

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**THE EFFECTS OF DEFOLIATION ON TISSUE  
TURNOVER AND PASTURE PRODUCTION IN  
PERENNIAL RYEGRASS, PRAIRIE GRASS  
AND SMOOTH BROMEGRASS PASTURE.**

**A THESIS PRESENTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE  
REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY  
AT MASSEY UNIVERSITY.**

**JingXin Xia 1991**

## ABSTRACT

This thesis reports the results of three experiments, one with perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.) cv. Ellett under rotational sheep grazing, one with prairie grass (Bromus willdenowii Kunth.) cv. Grasslands Matua under rotational dairy cow grazing, and one with smooth brome grass (Bromus inermis Leyss) under cutting management. The first two experiments were carried out at Massey University, New Zealand, the third at Beijing Agricultural University, China. Each experiment involved management variations set within a range considered to be appropriate to the species under examination, and was complete in itself. The objective of the study reported here was to examine the sensitivity to defoliation of the three grass species, sensitivity being defined principally in terms of adjustment in tiller population density and tissue turnover to variations in defoliation treatment. The results are reported separately, but are drawn together for comparative purposes in an integrating discussion.

Flexibility in response to defoliation, measured in terms of the number of live leaves per tiller, the rate of leaf appearance, dry weight per tiller, and tiller population density, differed substantially in perennial ryegrass, prairie grass, and smooth brome grass, and had a major influence on the sensitivity of the species to contrasting managements.

Net herbage production was relatively insensitive to hard (2.5cm, post grazing 1000kgDM/ha) and lax grazing (15cm, post grazing 2000kgDM/ha) in perennial ryegrass pasture because of rapid adaptive change in tiller population density, which was usually greater under hard grazing than under lax grazing, and compensating changes in rates of herbage growth and senescence.

Net herbage production of prairie grass was greater under lax (12cm, post grazing

2500-3500kgDM/ha) than under hard (6cm, post grazing 1500-2000kgDM/ha) grazing, associated with reduction in tiller population density under the latter treatment, and a greater reduction in herbage growth than in senescence per tiller.

In smooth brome grass, tiller populations were greater under lax (30 cm) than under hard (10 cm) cutting, though the closer cutting treatment resulted in greater green herbage accumulation, because of a greater reduction in the rate of herbage senescence than in the rate of herbage production.

In general terms, perennial ryegrass demonstrated substantial genotypic plasticity in the adaptive changes in the balance between tiller population density and tiller size, reflecting the high tillering potential in this species. Rates of leaf production on main and daughter tillers consistently made the major contribution to tissue turnover in this. In contrast, prairie grass showed little adaptive response in tiller population density when tiller size was reduced, and the main component of tissue turnover was generally stem material. Though tiller size was similar in smooth brome and prairie grass, adaptive changes in the balance between tiller size and population were more complete in the former species and leaf tissue made a greater contribution than stem to tissue turnover.

The effect of seasonal change in the environment on the growth of grass swards is complicated by progression from vegetative to reproductive development. In ryegrass pasture, there were advantages to spring and summer pasture production from a management which allowed seed head development to anthesis in spring, followed by hard grazing to enhance the subsequent development of new vegetative tillers. For smooth brome grass initial cutting at anthesis resulted in a greater rate of green herbage accumulation subsequently than did cutting one month later. In prairie grass the limited development of replacement daughter tillers contributed to the relatively

poor performance of this species under hard grazing. The relationship between the timing and severity of defoliation and the physiological status of the plant was therefore critical in determining subsequent herbage growth in all three species, though there were clearly specific differences in effects on the balance between stem and new tiller production and the expansion of daughter tillers.

This study suggested that a better understanding of the limits of adaptive response in the different species, particularly in tiller population structure and tissue turnover, will provide an objective basis for planning pasture management. Studies of this kind, preferably made under strictly controlled comparative conditions, would be a particularly important component of evaluation programs for new plant genotypes.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my deep gratitude to my chief supervisor, Prof.J.Hodgson for his patient guidance, and close supervision through the course of this study.

I also feel deeply grateful to Dr.A.C.P.Chu my co-supervisor for his patient supervision; and to Prof.P.Wang, Beijing Agricultural University, for arranging and guiding my experiment in China. I would like to express my sadness at the death of prof. S.S. Chia, and my gratitude for his patient guidance during my tenure of a teaching position at Beijing Agricultural Universityand and in the early phases of this study.

I am personally indebted to Mr.C.Matthew for his advice during this study and his cooperation in the ryegrass experiment. Special thanks are due to Dr.I.Gordon for his tuition on computer statistics programs, Dr. A. Younger for his advice on thesis writing; Mr.F.Y.Liu for his help in the experiment in China, and V.K.Rugambwa, for his frindly cooperation in the prairie grass experiment.

My study at Massey University was made all the more pleasant by staff of the Agronomy Department.

I would like to express my sincere thanks to Massey University for financial support during the course of this project, and Beijing Agricultural University for granting me study leave.

Finally, I thank my wife Mrs.C.L.Zhao, for her tolerance of long absence from our home in Beijing and her gentle support and encouragement.

## LIST OF CONTENTES

<b>ABSTRACT</b>	i
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	iv
<b>LIST OF CONTENTES</b>	v
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xi
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xiii
<b>LIST OF APPENDICS</b>	xvii
<b>CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION</b>	1
<b>CHAPTER 2. LITERATURE REVIEW.</b>	1
2.1. Introduction	2
2.2. The effects of defoliation on herbage production	2
2.2.1. Effects of defoliation on plant regrowth	2
2.2.1.1. The effect of removal of leaf	3
2.2.1.2. The effect of removing the stem apex	4
2.2.1.3. Carbon reserves	5
2.2.1.4. Roots	6
2.2.2. Effect of defoliation on sward characteristics	6
2.2.2.1. Plant size	6
2.2.2.2. Seed head production	7
2.2.2.3. Tiller population density	7
2.2.3. The effects of defoliation on pasture production	10
2.2.3.1. The principal effects of management on tissue turnover in the grass crop	10
2.2.3.2. Continuously stocked swards	12
2.2.3.3. The effect of changing sward conditions	13
2.2.3.4. Intermittent defoliation	14
2.2.3.5. The duration of regrowth in an intermittently grazed sward	17

2.2.3.6. Regrowth in relation to time of defoliation	17
2.2.4. Summary	18
2.3. Characteristics of selected species and comparison between them.	19
2.3.1. Perennial ryegrass ( <u>Lolium perenne. L</u> )	19
2.3.2. Matua prairie grass ( <u>Bromus willdenowii Kunth.</u> )	20
2.3.3. Smooth brome grass ( <u>Bromus inermis Levss.</u> )	21
<b>CHAPTER 3 GRAZING MANAGEMENT, TILLER POPULATION AND TISSUE</b>	
<b>TURNOVER IN PERENNIAL RYEGRASS (<u>Lolium perenne. L</u>) PASTURE.</b>	24
3.1. Introduction	24
3.2. Materials and methods	24
3.2.1. Site	24
3.2.2. Design	25
3.2.3. Pasture measurements	27
3.2.3.1. Sward measurements	27
3.2.3.2. Individual plant unit measurements	27
3.2.4. Tissue turnover	27
3.2.4.1. Linear change per tiller	28
3.2.4.2. The dry weight per individual tiller and the rate of change	28
3.2.4.3. Weight change per unit area	29
3.2.5. Tiller appearance rate	29
3.2.6. Leaf Growth Efficiency Index	30
3.2.7. Statistical analysis	30
3.3. Results	30
3.3.1. Tiller population density	30
3.3.2. Tiller size	33
3.3.2.1. Leaf number	33



3.3.2.2. Individual tiller dry weight	33
3.3.3. The standing herbage mass and the rate of herbage accumulation	38
3.3.4. Tissue turnover	40
3.3.4.1. Individual tiller	40
3.3.4.2. Herbage production per unit area	43
3.3.4.3. Components and seasonal pasture production	46
3.3.4.4. Leaf Growth Efficiency Index (LGEI)	46
3.3.5. Tiller Appearance Rate (TAR)	50
3.4. Discussion	52
3.4.1. Techniques	52
3.4.2. Pasture management	54
3.4.2.1. Effects of sustained hard and lax grazings	54
3.4.2.2. The effects of switch grazing in late spring	55
3.4.2.3. Management implications	55
3.5. Conclusions	57
<b>CHAPTER 4. THE EFFECTS OF SEVERITY OF GRAZING ON TISSUE TURNOVER IN MATUA PRAIRIE GRASS DAIRY PASTURE</b>	58
4.1. Introduction	58
4.2. Materials and methods	58
4.2.1. Experimental design and field management	58
4.2.2. Measurements	60
4.2.2.1. Sward	60
4.2.2.2. Individual tillers	60
4.2.2.3. Tissue turnover	61
4.2.3. Statistical analysis	61
4.3. Results	62
4.3.1. Herbage mass and morphological composition	62

4.3.2. Tiller size	62
4.3.2.1. Number of live leaves	62
4.3.2.2. The individual tiller dry weight	64
4.3.3. Population density	67
4.3.3.1. Tiller population density	67
4.3.3.2. The distribution of plant size	68
4.3.4. The herbage accumulation	74
4.3.5. The tissue turnover	76
4.3.5.1. Turnover of tissue on individual tillers	76
A. The effect of tiller position	77
B. Growth	79
C. Senescence	80
D. Net herbage production	81
4.3.5.2 Herbage production per unit area	83
A. Growth	83
B. Senescence	84
C. Net herbage production	85
4.4. Discussion	87
4.4.1. Sward measurement technique	87
4.4.2. The distribution of plant size	89
4.4.3. Seasonal effects	91
4.4.4. The effect of treatment	92
4.5. Conclusion	93
<b>CHAPTER 5 THE INFLUENCE OF CUTTING MANAGEMENT ON HERBAGE</b>	
<b>    PRODUCTION IN SMOOTH BROMEGRASS (<u>Bromus inermis</u> Leyss).</b>	94
5.1. Introduction	94
5.2. Materials and methods	94
5.2.1. Experimental field and general management	94

5.2.2. Experimental design	95
5.2.3. Measurements	97
5.2.3.1. Herbage harvested	97
5.2.3.2. Standing mass and herbage accumulation	97
5.2.3.3. Tiller population density and tiller weight	97
5.2.3.4. Leaves per tiller	98
5.2.3.5. Underground biomass	98
5.2.3.6. Subsequent spring regrowth	98
5.2.3.7. Statistical analysis	98
5.3. Results	98
5.3.1. Tiller size	98
5.3.1.1. Leaf number	98
5.3.1.2. The dry weight of individual tillers	101
5.3.2. Tiller population density	103
5.3.3. Herbage mass and herbage accumulation	108
5.3.3.1. Effect of timing of first cut	108
5.3.3.2. Effect of cutting height and frequency	110
5.3.3.3. Effect of number of cuts	114
5.3.4. Underground biomass	115
5.3.5. New season regrowth	117
5.4. Discussion	118
5.4.1. The technique	118
5.4.2. Tiller characteristics	118
5.4.3. The effects of cutting treatment on pasture production	119
5.4.3.1. Timing of first cut	119
5.4.3.2. The cutting height and cutting intervals	120
5.4.3.3. The number of cuts	121
5.4.4. The effect of treatment on regrowth in following spring	122

5.5. Conclusion	123
<b>CHAPTER 6 GENERAL DISCUSSION</b>	<b>124</b>
6.1. Introduction	124
6.2. Sward structure changes in response to defoliation in ryegrass, prairie grass, and smooth brome grass pasture	124
6.2.1. Leaves per tiller	128
6.2.2. The rate of leaf appearance	129
6.2.3. Dry weight per tiller	130
6.2.4. Tiller population density	130
6.3. The relationship between individual tiller weight and tiller population density	131
6.4. The effects of defoliation treatment on pasture production in swards of different species	140
6.5 The relationship between season and pasture management	142
6.6. Conclusions	144
6.6.1.	144
6.6.2.	145
6.6.3.	145
6.6.4.	145
6.6.5.	146
REFERENCES	147
APPENDICES	161

# LIST OF FIGURES

Fig 2.1 Relationship between $\ln(\text{tiller weight})$ and $\ln(\text{tiller density})$ in perennial ryegrass dominant swards.	9
Fig 2.2 Relationship between biomass sward height and LAI and rates of growth, senescence and net herbage accumulation in swards continuously stocked by sheep.	9
Fig 2.3a The effects of three severities of intermittent defoliation on the rate of gross tissue production.	16
Fig 2.3b The effects of three severities of intermittent defoliation on the rate of loss of tissue to death, as illustrated using a mechanistic model of grass production and senescence.	16
Fig 3.1 The grazing management schedule for ryegrass experiment (1987-1988)	26
Fig 3.2 Tiller population density (Tillers/m <sup>2</sup> ) (Ryegrass)	32
Fig 3.3 Individual tiller dry weight (mgDM/Tiller) (Ryegrass)	37
Fig 3.4 The rates of herbage growth senescence and net production per individual ryegrass tiller (mgDM/Tiller/Day)	42
Fig 3.5 The rates of herbage growth senescence and net production per unit area ryegrass pasture (gDM/m <sup>2</sup> /Day)	45
Fig 3.6 The rates of herbage net production by components in ryegrass pasture (gDM/m <sup>2</sup> /Day)	48
Fig 4.1 The individual tiller dry weight of prairie grass (mgDM/Tiller).	66
Fig 4.2a The distribution of plant size under hard(H) and lax(L) grazing treatments on prairie grass pasture.	71
Fig 4.2b The distribution of plant size in different periods on prairie grass pasture.	72

Fig 4.2c The distribution of plant size before and after grazing on prairie grass pasture.	73
Fig 4.3 The rate of net herbage production and senescence per tiller by components on prairie grass (mgDM/Tiller/day).	82
Fig 4.4 The rate of net herbage production and senescence components on prairie grass pasture (gDM/m <sup>2</sup> /day).	86
Fig 4.5 The regression between estimates of herbage accumulation from cut quadrats and tissue turnover procedures on prairie grass pasture.	88
Fig 5.1 The tiller population density on uncut smooth brome- grass swards (Tillers/m) <sup>2</sup>	105
Fig 5.2 The harvested herbage mass and herbage accumulation in smooth brome- grass swards (gDM/m <sup>2</sup> )	113
Fig 6.1a The relationship between tiller density and weight in ryegrass swards in different growth seasons.	132
Fig 6.1b The relationship between tiller density and weight in ryegrass swards under different treatments.	133
Fig 6.2a The relationship between tiller density and weight in prairie grass swards in different growth seasons.	135
Fig 6.2b The relationship between tiller density and weight in prairie grass swards in different growth seasons (Corrected data).	136
Fig 6.3a The relationship between tiller density and weight in smooth brome- grass swards under different treatments.	137
Fig 6.3b The relationship between tiller density and weight in smooth brome- grass swards in different growth seasons.	138
Fig 6.4 The relationship between tiller density and weight in ryegrass, prairie grass and smooth brome- grass swards.	139

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 Effect of grazing management on perennial ryegrass tiller population density (Tillers/m <sup>2</sup> ).	31
Table 3.2a The number of green leaves per perennial ryegrass tiller (Pre-grazing, Leaves/Tiller).	34
Table 3.2b The dry weight of leaf per perennial ryegrass tiller (Pre-grazing, mgDM/Tiller).	34
Table 3.2c The dry weight of stem per perennial ryegrass tiller (pre-grazing, mgDM/Tiller).	35
Table 3.2d The dry weight of daughter tillers per perennial ryegrass tiller (pre-grazing, mgDM/Tiller).	35
Table 3.2e The total dry weight per perennial ryegrass tiller (pre-grazing, mgDM/Tiller).	36
Table 3.3 The rate of herbage accumulation estimated by cut quadrats in perennial ryegrass pasture (gDM/m <sup>2</sup> /day).	39
Table 3.4 Rates of herbage growth(RG), senescence(RS), and net production(RNP), and of net leaf production(RNPL) in perennial ryegrass pasture (mgDM/Tiller/day).	41
Table 3.5 Rates of herbage growth (RG), senescence (RS), and of net leaf production (RNPL) in perennial ryegrass pasture (gDM/m <sup>2</sup> /day).	44
Table 3.6 The rate of net production per unit area by components in perennial ryegrass pasture (gDM/m <sup>2</sup> /Day).	47
Table 3.7 The Leaf crop growth efficiency index (LCGEI) in perennial ryegrass pasture.	49
Table 3.8 The absolute rate of tiller appearance (TAR) in perennial	

ryegrass pasture (Daughter tillers/100tiller/Day) .	51
Table 3.9 The parent tiller activity rate (PTAR) in perennial ryegrass pasture (Tillering tillers/100tiller/day) .	51
Table 3.10 The coefficient of variation for the rate of herbage production per tiller in perennial ryegrass pasture.	53
Table 4.1 Periods of pasture regrowth and measurement.	59
Table 4.2a The number of live leaves per tiller before grazing in hard(H) and lax(L) grazed prairie grass pasture.	63
Table 4.2b The total number of leaves and the rate of leaf appearance in hard(H) and lax(L) grazed pasture (Sep to Nov 1988, prairie grass).	63
Table 4.3a The individual tiller dry weight in hard(H) and lax(L) grazed prairie pasture (pre-grazing, mgDM/Tiller)	65
Table 4.3b The ratio of stem to total tiller dry weight in hard(H) and lax(L) grazed prairie grass pasture.	65
Table 4.4 The tiller population density on permanently marked plants in hard(H) and lax(L) grazed prairie grass pasture	67
Table 4.5a The effects of treatment and period on distribution of plant size and plant population density in hard(H) and lax(L) grazed prairie grass pasture.	69
Table 4.5b The distribution of size and plant population density during regrowth under hard(H) and lax(L) grazed prairie grass pasture.	70
Table 4.6 The pre and post-grazing standing herbage mass (gDM/m <sup>2</sup> ) and the rate of green(G) and total(T) herbage accumulation(gDM/m <sup>2</sup> /day) estimated by cut quadrats.	75
Table 4.7 The coefficient of variation of estimates of herbage growth on sample tillers in period 2.	76



Table 4.8a	The effect of tiller position in the plant on rate of herbage growth per tiller (mgDM/Tiller/day).	77
Table 4.8b	The effect of tiller position in the plant on rate of herbage senescence per tiller (mgDM/Tiller/day).	78
Table 4.8c	The effect of tiller position in the plant on rate of herbage net production per tiller (mgDM/Tiller/day).	78
Table 4.9a	The rate of herbage growth per tiller over different treatment periods as estimated by individual tiller tissue turnover (mgDM/Tiller/Day)	79
Table 4.9b	The rate of herbage senescence per tiller over different treatment periods as estimated by individual tiller tissue turnover (mgDM/Tiller/Day)	80
Table 4.9c	The rate of herbage net production per tiller over different treatment periods as estimated by individual tiller tissue turnover (mgDM/Tiller/Day)	81
Table 4.10a	The rate of herbage growth per unit area as estimated from individual tiller tissue turnover and tiller population density (gDM/m <sup>2</sup> /Day)	83
Table 4.10b	The rate of herbage senescence per unit area as estimated from individual tiller tissue turnover and tiller population density (gDM/m <sup>2</sup> /Day)	84
Table 4.10c	The rate of herbage net production per unit area as estimated from individual tiller tissue turnover and tiller population density (gDM/m <sup>2</sup> /Day)	85
Table 4.11	The Plant size distribution on prairie grass pasture (Current study and Dodd)	90
Table 5.1	The experimental design in smooth brome grass pasture.	96
Table 5.2a	Number of green(G), senescent(S), and total(T) leaves	

per vegetative tiller (Leaves/tiller) in smooth bromegrass (Measured at 5 July).	99
Table 5.2b Number of green(G), senescent(S), and total(T) leaves per vegetative tiller (Leaves/tiller) in smooth bromegrass (Measured at 22 September).	100
Table 5.3a Influence of treatment on the dry weight of individual smooth bromegrass tillers (mg/Tiller).	102
Table 5.3b Influence of season on the dry weight of individual smooth bromegrass tillers (mg/Tiller).	102
Table 5.4a Smooth bromegrass ( <i>Bromus inermis</i> Leyss) vegetative (V), reproductative (R) and total (T) tiller population density (Tillers/m <sup>2</sup> ).	104
Table 5.4b Density of various size class smooth bromegrass tillers (C1,>9 leaves/Tiller; C2,4-9 leaves/Tiller; C3,<3 leaves/Tiller), and the numbers of tillers and buds per plant in October (Tillers/m <sup>2</sup> )	107
Table 5.5a The effect of initial cutting time on green(G), dead(D) and total(T) herbage harvested in summer, autumn and overall in smooth bromegrass pasture (gDM/m <sup>2</sup> ).	109
Table 5.5b The effect of initial cutting time on green(G), dead(D) and total(T) herbage accumulation in summer, autumn and overall in smooth bromegrass pasture (gDM/m <sup>2</sup> ).	109
Table 5.6a The effect of cutting height (Treatments 3&4 vs 5&6), cutting frequency (Treatments 3&5 vs 4&6), and cutting height*frequency interaction (Treatments 3&6 vs 4&5) on green(G), dead(D) and total (T) herbage harvested in summer, autumn and overall in smooth bromegrass pasture (gDM/m <sup>2</sup> ).	111

Table 5.6b The effect of cutting height (Treatments 3&4 vs 5&6), cutting frequency (Treatments 3&5 vs 4&6), and cutting height*frequency interaction (Treatments 3&6 vs 4&5) on green(G), dead(D) and total(T) herbage accumulation in summer, autumn and overall in smooth brome grass pasture (gDM/m <sup>2</sup> ) .	112
Table 5.7 The effect of number of harvests on yield and accumulation of green(G), dead(D), and total(T) herbage over the full growth period in smooth brome grass pasture (gDM/m <sup>2</sup> )	114
Table 5.8 The effect of cutting treatment on underground biomass in smooth brome grass pasture (Sampling on 22 October) (gDM/m <sup>2</sup> , to 40cm depth) .	116
Table 5.9 The effect of previous cutting treatment on smooth brome grass tiller population density, standing herbage mass per unit area and weight per tiller.	117
Table 6.1a The responses of tiller characteristics to defoliation in ryegrass, prairie grass and smooth brome grass pasture (at reproductive phase) .	125
Table 6.1b The rate of leaf appearance and the number of leaves at the beginning (post grazing) and the end (pre-grazing) of regrowth in perennial ryegrass, prairie grass and smooth brome grass.	126
Table 6.1c The proportion of reproductive tillers in ryegrass, prairie grass and smooth brome grass swards	127
Table 6.2 The rates of herbage growth, senescence, and net production per tiller (mgDM/Tiller/day) and per unit area (gDM/m <sup>2</sup> /day) in ryegrass, prairie grass, and smooth brome grass swards.	141

## LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 3.1a (Fig)	161
The rainfall per month from August 1987 to March 1989 (Palmerston North).	
Appendix 3.1b (Fig)	162
The average air temperature from August 1987 to March 1989 (Palmerston North).	
Appendix 3.2	163
Xia, J.X.; Hodgson, J.; Matthew, C.; and Chu, A.C.P. (1989) Effect of spring and summer grazing management on tiller population and tissue turnover in a perennial ryegrass pasture. Proc. N.Z. Grassl. Ass. 51:119-122.	
Appendix 3.3	166
The ANOVA analysis of net leaf production (g/sq-m/day) in December 1987	
Appendix 3.4	
Tissue dry weight per unit length (mg/mm)	167
Appendix 3.5a (Table)	167
The standing herbage mass estimated by cut quadrats in spring (gDM/m <sup>2</sup> ).	
Appendix 3.5b (Table)	168
The standing herbage mass estimated by cut quadrats in summer (gDM/m <sup>2</sup> ).	
Appendix 3.5c (Table)	168
The standing herbage mass estimated by cut quadrats in winter (gDM/m <sup>2</sup> ).	
Appendix 4.1	169
The ANOVA analysis of leaf growth rate per unit area.	

Appendix 4.2a (Table)	170
Mean values of post and pre-grazing herbage mass (kgDM/ha) (From Rugambwa, et al 1990)	
Appendix 4.2b (Table)	170
Mean values for morphological composition (% dry weight) and leaf:stem ratio in the post-grazing herbage from lax grazed(L) and hard grazed(H) prairie grass swards, average across all season (From Rugambwa, et al 1990).	
Appendix 4.3 (Table)	171
Mean chemical composition of herbage on offer and animal production in hard grazed (H) and lax grazed (L) prairie grass pasture (Rugambwa,et al 1990)	
Appendix 4.4 (Table)	171
Mean botanical composition and morphological components of pasture on offer (% of DM) in hard grazed (H) and lax grazed (L) prairie grass pasture (Rugambwa, et al 1990).	
Appendix 4.5 (Table)	172
Plant population of Matua prairie grass under lax and hard graed (Plants/m <sup>2</sup> ) (Chu, Unpul. data).	
Appendix 5.1.	173
The green herbage accumulation in summer(June-August) --- an example of ANOVA and contrast statistical analysis.	