Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Dynamic Assessment in New Zealand: Knowledge, Application and Utility Amon	ıgst
Resource Teachers of Learning and Behaviour	

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements of the for the degree of

Master of Educational Psychology

at Massey University, Albany Campus, New Zealand

Helen L. Hodges

2013

#### Abstract

Many types of educational assessment have relied upon summative assessment that focus on the products of learning. In contrast, Dynamic assessment (DA) is a type of assessment that links assessment and intervention. The key features of DA are interaction and embedded intervention. The outcome of DA is information pertaining to the processes of learning and the generation of information for intervention. There exist a wide variety of uses for DA, however, DA is not applied with as much frequency as other types of assessment. Among the suggested reasons for the lack of application of DA is a low level of knowledge of DA. A survey was developed to gather information on the level of knowledge, application and utility of DA amongst Resource Teachers of Learning and Behaviour in New Zealand. The results indicated that most participants were not at all (43.5%) or barely (33.9%) familiar with DA. Articulated understanding of DA was found to be lower than the reported level of familiarity. Application was also limited with 15.1% of all participants and 32.9% of participants familiar with DA indicating that they apply DA. It was also found that actual rates of application of DA are likely to be lower than reported rates of application of DA. Most RTLB (92.5%), indicated that DA was, or would be useful to their practice suggesting that DA is seen to have utility amongst this group. These results, combined with levels of contentment with current knowledge and application of DA suggest that there is a need for training on DA in New Zealand. The results of the current research were congruent with prior research finding limited levels of knowledge and application of DA. Further, the suggestion of limited DA application being partially due to limited knowledge on DA was supported. It is hoped that training in DA would see the application of DA become more frequent in New Zealand in the future.

### **Declaration**

I certify that this thesis entitled "Dynamic assessment in New Zealand: Knowledge, application and utility amongst Resource Teachers of Learning and Behaviour" and submitted as part of the degree of Master of Educational Psychology is a result of my own work, except where otherwise acknowledged, and has not been submitted, in part or in full, for any other papers or degrees or to any other university or institution.

### **Acknowledgements**

I would like to acknowledge and thank all the people who have offered their support to enable me to complete this thesis. In particular I would like to thank the following people:

Terence Edwards, thank you for your excellent guidance. Your ability to provide just the right amount of challenge, wisdom, and motivation at just the right time has helped me navigate every step of this journey.

Dr Mandia Mentis, your introduction to Dynamic Assessment was eye opening and your passion for the topic was inspiring. Throughout the process you have offered invaluable insights. Thank you.

The RTLB Association, particularly Belinda Kusabs (National Co-ordinator) and Claire Murphy (Secretary). Thank you for allowing me to access RTLB and for the electronic distribution of the survey. Without your input this research could not have gone ahead.

The many RTLB who completed the questionnaire. Thank you for taking the time to participate.

My friends and family, thank you for your support and your forgiveness for my, at times, highly antisocial behaviour.

My husband Ryan. For the multitude of cups of coffee and tea. For all the cooking, cleaning, and household functions I have neglected. For your patience, kindness, and ability to make me laugh. For the huge amount of support you have given me. I truly thank you. I could not, and would not, have done it without you.

# **Table of Contents**

Abstract	iii
Declaration	iv
Acknowledgements	V
Table of Contents	vi
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	ix
Chapter One: Introduction	1
Chapter Two: Literature Review	7
Definition and Characteristics of Dynamic Assessment	7
Theoretical Bases of Dynamic Assessment	11
The work of Vygotsky	11
The work of Feuerstein	15
Comparison with Traditional Static Assessments	18
Criticisms of Dynamic Assessment	20
Reliability and validity	20
Construct fuzziness.	22
Application of Dynamic Assessment	24
Areas of application.	24
Level of application	28
Suggested reasons for limited application	29
Summary	33
Chapter Three: Method	35
Research Design	35
Instruments	35
Online survey tool	36
Survey design	37
Participants	39
Ethical Considerations	40
Procedure	41
Data Analysis	42

	Summary	42
Chapt	er Four: Results	43
	Demographic Characteristics	43
	Knowledge of Dynamic Assessment	46
	Application of Dynamic Assessment	48
	Utility	50
	Differences Based on Demographic Variables	51
	Need for Dynamic Assessment Training	52
	Summary	53
Chapt	er Five: Discussion	55
	Demographic Variables	55
	Level of Knowledge	56
	Level of Application	60
	Utility of Dynamic Assessment	62
	Differences in Knowledge and Application Based on Demographic Variables	62
	Need for Training	63
	Research Limitations	66
	Future Research	67
	Summary	67
	Final Summary	68
Refere	ences	71
Apper	ndices	81
	Appendix A: Permissions to Use and Adapt Questions from Previous	
	Research	83
	Appendix B: Survey Questions Adopted from Prior Research	87
	Appendix C: Printed Version of the Survey	89
	Appendix D: Introductory Email for the Survey	97
	Appendix E: Low Risk Notification Acknowledgement Letter	99
	Appendix F: RTLB Permission Email1	01
	Appendix G: Raw Data for Each Survey Question1	03

# List of Tables

Table 1.	Participants Location of Employment	44
Table 2.	Participants Level of Education	44
Table 3.	Year in Which Training was Completed	45
Table 4.	Reported Level of Familiarity with DA.	46
Table 5.	Coded Responses to "Please describe your understanding of Dynamic	
	Assessment."	47
Table 6.	Application of Dynamic Assessment	48
Table 7.	Barriers to the Application of Dynamic Assessment.	50
Table 8.	Utility of DA to RTLB Practice.	51
Table 9.	Contentment with Knowledge and Application of DA	52
Table 10	. Familiarity with Dynamic Assessment Across Four Studies	57

# List of Figures

Box 1. List of Dyn	namic Assessment Ker	ywords and Concepts	4	16