

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**POTENTIAL USES OF FLUIDISED BED BOILER ASH AS
A LIMING MATERIAL, SOIL CONDITIONER AND
SULPHUR FERTILISER**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Soil Science
at Massey University

Hailong Wang

1996

Abstract

A fluidised bed boiler ash, produced by the New Zealand Dairy Corporation (NZDC FBA) as a by-product resulting from the combined combustion of high S coal and limestone, was chemically characterised and evaluated as a potential liming material, soil conditioner and S source in some representative New Zealand soils.

Chemical analysis showed that slaked NZDC FBA had a pH_{water} of 12.4 and CaCO_3 equivalent of 51.8%. The “lime” in FBA is mainly $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$, making it a quicker acting, more caustic material than limestone. FBA contained 6.2% S, 25.4% Ca (dry weight basis) and negligible amounts of P, K and Mg. Mineralogical analysis indicated that approximately 50% of the S in the slaked FBA is gypsum ($\text{CaSO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$) with the remainder being water insoluble ettringite ($\text{Ca}_6\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3(\text{OH})_{12} \cdot 26\text{H}_2\text{O}$).

A field trial was established on a permanent dairy pasture (predominantly ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*) and white clover (*Trifolium repens*)) on peat soil, in Moanatuatua peatland, Waikato, New Zealand, to examine the effectiveness of FBA as a soil conditioner to overcome soil water repellency, a liming material and a S source. The treatments included the untreated control and three rates of FBA (1000, 6616 and 26462 kg FBA ha⁻¹, wet weight basis), which were surface dressed onto the pasture. Three rates of lime, which had the same CaCO_3 equivalent as the corresponding rates of FBA, were included for comparison.

Using the molarity of ethanol droplet (MED) test, the air dried peat soil sampled in summer was classified as severely water repellent (MED > 2.2). Fatty acids were identified as the fraction most responsible for the repellent character of the peat soil. Only the high rate (26462 kg ha⁻¹) of FBA significantly reduced water repellency of surface peat soil and increased the rate of water infiltration into the dry peat. The hydrophobic nature of the peat soil was probably modified by the high alkalinity of applied FBA, which removed or saponified fatty acids from the soil particle surface.

However, normal liming and fertiliser rates (6616 kg ha⁻¹ or less) of FBA application, as well as all the lime treatments, had negligible effect on the water repellency of the peat soil. Therefore, it is not practical to use FBA as an amendment to minimise water repellency on peat soil.

The FBA treatments significantly increased pasture yield, in the field trial during eight months of the experimental period, mainly by improving herbage S nutrition status. In spring, the S concentrations in herbage from the FBA treatments were raised from a deficient level of 0.20% S (the untreated control) to 0.27 - 0.40% S. The ettringite-sulphate in FBA acted as a slow-release S fertiliser and high rates of FBA application maintained the raised S concentrations in the herbage for the eight month period. The presence of ettringite implies that application of FBA-sulphate has the potential to reduce the leaching loss of sulphate, a common problem in many New Zealand soils.

In a laboratory incubation and leaching study using repacked peat soil cores, the effect of surface applied FBA and lime on base and solute movement in soil was investigated. The results indicated that FBA was an effective alternative to agricultural lime to neutralise the acidity of peat soil. Although surface-applied FBA had no significant effect on decreasing subsurface soil acidity as measured by pH change in the peat soil, the Ca²⁺ ions released by FBA dissolution moved down to subsurface soil much faster than those released from lime. Increased Ca²⁺ ion concentration in subsurface soil can alleviate the acidity constraints on pasture root growth through the antagonistic relationship between Ca²⁺ and H⁺ ions. In contrast to the lime treatment, however, FBA caused significant leaching of native soil exchangeable K⁺. Therefore, K fertilisers should accompany FBA application to peat soils.

In order to examine the effect of topsoil incorporated FBA on the subsurface acidity in mineral soils, six acidic topsoils (0 - 100 mm) were tested for their ability to "self-lime" through sulphate sorption from gypsum treatment. Two soils, from the yellow-brown loam, or Allophanic soil (the Patua soil) and the yellow-brown earth, or Ultic soil (the Kaawa soil) groups (orders), which contrasted strongly in their reaction to gypsum treatment, were chosen for further study. Lime, FBA and Flue gas desulphurisation gypsum (FGDG) were incorporated in the top 0 - 50 mm of repacked columns of the Patua and Kaawa soils, at rates containing Ca equivalent to 5000 kg ha⁻¹ of CaCO₃. Each

column was leached with 400 mm of water. After leaching, one set of the columns were sliced into sections for chemical analysis, and another set was used for growing lucerne (*Medicago sativa*. L) as a root bioassay.

In the columns of the variable charged, allophanic Patua soil, topsoil incorporated NZDC FBA ameliorated top and subsurface soil acidity through liming and the “self-liming effect” induced by sulphate sorption, respectively. The soil solution pH of the top and subsurface layers of the Patua soil were raised to pH 6.40 and 5.35 respectively, by the FBA treatment, compared with pH 4.80 and 4.65 in the control treatment. Consequently phytotoxic labile monomeric Al concentration in soil solution of the FBA treatment was reduced to less than $0.1 \mu\text{mol Al dm}^{-3}$, compared with that of 8 - 64 $\mu\text{mol Al dm}^{-3}$ in the untreated control. These changes were associated with greatly improved lucerne root growth in the subsurface of the Patua soil after FBA treatment. FGDG had a similar “self-liming effect” on subsurface of the Patua soil, but not the topsoil. Whereas FBA raised the pH of the Kaawa topsoil, no “self-liming effect” of subsurface soil by sulphate sorption was observed on the Kaawa soil, which is dominated by permanently charged clay minerals. Application of FBA and FGDG to both soils, however, caused significant leaching of native soil Mg^{2+} and K^+ . These nutrients were displaced from the exchange sites by the relatively high concentration of Ca^{2+} released from dissolution of gypsum. In contrast, the topsoil incorporated lime had little effect on either the subsurface soil acidity or nutrient leaching.

NZDC FBA is an ideal by-product for correcting topsoil and subsurface soil acidity in yellow-brown loam (allophanic) soils, but only topsoil acidity on yellow-brown earth (Ultic) soils, dominated by clays with permanent charge. Mg and K fertiliser application would be recommended when a soil is treated with FBA or other gypsiferous materials.

Acknowledgments

I gratefully acknowledge the untiring advice, understanding, constructive criticism and encouragement to Dr. M. J. Hedley, my chief supervisor.

My gratitude and appreciation is also extended to the following people for their contributions toward the completion of this thesis.

My supervisors, Drs. N. S. Bolan and D. J. Horne for their advice, understanding, constructive criticism and readiness to help.

Dr. A. S. Palmer for assistance in soil classification.

Messers L. D. Currie, H. B. Toes, R. J. Wallace, M. R. Bretherton, I. F. H. Furkert, J. M. C. Boag, Mrs. A. R. West, H. A. Murphy and D. M. Brunskill, Miss M. T. Trembath and N. Collins for advice and help with occasional technical assistance.

Ms. S. Officer and J. Zanders, Messers S. Trollove, J. L. Moir, and A. D. Mitchell for proof-reading of this thesis.

Mr. J. S. Whitton for mineralogical analysis of soil samples.

Past and present members and postgraduate students of the Soil Science, and fellow Chinese students for their friendship and encouragement.

The Ministry of External Relations and Trade of New Zealand and Foremost Fertilisers Ltd. for their financial assistance to initialise my PhD study.

The Fertiliser and Lime Research Centre and the Soil Science Department for financial and facility support.

The Royal Society of New Zealand, Anchor Products Ltd. and Foremost Fertilisers Ltd. for sponsoring my participation in the international conferences.

My parents, sisters and brother for their love and encouragement.

Canhong and Sam, my wife and my son, for their love, support and understanding during my PhD study.

Table of contents

Abstract	ii
Acknowledgments.....	v
Table of contents	vi
List of figures	xiii
List of tables	xviii

Chapter 1

INTRODUCTION.....	1
-------------------	---

Chapter 2

Fluidised bed boiler ash in agriculture: a review.

2.1 INTRODUCTION.....	4
2.2 PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF FBA.....	6
2.2.1 Agronomically useful elements.....	6
2.2.2 Potentially phytotoxic elements.....	9
2.3 CROP RESPONSES TO FBA APPLICATION.....	10
2.4 FBA AS A LIMING MATERIAL	10
2.4.1 Effect on soil acidity	10
2.4.1.1 Particle size of FBA and reactivity	12
2.4.2 Effect on other soil chemical properties.....	13

2.4.3	Effect on plant elemental composition.....	15
2.4.4	Implications to food chains	16
2.4.5	Acid mine spoil reclamation	19
2.5	POTENTIAL USE OF FBA AS A SUBSOIL ACIDITY AMELIORANT	20
2.5.1	Background.....	20
2.5.2	Mechanisms of subsoil acidity amelioration by using gypsum	22
2.5.3	Criteria for identification of gypsum-responsive soils.....	22
2.5.4	FBA - A prospective ameliorant for subsoil acidity.....	23
2.6	FBA AS A SULPHUR SOURCE	24
2.7	EFFECT OF FBA ON SOIL PHYSICAL PROPERTIES	25
2.8	SUSTAINING OF SOIL FERTILITY IN RELATION TO FBA APPLICATION.....	26
2.9	CONCLUSIONS AND RESEARCH PRIORITIES FOR THIS THESIS.....	28

Chapter 3

Chemical properties of fluidised bed boiler ash relevant to its use as a liming material and fertiliser

3.1	INTRODUCTION.....	29
3.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	30
3.2.1	Chemical analysis of coal	30
3.2.2	Fluidised bed boiler ash (FBA) material.....	31
3.2.3	Analysis of FBA.....	31
3.2.4	Sequential aqueous extraction of FBA and SSP.....	32
3.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	33
3.3.1	Physical and chemical properties of FBA	33
3.3.2	pH value of the aqueous extracts	36
3.3.3	Sulphate concentration in the aqueous extracts	37
3.3.4	Calcium concentration in the aqueous extracts.....	39
3.3.5	Mg, K and Na concentrations in the aqueous extracts	41
3.4	CONCLUSIONS.....	42

Chapter 4

Measurement of water repellency in a peat soil and its amelioration with fluidised bed boiler ash

4.1	INTRODUCTION.....	43
4.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	45
4.2.1	Field trial and soil sample collection.....	45
4.2.2	Laboratory studies.....	46
4.2.3	Extraction experiments.....	47
4.2.4	The Molarity of Ethanol Droplet (MED) test.....	47
4.2.5	Repellency index measurements (RI).....	48
4.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	48
4.3.1	Effect of heat on MED value.....	48
4.3.2	Seasonal variation of peat soil water repellency.....	50
4.3.3	Effect of FBA on amelioration of peat soil water repellency.....	52
4.3.3.1	MED measurement - incubation study.....	52
4.3.3.2	MED measurement - field trial.....	53
4.3.3.3	Water infiltration and Repellency index (RI) measurement.....	54
4.3.3.4	Extraction of peat soil using Soxhlet apparatus and an FBA solution....	55
4.3.3.5	Possible mechanisms of amelioration of water repellency by FBA.....	56
4.4	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.....	56

Chapter 5

Effect of fluidised bed boiler ash on peat soil acidity and pasture growth

5.1	INTRODUCTION.....	58
5.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	60
5.2.1	Field trial site and soil description.....	60

5.2.2	Fluidised bed boiler ash (FBA).....	60
5.2.3	Determination of lime requirement of peat soil.....	61
5.2.4	Field trial design.....	62
5.2.5	Field experimental procedure.....	62
5.2.6	Herbage sulphur analysis.....	63
5.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	64
5.3.1	Determination of lime requirement of peat soil with FBA and lime.....	64
5.3.2	Effect of FBA and lime on peat soil acidity - field experiment.....	66
5.3.3	Effect of FBA and lime on pasture yield and botanical composition.....	67
5.3.4	FBA - A combined fast- and slow-release S fertiliser?.....	68
5.4	CONCLUSION.....	73

Chapter 6

Solute movement in a peat soil amended with fluidised bed boiler ash

6.1	INTRODUCTION.....	74
6.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	75
6.2.1	Description of peat soil and FBA.....	75
6.2.2	Experiment 1. Effect of FBA and lime on solute movement in the repacked columns of peat soil under leaching.....	76
6.2.3	Experiment 2. Effect of incubation and leaching on solute movement in peat soil columns with surface applied FBA and lime.....	77
6.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	77
6.3.1	Leachate electrical conductivity and pH.....	77
6.3.2	Peat soil pH.....	80
6.3.3	Sulphate movement.....	82
6.3.4	Calcium movement and retention.....	84
6.3.5	Magnesium, potassium and sodium leaching.....	85
6.3.6	Nitrogen transformation and movement.....	88
6.4	CONCLUSION.....	92

Chapter 7

Amelioration of subsurface soil acidity by surface incorporated gypsiferous by-products. I. Soil solution chemistry

7.1	INTRODUCTION.....	94
7.1.1	Subsurface soil acidity	94
7.1.2	Theory of the “self-liming effect” induced by sulphate sorption	96
7.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	98
7.2.1	Selection of soils and preliminary evaluation of their response to gypsiferous material application	98
7.2.1.1	Leaching test	98
7.2.1.2	Collection and characterisation of soil materials	98
7.2.1.3	Sumner’s test.....	99
7.2.2	Effect of topsoil incorporated amendments on subsurface soil acidity and soil solution chemistry - a column leaching experiment.....	99
7.2.2.1	Soil and amendment sources	99
7.2.2.2	Soil column preparation for leaching and glasshouse experiments	100
7.2.2.3	Column leaching and leachate analysis	102
7.2.2.4	Soil solution analysis.....	103
7.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	103
7.3.1	Selection of soils and preliminary evaluation of their response to gypsiferous material application	103
7.3.1.1	Leaching experiment.....	103
7.3.1.2	Sumner’s test.....	105
7.3.2	Effect of topsoil incorporated amendments on subsurface soil acidity chemistry - a column leaching experiment.....	106
7.3.2.1	Leachate electrical conductivity (EC) and pH.....	106
7.3.2.2	Soil solution pH and monomeric aluminium	109

7.3.2.3	Sulphate	112
	Sulphate in leachate	112
	Sulphate in soil solution	113
7.3.2.4	Calcium	115
	Calcium in leachate	115
	Calcium in soil solution	117
7.3.2.5	Magnesium, potassium, sodium and chloride	120
	Leachate analysis	120
	Soil solution analysis	122
7.3.3	Summary of mechanisms of subsurface soil acidity amelioration	124
7.3.4	Effectiveness of FBA as a subsurface soil acidity ameliorant	126
7.4	CONCLUSIONS	127

Chapter 8

Amelioration of subsurface soil acidity by surface incorporated gypsiferous by-products. II. Effects on root growth

8.1	INTRODUCTION	128
8.2	MATERIALS AND METHODS	129
	8.2.1 Materials and leaching experiment	129
8.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	130
	8.3.1 Effect of soil type and amendments on lucerne growth and root elongation	130
	8.3.2 Sectioned soil solution analysis	134
	8.3.3 Soil solution chemistry and plant growth	134
	8.3.4 Effect of gypsum on topsoil acidity	138
	8.3.4.1 The Patua soil	138
	8.3.4.2 The Kaawa soil	139
	8.3.5 Sustainability of using gypsiferous materials as subsurface soil acidity ameliorant	140
8.4	CONCLUSIONS	141

Chapter 9

Summary

9.1 BACKGROUND.....	143
9.2 RESULTS FROM THIS THESIS	143
9.3 FUTURE DIRECTIONS.....	145
REFERENCES.....	147

List of figures

Fig. 3.1	pH change in sequential water extracts of FBA and SSP	38
Fig. 3.2	Ca and S concentrations in sequential water extracts of FBA and SSP (The equilibrium concentration of Ca and S maintained by pure gypsum is about 611 and 489 mg litre ⁻¹ , respectively, Glas et al. 1979).	38
Fig. 3.3	Cumulative Ca and S in FBA and SSP water extracts.	40
Fig. 3.4	Cumulative K, Mg and Na in sequential water extracts of FBA and SSP.	41
Fig. 4.1	Seasonal variation of water repellency (MED test) of Moanatuatua peat soil.	51
Fig. 4.2	Effect of FBA on water infiltration into soil measured using a disk permeameter at field trial site.	55
Fig. 5.1	Soil pH in 0.01 M CaCl ₂ of the FBA (O) and lime (x) treatments (incubation experiment).	65
Fig. 5.2	Effect of the FBA and lime treatments on herbage sulphur concentration of the third harvest (Con: no amendment; lF, mF and hF: low, medium and high FBA treatment; lL, mL and hL: low, medium and high lime treatment).	71
Fig. 5.3	Effect of the FBA and lime treatments on herbage sulphur concentration of the seventh harvest (Con: no amendment; lF, mF and hF: low, medium and high FBA treatment; lL, mL and hL: low, medium and high lime treatment).	71
Fig. 5.4	Effect of the FBA and lime treatments on the cumulative pasture yield of the first to third harvests (Con: no amendment; lF, mF and hF: low, medium and high FBA treatment; lL, mL and hL: low, medium and high lime treatment).	72
Fig. 5.5	Effect of the FBA and lime treatments on the cumulative pasture yield of the fourth to seventh harvests (Con: no amendment; lF, mF and hF: low, medium and high FBA treatment; lL, mL and hL: low, medium and high lime treatment).	72

- Fig. 6.1** The electrical conductivity of leachates collected (after daily leaching with 50 mm water) from peat soil columns treated with FBA or lime (A, Experiment 1 and B, Experiment 2)..... 79
- Fig. 6.2** The pH of the leachates collected (after daily leaching with 50 mm water) from peat soil columns treated with FBA or lime (A, Experiment 1 and B, Experiment 2)..... 79
- Fig. 6.3** The pH_{water} of sectioned samples of peat from columns , after treatment with FBA or lime (A, Experiment 1, and B, Experiment 2). 81
- Fig. 6.4** The pH in 0.01 M CaCl_2 of sectioned samples of peat from columns , after treatment with FBA or lime (A, Experiment 1, and B, Experiment 2)..... 81
- Fig. 6.5** The sulphate concentration in leachates collected (after daily leaching with 50 mm water) from peat soil columns treated with FBA or lime (A, Experiment 1 and B, Experiment 2)..... 83
- Fig. 6.6** The sulphate concentration in 10% HCl extracts of the sectioned samples from peat soil columns, after treatment with FBA or lime (A, Experiment 1 and B, Experiment 2)..... 83
- Fig. 6.7** The calcium concentration in leachates collected (after daily leaching with 50 mm water) from peat soil columns treated with FBA or lime (A, Experiment 1 and B, Experiment 2)..... 86
- Fig. 6.8** The calcium concentration in 10% HCl extracts of the sectioned samples from peat soil columns, after treatment with FBA or lime (A, Experiment 1 and B, Experiment 2)..... 86
- Fig. 6.9** The magnesium concentration in leachates collected (after daily leaching with 50 mm water) from peat soil columns treated with FBA or lime (A, Experiment 1 and B, Experiment 2). 87
- Fig. 6.10** The magnesium concentration in 10% HCl extracts of the sectioned samples from peat soil columns, after treatment with FBA or lime (A, Experiment 1 and B, Experiment 2)..... 87

- Fig. 6.11** The potassium concentration in leachates collected (after daily leaching with 50 mm water) from peat soil columns treated with FBA or lime (A, Experiment 1 and B, Experiment 2). 89
- Fig. 6.12** The potassium concentration in 10% HCl extracts of the sectioned samples from peat soil columns, after treatment with FBA or lime (A, Experiment 1 and B, Experiment 2). 89
- Fig. 6.13** The sodium concentration in leachates collected (after daily leaching with 50 mm water) from peat soil columns treated with FBA or lime (A, Experiment 1 and B, Experiment 2). 90
- Fig. 6.14** The sodium concentration in 10% HCl extracts of the sectioned samples from peat soil columns, after treatment with FBA or lime (A, Experiment 1 and B, Experiment 2). 90
- Fig. 6.15** The soluble inorganic nitrogen concentration in leachates collected (after daily leaching with 50 mm water) from peat soil columns treated with FBA or lime (A, ammonium in Experiment 1, B, ammonium Experiment 2, C, nitrate in Experiment 1 and D, nitrate in Experiment 2). 91
- Fig. 6.16** The soluble inorganic nitrogen concentration in 10% HCl extracts of the sectioned samples from peat soil columns, after treatment with FBA or lime (A, ammonium in Experiment 1, B, ammonium Experiment 2, C, nitrate in Experiment 1 and D, nitrate in Experiment 2). 93
- Fig. 7.1** Diagram of reconstructed soil column used for leaching (Chapter 7) and glasshouse (Chapter 8) studies. 101
- Fig. 7.2** The electrical conductivity of leachates collected (after daily leaching with 50 mm water) from columns of A, the Patua loam and B, the Kaawa clay loam, treated with lime or gypsiferous materials. 108
- Fig. 7.3** The pH of the leachates collected (after daily leaching with 50 mm water) from columns of A, the Patua loam and B, the Kaawa clay loam, treated with lime or gypsiferous materials. 108
- Fig. 7.4** The pH in soil solutions of the sectioned samples from leached columns of A, the Patua loam and B, the Kaawa clay loam, after treatment with lime or gypsiferous materials. 111

- Fig. 7.5** The concentration of labile monomeric Al in soil solutions of the sectioned samples from leached columns of A, the Patua loam and B, the Kaawa clay loam, after treatment with lime or gypsiferous materials..... 111
- Fig. 7.6** The sulphate concentration in leachates collected (after daily leaching with 50 mm water) from columns of A, the Patua loam and B, the Kaawa clay loam, treated with lime or gypsiferous materials. 114
- Fig. 7.7** The sulphate concentration in soil solutions of the sectioned samples from leached columns of A, the Patua loam and B, the Kaawa clay loam, after treatment with lime or gypsiferous materials. 114
- Fig. 7.8** The calcium concentration in leachates collected (after daily leaching with 50 mm water) from columns of A, the Patua loam and B, the Kaawa clay loam, treated with lime or gypsiferous materials. 116
- Fig. 7.9** The calcium concentration in soil solutions of the sectioned samples from leached columns of A, the Patua loam and B, the Kaawa clay loam, after treatment with lime or gypsiferous materials. 116
- Fig. 7.10** The magnesium concentration in leachates collected (after daily leaching with 50 mm water) from columns of A, the Patua loam and B, the Kaawa clay loam, treated with lime or gypsiferous materials..... 118
- Fig. 7.11** The potassium concentration in leachates collected (after daily leaching with 50 mm water) from columns of A, the Patua loam and B, the Kaawa clay loam, treated with lime or gypsiferous materials..... 118
- Fig. 7.12** The sodium concentration in leachates collected (after daily leaching with 50 mm water) from columns of A, the Patua loam and B, the Kaawa clay loam, treated with lime or gypsiferous materials. 119
- Fig. 7.13** The chlorine concentration in leachates collected (after daily leaching with 50 mm water) from columns of A, the Patua loam and B, the Kaawa clay loam, treated with lime or gypsiferous materials. 119
- Fig. 7.14** The magnesium concentration in soil solutions of the sectioned samples from leached columns of A, the Patua loam and B, the Kaawa clay loam, after treatment with lime or gypsiferous materials. 121

- Fig. 7.15** The potassium concentration in soil solutions of the sectioned samples from leached columns of A, the Patua loam and B, the Kaawa clay loam, after treatment with lime or gypsiferous materials. 121
- Fig. 7.16** The sodium concentration in soil solutions of the sectioned samples from leached columns of A, the Patua loam and B, the Kaawa clay loam, after treatment with lime or gypsiferous materials. 123
- Fig. 8.1** Lucerne growth and root elongation in columns of A, the Patua loam and B, the Kaawa clay loam, after treatment with lime or gypsiferous materials... .132
- Fig. 8.2** Lucerne root distribution in soil columns of A, the Patua loam and B, the Kaawa clay loam, after treatment with lime or gypsiferous materials. 133
- Fig. 8.3** The calcium concentration in soil solutions of the sectioned samples from leached and planted columns of A, the Patua loam and B, the Kaawa clay loam, after treatment with lime or gypsiferous materials. 133
- Fig. 8.4** The pH (A) and the concentration of labile monomeric Al (B) in soil solutions of the sectioned samples from leached and planted columns the Kaawa clay loam, after treatment with lime or gypsiferous materials. 136
- Fig. 8.5** The sulphate concentration in soil solutions of the sectioned samples from leached and planted columns of A, the Patua loam and B, the Kaawa clay loam, after treatment with lime or gypsiferous materials. 136
- Fig. 8.6** Relationship between lucerne root length density (RLD) and A, the pH, B, the concentration of labile monomeric Al, C, the calcium concentration and D, the Al/Ca molar ratio in soil solutions of the sectioned samples from leached and planted columns of the Patua loam, after treatment with lime or gypsiferous materials. The exponential equations are as follows: 137

List of tables

Table 2.1	Chronological summary of chemical characterisation of FBAs (Appendix table).....	7
Table 2.2	Summary of effect of FBA on plant elemental composition.	17
Table 3.1	Typical analysis of Pirongia coal (Kawhia Deposit) ¹	31
Table 3.2	Particle size distribution of fluidised bed boiler ash and its composition (27.1% moisture content).	33
Table 3.3	Main elemental concentration of fluidised bed boiler ash.	34
Table 3.4	Effect of water extraction on the main mineralogical composition of fluidised bed boiler ash ¹	35
Table 4.1	Selected properties of Moanatuatua peat soil.....	45
Table 4.2	Effect of heating on the MED values of soils sampled from field trial site...	49
Table 4.3	Effect of incubation on peat soil MED value.....	52
Table 4.4	Effect of FBA and lime on MED values of the peat soil from field trial site as measured on air dried soil.....	54
Table 5.1	Selected properties of Moanatuatua peat topsoil (0-75 mm).	60
Table 5.2	The soil pH in water of samples taken from different depths in the peat profile.	60
Table 5.3	Effect of incubation with FBA and lime on peat soil pH change ¹	65
Table 5.4	Effect of FBA and lime on soil pH (Field trial) ¹	67
Table 5.5	Effect of FBA and lime on pasture dry matter production.	68
Table 5.6	Effect of FBA and lime on botanical composition of pasture in Moanatuatua peatland.	68
Table 6.1	Selected properties of peat soil used (20 -75 mm).....	75
Table 7.1	Selected properties of soils used.....	100
Table 7.2	Soil and leachate analysis for selection.....	104
Table 8.1	Effect of amendments on lucerne growth.....	131