	62-63
4.25	PC2 versus PC1 for assessment analysis	64-65

## List of Appendices

- A Letter to survey participants
- B Survey form
- C Coded survey form

## CHAPTER ONE - INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES

The widespread use of agricultural chemicals and manufactured fertilisers has been a characteristic feature of modern agriculture. With the use of these, farmers have been able to obtain higher yields per unit of land at lower labour and overall costs, thus leading to their becoming a standard feature in New Zealand agriculture.

The decreased profitability and rising rural debt of New Zealand agriculture that occurred during the late 1980's resulted from declining traditional export markets, high exchange rates and low commodity prices. Shrinking export quotas and protectionism were also to blame. Market restrictions on chemical use, such as the ban on beef hormones by the European Economic Community, and the removal of price supports and input subsidies have also played a part in the lower returns to New Zealand farmers.(Fisher 1989)

Publicity and concern over the dangers of chemicals used in the production and processing of foods is increasing along with the awareness of the vulnerability of the world's ecosystem as a whole. Animal welfare groups are also increasing the public profile of stock management methods, especially with respect to intensive stock systems.

A growing concern over the use of agrichemicals combined with current economic conditions indicated a need for alternative production technologies. One alternative available is a return to farming without the use of synthetic fertilisers and chemicals, a system presently known as organic farming. Organic farming embodies the removal of chemical inputs from production, an environmental philosophy and an emphasis on soil husbandry.

The public, farmers and research institutions are showing a high level of interest in organic farming. The Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries has committed research and marketing resources to organic farming, setting up two organic production systems, one at

Flock House, Bulls and the other at the Levin Horticultural Research Centre. This is done with a view to developing a commercial export industry (Scott 1987, Galloway 1988). Consumer demand for organic produce is increasing both domestically and overseas (Horticultural Market Research Unit 1986).

The Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries has carried out two surveys of organic farming in recent years. The first by Gunning and Cullen (1983) and the second by Haystead (1987). The latter monitored paired farms over a three year period. These reports showed that there is potential for profitable organic production.

A later survey carried out by Fisher (1989) focused on identifying barriers to the adoption of organic farming. Pest control was identified as the main technical problem for organic farmers, and the period of transition from conventional to organic agriculture was a major barrier due to investment costs and low income.

However, Gunning and Cullen (1983), Haystead (1987) and Fisher (1989) did not answer some questions regarding organic farming practices. There was little information on the management of organic properties, the type of farmers involved, labour input and the effects of changing from conventional to organic farming. In the project described here it was proposed to answer some of these questions by a postal survey.

The objectives of this survey were:

- a) to identify the farm and farmer's characteristics
- b) to determine management factors of organic farmers
- c) to assess effects of changing from conventional to organic farming

## GLOSSARY OF TERMS

**Bio Gro** is the organic food trademark owned and administered by the BPC.

**bio-dynamics (BD)** is a farming system that incorporates all of the Bio Gro standards and regulations, but is based on a series of 1924 lectures by the philosopher Rudolf Steiner. Bio-dynamic farmers use homeopathic sprays, an astrological calendar, and other practices to stimulate cosmic and terrestrial forces into their farm. <sup>The</sup> system features a distinctive philosophical base, and a strong sense of community between BD farmers and consumers. Bio-dynamic farming has been practised in New Zealand since the late 1920's.

**Bio-Dynamic Farming and Gardening Association (BD Assn.)** owns and administers the Demeter label.

**Biological Producers Council (BPC)** is a semi-voluntary organisation that owns and administers the Bio Gro label.

**conventional farming** refers to a production system which uses a full range of production techniques such as pre- and post-plant tillage practices, synthetic fertilisers and pesticides.

**conventional producers** are those farmers that are using conventional techniques and are unaware, uninterested or not presently employing organic methods on their farm.

**Demeter** is the trademark and production standard of the BD Assn.

**ecological agriculture** is the concept and design of the farm as an ecosystem. As a management philosophy, it emphasises farm design as a solution to production problems, the interconnection between and hierarchy of systems, and the environmental effects of management practices. Using a wholistic approach, therefore, chemical use is not precluded where the environmental costs of chemicals are less than non-chemical management strategies (Fisher 1989). **Permaculture** is based on these concepts.

**fertiliser** is a material which enhances the fertility of the soil (MacIntyre 1987).

**International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements**

**(IFOAM)** is an international OF organisation to which the BPC is affiliated. IFOAM standardised production specifications for organic produce, and coordinates scientific research on OF.

**low input farms** are designed to reduce the amount of materials brought onto the farm from outside to a level substantially below that required to maximise net production per hectare (Vine and Bateman 1981). Unlike OF, low input farming refers to the quantity, not the quality of farm inputs. Low input farms tend to intergrate all suitable techniques rather than exclude chemicals.

**MAF** Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries of New Zealand.

**organic farming** as strictly defined for this thesis is the **Biological Producers Council Standard for Organic Food Production**. There are several differing interpretations of the terms organic farming which will be discussed in the literature review.

**organic produce** refers to produce grown by organic farming methods.

**pesticide** is the generic name referring to most of the lethal chemical techniques employed by humans to limit or prevent pest damage to agricultural products.

**pests** are organisms which are declared or perceived as detrimental to agricultural enterprises. They include weeds, fungi, nematodes, insects and other animals.

**sabbatical farming** is an organic farming system where every year one seventh of the farm is fallowed for one year. The area fallowed is rotated around the farm.

**sustainable farming systems** involve the succesful management of resources for agriculture to satisfy changing human needs while maintaining or enhancing the quality of the environment and conserving natural resources (Chantalakhana 1990).

**transitional organic producers** are those which have achieved transitional Bio Gro status and usually have converted less than two years ago to organic farming.

**Willing Workers On Organic Farms association (WWOOF)** is an international volunteer organisation which allows members to experience life on organic farms in other countries. Participants work on organic farms in exchange for their board and keep.