Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

CLIENT CHARACTERISTICS AND WAITING TIME EFFECTS AT A CHILD, ADOLESCENT AND FAMILY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE

Mariella Moana Trynes 1998

CLIENT CHARACTERISTICS AND WAITING TIME EFFECTS AT A CHILD, ADOLESCENT AND FAMILY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICE

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Masters of Arts in Psychology at Massey University

> Mariella Moana Trynes 1998

ABSTRACT

The present study was of a prospective, longitudinal design which provided a 'snap-shot' overview of a Child, Adolescent, and Family Mental Health Service (CAFS). The present study had three main objectives. The first objective was to describe the characteristics of clients referred to CAFS assigned to the different priority categories. The second objective was to determine the typical waiting times for clients attending CAFS. The third objective was to determine what changes in problem symptomatology or problem severity occur whilst clients wait for their initial appointment. Two hypotheses were tested. The first was that those who are placed on the High priority wait list will have significantly higher levels of symptomatology than those on the Medium priority wait list. The second was that as wait time increases, problem severity will increase. Participants consisted of parents/caregivers of consecutive clients who were placed on a High or Medium priority waitlist. Parents/caregivers completed the Child Behaviour Checklist (CBCL) measure when first placed on the waitlist and again before they attended their initial appointment at the service. Clients assigned to the non-wait listed categories (Crisis or Urgent) tended to consist of older females, whereas those assigned to the wait listed categories (High and Medium priority wait list) tended to consist of younger males. Results indicated that wait time did not affect problem severity, nor did problem severity differ for High and Medium priority clients. The discussion focused on the implications of the findings with regards to managing mental health waiting lists.

This thesis is dedicated to my wonderful family, Dad, Mum, Lucielle, Nick and Matthew.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would first like to thank my initial thesis supervisor Frank Deane for his patience, expert guidance, and help. Thanks also Frank, for encouraging me to present parts of this research at the New Zealand Psychological Society conference, Massey University in August 1997.

Equal thanks go to Julie Bunnell, my second supervisor. Julie has provided encouragement, support, enthusiasm, and patience, especially during the times when I thought that this thesis would never end.

Mostly I wish to thank Frank and Julie for their time which was always given freely and without hesitation.

I would also like to extend my gratitude to the staff at the Child, Adolescence and Family Mental Health Service. Thanks to all those who made themselves available to me to answer any questions which arose during the course of this study. Special thanks to Catherine Hunter who introduced this topic for use. Thanks also to the parents/caregivers who participated in this study.

Finally, I wish to acknowledge the encouragement, everlasting faith, emotional and financial support my family has given me, not only for this thesis, but also throughout my time at Massey University (I love you mum and dad). This thesis is as much a product of your hard work and perseverance as it is mine. Thanks so much for the loan of your most wonderful computer!

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAP	TER							Page
	Abstract	244	• • •	**	9.	**	**	ii
	Dedication				100	**		iii
	Acknowledgmen	ts						iv
	Table of Conten	ts	•••	**	**	**		V
	List of Tables			**				viii
	List of Figures	**	**	**		364C	**	x
1	INTRODUCTION	N						1
576 -	Overview			**		**	**	1
	Wait Lists	••	**				**	2
	Defining Service			4.4				4
	Referral Proced		•••	**	er:	**		5
	Intake Procedur	es						7
	Intake Criteria			**		**		9
	Wait List Criteria	a			••		140	11
	Effects of Wait I	ists					**:	14
	Length of	wait time	e and a	attrition			*(*)	14
	Dissatisfa	action wit	h wait	lists			**	15
	Sought treatment elsewhere				**		**	16
	Spontaneous improvement							16
	Increased Problem Severity							18
	Attrition at Initia					**	200	19
	Aims and Hypot	heses			**			21

2	METHODOLOG	¥	**					22
	Research Settin	ıg	***	**		X.6.	**	22
	CAFS Referral	and Intak	e Proce	dures				23
	The Wait List P	rocess	**				**	25
	Wait List Criteri	а				***	**	26
	Participants		••					30
	Measures	**	1640)	×.	**		**	31
	Procedure	.,	(**)	**	**		**	34
	Ethical Issues	**		••	**		**	36
3	RESULTS							38
	Data Analysis	**	**		**	**	**	38
	Results PART C	NE: Ref	errals to	CAFS	**	**	××	39
	Wait times from referral to intake for all clients							
	referred t	o CAFS	**				**	42
	Clients Accepte	d for CAI	FS Treat	ment	**	KKE	**	44
	Clients Seen By	CAFS	linicians	3	**	66		46
	Wait time	es for clie	ents seer	by CA	AFS cli	nicians	**	48
	Results PART 7	WO: Qu	estionna	ire Da	ta	**)	**	49
	Respons	e Rates		••			••	49
	Scoring of	of question	onnaires	**		• •		50
	Descriptions of	Clients a	t Time 1					51
	Description of C	lients at	Time 2					54
	Changes Between	en Time	1 and T	ime 2	**	**	**	55
	Mean sc	ores	••	••	••	••		55
	Individua	l change						56
	Distributi	on of clie	ents			••		57
	Wait time	es		0.2		W.	7.	59

								vii
4	DISCUSSIO	N						60
	Client Chara	cteristics	**		**			60
	Gende	er roles		**	**			61
	Wait Times					**		62
	Changes in I	Changes in Problem Severity Over Wait Time						
	Descr	iption of clie	ents at T	ime 1				64
	Descr	iption of clie	ents at T	ime 2	.,			65
	General Imp	General Implication of Findings						68
	Age a	Age and gender differences						68
	Application of	of Findings				24		69
	Refer	ral to CAFS	**	**		**		69
	Wait t	Wait times of clients referred to CAFS						70
	Client	Clients seen by clinicians						71
	General Lim	General Limitations of the Study						71
	Desig	Design and internal validity						71
	Exterr	External validity and generalisability						72
	Meas	urement			••			73
	Conclusion	w w	o	**	890	**	3000	75
	REFERENC	ES					•••	77
	APPENDICE	S					••	89
	Α	Guidelines	for Refe	erral to	CAFS		100	90
	В	The Child Behaviour Checklist					.,	94
	С	Information	n Sheet					96

Consent Form

98

D

LIST OF TABLES

TABL	E	Page
1.1	Summary of key authors	13
3.1	Action taken by the CAFS intake team on new referrals	40
3.2	Time waited in days between referral and intake for all clie referred to CAFS in the three wait type categories	nts 43
3.3	Wait list categories for clients accepted (n = 335) and seem by CAFS (n = 205)	n 44
3.4	Gender and age of clients accepted for treatment at CAFS in the four wait type categories	45
3.5	Gender and age of clients seen by CAFS clinicians in the four wait type categories	47
3.6	Total wait time in days for clients seen in the three wait typicategories	e 48
3.7	Demographic description of clients at Time 1 and Time 2	51

3.8	Mean total, externalising and internalising scores at Time 1						
	for 76 clients		**	**			52
3.9	Total, externalising an	d internal	ising so	cores a	t Time	1 for	
	73 wait listed clients	**	••	57	69	**	53
3.10	Classification of clients	s at Time	1 (76 c	lients)	••		54
3.11	Total, externalising an	d internal	ising so	cores a	t		
	Time 1 and Time 2		**	**			55
3.12	Change in problem se	verity ove	er time f	or the t	otal,		
	externalising and inter	nalising s	cores	**			57
3.13	Classification of clients	s at Time	1 and	Time 2	for tota	al,	
	externalising and inter	nalising s	cores (n = 25)		58

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE				
2.1	Flow chart describing the referral process at the Child,			
	Adolescent and Family Mental Health Service	29		