Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

An assessment of inexpensive methods for recovery of microalgal biomass and oils

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Biotechnology

at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

Tawan Chatsungnoen

ABSTRACT

Inexpensive processes for harvesting the microalgal biomass from the culture media and recovering oils from the harvested biomass are necessary for economically viable production of low-value products such as fuels. This study focused on harvesting of microalgae biomass from the culture broth by flocculation-sedimentation and recovery of oils from the harvested biomass using solvent-based extraction. Flocculation-sedimentation was explored for several marine and freshwater microalgae including Choricystis minor (freshwater), Neochloris sp. (freshwater), Chlorella vulgaris grown in freshwater; C. vulgaris grown in seawater; Nannochloropsis salina (seawater) and Cylindrotheca fusiformis (seawater), as a means for substantially concentrating the biomass prior to further dewatering by other methods. Aluminum sulfate and ferric chloride were investigated as cheap, highly effective, readily available in large quantities and innocuous flocculants. Flocculation-sedimentation behavior of the microalgae was evaluated with several flocculation conditions. The optimal microalgal biomass harvesting conditions identified in batch flocculation studies were applied to design and characterize a continuous flocculation-sedimentation system. The effect of the flocculant used and the water in the biomass paste on the extraction of oils were assessed in comparison with controls. The optimal solvent composition for extraction of the biomass paste was established. Using this solvent composition, the optimal extraction conditions (i.e. the volume of the solvent mixture relative to biomass, the extraction temperature and time) were identified using a 2³ factorial experimental design.

Removal of more than 95% of the biomass from the broth by flocculation—sedimentation was shown to be possible for all the microalgae, but the required dosage of the flocculant depended on the following factors: the microalgal species; the ionic strength of the

suspending fluid; the initial concentration of the biomass in the suspension; and the nature of the flocculant. Irrespective of the algal species, the flocculant dosage was found to increase linearly with increasing concentration of the biomass in the culture broth. The flocculant dosage for a given level of biomass recovery under standardized processing conditions increased with an increase in the cell specific surface area in the range of 26–450 µm² cell⁻¹. Al³⁺ was a better flocculant than Fe³⁺ for some algae, but the situation was reversed for some others. The continuous flow biomass recovery was performed with N. salina, as this alga had the highest oil productivity among the species studied. With an aluminum sulfate dosage of 229 mg L⁻¹ and a total flow rate of 22.6 mL min⁻¹, almost 86% of the N. salina biomass could be recovered from the broth within 148 min in the sedimentation tank. A prior flocculation-sedimentation treatment could greatly reduce the energy demand of subsequent dewatering by other methods. The flocculants adsorbed to the biomass were not removed by washing, but this did not hinder oil recovery from the biomass paste by solvent extraction. A modification of well-known Bligh and Dyer method could be used to recover more than 96% of the oils from N. salina biomass paste. The single-step modified extraction procedure was much superior to the Bligh and Dyer original. The optimal extraction conditions for N. salina biomass paste included a solvent mixture (chloroform, methanol and water in the volume ratio of 5.7:3:1) volume of 33 mL per g (dry basis) of the algae biomass; an extraction temperature of 25°C; and an extraction time of 2 h.

This work represents the first detailed study of the continuous flocculation—sedimentation process for harvesting *N. salina* biomass from the culture broth and the specific suitable solvent combination of chloroform, methanol and water for extracting algal crude oils from the *N. salina* biomass paste without a prior drying step.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I express my sincere gratitude to my principal supervisor Professor Yusuf Chisti for giving me a great opportunity to be part of his research team. I am thankful for his enthusiastic encouragement, patient guidance, precious advice, useful criticism, friendship and continuous support throughout this study. It was a pleasure to work under his supervision.

I would like to thank Associate Professor Pak Lam-Yu, my co-supervisor, for his constant encouragement and friendship. I am grateful to Dr Jonathan Godfrey, Institute of Fundamental Sciences, Massey University and his team for help and advice relating to statistical experimental design.

I am specially grateful for the financial support provided by Ministry of Science and Technology, Thailand, and a study leave provided by Maejo University, Thailand. I would like to thank the Minister Counsellor (Education) and her team at the Office of Education Affairs, Royal Thai Embassy, Australia, for looking after me during my study in New Zealand.

A very special thanks goes to Professor Emeritus Ian Warrington and Professor Songvut Phetpradap, a Massey University alumni and my former boss, for introducing me to Massey University, a perfect place to develop professional scientific knowledge and skills.

I would like to thank the staff of the School of Engineering and Advanced Technology Microsuite Lab, Anne-Marie Jackson, John Edwards, Julia Good and Nereda Corbett, for their assistance all through my study. They all were very kind and helpful. I would like to thank my colleagues, Ta, Ople, Sadia, Ziad, Azilah, Pat, Farhan and Natanam

for their valuable suggestions and exchanges of knowledge and skills. I enjoyed working with them and valued their friendship.

I would like to express my gratitude and deepest appreciation to my mother, my grandparents, my aunts, my uncles, my mother and father-in-law, my brothers and my sister-in-law for their love, great patience, understanding and support. My lovely wife, dear Paweena, without your support and encouragement, I could not have finished my study. I just would like to say thank you very much for everything you have done for me. I wish to express my love to daughter Manee and son Kawin for being so good.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF TABLES	xxi
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Microalgae and their applications	5
2.2 Microalgal biomass production	8
2.2.1 Photoautotrophic cultivation	8
2.2.1.1 Open ponds	8
2.2.1.2 Closed photobioreactors	10
2.2.2 Heterotrophic cultivation	10
2.3 Microalgal growth	12
2.4 Microalgal lipids production	12
2.5 Harvesting of microalgal biomass from culture broth	15
2.5.1 The stability of microalgal suspension	17
2.5.2 Microalgal flocculation	21
2.5.2.1 Microbial flocculation (bio-flocculation)	23
2.5.2.2 Electrolytic flocculation (electro-coagulation-flocculation)	23
2.5.2.3 Ultrasonic flocculation	24

2.5.2.4 Autoflocculation	24
2.5.2.5 Chemical flocculation.	25
2.5.2.5.1 Organic flocculants	26
2.5.2.5.2 Inorganic flocculants (multivalent metal salts)	27
2.5.2.5.3 Mechanisms of action of the inorganic flocculants	29
2.5.3 Cost of harvesting of algal biomass	30
2.6 Continuous flocculation process	32
2.7 Extraction of oil from microalgal cells	35
2.7.1 Accelerated solvent extraction (ASE)	36
2.7.2 Supercritical fluid extraction	36
2.7.3 Organic solvent extraction	37
2.8 Hypothesises	39
2.9 Objectives	39
CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS	41
3.1 Introduction	41
3.2 Materials and methods	42
3.2.1 Microalgal strains	42
3.2.2 Culture media	42
3.2.3 Stock culture maintenance	46
3.2.4 Cultivation of microalgae	47
3.2.5 Measurements	49
3.2.5.1 Biomass concentration	49
3.2.5.1.1 Dry cell weight	49
3.2.5.1.2 Spectrophotometric determination	49
3.2.5.2 Cell morphology and size	53

3.2.5.3 Cell surface area	57
3.2.5.4 Cell number	57
3.2.5.5 Zeta potential	57
3.2.5.6 Total lipids	58
3.2.5.7 Moisture content (AOAC, 1999)	63
3.2.6 Characterization of microalgae	63
3.2.6.1 Biomass concentration and lipid contents	64
3.2.6.2 Microalgal morphology, size and zeta potential	65
3.2.7 Microalgae harvesting by flocculation	65
3.2.7.1 Flocculants	65
3.2.7.2 Flocculation conditions	65
3.2.7.3 Data collection	69
3.2.8 Design and characterization of a continuous flocculation/sedimentatio	n process
	69
3.2.8.1 Setup of continuous flocculation and sedimentation process	70
3.2.8.2 Design of continuous rapid mixing vessel and impeller	71
3.2.8.3 Design of continuous flocculation vessel and impeller	73
3.2.8.4 Design of rectangular sedimentation tank	76
3.2.8.5 Continuous flocculation and sedimentation procedure	80
3.2.9 Solvent extraction of biomass paste	81
3.2.9.1 Effect of flocculants used on lipid extraction	81
3.2.9.2 Comparison of solvent extraction of dry and paste biomass	83
3.2.9.3 Optimization of solvent composition for extraction of total light	pids from
biomass paste	83

3.2.9.4 Optimization of the conditions for the extraction of total li	pids from
the biomass paste	89
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	93
4.1 Introduction	93
4.2 Characterization of microalgae	93
4.2.1 Biomass growth and lipid contents	93
4.2.2 Microalgal morphology and zeta potential	97
4.3 Microalgal flocculation	103
4.3.1 Effect of flocculant dose on microalgal flocculation	110
4.3.2 Effect of type of flocculant on microalgal flocculation	111
4.3.3 Effect of microalgal species on flocculation	113
4.3.4 Effect of initial biomass concentration on flocculation	121
4.3.5 Costs of the flocculants for harvesting microalgal biomass	127
4.4 Continuous flocculation—sedimentation for harvesting microalgal biomass	129
4.4.1 Effect of aluminum sulfate dosage on continuous harvesting	134
4.4.2 Effect of the flow rate of the continuous flocculation-sedimentation p	process on
the flocculation efficiency	139
4.5 Solvent extraction of biomass paste	145
4.5.1 Effect of flocculants on lipid extraction	145
4.5.2 Comparison of solvent extraction of dry and wet paste biomass	148
4.5.3 Optimization of solvent composition for extraction of total lipids from	n biomass
paste	151
4.5.4 Optimization of the conditions for the extraction of total lipids from the	e biomass
paste	160

CHAPTER 5 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	169
5.1 Summary	169
5.2 Novelty and contributions of this work	171
5.3 Conclusions	172
REFERENCES	175
APPENDIX	201

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1. Different types of large-scale open pond systems used in commercial production:
a) paddle-wheel driven raceway pond (http://algaeforbiofuels.com/category/column-of-
dr-john-kyndt/); b) shallow lagoons of the <i>Dunaliella salina</i>
(http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Saltevaporation_pond); c) plastic lined raceway-type
pond with water-jet circulation (http://- biofuelsdigest.com/bdigest/wp-
content/uploads/2011/05/AuroraAlgae.jpg); d) circular ponds with rotating agitator for
Chlorella pyrenoidosa biomass production, Japan (http://www
sunchlorella.com/corporate-activity.html).
Figure 2.2. Photobioreactor systems used for microalgal biomass production: a) a stirred tank
photobioreactor (en.wikipedia.org/wiki/photobioreactor); b) tubular photobioreactors
with tubes made of glass or plastic (en.wikipedia.org/wiki/photobioreactor); c) flat-plate
photobioreactors (en.wikipedia.or/photobioreactor); d) bubble-column photobioreactors
at the University of Cape Town, South Africa (http://www.uct.ac.za)
Figure 2.3. An overview of microalgal biomass recovery technologies
Figure 2.4. Forces between two adjacent like-charged spherical particles
Figure 2.5. A conceptual representation of the electrical double layer
Figure 2.6. The effect of the ionic strength on the magnitude of the double layer repulsion: (a)
in low ionic stength; and (b) in high ionic strength
Figure 2.7. Effect of salt concentration or ionic strength on the value of the zeta potential 22
Figure 2.8. Concept of a continuous horizontal flow rectangular settling tank
Figure 3.1. Cultivation steps of microalgal biomass production

Figure 3.2. Batch culture of microalgae in 2 L Duran bottles. The bottles are being bubbled
with a prehumidified mixture of air and carbon dioxide
Figure 3.3. Spectrophotometric calibration curves for: C. vulgaris grown in BG11 freshwate
medium (A); C. minor grown in BG11 freshwater medium (B); Neochloris sp. grown in
BG11 freshwater medium (C).
Figure 3.4. Spectrophotometric calibration curves for: C. vulgaris grown in BG11 seawate
medium (A); N. salina grown in BG11 seawater medium (B); C. fusiformis grown in
BG11 seawater medium (C).
Figure 3.5. Microscopic image (bright-field microscopy) of <i>C. minor</i>
Figure 3.6. Microscopic image (bright-field microscopy) of <i>Neochloris</i> sp
Figure 3.7. Microscopic image (bright-field microscopy) of <i>C. vulgaris</i> (freshwater) 55
Figure 3.8. Microscopic image (bright-field microscopy) of <i>C. vulgaris</i> (seawater)
Figure 3.9. Microscopic image (bright-field microscopy) of <i>N. salina</i> .
Figure 3.10. Microscopic image (bright-field microscopy) of <i>C. fusiformis</i>
Figure 3.11. Three steps of lipid extraction by the modified Bligh and Dyer (1959) method. 59
Figure 3.12. The extraction unit for lipid extraction.
Figure 3.13. The three layers from the extraction process: methanol/water at the top; the
middle was layer residual biomass; the bottom was the chloroform layer
Figure 3.14. The crude oil from microalgal biomass in a preweighed aluminium dish 62
Figure 3.15. Preparation and dispensing microalgal broth in 250 mL beakers for batch
flocculation experiments. 67
Figure 3.16. A jar test unit for flocculation experiments. The microalgal broth samples (200
mL) in the six beakers were identical but had been dosed with different quantities of the
flocculant67

Figure 3.17. Geometric details of batch flocculation system: flocculation beaker (A) and 2-
bladed impeller (B).
Figure 3.18. The continuous flocculation–sedimentation setup
Figure 3.19. Schematic diagram of the designed sedimentation tank
Figure 3.20. Dimensions of the hopper bottom.
Figure 3.21. The wet microalgal biomass of Nannochloropsis salina after continuous flow
centrifuging steps85
Figure 3.22. The single step extraction procedure (adapted from Section 3.2.5.6, Figure 3.11)
for total lipid extraction from the biomass paste. 86
Figure 3.23. An augmented simplex-centroid design plot for amounts (mL) of the three
solvents in the ternary mixture.
Figure 4.1. Growth curves of microalgae. Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation of
triplicate runs. 95
Figure 4.2. Microscopic images of microalgae on day 7 (A1, B1, C1) and harvesting day (A2,
B2, C2; Figure 4.1): C. minor (A1 and A2), Neochloris sp. (B1 and B2), C. vulgaris
(freshwater) (C1and C2)99
Figure 4.3. Microscopic images of microalgae on day 7 (D1, E1, F1) and harvesting day (D2,
E2, F2; Figure 4.1): C. vulgaris (seawater) (D1 and D2), N. salina (E1 and E2) C.
fusiformis (F1 and F2).
Figure 4.4. Flocculation of <i>C. minor</i> with aluminum sulfate and ferric chloride at various
initial biomass concentrations (C _b)
Figure 4.5. Flocculation of Neochloris sp. with aluminum sulfate and ferric chloride at
various initial biomass concentrations (C _b). 105
Figure 4.6. Flocculation of C. vulgaris (freshwater) with aluminum sulfate and ferric
chloride at various initial biomass concentrations (C _b)

Figure 4.7. Flocculation of <i>C. vulgaris</i> (seawater) with aluminum sulfate and ferric chloride
at initial various biomass concentrations (C _b)
Figure 4.8. Flocculation of N. salina with aluminum sulfate and ferric chloride at various
initial biomass concentrations (C _b)
Figure 4.9. Flocculation of <i>C. fusiformis</i> with aluminum sulfate and ferric chloride at various
initial biomass concentrations (C _b)
Figure 4.10. Flocculation of <i>C. minor</i> with aluminum sulfate and ferric chloride in terms of
molar concentration $(M^{3+} L^{-1})$ of the metal ion (M^{3+}) at various initial biomass
concentrations (C _b).
Figure 4.11. Flocculation of <i>Neochloris</i> sp. with aluminum sulfate and ferric chloride in terms
of molar concentration $(M^{3+} L^{-1})$ of the metal ion (M^{3+}) at various initial biomass
concentrations (C _b).
Figure 4.12. Flocculation of <i>C. vulgaris</i> (freshwater) with aluminum sulfate and ferric
chloride in terms of molar concentration $(M^{3+} L^{-1})$ of the metal ion (M^{3+}) at various
initial biomass concentrations (C _b)
Figure 4.13. Flocculation of <i>C. vulgaris</i> (seawater) with aluminum sulfate and ferric chloride
in terms of molar concentration $(M^{3+} L^{-1})$ of the metal ion (M^{3+}) at various initial
biomass concentrations (C _b)
Figure 4.14. Flocculation of <i>N. salina</i> with aluminum sulfate and ferric chloride in terms of
molar concentration $(M^{3+} L^{-1})$ of the metal ion (M^{3+}) at various initial biomass
concentrations (C _b).
Figure 4.15. Flocculation of <i>C. fusiformis</i> with aluminum sulfate and ferric chloride in terms
of molar concentration $(M^{3+} L^{-1})$ of the metal ion (M^{3+}) at various initial biomass
concentrations (C _b).

Figure 4.16. The relationship between microalgal biomass concentration and the flocculant
dose required to achieve 95% removal of the cells from the culture broth following the
standard flocculation treatment (Section 3.2.7.2)
Figure 4.17. The parity plot of the measured flocculant dosage and the dosage calculated
using Equation 4.2 with the α , β , and γ value shown in Table 4.4. The dashed lines
denote $\pm 10\%$ of the exact agreement (solid line) between the measured and the
calculated data124
Figure 4.18. Flocculant demand (ng) per unit cell surface area (μm²) at various initial
biomass concentrations for achieving 95% removal of the microalgal cells from the
culture broth
Figure 4.19. Continuous flocculation-sedimentation processes for harvesting microalgal
biomass from the culture medium. 131
Figure 4.20. Destabilization of microalgal broth in continuous rapid mixing vessel (A) and
floc growth of microalgal biomass in continuous flocculation vessel (B)
Figure 4.21. The flocculation efficiency of <i>N. salina</i> biomass in the continuous flocculation-
sedimentation process at various dosages of aluminum sulfate
Figure 4.22. Flocculation using: the optimal flocculant dosage in continuous sedimentation
tank (A1); the optimal flocculant dosage in the batch vessel (A2); 1.5 × optimal
flocculant dosage in continuous sedimentation tank (B1); 1.5 × optimal flocculant
dosage in batch vessel (B2)
Figure 4.23. Flocculation using: 2 × optimal flocculant dosage in continuous sedimentation
tank (A1); 2 × optimal flocculant dosage in batch vessel (A2); 3 × optimal flocculant
dosage in continuous sedimentation tank (B1); 3 × optimal flocculant dosage in batch
vessel (B2)

Figure 4.24. The flocculation efficiency of <i>N. salina</i> biomass in the continuous flocculation
sedimentation process at various dosages of aluminum sulfate
Figure 4.25. The sedimentation of microalgal biomass in the rectangular sedimentation tar
at different total flow rates: A) 20% increased flow rate (67.8 mL min ⁻¹) relative to the
normal flow rate (56.5 mL min ⁻¹) at steady state (3.5 h); B) 20% decreased flow ra
(45.2 mL min ⁻¹) relative to the normal flow rate (56.5 mL min ⁻¹) at steady state (5 h
Figure 4.26. The sedimentation of microalgal biomass in the rectangular sedimentation tar
at different total flow rates: A) 40% decrease of the total flow rate (33.9 mL min-
relative to the normal flow rate (56.5 mL min ⁻¹) at steady state (6.5 h); B) 60% decrease
of the total flow rate (22.6 mL min ⁻¹) relative to the normal flow rate (56.5 mL min ⁻¹)
steady state (10 h)
Figure 4.27. Percentage of the flocculant dose remaining in the microalgal biomass after
washing and drying
Figure 4.28. A comparison of the moisture content of the microalgal biomass paste obtained
after centrifugation (no flocculant) and measured by oven drying and freeze drying 14
Figure 4.29. Comparison of the total lipids content of the biomass determined by standar
solvent extraction (Section 3.2.5.6) of dry biomass (freeze-dried) and wet paste biomas
Figure 4.30. Mixture contour plot of the quadratic model for the total lipids extraction (% of
dry biomass), as a function of the volume (mL) of chloroform, methanol and water 15
Figure 4.31. Response surface plot of the quadratic model for the total lipids extracted (% of
dry biomass), as a function of the volume (mL) of chloroform, methanol, and water. 15
Figure 4.32. The Pareto chart for the effect of the extraction conditions on the total lipid
extracted

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Microalgal products and applications (Sastre, 2012)	6
Table 2.2 Oil content (% of dry biomass) of some microalgae	7
Table 2.3 Some inorganic flocculants used for harvesting microalgal biomass from	the
culture broth	28
Table 2.4 Cost comparison for different harvesting methods	31
Table 3.1 The microalgal strains used	43
Table 3.2 The components of BG11 stock 1	44
Table 3.3 The components of BG11 stock 2	44
Table 3.4 The components of BG11 stock 3	44
Table 3.5 The components of BG11 stock 4	45
Table 3.6 The components of the working BG11 medium	45
Table 3.7 The components of silicate stock	45
Table 3.8 The components of vitamin stock	46
Table 3.9 Slope values for biomass concentration calibration curve	52
Table 3.10 Design summary of vessels, impellers and operating conditions for b	atch
flocculation and continuous flocculation systems	75
Table 3.11 Compositions of the solvent mixtures (mL) for the lipid extraction experiment	88
Table 3.12 Experimental factors and their levels in the total lipid extraction experiment	90
Table 3.13 The experimental layout of the replicated, randomized 2 ³ factorial design	with
center point	91
Table 4.1. The productivity of biomass and lipids in 2 L Duran bottles.	96
Table 4.2 Characteristics of microalgae	. 101

Table 4.3 The flocculant dose dependence on the microalgal biomass concentration (C _b) for
95% removal of the biomass from the culture broth 122
Table 4.4 The estimated coefficients for Equation 4.1
Table 4.5 Cost of flocculant for harvesting microalgal biomass from the culture broth 128
Table 4.6 The operational conditions of the continuous flocculation—sedimentation 133
Table 4.7 The operation conditions of the continuous flocculation-sedimentation process at
various flow rates
Table 4.8 Comparison of the total lipids content in the biomass recovered using flocculants
and the control biomass recovered by centrifugation (no flocculants)
Table 4.9 Compositions of the solvent mixtures from the experimental design and the amount
of total lipids extracted from <i>N. salina</i> biomass paste
Table 4.10 Influence of the solvent composition on total lipids extracted
Table 4.11 Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the percentage of total lipids
Table 4.12 The measured and predicted response of the total lipids extracted (% of dry
biomass) from N. salina biomass paste at different extraction conditions
Table 4.13 Summary of the effect of the extraction conditions on the total lipids extracted (%
dry biomass), the calculation based on experimental measured from Table 4.12 162
Table 4.14 Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the total lipids extraction