

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# **Development of a Low-Cost Automated Sample Presentation and Analysis System for Counting and Classifying Nematode Eggs**

---

**A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the degree of**

**Master of Engineering  
In  
Mechatronics**

**At Massey University, Manawatu,  
New Zealand.**

**Benjamin Pedersen**

**2017**

# Abstract

---

This thesis discusses the concept development and design of a low-cost, automated, sample presentation system for faecal egg counting, and classification. The system developed uses microfluidics to present nematode eggs for digital imaging to produce images suitable for image analysis and classification. The system costs are kept low by using simple manufacturing methods and commonly available equipment to produce microfluidic counting chambers, which can be interfaced with conventional microscopes. This thesis includes details of the design and implementation of the software developed to allow capture and processing of images from the presentation system. This thesis also includes details on the measures taken to correct for the optical aberrations introduced by the sample presentation system.

# Acknowledgements

---

I would like to extend my deepest thanks my supervisors: Professor Robert Hodgson, Professor Donald Bailey, Ralph Ball, Professor Bill Pomroy. The support and guidance you provided throughout this project is greatly appreciated.

I would also like to thank the large number of people who supported and assisted with the development of this project.

Ian Thomas, and all the technicians at massey for their never-ending aid in almost all aspects of this project.

Dr Rob Ward, for his constant support, and for providing a substantial amount of the equipment used in the experimentation.

Dr Katherine Holt, for all pollen related assistance.

Dr Francis Reininger, for his assistance with optics.

Dr Andrea Bubendorfer, and Dr Mike Arnold from Callaghan Innovation, whose expertise and assistance in microdevice fabrication was essential.

Anne and Barb for the crash course on egg counting, and substantial help with egg filtration.

Cameron Jewellery for time and materials supplied at no charge.

Mark Cherrill and the team at the University of South Australia, for their work in developing machined PMMA channels.

The Earle Creativity Trust, and the Ken and Elizabeth Powell Bursary, for the tremendous financial support they provided to this project.

# Contents

Chapter 1. Introduction and Background.....	1
1.1 Introduction .....	1
1.2 Research Aim .....	2
1.3 Thesis Outline.....	2
1.4 Problem Background.....	3
1.4.1 Nematology .....	3
1.4.2 Relevant Technologies .....	12
1.5 Conclusions .....	18
Chapter 2. Proposed System Design.....	20
2.1 Introduction .....	20
2.2 Constraints.....	20
2.3 Design.....	21
2.3.1 Components .....	21
2.3.2 Design challenges .....	26
2.4 Conclusions .....	27
Chapter 3. System Mechanics and Optics .....	28
3.1 Introduction .....	28
3.2 Mechanics.....	28
3.2.1 Introduction.....	28
3.2.2 Flow Regime .....	29
3.2.3 Rotation of objects in flow stream .....	33
3.3 Optics.....	34
3.3.1 Introduction.....	34
3.3.2 Optical Prescription.....	35
3.3.3 Aberrations.....	37
3.3.4 Correction Methods.....	41
3.3.5 Ray Tracing.....	45
3.3.6 Optical Limitations of Tilt Angle.....	46
3.4 Lighting .....	47
3.5 Discussion .....	48
3.5.1 Fluid Mechanics Discussion .....	48
3.5.2 Optics Discussion.....	48

Chapter 4. Microchannel Fabrication .....	49
4.1 Flow Cell Requirements.....	50
4.2 Materials.....	51
4.2.1 Glass.....	51
4.2.2 Cyclic olefin copolymer (CoC).....	51
4.2.3 PMMA .....	51
4.2.4 PDMS .....	63
4.2.5 PDMS bonded to PMMA.....	73
4.3 Material suitability and conclusions.....	80
Chapter 5. Software .....	82
5.1 Software Description .....	82
5.1.1 Introduction.....	82
5.1.2 Constraints .....	82
5.1.3 General Approach .....	82
5.2 Real Time Software.....	83
5.2.1 Image Acquisition .....	83
5.2.2 Approach .....	85
5.2.3 Process .....	86
5.2.4 Results.....	88
5.3 Offline Software .....	91
5.3.1 Image Processing and Feature Extraction.....	92
5.3.2 Approach .....	92
5.3.3 Steps .....	93
5.3.4 Data Structures .....	93
5.3.5 Software Techniques.....	94
5.4 Discussion .....	103
Chapter 6. Final Implementation, Results, and Discussion .....	105
6.1 Design Results .....	105
6.2 Selected Hardware.....	106
6.3 Sample Preparation and Filtering .....	106
6.4 Feature selection.....	107
6.5 Results .....	107
6.5.1 System Performance.....	107
6.5.2 Limitations .....	110

6.6 Conclusion.....	111
References .....	113
Appendix I Data Sheets.....	119
Appendix II Design Equations.....	125
Appendix III Class Descriptions .....	128
Classes	128
Appendix IV Software .....	131
Real Time (Key sections only, full code to be included on disk) .....	131
Post Processing.....	134
Classes	134
Appendix V PCBS .....	146
Annular Light .....	146
Direct Lighting .....	147
Appendix VI System Outputs .....	150
Feature Histograms.....	150
Sample Images .....	157

## List of Figures

Figure 1-1, Nematode Life Cycle. [8].....	4
Figure 1-2, Variety of nematode eggs. [8] .....	5
Figure 1-3, Developed nematode larva, large number of internal cells causes the oocyst to appear opaque. .....	6
Figure 1-4, Nematode worm inside egg shell. ....	7
Figure 1-5, McMaster Counting Chamber (300 $\mu$ L) [9] .....	8
Figure 1-6, FLOTAC Counting Chamber. [11] .....	9
Figure 1-7, FLOTAC steps. [12].....	10
Figure 1-8, FECPAK G2 complete unit. [13] .....	10
Figure 1-9, Fourier shape features. [16].....	13
Figure 1-10, Gray level cross-sections from [20]. .....	14
Figure 1-11, 3D surface from confocal image stack of Prumnopitys pollen. ....	15
Figure 1-12, Slanted microchannel. [30] .....	17
Figure 2-1, System block diagram. ....	21
Figure 2-2, N1010 syringe pump. [40] .....	23

Figure 2-3, Automated micrometer syringe pump.....	23
Figure 2-4, Elveflow pressure generator. [41] .....	24
Figure 2-5, Tilted microchannel concept .....	24
Figure 2-6, IDS USB3 Camera. [42].....	25
Figure 2-7, Sensor FoV relative to tilt angle.....	26
Figure 3-1, Circular channel section view .....	29
Table 3-2, Glycerol solution density, by concentration [44]. .....	31
Table 3-3, Glycerol solution viscosity, by concentration. [44].....	31
Figure 3-4, Shear flow profile [45]. .....	31
Figure 3-5, Poiseuille flow [46]. .....	32
Figure 3-6, Jeffery orbit graphic [50].....	33
Figure 3-7, Numerical Aperture [51]. .....	36
Figure 3-23, Defocus in ray trace (red rays from Figure 3-22).....	46
Figure 4-1, Channel plates design (top plate in red (shown in center), bottom plate in blue (shown on right)) units in mm.....	52
Figure 4-2, CO <sub>2</sub> Laser channel profiles at 1200DPI, (left: 50% speed 2% laser power, Center: 50% speed 10% laser power, Right: 10% speed 6% laser power) (all cuts were horizontal). .....	53
Figure 4-3, Surface finish of Horizontal cut at 50% speed 2% laser power, 1200DPI... ..	54
Figure 4-4, Top down channel profiles (Top left: vertical cuts on horizontal path, Top right: vertical cuts on diagonal path, Bottom: horizontal cuts on horizontal line).....	55
Figure 4-5, Machined surface finish and tooling. .....	56
Figure 4-6, Adhesive well full after gluing.....	57
Figure 4-7, Adhesive wells, to prevent superfluous adhesive from entering channel. ....	57
Figure 4-8, Cracks in and around channel from solvent bonding technique. .....	58
Figure 4-9, Thermally bonded channel from two pieces of PMMA.....	59
Figure 4-10, Design commissioned from UoSA (CAD drawings produced by Mark Cherill) .....	60
Figure 4-11, Radulok fitting in PMMA microchannel.....	61
Figure 4-12, UoSA channel profile (Figure provided by Mark Cheril). .....	61
Figure 4-13, Pine pollen clumping at channel walls. (4X objective magnification).....	62
Figure 4-14, Tilted channel CAD design (CAD drawings produced by Mark Cherill)..	63
Figure 4-15, PDMS soft lithography [62].....	65
Figure 4-16, First generation channels (photograph by Dr Mike Arnold).....	65

Figure 4-17, Mold set up for third channel design (photographs by Dr Andrea Bubendorfer) .....	67
Figure 4-18, Lycopodium pollen inside 2nd generation PDMS channel.....	68
Figure 4-19, Orientation of channel during molding. Bubbles move to top, out of optical path when rotated.....	70
Figure 4-20, Channel orientation in operation.....	70
Figure 4-21, Increasing top thickness .....	71
Figure 4-22, Surface finish of PDMS angled channel. ....	72
Figure 4-23, Pine pollen inside PDMS device.....	72
Figure 4-24, Planar wavefronts entering circular channel (Images produce with OpticalRayTracer 9.3).....	73
Figure 4-25, Multi-channel concept.....	74
Figure 4-26, Hybrid PMMA & PDMS device geometry.....	75
Figure 4-27, PMMA shell .....	76
Figure 4-28, Wire rolling jig, rollers are 200 $\mu\text{m}$ apart. ....	77
Figure 4-29, Rolled wire profile (dimensions in $\mu\text{m}$ ). ....	77
Figure 4-30, Internal surface damage visible as dark regions.....	78
Figure 4-31, Image of nematode egg inside channel (20X objective) .....	79
Figure 4-32, Final microfluidic device .....	79
Figure 5-1: Software process Flow. ....	83
Figure 5-2, Background Subtraction.....	86
Figure 5-3, Binarized image of nematode eggs (Left: without background subtraction, Right: after background subtraction). ....	87
Figure 5-4, Morphologically opened binary egg image.....	87
Figure 5-5, Image acquisition flow diagram. ....	88
Figure 5-6, Shows an object of interest rotating as it is imaged. Rotation perpendicular to specimen plane, causes different projections of the object to be imaged, these projections will differ in area.....	89
Figure 5-7, Examples of frame tearing during image acquisition.....	90
Figure 5-8, proportion of frames corrupted during data capture.....	90
Figure 5-9, Frames collected compared to frames of interest.....	91
Figure 5-10, Comparison of frames stored in manual analysis against algorithm.....	91
Figure 5-13, Raw image of Palynospheres and binarized version (left: raw image, right: binarized image).....	95

Figure 5-14, Major and minor Axes of nematode egg (Major axis shown as dashed line, Minor axis shown as solid line). ....	96
Figure 5-15, Egg with damaged shell. ....	97
Figure 5-16, Wavelet based pollen fusion (A-H focal stack, I fusion) .....	98
Figure 5-17, Image fusion results on rotating nematode eggs. ....	99
Figure 5-18, Nematode egg rotating on focal plane.....	99
Figure 5-19, Egg rotating on focal plane (Left: egg images. Right: Render of observed rotation). ....	100
Figure 5-20, Egg rotating through the focal plane (Left: egg images. Right: Render of observed rotation).....	100
Figure 5-21, Focus assessment algorithm. ....	101
Figure 5-22, Fusion, and binary masks of eggs from focal stack(Order left to right: Image without background, Binary mask, Mask from image fusion, Binary mask multiplied by fusion mask). ....	101
Figure 5-23, Measured Focus in Z-stack.....	102
Figure 6-1, Fourier transform of external contour histogram, (Orange microscope slide, Blue: flow cell).....	109

## List of Tables

---

Table 4-1, Comparison of materials for microchannel fabrication. [62-65]	80
Table 4-2, Materials and refractive index matches [44, 66]	80

---