

Article

Pathogenicity of *Beauveria bassiana* PfBb and Immune Responses of a Non-Target Host, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae)

Yi-Ping Gao ¹, Mei Luo ¹, Xiao-Yun Wang ¹, Xiong Zhao He ², Wen Lu ¹ and Xia-Lin Zheng ^{1,*}

¹ Guangxi Key Laboratory of Agric-Environment and Agric-Products Safety, College of Agriculture, Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, China

² School of Agriculture and Environment, Massey University, Private Bag 11222, Palmerston North 4410, New Zealand

* Correspondence: zheng-xia-lin@163.com; Tel.: +86-0771-3235612

Simple Summary: In this study, we tested the pathogenicity of *Beauveria bassiana* PfBb on the important agricultural pest *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) by determining the relative activities of protective enzymes and detoxifying enzymes in different larval instars. Our results show that the *B. bassiana* PfBb strain could infect all six larval instars of *S. frugiperda*, and its virulence to *S. frugiperda* larvae gradually increased with an increase in spore concentration. Furthermore, the activities of protective enzymes (i.e., catalase, peroxidase, and superoxide dismutase) and detoxifying enzymes (i.e., glutathione S-transferases, carboxylesterase, and cytochrome P450) in *S. frugiperda* larvae of the first three instars infected with *B. bassiana* PfBb changed significantly with infection time, but such variations were not obvious in the fifth and sixth instars. These findings laid the foundation for further research on the mechanism by which *B. bassiana* controls *S. frugiperda*.

Abstract: Exploring the pathogenicity of a new fungus strain to non-target host pests can provide essential information on a large scale for potential application in pest control. In this study, we tested the pathogenicity of *Beauveria bassiana* PfBb on the important agricultural pest *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) by determining the relative activities of protective enzymes and detoxifying enzymes in different larval instars. Our results show that the *B. bassiana* PfBb strain could infect all six larval instars of *S. frugiperda*, and its virulence to *S. frugiperda* larvae gradually increased with an increase in spore concentration. Seven days after inoculation, the LC₅₀ of *B. bassiana* PfBb was 7.7×10^5 , 5.5×10^6 , 2.2×10^7 , 3.1×10^8 , 9.6×10^8 , and 2.5×10^{11} spores/mL for first to sixth instars of *S. frugiperda*, respectively, and the LC₅₀ and LC₉₀ of *B. bassiana* PfBb for each *S. frugiperda* instar decreased with infection time, indicating a significant dose effect. Furthermore, the virulence of *B. bassiana* PfBb to *S. frugiperda* larvae gradually decreased with an increase in larval instar. The activities of protective enzymes (i.e., catalase, peroxidase, and superoxide dismutase) and detoxifying enzymes (i.e., glutathione S-transferases, carboxylesterase, and cytochrome P450) in *S. frugiperda* larvae of the first three instars infected with *B. bassiana* PfBb changed significantly with infection time, but such variations were not obvious in the fifth and sixth instars. Additionally, after being infected with *B. bassiana* PfBb, the activities of protective enzymes and detoxification enzymes in *S. frugiperda* larvae usually lasted from 12 to 48 h, which was significantly longer than the control. These results indicate that the pathogenicity of *B. bassiana* PfBb on the non-target host *S. frugiperda* was significant but depended on the instar stage. Therefore, the findings of this study suggest that *B. bassiana* PfBb can be used as a bio-insecticide to control young larvae of *S. frugiperda* in an integrated pest management program.

Keywords: *Spodoptera frugiperda*; *Beauveria bassiana*; virulence; non-target host; enzyme activity

Citation: Gao, Y.-P.; Luo, M.; Wang, X.-Y.; He, X.Z.; Lu, W.; Zheng, X.-L. Pathogenicity of *Beauveria bassiana* PfBb and Immune Responses of a Non-Target Host, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Insects* **2022**, *13*, 914. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects13100914>

Academic Editor: Raquel Martín Hernández

Received: 3 September 2022

Accepted: 3 October 2022

Published: 8 October 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Insect epidemics caused by entomopathogenic fungi can naturally suppress pest populations, reduce pesticide use, and maintain ecological balance. A wide range of entomopathogenic fungi, particularly those belonging to the *Beauveria*, *Isaria*, *Lecanicillium*, and *Metarhizium* genera, have been widely studied [1–4]. However, an improved understanding of the pathogenicity of entomogenous fungi is a prerequisite for evaluating pest control efficiency. To date, some entomopathogenic fungi have been commercialized and widely used for pest management in greenhouses and fields, especially *Beauveria bassiana* [5–7]. Many studies have shown that *B. bassiana* has significant lethal effects on a variety of insect pests, such as *Ostrinia furnacalis* [8], *Cylas formicarius* [9], *Bemisia tabaci* [10], *Lycorma delicatula* [5], *Frankliniella occidentalis* [6], and *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* [4]. Although *B. bassiana* has strong host specificity, the virulence of different strains to non-target host pests varies greatly [11–13]. Therefore, clarifying the virulence of a new *B. bassiana* strain to non-target host pests can provide essential information for potential application in pest control on a large scale.

Insects are usually exposed to xenobiotics in the environment, in which their successful adaptation to these environmental risks requires an efficient system to detoxify and eliminate these substances from their bodies. For example, *B. bassiana* affects the normal physiological and metabolic activities in infected insects [14]; it causes damage to the free amino acids in the hemolymph, and interferes with a variety of important metabolic enzymes, such as glutathione S-transferases (GST) [15], carboxylesterase (CarE) [16], and cytochrome P450 (CYP450) [17], which play an important role in the detoxification of penetrated xenobiotics [18,19]. In addition, the protective enzyme systems in insects, mainly including catalase (CAT), peroxidase (POD), and superoxide dismutase (SOD), could reduce oxidative damage by degrading H₂O₂ [20]. The toxic reactive oxygen species (ROS) are generated and accumulated by the innate immune cells of organisms when insects are under stressful conditions, such as the applications of chemical pesticides and entomopathogenic fungi [21–23]. In many studies, to maintain normal cellular function and reduce oxidative damage, different types of ROS are scavenged by protective enzymes [24,25]. Therefore, understanding the activity of detoxifying enzymes and protective enzyme systems is helpful for exploring the resistance mechanism of insects during fungal infection, which is of great significance for pest control.

The fall armyworm (FAW), *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), is a notorious migratory pest originating from the Americas [26]. It invaded Africa in 2016 [27], and then Southeast Asian countries such as India [28], Myanmar, and Vietnam in 2018 [29]. *Spodoptera frugiperda* was first recorded in Yunnan, China, in 2019 [30]. It has become a major agricultural pest across borders and continents due to its wide host range, high reproductive capacity, and fast dispersal ability [31]. A variety of natural enemies attack *Spodoptera frugiperda*, but they have rarely been used in the biological control of this pest in China [32]. Thus far, the control of *S. frugiperda* has mainly relied on chemical insecticides [33,34]. However, the long-term application of insecticides could result in the development of insecticide resistance and damage to the environment. Alternatively, a large number of studies have shown that *B. bassiana* is pathogenic to *S. frugiperda* [35,36], and that *B. bassiana* could be compatible with natural enemies to improve the control effect [37]. Therefore, entomopathogenic fungi could be prime substitutes for insecticides [38,39]. However, most entomopathogenic isolates show high mortality only to young larvae but low pathogenicity to old larvae [40]. The potential causes are still unknown.

The entomopathogenic fungus, *B. bassiana* PfBb strain, was isolated from the naturally infected dead larvae of the diurnal red moth, *Phaуда flammans*, in Du'an County (108°8' E, 23°52' N), Hechi City, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, China. Our previous studies confirmed that the *B. bassiana* PfBb strain has strong pathogenicity to *P. flammans* larvae compared with other entomopathogenic fungi [41,42]. For example, the mortality of *P. flammans* larvae observed after treatment with *B. bassiana* PfBb (97.50 ± 2.89%) is significantly higher than those infected with *Metarhizium anisopliae* Mafz 01 (52.5 ±

6.45%), *B. brongniartii* 400 ($52.5 \pm 6.45\%$), and *B. bassiana* Dsxj-07 ($73.75 \pm 6.29\%$) at 1.0×10^9 spores/mL [41]. Additionally, *B. bassiana* PfBb has high compatibility with insecticides (e.g., beta cypermethrin), which could increase the efficiency of insecticides [42]. However, the lethal effect of *B. bassiana* PfBb on other lepidopterans has not been tested. In this study, therefore, we tested the pathogenicity of *B. bassiana* PfBb on *S. frugiperda* larvae of different instars and determined the protective enzymes and detoxifying enzymes in the immune resistance of *S. frugiperda* larvae infected with *B. bassiana* PfBb.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Insects

The larvae of *S. frugiperda* were collected from corn fields at Jiaoyi township ($119^{\circ}28'$ E, $22^{\circ}83'$ N), Hengzhou City, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, China. The larvae were individually reared with corn leaves in plastic Petri dishes (9 cm diameter \times 1.5 cm height) at 26 ± 1 °C, with $75 \pm 10\%$ RH, and a photoperiod of 14:10 h (L:D) until pupation in the artificial climate chambers (LRH-250, Changzhou Putian Instrument Manufacturing Co., Ltd., Changzhou, China). Newly emerged moths were paired in plastic cups (11.5 cm diameter \times 15.5 cm height) and fed with 10% honey solution supplied in a small cotton wick. The cups were replaced with a new one after the eggs laid on the cup wall were observed. The cups with eggs were maintained in plastic boxes (24.5 cm diameter \times 12.0 cm height) until the hatching of neonate larvae. *S. frugiperda* larvae were reared on leaves of corn seedlings (Meiyu No. 3; Hainan Lvchuan Seed Co., Ltd., Hainan, China) planted in the laboratory, and newly hatched larvae of the fourth generation were used for the experiments.

2.2. Entomopathogenic Fungus

The entomopathogenic fungus *B. bassiana* PfBb strain used in this study was isolated in 2018 from naturally infected dead larvae of *P. flammans* in Guangxi. Before the experiment, the strain was cultured on potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium (200 g potato, 20 g glucose, 15 g agar, 1000 mL water, and natural pH) for 15 days at 25 ± 1 °C, with $80 \pm 5\%$ RH and a photoperiod of 0:24 h (L:D). After being cultured for 15 days, the fungal conidia were harvested from the surface of the culture medium. After preparing the conidial suspensions, the conidial concentration was determined using a hemocytometer (MC02270113, Shanghai Qiujiing Biochemical Reagent & Instrument Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) and adjusted to 1×10^5 , 1×10^6 , 1×10^7 , and 1×10^8 spores/mL using Tween-80 (0.05%) solution [43].

2.3. Virulence of *B. bassiana* PfBb on *S. frugiperda* Larvae

Four conidial concentrations (i.e., treatments: 1×10^5 , 1×10^6 , 1×10^7 , and 1×10^8 spores/mL) with Tween-80 (0.05%) as the control (CK) were set up to test the virulence of *B. bassiana* PfBb on six *S. frugiperda* larval instars. There were three replicates for each treatment, with 30 individuals per treatment. For each treatment, the *S. frugiperda* larvae were immersed individually in the solution for 10 s and placed in a Petri dish. The larvae were reared at 26 ± 1 °C, $75 \pm 10\%$ RH, and a photoperiod of 14:10 h (L:D) and checked twice (09:00 and 21:00) a day for seven consecutive days. A larva was regarded as dead if it did not respond when touched with a brush. The number of dead larvae was recorded, and the cumulative mortality was calculated as [(treatment group mortality—control group mortality)/(1—control group mortality) \times 100%]. The median lethal concentration (LC₅₀) of *S. frugiperda* larvae was calculated based on the cumulative mortality of each treatment during the first seven days. The dead larvae were removed into a culture dish sterilized with 75% alcohol to moisten the culture. If mycelia were observed on the larval integument, they were considered cadavers. The number of insect cadavers was recorded to calculate the cadaver rate (number of dead larvae growing mycelium/total number of dead larvae \times 100%) [43].

2.4. Time-Dose-Mortality (TDM) Model of *B. bassiana* PfBb Affecting *S. frugiperda*

A time-dose-mortality model of *B. bassiana* PfBb against *S. frugiperda* larvae was established based on data obtained from the virulence test. LC₅₀ and LC₉₀ were calculated using the model to simulate time and dose-response parameters. The establishment of the TDM model requires a bioassay, including i dose (the dose is i), day after treatment is j , the cumulative mortality probability p_{ij} ($p_{ij} = 1 - \exp[-\exp(\tau_j + \beta \log_{10}(d_i))]$) caused by any dose d_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, i$) at any time t_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, j$), where β is the slope of dose effect and τ_j is the cumulative time effect parameter from t_1 to t_j . Note that p_{ij} is a time-dependent variable. However, the above equation cannot directly fit the bioassay data because the binomial variable, p_{ij} , for modeling does not satisfy the requirement for independence of time. To guarantee that the observed mortality probability was independent of time, the true mortality that occurred at d_j at the interval $[t_{j-1}, t_j]$ was considered. That is, the conditional death probability q_{ij} , which can be expressed as $q_{ij} = 1 - \exp[-\exp(\gamma_j + \beta \log_{10}(d_i))]$, where, γ_j is the time effect parameter to be estimated in the time interval $[t_{j-1}, t_j]$ [44].

2.5. Enzymatic Sample Preparation

The *S. frugiperda* larvae of different instars were treated by the immersion method with 1×10^8 spores/mL of *B. bassiana* PfBb and Tween-80 (0.05%) as the treatment group and control group, respectively. The treated *S. frugiperda* larvae were reared the same way as above. During culturing, the treated larvae were sampled randomly at 12, 24, 36, 48, 60, and 72 h after treatment with *B. bassiana* PfBb or Tween-80 (0.05%). The number of samples for each test group at every time point was 50 (1st and 2nd instars), 10 (3rd and 4th instars), and 5 larvae (5th and 6th instars), respectively. The insect samples were homogenized with 0.1 mol/L PBS (sample weight: PBS = 1 g:9 mL) using an electric homogenizer (JXF-STPRP-24L, Shanghai Jingxin Industrial Development Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China). Next, the tissue homogenate was centrifuged (Centrifuge 5424R, Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) at 12,000 rpm and 4 °C for 15 min. The supernatant, as the enzyme source, was transferred to new tubes and stored at -20 °C [45]. Each test was repeated three times, and the enzymatic activities associated with the observed larvae were determined.

2.6. Measurement of Enzyme Activities in *S. frugiperda* Larvae

The total protein quantitative assay kit (Product ID: A045-2-2), CAT assay kit (Product ID: A007-1-1), POD assay kit (Product ID: A084-1-1), SOD assay kit (Product ID: A001-1), GSTs assay kit (Product ID: A004-1-1), and CarE assay kit (Product ID: A133-1-1) were purchased from Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China. The insect CYP450 ELISA Kit (Product ID: ml036261) was obtained from Shanghai MLBIO Biotechnology Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China. The optical density was recorded using an absorbance microplate reader (Spectra Max Plus 384, Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA, USA).

Activity assays of each enzyme were carried out by following the manufacturer's instructions. The NH₃⁺ group of the protein molecule can be combined with the anion of Coomassie brilliant blue to make the solution turn blue, and the protein content can be calculated by measuring the absorbance at 595 nm wavelength after 10 min of reaction. CAT activity determination is defined as the amount of 1 μmol H₂O₂ decomposed per milligram of tissue protein per second as 1 unit (U). Briefly, H₂O₂ was utilized as a substrate, and the absorbance of the reaction was measured at 405 nm wavelength for 1 min. The determination of POD activity was defined as 1 U per mg of tissue protein per minute to catalyze 1 μg of substrate per minute at 37 °C. In short, the absorbance after 30 min of tissue protein reaction was measured at a wavelength of 420 nm. For SOD activity determination, the amount of SOD corresponding to the SOD inhibition rate of 50% per mg of tissue protein in 1 mL of reaction solution was taken as 1 U. In summary, the absorbance of the enzyme solution after reacting at 37 °C for 40 min was measured at a wavelength of 550 nm. GST activity determination. The non-enzymatic reaction was subtracted from the reaction per mg of tissue protein at 37 °C for 1 min, and the GSH concentration in the

reaction system was reduced by 1 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ as 1 U. That is, after the enzyme solution was reacted at 37 °C for 10 min, the absorbance was measured at a wavelength of 412 nm. The CarE activity was determined as 1 U per mg of tissue protein at 37 °C with an increase of 1 in catalytic absorbance per minute. Briefly, the difference in absorbance was measured at a wavelength of 450 nm after the enzyme solution reacted for 10 and 190 s [45]. To determine CYP450 activity, a linear regression equation was made with the standard product. The absorbance of the enzyme solution at 450 nm after reacting at 37 °C for 60 min was measured, and the concentration value and enzyme activity of each sample were calculated according to the equation. CAT, POD, SOD, and GST enzyme activities in *S. frugiperda* larvae were calculated based on their protein content (Figure S1).

2.7. Statistical Analyses

The normality and homoscedasticity of all data were tested prior to analysis using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov and Levene’s tests, respectively. Data on cadaver rates and enzyme activities were analyzed using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by Tukey’s honestly significant difference (HSD) multiple tests. The regression equation, LT_{50} , LT_{90} and their 95% confidence limits were calculated by Probit regression analysis. The effects of *B. bassiana* PfBb on enzyme activities in infected *S. frugiperda* larvae were analyzed by an independent samples *t*-test. The statistical significance level was determined to be $\alpha \leq 0.05$. A probit regression was applied to analyze the virulence of *B. bassiana* PfBb to six instars of *S. frugiperda* larvae, and calculate the LC_{50} , LC_{90} , and their 95% confidence limits. Survival curves were subjected to a log-rank test and graphed using GraphPad Prism 8.0.2 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). The Hosmer–Lemeshow test was performed on the time-dose-mortality model using DPS 7.05, and a *t*-test was used to analyze the significance of the dose and time-effect parameters of different instar larvae. Data analyses were performed using SPSS 25.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Effect of *B. bassiana* PfBb on *S. frugiperda* Larvae

The *S. frugiperda* larvae of different instars can be infected by *B. bassiana* PfBb. After the dead larvae were wet-cultured, white hyphae grew on both sides of the integument, and then the hyphae wrapped the whole body (Figure 1).



Figure 1. *Spodoptera frugiperda* larvae infected with *Beauveria bassiana* PfBb. (A–F) represent the first to sixth larval instars, respectively. Scales for different instars are 1 mm.

3.2. Effect of *B. bassiana* PfBb on Mortality of *S. frugiperda* Larvae

Mortality of *S. frugiperda* larvae on each instar increased gradually with the increase in the spore concentration of *B. bassiana* PfBb (Log-rank test, first instar: $\chi^2_4 = 81.82, p < 0.001$; second instar: $\chi^2_4 = 88.07, p < 0.001$; third instar: $\chi^2_4 = 67.64, p < 0.001$; fourth instar: $\chi^2_4 = 42.84, p < 0.001$; fifth instar: $\chi^2_4 = 39.21, p < 0.001$; sixth instar: $\chi^2_4 = 15.17, p = 0.004$) (Figure 2). For example, the mortality rate of the first instar larvae increased from 25.00% at day 1 to 82.33% at day 5 after being treated at 1.0×10^8 spores/mL (Figure 2A). A similar mortality pattern was also detected for the second to fifth instars (Figure 2B–E). After 7 days of treatment, the cumulative mortality of the sixth instar larvae treated with 1.0×10^7 and 1.0×10^8 spores/mL suspensions was 15.35% and 17.02%, respectively, which are significantly higher than those treated with CK (3.33%), 1.0×10^5 (3.42%), and 1.0×10^6 spores/mL (6.67%) (Figure 2F). Obviously, the cumulative mortality gradually reduced with the increase in the larval instar (Figure 2A–F). After 7 days of treatment, the LC₅₀ of spore concentrations increased with the instar stage of *S. frugiperda* (Table 1).




Figure 2. Survival curves of *Spodoptera frugiperda* larvae treated with spore suspensions of different concentrations of *Beauveria bassiana* PfBb. (A–F) represent the first to sixth larval instars, respectively.

Table 1. Toxicity of *Beauveria bassiana* PfBb to *Spodoptera frugiperda* larvae.

Instar	Regression Equation	χ^2	p	LC ₅₀ ($\times 10^5$ Spores/mL)	95% Confidence Limit (Spores/mL)
First	$y = 0.42x - 2.48$	0.46	0.796	7.68	$2.13 \times 10^5 - 1.92 \times 10^6$
Second	$y = 0.54x - 3.66$	1.23	0.541	54.64	$2.62 \times 10^6 - 1.23 \times 10^7$
Third	$y = 0.44x - 3.22$	0.36	0.836	220.11	$8.75 \times 10^6 - 8.88 \times 10^7$

Fourth	$y = 0.40x - 3.43$	0.50	0.781	3084.06	$7.08 \times 10^7 \sim 7.69 \times 10^9$
Fifth	$y = 0.41x - 3.67$	0.78	0.678	9579.65	$1.62 \times 10^8 \sim 6.91 \times 10^{10}$
Sixth	$y = 0.23x - 2.62$	0.50	0.780	2548351.52	$1.66 \times 10^9 \sim 1.49 \times 10^{31}$

y is the expected mortality and x is the logarithm of concentration. All data were calculated using the mortality rates on the seventh day after treatment.

3.3. Effect of *B. bassiana* PfBb on the Cadaver Rate of Infected *S. frugiperda* Larvae

For a given larval instar (i.e., first to fifth instars), the cadaver rate of instar larvae significantly increased with the increase of spore concentration (first instar: $F_{3,8} = 33.54, p < 0.001$; second instar: $F_{3,8} = 30.71, p < 0.001$; third instar: $F_{3,8} = 24.27, p < 0.001$; fourth instar: $F_{3,8} = 9.22, p = 0.006$; fifth instar: $F_{3,8} = 14.08, p = 0.002$) (Figure 3). For example, the cadaver rate of the treatment of 1.0×10^8 spores/mL ($76.67 \pm 5.77\%$) was significantly higher than those other treatment concentrations at the first instar larvae (Figure 3). The cadaver rate of the 4th ($36.67 \pm 7.64\%$) and 5th ($33.33 \pm 7.64\%$) instar larvae under the treatment of 1.0×10^8 spores/mL was also significantly higher than other treatment concentrations (Figure 3). However, there was no significant difference in the cadaver rate of the sixth instar larvae between different spore concentrations ($F_{3,8} = 3.42, p = 0.073$) (Figure 3).




Figure 3. Cadaver rate of *Spodoptera frugiperda* larvae inoculated with different concentrations (spores/mL) of *Beauveria bassiana* PfBb. For each instar, columns with the same letters are not significantly different (Tukey’s HSD test: $p > 0.05$).

3.4. Time-Dose-Mortality (TDM) Model of *B. bassiana* PfBb on *S. frugiperda* Larvae

The cumulative mortality data of 1–5 days for the first to second instar larvae, 1–6 days for the third to fifth instar larvae, and 2–7 days for the sixth instar larvae were applied to the TDM model for analysis according to the mortality of *S. frugiperda* larvae before and after infection with spores of *B. bassiana* PfBb. The Hosmer–Lemeshow test for the heterogeneity of the goodness of fit for the binomial variable p_{ij} was not significant (first instar: $\chi^2_7 = 0.45, p = 0.999$; second instar: $\chi^2_7 = 2.63, p = 0.917$; third instar: $\chi^2_7 = 1.72, p = 0.974$; fourth instar: $\chi^2_8 = 1.93, p = 0.983$; fifth instar: $\chi^2_8 = 2.13, p = 0.977$; sixth instar: $\chi^2_9 = 4.09, p = 0.905$), indicating that the data fit the model well (Table 2). The cumulative effect parameter τ_j increased with the increase in time, indicating that the dose effect, time effect, and their interaction effect significantly influenced the mortality of *S. frugiperda* larvae. The slopes (β) of dose response of first to sixth instar larvae to *B. bassiana* PfBb were

0.4068, 0.5825, 0.5602, 0.6144, 0.6952, and 0.4701, respectively, suggesting that the fifth instar larvae were the most sensitive to the increase of *B. bassiana* PfBb spore concentration. The time effect parameters of first and second instar larvae to *B. bassiana* PfBb reached the maximum on the third day after infection (γ_3), and that of third instar larvae on the second day (γ_2), fourth and fifth instar larvae on the fourth day (γ_4), and sixth instar larvae on the fifth day after infection (γ_5).

Table 2. Parameters estimated by fitting the time-does-mortality (TDM) model to assay data of *Beauveria bassiana* PfBb on *Spodoptera frugiperda* larvae.

Instar	Conditional Mortality Model					Cumulative Mortality Model			
	Parameter	Value	SE	<i>t</i>	<i>P</i>	Parameter	Value	Var (τ)	Cov (β, τ)
First	β	0.41	0.14	2.99	0.010	β	0.41	0.00	0.00
	γ_1	-4.35	0.98	4.46	0.001	τ_1	-4.35	0.06	-0.01
	γ_2	-4.21	0.97	4.32	0.001	τ_2	-3.59	0.06	-0.01
	γ_3	-4.11	0.97	4.23	0.001	τ_3	-3.12	0.06	-0.01
	γ_4	-4.24	0.99	4.28	0.001	τ_4	-2.84	0.06	-0.01
	γ_5	-5.55	1.18	4.70	0.000	τ_5	-2.77	0.06	-0.01
Second	β	0.58	0.17	3.53	0.003	β	0.58	0.00	0.00
	γ_1	-5.82	1.20	4.83	0.000	τ_1	-5.82	0.25	-0.03
	γ_2	-5.88	1.22	4.82	0.000	τ_2	-5.15	0.24	-0.03
	γ_3	-5.59	1.19	4.69	0.000	τ_3	-4.65	0.24	-0.03
	γ_4	-6.18	1.26	4.91	0.000	τ_4	-4.46	0.23	-0.03
	γ_5	-6.84	1.34	5.10	0.000	τ_5	-4.37	0.23	-0.03
Third	β	0.56	0.18	3.07	0.007	β	0.56	0.00	0.00
	γ_1	-6.25	1.38	4.54	0.000	τ_1	-6.25	0.27	-0.03
	γ_2	-5.89	1.32	4.47	0.000	τ_2	-5.36	0.25	-0.03
	γ_3	-6.03	1.35	4.47	0.000	τ_3	-4.95	0.24	-0.03
	γ_4	-6.19	1.36	4.56	0.000	τ_4	-4.69	0.24	-0.03
	γ_5	-6.53	1.38	4.74	0.000	τ_5	-4.55	0.24	-0.03
Fourth	β	0.61	0.25	2.50	0.023	β	0.61	0.01	0.01
	γ_1	-7.36	1.90	3.88	0.001	τ_1	-7.36	0.55	-0.07
	γ_2	-7.29	1.86	3.92	0.001	τ_2	-6.63	0.51	-0.07
	γ_3	-6.95	1.81	3.85	0.001	τ_3	-6.09	0.49	-0.07
	γ_4	-6.79	1.79	3.80	0.001	τ_4	-5.68	0.48	-0.07
	γ_5	-7.28	1.80	4.05	0.001	τ_5	-5.50	0.47	-0.07
Fifth	β	0.70	0.29	2.39	0.029	β	0.70	0.02	0.02
	γ_1	-8.65	2.35	3.68	0.002	τ_1	-8.65	1.32	-0.15
	γ_2	-8.01	2.22	3.61	0.002	τ_2	-7.58	1.17	-0.15
	γ_3	-8.36	2.24	3.73	0.002	τ_3	-7.21	1.14	-0.15
	γ_4	-7.37	2.12	3.49	0.003	τ_4	-6.59	1.09	-0.15
	γ_5	-8.64	2.21	3.91	0.001	τ_5	-6.47	1.07	-0.15
Sixth	β	0.47	0.33	1.42	0.173	β	0.47	0.03	0.03
	γ_2	-6.70	2.52	2.66	0.016	τ_2	-6.70	1.83	-0.23
	γ_3	-7.23	2.58	2.80	0.012	τ_3	-6.23	1.78	-0.23
	γ_4	-6.79	2.40	2.83	0.012	τ_4	-5.78	1.68	-0.22
	γ_5	-6.34	2.37	2.67	0.016	τ_5	-5.33	1.63	-0.22
	γ_6	-7.12	2.35	3.03	0.008	τ_6	-5.18	1.59	-0.22
	γ_7	-8.51	2.90	2.94	0.009	τ_7	-5.14	1.58	-0.22

The subscript number of parameter symbol indicates the days after being treated.

3.5. Effect of *B. bassiana* PfBb Concentration on the Lethality of *S. frugiperda* Larvae

According to the TDM model, the estimated dose effect of *S. frugiperda* larvae infected with *B. bassiana* PfBb is shown in Figure 4. With the extension of time, the lethal concentration decreased, and the dose effect increased (Figure 4). With the increase in lethal concentration, the time effect increased (Figure 4). However, the actual maximum cumulative mortality of the first to sixth instar larvae is not up to 90%. Therefore, the estimated LC₉₀ lethal concentrations corresponding to the first to sixth instar larvae were rather large (Figure 4).




Figure 4. Log₁₀ (LC₅₀) and Log₁₀ (LC₉₀) of *Spodoptera frugiperda* larvae infected with *Beauveria bassiana* PfBb over days after treatment. (A–F) represents the first to sixth instar larvae, respectively.

3.6. Effect of *B. bassiana* PfBb on CAT Enzyme Activity in *S. frugiperda* Larvae

The CAT activity in the first to fifth instars of *S. frugiperda* infected by *B. bassiana* PfBb was significantly different over infection time, with a peak at 36, 12, 24, 24, and 60 h, respectively ($F_{5,12} = 10.76, 10.30, 6.91, 5.17,$ and $3.32,$ respectively; $p < 0.05$); however, CAT activity in the sixth instar did not vary over the infection time ($F_{5,12} = 1.82, p = 0.184$) (Figure 5). Compared to that in the control, CAT activity was significantly higher at 24 h ($t_4 = 7.23, p = 0.002$) and 36 h ($t_4 = 6.53, p = 0.003$) in the first instar (Figure 5A), at 12 h ($t_4 = 4.08, p = 0.015$), 24 h ($t_4 = 4.87, p = 0.008$) and 36 h ($t_4 = 5.10, p = 0.007$) in the second instar (Figure 5B), at 24 h in the third instar ($t_4 = 5.43, p = 0.006$), and at 36 h ($t_4 = 4.00, p = 0.016$) and 48 h

($t_4 = 3.85, p = 0.018$) in the fourth instar (Figure 5C,D), with a significantly lower CAT activity detected at 24 h in the fifth instar ($t_4 = -4.12, p = 0.015$) (Figure 5E).




Figure 5. Effect of *Beauveria bassiana* PfBb on CAT activity in *Spodoptera frugiperda*. (A–F) represent the first to sixth larval instars, respectively. Means (\pm SD), followed by different lowercase letters in the control or treatment line, are significantly different (Tukey's HSD test: $p < 0.05$). Asterisks indicate a significant difference between control and treatment at a given time (t -test: $p < 0.05$).

3.7. Effect of *B. bassiana* PfBb on POD Enzyme Activity in *S. frugiperda* Larvae

The POD activity in the first to sixth instar larvae of *S. frugiperda* infected by *B. bassiana* PfBb significantly changed over the infection time, with a peak at 24, 12, 24, 36, 24, and 24 h, respectively ($F_{5,12} = 13.14, 14.64, 4.06, 7.12, 3.77, \text{ and } 5.36$, respectively; $p < 0.05$) (Figure 6). Compared to the control, POD activity was significantly higher at 24 h ($t_4 = 3.42, p = 0.027$), 36 h ($t_4 = 3.85, p = 0.018$), and 48 h ($t_4 = 3.13, p = 0.035$) in the first instar (Figure 6A), at 12 h ($t_4 = 5.32, p = 0.006$) in the second instar (Figure 6B), at 12 h in the third instar ($t_4 = 4.33, p = 0.012$) (Figure 6C), and at 48 h in the fourth instar ($t_4 = 3.97, p = 0.017$) (Figure 6D); while in the sixth instar, it was significantly lower at 36 h ($t_4 = -5.846, p = 0.004$) and 48 h ($t_4 = -3.025, p = 0.033$) (Figure 6F).




Figure 6. Effect of *Beauveria bassiana* PfBb on POD activity in *Spodoptera frugiperda*. (A–F) represents the first to sixth instar larvae, respectively. Means (\pm SD), followed by different lowercase letters in the control or treatment line, are significantly different (Tukey's HSD test: $p < 0.05$). Asterisks indicate a significant difference between control and treatment at a given time (t -test: $p < 0.05$).

3.8. Effect of *B. bassiana* PfBb on SOD Enzyme Activity in *S. frugiperda* Larvae

The SOD activity in *S. frugiperda* larvae did not significantly vary over the infection time in the first and fifth instars ($F_{5,12} = 0.92$ and 2.20 , respectively; $p > 0.05$) but significantly changed over time in the second, third, fourth, and sixth instars with a peak detected at 48, 24, 24, and 48 h, respectively ($F_{5,12} = 11.28$, 5.16 , 4.46 , and 4.49 , respectively; $p < 0.05$) (Figure 7). Compared to that in the control, SOD activity was significantly higher at 12 h ($t_4 = 3.19$, $p = 0.033$), 24 h ($t_4 = 4.99$, $p = 0.008$), and 36 h ($t_4 = 4.98$, $p = 0.008$) in the first instar (Figure 7A), and at 12 h ($t_4 = 3.51$, $p = 0.025$), 36 h ($t_4 = 3.40$, $p = 0.027$), and 48 h ($t_4 = 8.39$, $p = 0.001$) in the second instar (Figure 7B); while in the fourth instar larvae it was significantly lower at 12 h ($t_4 = -4.18$, $p = 0.014$) but higher at 60 h ($t_4 = 3.579$, $p = 0.023$) (Figure 7D), and in the fourth instar it was significantly lower at 12 h ($t_4 = -5.94$, $p = 0.004$) (Figure 7F).




Figure 7. Effect of *Beauveria bassiana* PfBb on SOD activity in *Spodoptera frugiperda*. (A–F) represents the first to sixth instar larvae, respectively. Means (\pm SD), followed by different lowercase letters in the control or treatment line, are significantly different (Tukey’s HSD test: $p < 0.05$). Asterisks indicate a significant difference between control and treatment at a given time (t -test: $p < 0.05$).

3.9. Effect of *B. bassiana* PfBb on GST Enzyme Activity in *S. frugiperda* Larvae

The GST activity in the first to fifth instars infected by *B. bassiana* PfBb significantly changed over infection time, with a peak detected at 36, 12, 12, 36, and 24 h, respectively ($F_{5,12} = 12.40, 10.04, 8.65, 8.08, \text{ and } 11.40$, respectively; $p < 0.05$), while in the sixth instar it did not significantly vary over infection time ($F_{5,12} = 2.15, p = 0.129$) (Figure 8). Compared to that in the control, GST activity was significantly higher at 12 h ($t_4 = 4.40, p = 0.012$), 24 h ($t_4 = 6.26, p = 0.003$), 36 h ($t_4 = 11.29, p < 0.001$), and 60 h ($t_4 = 4.32, p = 0.013$) in the first instar (Figure 8A), at 12 h ($t_4 = 6.22, p = 0.003$), and 24 h ($t_4 = 5.20, p = 0.007$) in the second instar (Figure 8B), at 12 h ($t_4 = 4.97, p = 0.008$) and 36 h ($t_4 = 4.97, p = 0.008$) in the third instar (Figure 8C), at 36 h ($t_4 = 3.31, p = 0.030$) and 48 h in the fourth instar ($t_4 = 3.09, p = 0.037$) (Figure 8D), and at 24 h in the fifth and sixth instars ($t_4 = 5.48$ and 3.94 , respectively; $p < 0.05$) (Figure 8E,F).




Figure 8. Effect of *Beauveria bassiana* PfBb on GST activity in *Spodoptera frugiperda*. (A–F) represents the first to sixth instar larvae, respectively. Means (\pm SD), followed by different lowercase letters in the control or treatment line, are significantly different (Tukey’s HSD test: $p < 0.05$). Asterisks indicate a significant difference between control and treatment at a given time (t -test: $p < 0.05$).

3.10. Effect of *B. bassiana* PfBb on CarE Enzyme Activity in *S. frugiperda* Larvae

The CarE activity in the first four and the sixth instars significantly changed over infection time, with a peak detected at 36, 36, 24, 48, and 60 h, respectively ($F_{5,12} = 4.22, 4.18, 6.06, 3.73, \text{ and } 5.95$, respectively; $p < 0.05$), while in the fifth instar it did not significantly vary over the infection time ($F_{5,12} = 1.23, p = 0.353$) (Figure 9). Compared to that in the control, CarE activity was significantly higher at 36 h ($t_4 = 3.40, p = 0.027$) in the first instar (Figure 9A), and at 12 h in the sixth instar ($t_4 = 4.80, p = 0.009$) (Figure 9F); however, it was significantly lower at 72 h in the second instar ($t_4 = -3.24, p = 0.032$) (Figure 9B), and at 36 h ($t_4 = -3.47, p = 0.026$) and 48 h ($t_4 = -4.07, p = 0.015$) in the third instar (Figure 9C).




Figure 9. Effect of *Beauveria bassiana* PfBb on CarE activity in *Spodoptera frugiperda*. (A–F) represents the first to sixth instar larvae, respectively. Means (\pm SD), followed by different lowercase letters in the control or treatment line, are significantly different (Tukey’s HSD test: $p < 0.05$). Asterisks indicate a significant difference between control and treatment at a given time (t -test: $p < 0.05$).

3.11. Effect of *B. bassiana* PfBb on CYP450 Enzyme Activity in *S. frugiperda* Larvae

The CYP450 activity in the first five instars significantly changed over infection time ($F_{5,12} = 6.87, 4.24, 19.00, 6.91$ and 7.83 , respectively; $p < 0.05$), while in the sixth instar it did not significantly vary over infection time ($F_{5,12} = 1.05, p = 0.435$) (Figure 10). Compared to that in the control, CYP450 activity was significantly lower at 24 h ($t_4 = -8.04, p = 0.001$), 36 h ($t_4 = -5.34, p = 0.006$), and 48 h ($t_4 = -8.17, p = 0.001$) in the first instar (Figure 10A), but significantly higher at 12 h ($t_4 = 4.60, p = 0.010$), 24 h ($t_4 = 4.33, p = 0.012$), and 36 h ($t_4 = 3.95, p = 0.017$) in the second instar (Figure 10B), at 12 h ($t_4 = 6.37, p = 0.003$) and 24 h ($t_4 = 8.85, p = 0.001$) in the third instar (Figure 10C), at 12 h in the fourth instar ($t_4 = 6.34, p = 0.003$) (Figure 10D), and at 12 h ($t_4 = 5.36, p = 0.006$) and 24 h ($t_4 = 3.02, p = 0.039$) in the fifth instar (Figure 10E).




Figure 10. Effect of *Beauveria bassiana* PfBb on CYP450 activity in *Spodoptera frugiperda*. (A–F) represents the first to sixth instar larvae, respectively. Means (\pm SD), followed by different lowercase letters in the control or treatment line, are significantly different (Tukey’s HSD test: $p < 0.05$). Asterisks indicate a significant difference between control and treatment at a given time (t -test: $p < 0.05$).

4. Discussion

Beauveria bassiana is a promising biological control agent for insect pests. In this study, we show that *B. bassiana* PfBb, a strain collected from *P. flammans* larvae, had strong pathogenicity to the young larvae of *S. frugiperda*, and that the protective enzymes and detoxifying enzymes in *S. frugiperda* larvae play important roles in resisting the infection of *B. bassiana* PfBb, especially on young larvae. Our results show that the pathogenicity of *B. bassiana* PfBb on non-target host *S. frugiperda*, as well as the protective enzymes and detoxifying enzymes in immune resistance, are instar-stage dependent.

Virulence is a major measure of pathogenicity in entomopathogenic fungi. The degree of virulence is directly related to the ability of entomopathogenic fungi to reduce insect mortality despite the existence of host resistance. The results of the current study show that *B. bassiana* PfBb, a strain collected from *P. flammans* larvae, had strong virulence to first to third instar larvae of *S. frugiperda*, though its pathogenicity gradually decreased with the increase of the larval instars (Figures 2 and 3; Tables 1 and 2). Similar results have also been reported when pathogenic fungi are collected from other host species [35] or when other pest insects are infected with *B. bassiana* [46,47]. For example, the application of *B. bassiana* has a higher effect on the young larvae of *Indarbela dea* [48], suggesting younger larvae are more susceptible to *B. bassiana* than older larvae [49]. This may be attributed to four reasons. First, the insect epidermis is the first barrier to pathogenic fungal

infection [50]. The pathogenicity of entomogenous fungi to pests often decreases with the increase of larval instars due to the higher content of melanin in the insect epidermis and midgut of older instars, hindering fungal budding [51]. Second, the virulence of entomogenous fungi to pests is also closely related to the structure of the larval body wall [52], because the body wall of young larvae is relatively thin, while the waxy layer of the body wall gradually thickens with the increase of the instar stage, which prevents the invasion of *B. bassiana* [53]. Third, the developmental period of the first to sixth instar larvae of *S. frugiperda* is 3.0, 2.0, 2.0, 1.1, 1.5, and 4.3 d, respectively, at 25 °C [54]. We speculate that the time period of spores attaching to the body surface of older instars is shorter due to the shortening molting process. Fourth, insects can overcome different poisons by regulating changes in protective and detoxifying enzymes in the body [55,56]. The reduced pathogenicity of *B. bassiana* PfBb to older *S. frugiperda* larvae in this study may be due to the enzyme systems in the non-target host (see discussion below).

After the pathogen successfully invades a host, it activates the host's defense system, resulting in changes in the host's protective enzymes, especially SOD, POD, and CAT. It is well known that a large quantity of active oxygen will accumulate in the insect bodies, which stimulates an antioxidant enzyme response when insects suffer from stress caused by adverse factors [19,57]. Earlier studies have shown that SOD and CAT activities are inversely correlated with larval instars [58]. In this study, our results illustrate that the activity of protective enzymes and reaction times in different larval instars varied differently after being infected with *B. bassiana* PfBb. These findings indicate that young larvae are more sensitive faster response time to *B. bassiana* PfBb. The protective enzyme activity in the first and second larval instars significantly increased first and then decreased. Similar results have been reported in *Bemisia tabaci*, *Mythimna separata*, and *Xylotrechus rusticus* [19,59,60]. For example, *B. bassiana* leads to an increase in reactive oxygen species in *X. rusticus* larvae in the early stage of infection and a quick activation of the antioxidant enzyme system [19]. However, the infection of pathogenic fungi leads to the inhabitation of antioxidant enzyme activity in the late instar stages of *S. frugiperda* (Figures 5–7), so the ability of infected larvae to scavenging free radicals in the body is weakened. Previous studies have shown that the oxygen balance in the body is easily disrupted when insects are infected with *B. bassiana* [21,61]. For example, SOD converts the superoxide radical O_2^- into H_2O_2 when insects are stimulated by exogenous compounds; however, CAT decomposes H_2O_2 into H_2O and O_2 when the concentration of H_2O_2 is too high, while POD decomposes when the concentration of H_2O_2 is low [62,63]. Moreover, the activity of protective enzymes determines the intensity of external stimuli [23], insecticide resistance, and stress resistance of insects [58,64]. In this study, we revealed that SOD and CAT activity in the fifth and sixth instars were not significantly different compared with the control. We speculate that *B. bassiana* PfBb has weaker stimulation to the older stage of non-target hosts and lower H_2O_2 concentration after infection, resulting in insignificant changes in the activity of protective enzymes. However, we only measured the activity of protective enzymes in susceptible larvae of *S. frugiperda*, and the changes in oxygen free radicals and gene expression in susceptible larvae need further research.

To inhibit further damage by pathogenic fungi, detoxification enzymes, such as CarE, GST, and CYP450, in insects can efficiently metabolize exogenous toxic compounds [17]. Theoretically, insects resist the poisoning of different exogenous substances by enhancing the activity of detoxification enzymes and promoting the expression of detoxification enzyme genes, thereby improving their resistance to pathogenic fungi. In the present study, the detoxification enzyme activity and reaction time in different larval instars varied differently after being infected with *B. bassiana* PfBb. The detoxification enzyme activity in the first to fourth larval instars changed significantly compared with the control (Figures 8–10). Similar findings have also been found in *X. rusticus* and *B. tabaci* [19,59]. Our results revealed that young larvae are more susceptible and have a faster reaction time to *B. bassiana*. GST is an important detoxification enzyme in the metabolism of endogenous and exogenous substances in insects, and the increase in GST activity can be used as a sensitive

indicator of tissue damage [65]. Our results illustrate that GST in *S. frugiperda* larvae was activated after *B. bassiana* PfBb was inoculated, but because the tissue was destroyed by poison, the GST synthesis capability was reduced with infection time, resulting in the GST increasing first and then decreasing (Figure 8). CarE is a specific catalyzing ester bond hydrolase that not only participates in lipid metabolism but also acts as a detoxification enzyme to metabolize exogenous toxins [16]. Our results show that CarE activity increased first and then decreased with increasing infection time (Figure 9), which is consistent with a previous report [19]. In contrast, the activity of CarE first decreased and then increased after being infected by *B. bassiana*, which is also reported in *P. flammans* [66]. The opposed CarE activity in target and non-target hosts could be attributed to their different resistances to the *B. bassiana* PfBb strain. Empirical evidence demonstrates that numerous responsive genes are differentially expressed in various hosts during infection by *B. bassiana*. For example, the increased or decreased expression of some special genes [i.e., the adhesion (Mad1), protease (Pr2), and secretory lipase] is an important factor for the difference in pathogenicity of *B. bassiana* to different hosts [13]. The differential expression of relative genes in target and non-target hosts infected by the *B. bassiana* PfBb strain needs further study. CYP450 is also a key enzyme involved in detoxification metabolism in insects. In this study, the CYP450 enzyme activity of the first instar larvae in the treatment was significantly lower than that of the control larvae (Figure 10). Cytochrome P450 enzymes are known to activate ecdysone [67]. We suggest that CYP450 enzyme activity decreases after the first instar larvae are infected, and that the synthesis of ecdysone is inhibited, eventually leading to death. Furthermore, the CYP450 enzyme activity in the sixth instar larvae was not significantly different from that in the control (Figure 9), probably due to the fact that the *B. bassiana* PfBb is not activate in the fatty acid degradation pathway, because the differences in cytochrome P450 gene expression are caused by differential degradation of thrips fatty acids of strains with similar virulence [68]. In addition, a study found that the detoxification enzyme activity of *Culex quinquefasciatus* increased with increases in *B. bassiana* concentrations [69]. Therefore, we assume that the pathogenicity of *B. bassiana* PfBb is related to the activity of detoxification enzymes.

5. Conclusions

Our findings confirm that the *B. bassiana* PfBb strain can infect all larval instars of *S. frugiperda* and result in a higher cadaver rate, especially for younger larvae. Furthermore, the virulence of *B. bassiana* PfBb to *S. frugiperda* larvae gradually increased with the increase in spore concentration, and the LC₅₀ and LC₉₀ of *B. bassiana* PfBb for each *S. frugiperda* instar decreased with infection time, indicating a significant dose effect. Although protective enzymes and detoxification enzymes in *S. frugiperda* larvae are usually activated between 12 and 48 h after treatment, the activity of these enzymes in the first three larval instars changed significantly over infection time, while such change in the fifth and sixth larval instars is not obvious. Our results indicate that the pathogenicity of *B. bassiana* PfBb on a non-target host, *S. frugiperda*, is significant but instar-stage dependent. In summary, our results suggest that *B. bassiana* PfBb can be used as a bio-insecticide to control young larvae of *S. frugiperda* in the integrated pest management program.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: <https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/insects13100914/s1>, Figure S1: Effect of *B. bassiana* PfBb on larval protein content of *S. frugiperda*.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Y.-P.G. and X.-L.Z.; methodology, Y.-P.G., M.L. and X.-L.Z.; software, Y.-P.G. and X.-L.Z.; validation, X.-L.Z., X.Z.H., X.-Y.W. and W.L.; formal analysis, Y.-P.G. and M.L.; investigation, Y.-P.G. and M.L.; resources, X.-L.Z.; data curation, Y.-P.G. and M.L.; writing—original draft preparation, Y.-P.G. and X.-L.Z.; writing—review and editing, Y.-P.G., X.-Y.W., X.Z.H., W.L. and X.-L.Z.; visualization, Y.-P.G.; supervision, X.-L.Z. and X.Z.H.; project administration, X.-L.Z.; funding acquisition, X.-L.Z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Guangxi Scholarship Fund of Guangxi Education Department.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data that are presented in this study are available in the article.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

References

- Zhang, X.N.; Jin, D.C.; Zou, X.; Guo, J.J. Laboratory and field evaluation of an entomopathogenic fungus, *Isaria catenianmulata* strain 08XS-1, against *Tetranychus urticae* (Koch). *Pest Manag. Sci.* **2016**, *72*, 1059–1066. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4233>.
- Ghaffari, S.; Karimi, J.; Kamali, S. Moghadam EM. Biocontrol of *Planococcus citri* (Hemiptera: Pseudococcidae) by *Lecanicillium longisporum* and *Lecanicillium lecanii* under laboratory and greenhouse conditions. *J. Asia-Pac. Entomol.* **2017**, *20*, 605–612. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aspen.2017.03.019>.
- Wasuwan, R.; Phosrithong, N.; Promdonkoy, B.; Sangsrakru, D.; Sonthirod, C.; Tangphatsornruang, S.; Likhitrattanapaisal, S.; Ingsriswang, S.; Srisuksam, C.; Klamchao, K.; et al. The fungus *Metarhizium* sp. BCC 4849 is an effective and safe mycoinsecticide for the management of spider mites and other insect pests. *Insects* **2021**, *13*, 42. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects13010042>.
- Zemek, R.; Konopická, J.; Jozová, E.; Skoková, H.O. Virulence of *Beauveria bassiana* strains isolated from cadavers of Colorado potato beetle, *Leptinotarsa decemlineata*. *Insects* **2021**, *12*, 1077. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12121077>.
- Clifton, E.H.; Hajek, A.E.; Jenkins, N.E.; Roush, R.T.; Rost, J.P.; Biddinger, D.J. Applications of *Beauveria bassiana* (Hypocreales: Cordycipitaceae) to control populations of spotted lanternfly (Hemiptera: Fulgoridae), in semi-natural landscapes and on grapevines. *Environ. Entomol.* **2020**, *49*, 854–864. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/nvaa064>.
- Wang, H.H.; Liu, S.; Wang, S.Y.; Lei, Z.R. Research and development of wettable powder of *Beauveria bassiana* and its control and application to *Frankliniella occidentalis*. *Chin. J. Biol. Control* **2020**, *36*, 858–861. (In Chinese) <https://doi.org/10.16409/j.cnki.2095-039x.2020.06.017>.
- Sullivan, C.F.; Parker, B.L.; Skinner, M. A Review of commercial *Metarhizium*- and *Beauveria*-based biopesticides for the biological control of ticks in the USA. *Insects* **2022**, *13*, 260. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects13030260>.
- Ma, X.M.; Liu, X.X.; Ning, X.; Zhang, B.; Han, F.; Guan, X.M.; Tan, Y.F.; Zhang, Q.W. Effects of *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxin Cry1Ac and *Beauveria bassiana* on Asiatic corn borer (Lepidoptera: Crambidae). *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* **2008**, *99*, 123–128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2008.06.014>.
- Reddy, G.V.P.; Zhao, Z.H.; Humber, R.A. Laboratory and field efficacy of entomopathogenic fungi for the management of the sweetpotato weevil, *Cylas formicarius* (Coleoptera: Brentidae). *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* **2014**, *122*, 10–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2014.07.009>.
- Zafar, J.; Freed, S.; Khan, B.A.; Farooq, M. Effectiveness of *Beauveria bassiana* against cotton whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) (Aleyrodidae: Homoptera) on different host plants. *Pak. J. Zool.* **2016**, *48*, 91–99. Available online: [http://zsp.com.pk/pdf48/91-99%20\(11\)%20PJZ-2260-15%2011-11-15%20Effectiveness%20of%20Beauveria%20bassiana%20against%20cotton%20wh_.pdf](http://zsp.com.pk/pdf48/91-99%20(11)%20PJZ-2260-15%2011-11-15%20Effectiveness%20of%20Beauveria%20bassiana%20against%20cotton%20wh_.pdf) (accessed on 5 April 2022).
- Fargues, J.; Remaudiere, G. Considerations on the specificity of entomopathogenic fungi. *Mycopathologia* **1977**, *62*, 31–37. Available online: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF00491993> (accessed on 26 June 2022).
- Cao, W.P.; Song, J.; Zhen, W.; Wang, J.Y.; Feng, S.L.; Du, L.X. Correlation between biological characteristics of *Beauveria bassiana* and its cuticle infection on different insects. *Chin. J. Biol. Control* **2013**, *29*, 503–508. (In Chinese) <https://doi.org/10.16409/j.cnki.2095-039x.2013.04.006>.
- Liu, J.; Ling, Z.Q.; Wang, J.J.; Xiang, T.T.; Xu, L.; Gu, C.X.; Liu, R.; Xu, J.; Xu, C.L.; Zhou, W.; et al. In vitro transcriptomes analysis identifies some special genes involved in pathogenicity difference of the *Beauveria bassiana* against different insect hosts. *Microb. Pathogenesis*. **2021**, *154*, 104824. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2021.104824>.
- Mazet, I.; Boucias, D.G. Effects of the fungal pathogen, *Beauveria bassiana* on protein biosynthesis of infected *Spodoptera exigua* larvae. *J. Insect Physiol.* **1996**, *42*, 91–99. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1910\(95\)00101-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1910(95)00101-8).
- Qin, G.H.; Jia, M.; Liu, T.; Xuan, T.; Zhu, K.Y.; Guo, Y.P.; Ma, E.B.; Zhang, J.Z. Identification and characterisation of ten glutathione S-transferase genes from oriental migratory locust, *Locusta migratoria manilensis* (Meyen). *Pest Manag. Sci.* **2011**, *67*, 697–704. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.2110>.
- Newcomb, R.D.; Campbell, P.M.; Ollis, D.L.; Cheah, E.; Russell, R.J.; Oakeshott, J.G. A single amino acid substitution converts a carboxylesterase to an organophosphorus hydrolase and confers insecticide resistance on a blowfly. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1997**, *94*, 7464–7468. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.14.746>.
- Cheng, T.C.; Wu, J.Q.; Wu, Y.Q.; Chilukuri, R.V.; Huang, L.H.; Yamamoto, K.; Feng, L.; Li, W.S.; Chen, Z.W.; Guo, H.Z.; et al. Genomic adaptation to polyphagy and insecticides in a major East Asian noctuid pest. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **2017**, *1*, 1747–1756. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0314-4>.
- Gillespie, J.P.; Bailey, A.M.; Cobb, B.; Vilcinskis, A. Fungi as elicitors of insect immune responses. *Arch. Insect Biochem. Physiol.* **2000**, *44*, 49–68. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1520-6327\(200006\)44:2<49::AID-ARCH1>3.0.CO;2-F](https://doi.org/10.1002/1520-6327(200006)44:2<49::AID-ARCH1>3.0.CO;2-F).

19. Ding, J.N.; Zhang, H.H.; Chi, D.F. Effects of a pathogenic *Beauveria bassiana* (Hypocreales: Cordycipitaceae) strain on detoxifying and protective enzyme activities in *Xylotrechus rusticus* (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae) larvae. *Fla. Entomol.* **2015**, *98*, 1148–1156. <https://doi.org/10.1653/024.098.0419>.
20. Dubovskiy, I.M.; Martemyanov, V.V.; Vorontsova, Y.L.; Rantala, M.J.; Gryzanova, E.V.; Glupov, V.V. Effect of bacterial infection on antioxidant activity and lipid peroxidation in the midgut of *Galleria mellonella* L. larvae (Lepidoptera, Pyralidae). *Comp. Biochem. Phys. C* **2008**, *148*, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2008.02.003>.
21. Turrens, J.F. Mitochondrial formation of reactive oxygen species. *J. Physiol.* **2003**, *552*, 335–344. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7793.2003.00335.x>.
22. Ki, Y.W.; Lee, J.E.; Park, J.H.; Shin, I.C.; Koh, H.C. Reactive oxygen species and mitogen-activated protein kinase induce apoptotic death of SH-SY5Y cells in response to fipronil. *Toxicol. Lett.* **2012**, *211*, 18–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2012.02.022>.
23. Li, P.W.; Fu, X.L.; Chen, S.C.; Hu, X.; Wang, X.Q.; Peng, P. Effect of temperature stress on four protective enzymes and overall antioxidant capacity in *Darna trima* (Moore). *Chin. J. Appl. Entomol.* **2016**, *53*, 809–816. (In Chinese) <https://doi.org/10.7679/j.issn.2095-1353.2016.100>.
24. Jayanthi, P.D.K.; Arthikirubha, A.; Vivek, K.; Ravindra, M.A.; Selvakumar, G.; Abraham, V. *Aspergillus flavus* impairs antioxidative enzymes of *Sternochetus mangiferae* during mycosis. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* **2015**, *124*, 73–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2014.11.004>.
25. Li, G.N.; Shi, M.; Zhao, S.; Long, Y.H.; Zhu, Y. Toxicity response of silkworm intestine to *Bacillus cereus* SW7-1 pathogen. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2019**, *692*, 1282–1290. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.07.349>.
26. Sparks, A.N. A review of the biology of the fall armyworm. *Fla. Entomol.* **1979**, *62*, 82–87. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3494083>.
27. Goergen, G.; Kumar, P.L.; Sankung, S.B.; Togola, A.; Tamo, M. First report of outbreaks of the fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae), a new alien invasive pest in west and central africa. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0165632. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0165632>.
28. Sharanabasappa.; Kalleshwaraswamy, C.M.; Asokan, R.; Mahadeva, S.H.M.; Maruthi, M.S.; Pavithra, H.B.; Hegde, K.; Navi, S.; Prabhu, S.T.; Goergen, G. First report of the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), an alien invasive pest on maize in India. *Pest Manag. Horticul. Ecosyst.* **2018**, *24*, 23–29. Available online: <http://aapmhe.in/index.php/pmhe/article/view/816> (accessed on 4 June 2022).
29. Guo, J.F.; Zhao, J.Z.; He, K.L.; Zhang, F.; Wang, Z.Y. Potential invasion of the crop-devastating insect pest fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* to China. *Plant Protection.* **2018**, *44*, 1–10. (In Chinese) <https://doi.org/10.16688/j.zwbh.2018452>.
30. Chen, H.; Yang, X.L.; Chen, A.D.; Li, Y.C.; Wang, D.H.; Liu, J.; Hu, G. Immigration timing and origin of the first fall armyworms (*Spodoptera frugiperda*) detected in China. *Chin. J. Appl. Entomol.* **2020**, *57*, 1270–1278. (In Chinese) <https://doi.org/10.7679/j.issn.2095-1353.2020.130>.
31. Wang, L.; Chen, K.W.; Zhong, G.H.; Xian, J.D.; He, X.F.; Lu, Y.Y. Progress for occurrence and management and the strategy of the fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Smith). *J. Environ. Entomol.* **2019**, *41*, 479–487. <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1674-0858.2019.03.7>. (In Chinese)
32. Chen, W.B.; Li, Y.Y.; Wang, M.Q.; Liu, C.X.; Mao, J.J.; Chen, H.Y.; Zhang, L.S. Natural enemy insect resources of the fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda*, their application status, and existing problems and suggestions. *Chin. J. Biol. Control* **2019**, *35*, 658–673. (In Chinese) <https://doi.org/10.16409/j.cnki.2095-039x.2019.05.013>.
33. Burtet, L.M.; Bernardi, O.; Melo, A.A.; Pes, M.P.; Strahl, T.T.; Guedes, J.V.C. Managing fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), with Bt maize and insecticides in southern Brazil. *Pest Manag. Sci.* **2017**, *73*, 2569–2577. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4660>.
34. Yan, X.J.; Yuan, H.Z.; Chen, Y.X.; Shi, X.; Liu, X.H.; Wang, Z.Y.; Liu, Y.; Yang, D.B. Broadcasting of tiny granules by drone to mimic liquid spraying for the control of fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*). *Pest Manag. Sci.* **2022**, *78*, 43–51. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.6604>.
35. Xu, Y.D.; Wei, H.S.; Shi, J.W.; Chen, H.H.; Shi, W.P.; Tan, S.Q. Comparison of virulence of three *Beauveria bassiana* strains against fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda*. *J. Plant Prot.* **2020**, *47*, 867–874. (In Chinese) <https://doi.org/10.13802/j.cnki.zwbhxb.2020.2020825>.
36. Rajula, J.; Pittarate, S.; Suwannarach, N.; Kumla, J.; Ptaszynska, A.A.; Thungrabeab, M.; Mekchay, S.; Krutmuang, P. Evaluation of native entomopathogenic fungi for the control of fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*) in Thailand: A sustainable way for eco-friendly agriculture. *J. Fungi* **2021**, *7*, 1073. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jof7121073>.
37. Deng, J.D.; Zhuang, W.X.; Liu, Y.J.; Song, L.W.; Zhang, L.W. Pathogenicity of white muscardine fungus *Beauveria bassiana* against Japanese pine sawyer beetle *Monochamus alternatus* and its compatibility with ectoparasitic beetle *Dastarcus helophoroides*. *J. Plant Prot.* **2021**, *48*, 602–609. (In Chinese) <https://doi.org/10.13802/j.cnki.zwbhxb.2021.2020277>.
38. Akutse, K.S.; Kimemia, J.W.; Ekesi, S.; Khamis, F.M.; Ombura, O.L.; Subramanian, S. Ovicidal effects of entomopathogenic fungal isolates on the invasive fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *J. Appl. Entomol.* **2019**, *143*, 626–634. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jen.12634>.
39. Faria, M.; Souza, D.A.; Sanches, M.M.; Schmidt, F.G.V.; Oliveira, C.M.; Benito, N.P.; Lopes, R.B. Evaluation of key parameters for developing a *Metarhizium rileyi*-based biopesticide against *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in maize: Laboratory, greenhouse, and field trials. *Pest Manag. Sci.* **2021**, *78*, 1146–1154. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.6729>.

40. Idrees, A.; Qadir, Z.A.; Akutse, K.S.; Afzal, A.; Hussain, M.; Islam, W.; Waqas, M.S.; Bamisile, B.S.; Li, J. Effectiveness of entomopathogenic fungi on immature stages and feeding performance of fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) Larvae. *Insects* **2021**, *12*, 1044. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12111044>.
41. Chen, X.M.; Wang, X.Y.; Lu, W.; Zheng, X.L. Virulence of four entomogenous fungi against the larvae of *Phauda flammans* (Walker). *Guangxi Plant Prot.* **2020**, *33*, 1–5. Available online: https://t.cnki.net/kcms/detail?v=2Hzf_72mFvAinGMY72vEySGSVRX8f3T-EUYTKh75dxlmRCNh_FcTRWY2UnAX-wTlzeaPgnO2fsU0Y4R245ZN3YNAV9_3U3kExfojCOcmXzFNw6Gm1p0xZWTbaJfcJkGK&uniplatform=NZKPT (accessed on 25 May 2021). (In Chinese)
42. Chen, X.M.; Wang, X.Y.; Lu, W.; Zheng, X.L. Use of *Beauveria bassiana* in combination with commercial insecticides to manage *Phauda flammans* (Walker) (Lepidoptera: Phaudidae): Testing for compatibility and synergy. *J. Asia-Pac. Entomol.* **2021**, *24*, 272–278. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aspen.2021.01.016>.
43. Qasim, M.; Lin, Y.W.; Dash, C.K.; Bamisile, B.S.; Ravindran, K.; Ul Islam, S.; Ali, H.; Wang, F.F.; Wang, L.D. Temperature-dependent development of Asian citrus psyllid on various hosts, and mortality by two strains of *Isaria*. *Microb. Pathogenesis* **2018**, *119*, 109–118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2018.04.019>.
44. Feng, M.G.; Liu, C.L.; Xu, J.H.; Xu, Q. Modeling and biological implication of time-dose-mortality data for the entomophthoralean fungus, *Zoophthora anhuiensis*, on the green peach aphid *Myzus persicae*. *J. Invertebr Pathol.* **1998**, *72*, 246–251. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jipa.1998.4794>.
45. Qasim, M.; Xiao, H.M.; He, K.; Omar, M.A.A.; Hussain, D.; Noman, A.; Rizwan, M.; Khan, K.A.; Al-zoubi, O.M.; Alharbi, S.A.; et al. Host-pathogen interaction between Asian citrus psyllid and entomopathogenic fungus (*Cordyceps fumosorosea*) is regulated by modulations in gene expression, enzymatic activity and HLB-bacterial population of the host. *Comp. Biochem. Phys. C* **2021**, *248*, 109112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpc.2021.109112>.
46. El Hussein, M.M.M. Effect of the fungus, *Beauveria bassiana* (Balsamo) Vuillemin, on the beet armyworm, *Spodoptera exigua* (Hübner) larvae (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), under laboratory and open field conditions. *Egypt. J. Biol. Pest Control* **2019**, *29*, 52. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-019-0158-0>.
47. Omar, G.; Ibrahim, A.; Hamadah, K. Virulence of *Beauveria bassiana* and *Metarhizium anisopliae* on different stages of the pink bollworm, *Pectinophora gossypiella* (Saunders) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Egypt. J. Biol. Pest Control* **2021**, *31*, 102. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41938-021-00447-w>.
48. Schulte, M.J.; Martin, K.; Büchse, A.; Sauerborn, J. Entomopathogens (*Beauveria bassiana* and *Steinernema carpocapsae*) for biological control of bark-feeding moth *Indarbela dea* on field-infested litchi trees. *Pest Manag. Sci.* **2009**, *65*, 105–112. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.1653>.
49. Ji, X.Y.; Yang, C.J. Infection and application of *Beauveria* spp. *Chin. J. Biol Control* **2003**, *19*, 82–85. (In Chinese) <https://doi.org/10.16409/j.cnki.2095-039x.2003.02.009>.
50. Butt, T.M.; Ibrahim, L.; Clark, S.J.; Beckett, A. The germination behaviour of *Metarhizium anisopliae* on the surface of aphid and flea beetle cuticles. *Mycol. Res.* **1995**, *99*, 945–950. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0953-7562\(09\)80754-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0953-7562(09)80754-5).
51. Wilson, K.; Cotter, S.C.; Reeson, A.F.; Pell, J.K. Melanism and disease resistance in insects. *Ecol. Lett.* **2011**, *4*, 637–649. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1461-0248.2001.00279.x>.
52. Mannino, M.C.; Huarte-Bonnet, C.; Davyt-Colo, B.; Pedrini, N. Is the insect cuticle the only entry gate for fungal infection? insights into alternative modes of action of entomopathogenic fungi. *J. Fungi* **2019**, *5*, 33. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jof5020033>.
53. Lü, L.H.; He, Y.R.; Wu, Y.J.; Feng, X.; Chen, H.Y. The time-dose-mortality model of a *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus* isolate on the diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella*. *Acta Entomol. Sin.* **2007**, *50*, 567–573. (In Chinese) <https://doi.org/10.16380/j.kcxb.2007.06.004>.
54. He, L.M.; Ge, S.S.; Chen, Y.C.; Wu, Q.L.; Jiang, Y.Y.; Wu, K.M. The developmental threshold temperature, effective accumulated temperature and prediction model of developmental duration of fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda*. *Plant Prot.* **2019**, *45*, 18–26. (In Chinese) <https://doi.org/10.16688/j.zwbh.2019409>.
55. Jiang, X.C.; Shen, Y.D.; Sun, J.C.; Li, X.X.; Huang, Y.; Dong, Y.C.; Cao, H.Q. Effect of chlorantraniliprole and emamectin benzoate on toxicity and detoxification enzymes activity in *Spodoptera frugiperda* larva. *J. Environ. Entomol.* **2019**, *41*, 961–967. (In Chinese) <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1674-0858.2019.05.5>.
56. Wen, S.F.; Xue, Y.N.; Du, R.S.; Liu, C.; Wang, X.T.; Wang, Y.W.; Liu, C.; Wang, S.; Wang, J.H.; Xia, X.M. Toxicity and sublethal effects of triflumezopyrim on the development and detoxification enzymatic activities in the small brown planthopper (SBPH), *Laodelphax striatellus* (Fallen). *Crop Prot.* **2021**, *150*, 105813. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2021.105813>.
57. Wang, H.M.; Zhang, H.; Hao, C.; Zhang, X.H. Effects of *Isaria fumosorosea* infection on different enzyme activities in the larvae of *Plutella xylostella*. *Mycosystema* **2013**, *32*, 269–276. (In Chinese) <https://doi.org/10.13346/j.mycosystema.2013.02.011>.
58. Li, Z.Z.; Shen, H.J.; Jiang, Q.G.; Ji, B.Z. A study on the activity of endogenous enzymes of protective system in some insects. *Acta Entomol. Sin.* **1994**, *37*, 399–403. (In Chinese) <https://doi.org/10.16380/j.kcxb.1994.04.003>.
59. Tian, J.; Diao, H.L.; Liang, L.; Hao, C.; Arthurs, S.; Ma, R.Y. Pathogenicity of *Isaria fumosorosea* to *Bemisia tabaci*, with some observations on the fungal infection process and host immune response. *J. Invertebr Pathol.* **2015**, *130*, 147–153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2015.08.003>.
60. Zhang, C.; Chen, S.B.; Wu, C.Y.; Zhang, B.Y.; Zhang, Y.; Teng, B.; Hu, B.J. Screening of *Beauveria bassiana* strains with high virulence against armyworm and activities of protective enzymes in the larvae infected by fungi. *J. Nucl. Agr. Sci.* **2020**, *34*, 2701–

2707. Available online: <https://www.hnxb.org.cn/CN/10.11869/j.issn.100-8551.2020.12.2701> (accessed on 8 March 2022). (In Chinese)
61. Wang, S.C.; He, R.F.; Lu, M.Q.; Huang, H.P.; Wang, N.Y.; Guo, X.J.; Geng, T. Effects on antioxidant levels of silkworm larvae infected with *Beauveria bassiana*. *Chin. J. Trop. Crops*. **2017**, *38*, 2136–2144. (In Chinese) <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1000-2561.2017.11.023>.
 62. Felton, G.W.; Summers, C.B. Antioxidant systems in insects. *Arch. Insect Biochem.* **1995**, *29*, 187–197. <https://doi.org/10.1002/arch.940290208>.
 63. Wang, Y.; Oberley, L.W.; Murhammer, D.W. Antioxidant defense systems of two lipodipteran insect cell lines. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **2001**, *30*, 1254–1262. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0891-5849\(01\)00520-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0891-5849(01)00520-2).
 64. Wu, Q.J.; Zhang, Y.J.; Xu, B.Y.; Zhang, W.J. The defending enzymes in abamectin resistant *Plutella xylostella*. *Chin. J. Appl. Entomol.* **2011**, *48*, 291–295. Available online: http://www.ent-bull.com.cn/download.aspx?qi_id=609&id=15159 (accessed on 15 May 2022). (In Chinese)
 65. Shi, G.L.; Wang, Y.N.; Wang, H.L.; Zhao, L.L.; Liu, S.Q.; Cao, H.; Yu, T.Q.; Lu, P. Effects of *Tagetes erecta* extracts on glutathione S transferase and protease activities and protein content in *Tetranychus viennensis*. *Chin. J. Appl. Ecol.* **2007**, *18*, 400–404. Available online: <http://www.cjae.net/CN/Y2007/V18/I02/400> (accessed on 27 April 2022). (In Chinese)
 66. Chen, X.M.; Wang, X.Y.; Lu, W.; Zheng, X.L. Effects of *Beauveria bassiana* on the larval protein content, protective and detoxification enzyme activities in *Phaуда flammans* (Lepidoptera: Phaudidae). *J. Plant Prot.* **2020**, *47*, 1379–1380. (In Chinese) <https://doi.org/10.13802/j.cnki.zwbhxb.2020.2020031>.
 67. Chavez, V.M.; Marques, G.; Delbecque, J.P.; Kobayashi, K.; Hollingsworth, M.; Burr, J.; Natzle, J.E.; O'Connor, M.B. The *Drosophila disembodied* gene controls late embryonic morphogenesis and codes for a cytochrome P450 enzyme that regulates embryonic ecdysone levels. *Development* **2000**, *127*, 4115–4126. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.127.19.4115>.
 68. Kim, S.; Kim, J.C.; Lee, S.J.; Lee, M.R.; Park, S.E.; Li, D.; Baek, S.; Shin, T.Y.; Kim, J.S. *Beauveria bassiana* ERL836 and JEF-007 with similar virulence show different gene expression when interacting with cuticles of western flower thrips, *Frankniella occidentalis*. *BMC Genom.* **2020**, *21*, 836. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-020-07253-y>.
 69. Ismail, H.M.; Freed, S.; Naeem, A.; Malik, S.; Ali, N. The effect of entomopathogenic fungi on enzymatic activity in chlorpyrifos-resistant mosquitoes, *Culex quinquefasciatus* (Diptera: Culicidae). *J. Med. Entomol.* **2020**, *57*, 204–213. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jme/tjz143>.