

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

THE EFFECTS OF TEACHING SPELLING SKILLS USING
WORD-LEVEL INFORMATION AND MNEMONIC
STRATEGIES ON THE LITERACY
ACHIEVEMENT OF YEAR 1,
2 AND 3 STUDENTS.

by

Joy Maureen Allcock

A Thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the degree of Master of
Education at Massey University

October, 2000

Abstract

Spelling instruction in New Zealand schools frequently focuses on children learning isolated lists of words, which are generally taken from either errors in their personal writing, or from core vocabulary lists (Brann & Hattie, 1995). This technique does not appear to be sufficient for developing good spelling skills in all children.

In order to understand the spelling difficulties experienced by older poor spellers (years 5 to 8), an initial exploratory study was carried out to examine the nature of spelling errors made by students of this age. A remedial programme was then designed to meet the identified needs of twenty poor spellers from this group of students. The results from a pilot of this programme showed improvements in the spelling performance of participating students. These studies are discussed as preliminary studies in this thesis.

The difficulties experienced by older poor spellers related to some or all of the following: poor letter-sound knowledge, lack of awareness of common spelling patterns, inability to use analogy of sounds and spelling patterns to generalise knowledge from word to word and lack of knowledge of basic rules and

conventions underlying written English. Normally developing spellers begin to use these sources of knowledge from year 1, as they develop reading and spelling skills. These sources of word-level information are seldom taught explicitly in New Zealand schools. Children are expected to "pick them up" through exposure to print. For the children who do not, spelling skills are seriously impaired.

A spelling programme, designed to teach this word-level information from school entry, was developed using the "Letterland" resource, which uses picture mnemonics and story (metaphor) to make information memorable. This programme, designed for year 1, 2 and 3 students, is evaluated in this thesis, by comparing the performance of children exposed to the programme from the training school, with that of students from a comparison school. The schools were matched by their socio-economic decile rating and by the results of their 6 year Observational Surveys, over a three-year period. Measures of performance with phonological awareness, spelling and reading were compared between these two schools.

Students from the training school achieved significantly better results in a number of areas including; letter-sound knowledge (years 1, 2, and 3), sound-letter

knowledge (years 1,2), phonological awareness (years 1, 2), pseudoword spelling (years 2,3), pseudoword reading (years 2,3), and proofreading (year 3). The percentage of students achieving scores in the lowest ranges was smaller in the training school for all year groups.

Since the introduction of this spelling programme to the training school, there have been significant improvements in the results of the 6 Year Observational Surveys in the areas of letter identification, writing vocabulary, dictation, and Burt word recognition and improvements in reading levels almost reached statistical significance. There were fewer children reading in the lowest levels (0-5), a greater number reading at or above levels 12-14 and an increase in the number of children reading in the top levels (i.e., level 19 and above).

Teaching word-level information explicitly, using strategies which made learning memorable, improved the phonological awareness, spelling and reading skills of the children exposed to this spelling programme.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1	Introduction	
	Spelling Practices in New Zealand Schools.....	13
	The Research Problem.....	16
	Part 1 of this study.....	17
	Part 2 of this Study.....	18
	The Research Hypotheses.....	18
	Overview	19
Chapter 2	Review of Literature and Research	
	Why is Spelling an Important Skill?.....	20
	The Influence of Spelling on	
	Other Curriculum Areas.....	20
	The Relationship Between Reading and	
	Spelling.....	22
	How do Children Learn to Spell?.....	26
	An Overview of Spelling Development.....	26
	Processes that Influence the	
	Development of Spelling Skills.....	28
	Different groups of poor spellers.....	36
	What Skills are Necessary for the Development	
	of Good Spelling?.....	41
	Alphabetic Processing	42
	Orthographic and Morphological	
	Processing.....	49
	Proofreading for spelling errors.....	52
	How Should Spelling be Taught?.....	53
	Principles of Spelling Instruction.....	53
	Components of Spelling Instruction.....	56
	Strategies for Making Learning Memorable.....	60
	Summary.....	64
	The Research Questions.....	66
Chapter 3	Part 1: Preliminary Studies	
	Analysis of Spelling Errors.....	67
	Method.....	67
	Results and Discussion.....	69
	Remedial Spelling Programme for Year 6, 7 and 8	
	Students.....	77
	Method.....	77
	Results and Discussion	80
	Implications for Teaching Practice.....	84
Chapter 4	Part 2: Evaluation of Junior Spelling Programme	
	Method.....	90

Participants.....	90
Design.....	95
Spelling Programme.....	95
Aims of the Programme.....	96
Description of "Letterland" Resource.....	96
Materials.....	102
Alphabet Knowledge.....	102
Phonological Awareness.....	103
Reading.....	106
Spelling.....	109
Procedure.....	111
Language Programmes in Training and	
Comparison Schools.....	113
Training School Programme.....	114
Comparison School Programme.....	121
 Chapter 5 Results and Discussion	
6 Year Observational Survey Results.....	123
Alphabet Knowledge.....	128
Knowledge of Letter Names, Letter-sound and	
Sound-letter Correspondences.....	128
How do Young Children Learn Letter-sound	
Correspondences?.....	132
Phonological Awareness.....	141
Spelling.....	142
Pseudoword Spelling.....	142
Daniels and Diack Spelling.....	145
Reading.....	146
Burt Word Recognition.....	146
Pseudoword Reading.....	147
Proofreading.....	149
Comparison of Results for Students	
Achieving the Lowest Scores.....	150
 Chapter 6 Discussion and Conclusions	
Discussion of Results.....	154
Educational Implications.....	170
Limitations of This Study.....	174
Suggestions for Future Research.....	175
 References.....	177
 Appendices.....	190

List of Figures

Figure 1.	Percentage of students reading at various levels from 6 Year Observational Survey results, comparing training school (pre and post intervention) and comparison school.....	126
Figure 2.	Comparison of 6 Year Observational Survey results between training school (pre and post intervention and comparison school.....	127
Figure 3.	Comparison of results for standard phonic responses for consonant sounds in year 1, 2 and 3 students.....	131
Figure 4.	Comparison of results for standard phonic responses for short vowel sounds in year 1, 2 and 3 students.....	131
Figure 5.	Percentage of standard phonic responses for letters most commonly incorrect.....	140

List of Tables

Table 1.	Incidence of spelling errors as a measure of type, number and percentage, in 15 minute writing samples, for students in years 6,7,and 8 (1997, 1998.....	74
Table 2.	Spelling age in years, of year 8 students as a function of student and time of testing.....	81
Table 3.	Spelling age in years, of year 7 students as a function of student and time of testing.....	81
Table 4.	Spelling age in years, of year 6 students as a function of student and time of testing.....	82
Table 5	Comparison of means and standard deviations of 6 year Observational Survey results, between students in the training school (1993-1995,prior to the introduction of training programme) and students in the comparison school (1997-1999).....	93
Table 6.	Number, gender, mean age of subjects and year group in training and comparison schools.....	94
Table 7.	Nature and timing of tests administered, by year group.....	112
Table 8.	Topics covered in spelling programme, by year group.....	120
Table 9.	Comparison of means and standard deviations of 6 year Observational Survey results for training school prior to intervention (1993-1995) and post intervention (1996-1999).....	124
Table 10.	Reading levels attained in the 6 year Observational Survey, in training school (pre and post intervention) and comparison school, as a measure of a percentage of students assessed.....	125
Table 11.	Mean scores for knowledge of letter names and letter sounds as a comparison between years and between groups.....	128
Table 12.	Means and standard deviations for letter-sound knowledge of consonants and vowels, for year 1, 2 and 3 students, comparing March results between years and between groups.....	130
Table 13.	Means and standard deviations of Burt word test scores, comparing children with reading age below 6;9 and all children, regardless of reading age.....	134

Table 14. Percentage of standard phonic responses to alphabet letters as a comparison between students from the training school, the comparison school and the Thompson et al. study.....	136
Table 15. Percentage of standard phonic responses for letter sounds in letters most commonly wrong as a comparison between years and between groups(March testing).....	139
Table 16. Means and standard deviations for phonological awareness, comparing March and November results, between year groups.....	141
Table 17. Means and standard deviations for pseudoword spelling, comparing March and November results, between year groups.....	143
Table 18. Means and standard deviations for Daniels and Diack spelling, comparing March and November results, between year groups.....	145
Table 19. Means and standard deviations for Burt word recognition comparing March and November results, between year groups.....	147
Table 20. Means and standard deviations for pseudoword reading, comparing March and November results, between year groups.....	148
Table 21. Means and standard deviations for proofreading, comparing March and November results, between year groups for students in years 1 and 2.....	149
Table 22. Means and standard deviations for proofreading, comparing March and November results, between groups of students in year 3.....	150
Table 23. Percentage of Year 1 students scoring in the lowest ranges, comparing March and November results.....	151
Table 24. Percentage of Year 2 students scoring in the lowest ranges, comparing March and November results.....	152
Table 25. Percentage of Year 3 students scoring in the lowest ranges, comparing March and November results.....	152

Acknowledgements

I would first like to thank my supervisors, Professor W. E. Tunmer and Dr K.T. Greaney for the support and assistance they have given me while supervising this thesis.

I would like to thank the parents and caregivers of the children involved in this study for allowing their children to participate. I would especially like to thank the principals, teachers and children from the two schools involved in this study, for participating so willingly and enthusiastically. I am grateful to the children, for not minding the "tests" and to the teachers, for never complaining about disruptions to their classroom routines.

I have worked with many teachers while I have been undertaking various studies related to the development of spelling skills and am grateful for the interest and enthusiasm they have shown as we have worked together to try to understand how children learn to spell and how spelling skills affect the development of literacy.