Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

The Preceptor's Role in Student Evaluation: An Investigation

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of requirements

for the degree

Master of Arts in Nursing

at

Massey University

Dianne Clare Marshall 2000

Abstract

The evaluation of nursing students' clinical learning in the preceptorship model of clinical teaching is a shared responsibility between the lecturer and the preceptor in the educational institution where this research took place. The purpose of the study is to explore the preceptor's role in student evaluation.

This thesis uses a comparative descriptive design to investigate the similarities and differences between lecturers' and preceptors' valuing of specific clinical evaluation criteria as set out in the clinical evaluation tool. The lecturers' and preceptors' perceptions of the clarity of the clinical evaluation tool are also explored using a qualitative approach.

A sample of ten lecturers and seventy preceptors who provide clinical teaching to second year undergraduate nursing students in acute care settings, was drawn to compare if the clinical evaluation criteria were valued differently between the groups. A questionnaire was developed using the clinical evaluation tool used by the educational institution. Data analysis demonstrated more similarities than differences between the criteria selected as most critically important by lecturers and preceptors. Differences were demonstrated on four criteria which were rated more highly by lecturers than preceptors. This suggested that preceptors' evaluations of students' clinical practice pertaining to those criteria would differ from lecturers' expectations of students' practice. A quasi-statistical content analysis of open-ended questions explored lecturers' and preceptors' perceptions of the clarity of the clinical evaluation tool.

The influence of preceptors' educational level on the valuing of specific criteria was also explored and was shown to have most influence on preceptors' valuing of cultural safety. Factors which might explain these findings are identified and discussed, namely socialisation of preceptors to work-based values; variability of exposure to the education environment for preceptors; difficulty defining clinical competence and preceptors' level of experience. The study endorses preceptors' involvement in clinical evaluation and highlights future directions for research and development of the preceptor role.

Acknowledgements

The accomplishment of this work has been made possible with the assistance of many people I would like to acknowledge and thank.

First to my supervisor and mentor, Dr Mary Finlayson, who shared her knowledge and wisdom, and provided immeasurable guidance and support, I extend my sincere appreciation and gratitude.

I especially would like to thank the study participants who gave willingly of their time and provided the perspective for this study.

My grateful thanks to Lynne Giles who shared her bio-statistical expertise and assisted with analysing the data.

Thanks to Dr Linda Ferguson, Lorraine Thompson and Mary MacManus who assisted in the initial stages of this work and also to Dr Lynne Giddings for 'checking in'.

To my colleagues especially Maria, Mark, Sue and Bernie who provided the space I needed, a special thank you.

Finally I extend my thanks to my family, and my deepest and sincerest gratitude to my friend Lorraine for her encouragement and invaluable support during the course of my study; also to Margaret for showing me the value of an education.

Table of Contents

Abstact		ii	
Acknowledgements			
Table of Contents			
List of Tables			
Glossary		x	
CHAPTER ONE	: INTRODUCTION	1	
1.1 Backg	round to The Study	5	
1.1.1	Preceptorship and International Trends	7	
1.1.2	Preceptorship in Aotearoa/New Zealand	8	
1.2 Significance of The Study			
1.2.1	The Curriculum Standards for Nursing Practice	9	
1.3 Structure of The Thesis			
CHAPTER TWO	: LITERATURE REVIEW	11	
2.1 Clinic	al Evaluation of Competence	12	
2.1.1	The Concept of Clinical Evaluation	13	
2.1.2	Definitions of Competence	13	
2.1.3	Measures of Clinical Competence	14	
2.1.4	Level of Performance and Competence	15	
2.1.5	Age, Academic Experience and Competence	16	
2.1.6	Educational Level and Competence	16	
2.1.7	Critical Thinking and Competence	17	
2.1.8.	Approaches to Evaluating Clinical Competence	17	

2.2		Evaluation of Competence in the	-
	-	torship Model	21
	2.2.1	Measures of Clinical Competence and Preceptees	21
	2.2.2	Values and Professional Socialisation	22
	2.2.3	Responsibility for clinical Evaluation	23
	2.2.4	Lecturer Responsibilities	23
	2.2.5	Preceptor Responsibilities	24
	2.2.6	Student Responsibilities	25
2.3		eceptor as Evaluator	26
	2.3.1	Preparation of Preceptors	27
	2.3.2	Selection of Preceptors	29
	2.3.3	Qualifications of Preceptors	32
	2.3.4	Preceptor Difficulties	33
	2.3.5	Learning Environment	34
CHAPTE	R THRE	EE: METHODOLOGY	36
0.1		1.36.4.1.	
3.1		ch Methods	36
	3.1.1	Quantitative Research	37
	3.1.2	Qualitative Research	38
3.2	Design	n	39
3.3	Sample	2	41
	3.3.1	Target Population	41
	3.3.2	Inclusion Criteria	42
	3.3.3	Sample Size	42
	3.3.4	Sampling Approach	43
3.4	Proced	lure	43
3.5	Ethica	l Consideration	44
	3.5.1	Informed Consent	44
	3.5.2	Anonymity	45
	3.5.3	Confidentiality	45
	3.5.4	Potential Harm to Participants	45

3.6 Data (Collection 46		
3.6.1	Method and Rationale	46	
3.6.2	The Instrument	46	
	3.6.2.1 Piloting the instrument	47	
3.7 Data A	Analysis	47	
3.7.1	Initial Screening of Data	49	
3.7.2	Summary	49	
CHAPTER FOUR	R: RESULTS	50	
4.1 Quanti	itative Data	50	
4.1.1 I	Preceptors' Professional and Educational Characteristics	s 51	
	4.1.1.1 Time employed on ward	53	
	4.1.1.2 Specialty experience	53	
	4.1.1.3 Nursing experience	53	
	4.1.1.4 Students preceptored	53	
	4.1.1.5 Nursing qualifications	54	
4.1.2	Lecturers' Professional and Educational Characteristics	54	
	4.1.2.1 Nursing Experience	56	
	4.1.2.2 Times Acted as Clinical Lecturer	56	
	4.1.2.3 Nursing Qualifications	56	
4.2 Clinica	al Standards Rating Scale (CSRS)	57	
4.2.1	CSRS Reliability	57	
4.2.2	CSRS Validity	58	
	4.2.2.1 Face validity	58	
	4.2.2.2 Content validity	58	
4.2.3	Competency	60	
4.2.4	Critical Thinking	62	
4.2.5	Professionalism	63	
4.2.6	Valuing	64	
4.2.7	Communication	65	
4.3 Qualit	ative Data	68	
4.3.1	Clarity of the Standards for Nursing Practice	68	

		4.3.1.1	Language and interpretation	69
		4.3.1.2	Detail of the document	69
		4.3.1.3	Guiding student learning	70
		4.3.1.4	Student clinical evaluation	70
		4.3.1.5	Availability of the clinical evaluation tool	70
	4.3.2	Helpfu	l Features	71
		4.3.2.1	Design of the tool	71
		4.3.2.2	Evaluation of nursing practice	71
		4.3.2.3	Student learning and clinical teaching	72
		4.3.2.4	Availability and time to read	72
	4.3.3	Feature	es Needing Improvement	72
	4.3.4.	Comme	ents	73
		4.3.4.1	Preparation	73
		4.3.4.2	Measurement of clinical competence	73
CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION 75				
5.1	Lectu	rers' and	d Preceptors' Rating of Clinical Criteria	75
5.1	Lectu	rmance (North Tolland Control of the Control	75
5.1	Lectu Perfo	Differe	Criteria	75
5.1	Lectu Perfo	Different Valuing	Criteria nces Between Lecturers' and Preceptors'	
5.1	Lectu Perfo	Different Valuing	Criteria nces Between Lecturers' and Preceptors' g of Evaluation Criteria	76
5.1	Lectu Perfo	Different Valuing 5.1.1.1	Criteria nces Between Lecturers' and Preceptors' g of Evaluation Criteria Values and professional socialisation	76 77
5.1	Lectu Perfo	Difference Valuing 5.1.1.1 5.1.1.2 Similar	Criteria nces Between Lecturers' and Preceptors' g of Evaluation Criteria Values and professional socialisation Competence in nursing practice	76 77
5.1	Lectu Perfor 5.1.1	Difference (Valuing 5.1.1.1 5.1.1.2 Similar Valuing nfluence (Valuing mfluence (Valu	Criteria nces Between Lecturers' and Preceptors' g of Evaluation Criteria Values and professional socialisation Competence in nursing practice ities in Lecturers' and Preceptors'	76 77 78
	Lectu Perfor 5.1.1	Difference (Valuing 5.1.1.1 5.1.1.2 Similar Valuing of Clines	criteria nces Between Lecturers' and Preceptors' g of Evaluation Criteria Values and professional socialisation Competence in nursing practice ities in Lecturers' and Preceptors' g of Evaluation Criteria e of Educational Level on Preceptors'	76 77 78 79
	Lectu Performance 5.1.1 5.1.2 The Instantance 5.2.1	Difference (Valuing 5.1.1.1 5.1.1.2 Similar Valuing of Clin Cultura	Criteria nces Between Lecturers' and Preceptors' g of Evaluation Criteria Values and professional socialisation Competence in nursing practice ities in Lecturers' and Preceptors' g of Evaluation Criteria e of Educational Level on Preceptors' nical Evaluation Criteria	76 77 78 79
5.2	Lectu Performance 5.1.1 5.1.2 The Instantance 5.2.1	Difference of Valuing 5.1.1.1 5.1.1.2 Similar Valuing of Clin Cultura	Criteria nces Between Lecturers' and Preceptors' g of Evaluation Criteria Values and professional socialisation Competence in nursing practice ities in Lecturers' and Preceptors' g of Evaluation Criteria e of Educational Level on Preceptors' nical Evaluation Criteria al Safety	76 77 78 79 80 81
5.2	Lectu Performance 5.1.1 5.1.2 The Instanta 5.2.1 The Control	Difference of Valuing 5.1.1.1 5.1.1.2 Similar Valuing of Clin Cultura Clinical I	criteria nces Between Lecturers' and Preceptors' g of Evaluation Criteria Values and professional socialisation Competence in nursing practice ities in Lecturers' and Preceptors' g of Evaluation Criteria e of Educational Level on Preceptors' nical Evaluation Criteria al Safety Evaluation Tool	76 77 78 79 80 81
5.2	Lectu Performance 5.1.1 5.1.2 The Instanta 5.2.1 The Control	Difference of Valuing 5.1.1.1 5.1.1.2 Similar Valuing of Clin Cultura Clinical I Lecture Clarity	criteria nces Between Lecturers' and Preceptors' g of Evaluation Criteria Values and professional socialisation Competence in nursing practice ities in Lecturers' and Preceptors' g of Evaluation Criteria e of Educational Level on Preceptors' nical Evaluation Criteria al Safety Evaluation Tool ers' and Preceptors' Perceptions of the	76 77 78 79 80 81 83

CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSION 90			
(6.1	Implications	91
	6.2	Recommendations	93
References		94	
Appen	dice	es ·	
	A	Standards for Nursing Practice	
1	В	Questionnaire -Lecturer	
)	C	Questionnaire - Preceptor	
1	D	Letter of Information - Lecturer	
1	E	Letter of Information - Preceptor	
1	F	Information Sheet for Consent to Participate in	
		Research	
	G	All Associations Between Lecturers and Preceptors for	
		Each of the Five Standards of the CSRS	

List of Tables

Table 1:	Professional and Educational Characteristics of Preceptors
Table 2:	Professional and Educational Characteristics of Lecturers
Table 3:	Cronbach's Alpha for Five Standards in CSRS 58
Table 4:	Summary of Lecturers and Preceptors Criteria Rated as Critically Important
Table 5:	Ranking of Critical Importance of Competency Criteria by Lecturers and Preceptors
Table 6:	Ranking of Critical Importance of Critical Thinking Criteria by Lecturers and Preceptors
Table 7:	Ranking of Critical Importance of Professionalism Criteria by Lecturers and Preceptors
Table 8:	Ranking of Critical Importance of Valuing Criteria by Lecturers and Preceptors
Table 9:	Ranking of Critical Importance of Communication Criteria by Lecturers and Preceptors
Table 10:	Association Between Preceptors' Education Level and Cultural Safety

Glossary

Clinical evaluation

Pertains to both the summative evaluation and the formative evaluation a student receives regarding their practice.

Evaluation

Is both a process and a product. As defined by Scriven (1991) the term refers to the process of systematically determining the merit, worth and value of something and also denotes the products of that process. Therefore both formative and summative evaluation are encompassed in this term.

Formative evaluation

Is the 'process' of evaluation or the ongoing feedback a student receives from both the preceptor and the lecturer to inform them of their progress.

Lecturer

Registered nurse who is employed by the educational institution and provides theoretical teaching in the classroom and facilitates clinical teaching collaboratively with the preceptor.

Nurse clinician

Registered nurse who is employed by a health care agency and whose primary employment is in clinical practice.

Nurse educator

Used generically to include all nurses who work in an educational role. This includes registered nurses who work in health care agencies and educational institutions and who may or may not have a clinical teaching role.

Nurse tutor

Registered nurse who is employed by an educational institution and provides both classroom teaching and clinical teaching in undergraduate diploma programmes.

Preceptor

Registered nurse whose primary employment is in the clinical area where nursing students are placed. Provides one-to-one clinical teaching for a student during their clinical placement. Summative evaluation

Is the 'product' or end result of achievement the student receives from a lecturer at the completion of a clinical placement.