

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# Switching Costs in the New Zealand Banking Market

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment  
of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy  
in  
Banking

at Massey University, Palmerston North,  
New Zealand

Claire Dianne Matthews

2009

## **ABSTRACT**

This thesis explores issues related to bank switching costs, in the context of the New Zealand banking market. Switching costs comprise the range of economic costs faced by customers changing bank, including monetary switching costs, the loss of the relationship with bank staff, and needing to learn new systems. An important effect of switching costs is customers become locked in to their bank, which has implications for market competition, and this raises questions about the need for a regulatory response.

The study comprised a mail survey to 2983 people drawn from New Zealand electoral rolls, with a response rate of 34%. The survey instrument was a questionnaire of 70 questions in four sections: banking relationships, switching behaviour, switching costs, and demographic information.

Nine categories of switching costs were used: Learning, Search, Monetary Loss, Benefit Loss, Personal Relationship, Brand Relationship, Service Disruption, Uncertainty, and Hassle. These categories are found to be appropriate. Furthermore, the three higher order categories of Procedural, Financial and Relational found by Burnham, Frels and Mahajan (2003) are confirmed.

Although prior studies have recognised different switching costs, there has been limited work to understand whether they differ in their impact on attitudes and behaviour around switching. Different switching costs are found to have different effects. The study also examined whether the experience of switching matches the perception, and found switching is easier than expected. Furthermore, customers who have switched banks have different perceptions of switching costs to those who have not.

Customers are different, and their attitudes and needs should therefore vary. Prior research has found differences in attitudes towards financial issues based on the family life cycle, but the relationship between switching costs and family life cycle has not been explored. This thesis finds perceptions of switching costs and switching behaviour vary significantly between life cycle groups, which appears in part to be related to associated changes in the complexity of the banking relationship.

Four recommendations for regulators are generated from the results of the study. These include recommending greater acknowledgement of the existence and effect of switching costs, and investigation of bank account number portability.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This thesis has had a long gestation, and it is important I acknowledge the support and assistance I have received over the past 5½ years.

My first thanks must go to my husband, Bruce, and my sons, Brendon and Jordan, for their support, encouragement and understanding as I have undertaken this project. They accepted that sometimes my research had to take precedence over other activities, and they helped stuff the more than 7500 envelopes required for the mail survey. Their loving support helped me maintain my sanity.

The two other people to whom I owe a huge debt of gratitude are my supervisors, Prof. Chris Moore and Prof. Malcolm Wright. They have provided me with support, guidance, valuable criticism, and encouragement throughout the process, enabling me to reach the end, and a completed thesis. I could not have done it without them. Our regular meetings over coffee and hot chocolate helped keep me on track.

My thanks go to those who completed and returned the survey questionnaire, the participants in the focus groups, and the bank staff who gave up their time to talk to me. Without the willing involvement of these participants in this research project this study, and therefore this thesis, could not have been completed.

At various stages throughout the research, I have had assistance from colleagues. This has included helping me learn how to do nested functions in Excel, explaining best practice in data input for the survey, forwarding relevant articles on the subject of bank switching, providing guidance on statistical analysis, and selecting the population sample for the survey. I am grateful for their willingness to share their expertise.

Family and friends have supported and assisted me through my research. They have tolerated my preoccupation with this project and assisted with aspects such as the survey questionnaire design. They have also taken an interest in my work and provided encouragement throughout, for which I thank them.

Last, but by no means least, my thanks go to the Reserve Bank of New Zealand for the financial assistance provided towards the cost of the mail survey undertaken.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>ABSTRACT.....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS .....</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES .....</b>	<b>vii</b>
TABLES .....	vii
FIGURES.....	viii
<b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.2 OVERVIEW OF THE NEW ZEALAND BANKING MARKET .....	2
1.3 BACKGROUND AND MOTIVATION .....	4
1.3.1 <i>Customer inertia</i> .....	4
1.3.2 <i>Competition</i> .....	6
1.3.3 <i>The switching process</i> .....	7
1.3.4 <i>The life cycle connection</i> .....	9
1.3.5 <i>The banks' response</i> .....	10
1.3.6 <i>The regulatory view</i> .....	11
1.4 THE RESEARCH QUESTIONS .....	13
1.5 CONTRIBUTION OF THIS STUDY .....	14
1.6 STRUCTURE OF THE THESIS .....	15
<b>CHAPTER 2: PRIOR RESEARCH.....</b>	<b>17</b>
2.1 WHAT ARE SWITCHING COSTS? .....	17
2.1.1 <i>Categorisation of switching costs</i> .....	18
2.2 IMPLICATIONS OF SWITCHING COSTS IN THE MARKET .....	23
2.3 EFFECTS ON CUSTOMER BEHAVIOUR.....	29
2.3.1 <i>Lock-in and inertia</i> .....	29
2.3.2 <i>Retention and customer satisfaction</i> .....	31
2.4 SWITCHING COSTS IN THE BANKING MARKET .....	34
2.5 LIFE CYCLE INFLUENCES .....	38
2.6 REGULATORY ISSUES AND SWITCHING COSTS .....	42
2.7 SUMMARY .....	47
<b>CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND DATA.....</b>	<b>48</b>
3.1 RESEARCH QUESTIONS .....	48
3.1.1 <i>Objective 1: To examine the differences in effect of different types of switching costs</i> .....	48
3.1.1.1 Proposition 1: Attitudes towards switching costs affect the relationship between the desire to change banks and the likelihood of actually switching.....	48
3.1.1.2 Proposition 2: Customers' desire to have the bank handle the switch is affected by their perception of switching costs.....	49
3.1.1.3 Proposition 3: Customers who have switched, particularly those who have switched more recently, have a different perception of switching costs than those who have not switched.....	49
3.1.2 <i>Objective 2: To examine how the relative importance of different switching cost types, and switching costs as a whole, changes over a customer's life cycle</i> .....	50
3.1.2.1 Proposition 4: Life cycle stage affects perceived switching costs and the differences are not due to confounding effects of the nature of the banking relationships.....	50
3.1.2.2 Proposition 5: There is a relationship between life cycle stage and switching behaviour .....	51
3.1.2.3 Proposition 6: Demographic characteristics, other than family life cycle stage, influence and explain people's attitudes to switching costs and/or their switching behaviour .....	52
3.1.2.4 Proposition 7: There is a relationship between switching and major life changes .....	54
3.1.3 <i>Other outcomes: Classification of switching costs, and regulatory response</i> .....	54
3.1.3.1 Expected outcome 1: An assessment of the appropriate classification of switching costs .....	54
3.1.3.2 Expected outcome 2: Recommendations for regulators with respect to the issue of switching costs in the banking market.....	54
3.2 METHOD AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS .....	55
3.2.1 <i>Research method</i> .....	55

3.2.2	<i>Ethical issues</i>	57
3.3	QUALITATIVE WORK	57
3.3.1	<i>Industry interviews</i>	58
3.3.2	<i>Focus groups</i>	59
3.4	QUESTIONNAIRE DEVELOPMENT	61
3.4.1	<i>Banking relationships (Section A)</i>	63
3.4.2	<i>Switching expectations and behaviour (Section B)</i>	64
3.4.3	<i>Switching costs (Section C)</i>	66
3.4.3.1	Switching cost categories	66
3.4.3.2	Switching cost statements for the questionnaire	70
3.4.4	<i>Life cycle and other demographics (Section D)</i>	73
3.4.4.1	Life cycle model selection	74
3.4.4.2	Demographic questions	77
3.4.5	<i>Questionnaire format</i>	79
3.4.6	<i>Pre-testing</i>	80
3.4.6.1	User panel	81
3.4.6.2	Pilot survey	81
3.4.7	<i>Sample selection</i>	83
3.5	THE SURVEY PROCESS	84
3.5.1	<i>Mailings</i>	84
3.5.2	<i>Responses</i>	86
3.5.3	<i>Respondent characteristics</i>	88
3.6	DATA ANALYSIS	90
3.6.1	<i>Data cleaning</i>	90
3.6.2	<i>Types of analysis</i>	91
3.6.2.1	Primary analysis	92
3.6.2.2	Factor analysis	92
3.6.2.3	Other analysis	93
3.7	ISSUES	93
3.7.1	<i>Non-response bias</i>	93
3.7.2	<i>Other limitations</i>	96
<b>CHAPTER 4: RESULTS</b>		<b>98</b>
4.1	SWITCHING BEHAVIOUR	98
4.1.1	<i>Past switching behaviour</i>	98
4.1.2	<i>Future switching behaviour</i>	99
4.2	PERCEIVED SWITCHING COSTS	102
4.2.1	<i>Reliability of switching cost categories</i>	102
4.2.2	<i>Alternative categorisation of switching costs</i>	103
4.2.3	<i>Perceptions of switching costs</i>	106
4.3	THE EFFECT OF DIFFERENT TYPES OF SWITCHING COSTS	108
4.3.1	<i>Proposition 1: Attitudes towards switching costs affect the relationship between the desire to change banks and the likelihood of actually switching.</i>	108
4.3.2	<i>Proposition 2: Customers' desire to have the bank handle the switch is affected by their perception of switching costs.</i>	112
4.3.2.1	Customers' desire to have the banks handle the switch	112
4.3.2.2	Relationship between perceived switching costs and having the bank do the switch	113
4.3.2.3	Summary of results for Proposition 2	113
4.3.3	<i>Proposition 3: Customers who have switched, particularly those who have switched more recently, have a different perception of switching costs than those who have not switched.</i>	114
4.3.3.1	Switchers vs. non-switchers	114
4.3.3.2	Effect of time since last switched	115
4.3.3.3	Relationship length	116
4.3.3.4	Ease of switching	118
4.3.3.5	Summary of results for Proposition 3	120
4.4	THE LIFE CYCLE INFLUENCE	122
4.4.1	<i>Proposition 4: Life cycle stage affects perceived switching costs and the differences are not due to the confounding effects of the nature of the banking relationships</i>	122
4.4.1.1	Variations between life cycle groups	123
4.4.1.2	Effect of size of banking relationship	125
4.4.1.3	Effect of spread of banking relationships	127
4.4.1.4	Effect of the complexity of the main bank relationship	131

4.4.1.5	Summary of results for Proposition 4 .....	134
4.4.2	<i>Proposition 5: There is a relationship between life cycle stage and switching behaviour</i> .....	135
4.4.2.1	Past switching behaviour .....	135
4.4.2.2	Likelihood of future switching .....	136
4.4.2.3	Summary of results for Proposition 5 .....	136
4.4.3	<i>Proposition 6: Demographic characteristics, other than family life cycle stage, influence and explain people's attitudes to switching costs and/or switching behaviour</i> .....	137
4.4.3.1	Household income .....	137
4.4.3.2	Ethnicity .....	138
4.4.3.3	Education .....	140
4.4.3.4	Location .....	141
4.4.3.5	Internet access .....	142
4.4.3.6	Interaction .....	142
4.4.3.7	Summary of results for Proposition 6 .....	145
4.4.4	<i>Proposition 7: There is a relationship between switching and major life changes</i> .....	146
4.5	CHAPTER SUMMARY .....	148
	<b>CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSIONS.....</b>	<b>150</b>
5.1	RESEARCH OBJECTIVES .....	150
5.1.1	<i>Objective 1 – To examine the differences in effect of different types of switching costs ....</i>	150
5.1.2	<i>Objective 2: To examine how the relative importance of different switching cost types, and switching costs as a whole, changes over a customer's life cycle .....</i>	153
5.2	OTHER EXPECTED OUTCOMES .....	157
5.2.1	<i>Expected outcome 1: An assessment of the appropriate classification of switching costs</i> .....	157
5.2.2	<i>Expected outcome 2: Recommendations for regulators with respect to the issue of switching costs in the banking market.....</i>	158
5.2.2.1	Switching costs and competition .....	158
5.2.2.2	Mergers and acquisitions .....	158
5.2.2.3	Regulatory comparative disclosure .....	159
5.2.2.4	Number portability .....	160
5.3	ACADEMIC CONTRIBUTION .....	161
5.4	FUTURE RESEARCH .....	162
	<b>APPENDICES.....</b>	<b>164</b>
	APPENDIX 1: MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS IN THE NEW ZEALAND BANKING MARKET FROM 1985 ....	165
	APPENDIX 2: REGISTERED BANKS IN NEW ZEALAND AS AT 31 <sup>ST</sup> DECEMBER 2008 .....	166
	APPENDIX 3: BANK INTERVIEW SUMMARY .....	167
	APPENDIX 4: FOCUS GROUP REPORT .....	168
	APPENDIX 5: USER PANEL EMAILS .....	171
	APPENDIX 6: PILOT SURVEY DOCUMENTATION .....	172
	Appendix 6.1: Pilot survey questionnaire .....	173
	Appendix 6.2: Pilot survey information sheet .....	188
	Appendix 6.3: Pilot survey letter for second mailing .....	189
	APPENDIX 7: SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRE .....	190
	APPENDIX 8: SURVEY INFORMATION SHEETS .....	205
	Appendix 8.1: Information sheet for initial mailing .....	206
	Appendix 8.2: Second mailing letter .....	207
	Appendix 8.3: Third mailing information sheet .....	208
	APPENDIX 9: KAISER-MEYER-OLKIN MEASURE OF SAMPLING ADEQUACY .....	209
	APPENDIX 10: FULL RESULTS FOR CRONBACH'S ALPHA .....	210
	APPENDIX 11: BONFERRONI PROCEDURE RESULTS FOR AGE .....	213
	APPENDIX 12: THESIS-RELATED PUBLICATIONS .....	214
	<b>REFERENCE LIST .....</b>	<b>215</b>

# **LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES**

## **Tables**

Table 3.1: Comparison of quantitative and qualitative approaches to research .....	56
Table 3.2: Key characteristics of the focus groups .....	60
Table 3.3: Switching cost categories .....	69
Table 3.4: Switching cost statements .....	70
Table 3.5: Family life cycle models .....	76
Table 3.6: Conversion of demographic information into life cycle stages .....	78
Table 3.7: Respondent population characteristics .....	88
Table 3.8: Respondents' banking relationships .....	89
Table 3.9: Jury of expert opinion on non-response bias .....	95
Table 3.10: Means by response wave.....	96
Table 4.1: Internal consistency measure for switching cost categories .....	102
Table 4.2: Eigenvalues for all components.....	104
Table 4.3: Factor loading comparison.....	105
Table 4.4: Correlation between desire to switch and likelihood of switching for different levels of overall switching costs .....	109
Table 4.5: Correlation between desire to switch and likelihood of switching for different levels of each switching cost category .....	110
Table 4.6: Results for Proposition 1 hypotheses .....	111
Table 4.7: Results for Proposition 2 hypotheses .....	113
Table 4.8: Means for switchers and non-switchers for each switching cost category .....	115
Table 4.9: Correlation between time since last switched and switching cost.....	116
Table 4.10: Distribution for length of main banking relationship.....	117
Table 4.11: Correlation between relationship length and switching cost categories .....	117
Table 4.12: Ease of switching.....	118
Table 4.13: Results for Proposition 3 hypotheses .....	121
Table 4.14: Respondents by life cycle stage.....	122
Table 4.15: Pairs of life cycle groups for which significant differences in perceptions were found for specific categories, using the Bonferroni procedure. ....	124
Table 4.16: Distribution for size of banking relationship .....	126
Table 4.17: Significant differences between life cycle groups based on size .....	126
Table 4.18: Distribution for spread of banking relationships .....	128
Table 4.19: Perception of overall switching costs and spread of banking relationships .....	129
Table 4.20: Spread effects for switching cost categories where significant variation was found.....	130
Table 4.21: Distribution for complexity of banking relationship.....	131
Table 4.22: Complexity and life cycle groups.....	132
Table 4.23: Complexity effects for switching cost categories.....	133
Table 4.24: Results for Proposition 4 hypotheses .....	134
Table 4.25: Pairs of life cycle groups with a significant difference in frequency of past switching behaviour (Past I).....	135
Table 4.26: Pairs of life cycle groups with a significant difference in likelihood of future switching .....	136
Table 4.27: Results for Proposition 5 hypotheses .....	136
Table 4.28: Distribution of household income .....	137
Table 4.29: Significant correlations for switching cost categories and household income .....	138
Table 4.30: Ethnicity and past switching behaviour (p-values) .....	139
Table 4.31: Ethnicity and the likelihood of future switching (p-values) .....	140
Table 4.32: Distribution of respondents' home location .....	141
Table 4.33: Primary form of internet access.....	142
Table 4.34: Most frequent form of interaction with the main bank .....	143
Table 4.35: Switching cost categories and interaction (p-values).....	144
Table 4.36: Past switching behaviour and interaction (p-values).....	145
Table 4.37: Results for Proposition 6 hypotheses .....	146
Table 4.38: Result for Proposition 7 hypothesis.....	148

Table 5.1: Findings for Objective 1 .....	153
Table 5.2: Findings for Objective 2 .....	156
Table A1: Key characteristics of the focus groups.....	168
Table A2: KMO measure of sampling adequacy .....	209
Table A3: Cronbach's alpha results for the switching cost categories .....	210
Table A4: Pairs of age groups for which significant differences in perceptions were found for specific categories of switching costs, using the Bonferroni procedure .....	213

## **Figures**

Figure 3.1: Responses received .....	87
Figure 4.1: Likelihood of future switching .....	99
Figure 4.2: Desire to switch .....	100
Figure 4.3: Scree plot .....	104
Figure 4.4: Perception of switching costs .....	107
Figure 4.5: Reasons for switching main bank .....	147