

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

METHODS TO  
IDENTIFY, QUANTIFY AND MINIMISE  
VARIATION OF NET WEIGHTS  
IN CANNED FOODS

DAYANANDA SIRILAL VITHANAGE

A thesis presented in fulfilment of the requirements for the  
Degree of Master of Technology

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

MASSEY UNIVERSITY

NEW ZEALAND

2006

---

## Abstract

---

Using a  $2^4$  factorial design model, the methods to identify and quantify the major sources of variation of net weights in canned foods were investigated. A piston filler was selected using modified starch solution as the filling medium. The stroke length and speed of the filler and the concentration and temperature of the filling medium comprised the four factors. The data were transformed into means and variances of fill weights from both across filling heads and across consecutive filling cycles, and were used as responses.

The responses, which were derived across filling cycles for each of the filling heads, were used as blocks, to evaluate the head effects. The projection designs were used to optimise variation and fill levels at set piston-stroke levels. The factor level combinations required to minimise variation and maximise fill level which was computed through a model matrix using all important effects were found to be P+,S+,T-,C+ and P+,S+,T+C+ respectively. The contributions of factors and their interactions to the short-term variance of fill weights were estimated using variance across heads within consecutive filling cycles (88.5%). The analysis across filling cycles within individual heads estimated the deviation of fix factor levels within the trials and contributed to 44%, which appeared as factor effects. Most of this variation (52.3%) which was caused by the unstable filling mechanisms appeared as the residual error. The analysis of blocks using heads was successful in partitioning the variance due to head differences (3.6%). The high volume operations generated a higher contribution from unstable filling mechanisms to the total variance, and a lesser contribution from head differences to the total range of fill weights. The recommendations include methods and materials to reduce the error in the design. Future research is recommended in the areas of vacuum and single shot fillers, multi-filling processes, and particle size variation.

---

# Dedication

---

I dedicate this thesis with love and appreciation to Piyadasa and Daisy Mary Vithange, my father and mother, and to Disinahami, my grandmother, for their inspiration, guidance and support in shaping my life.

---

## Acknowledgements

---

My supervisors, Dr John Bronlund and Dr Nigel Grigg, not only did an excellent job of supervising the research which was carried out at the Heinz Wattie site but also stepped out of their line of duties to rescue and put me back on the right track when the going was tough. I deeply appreciate their invaluable help in accomplishing this task.

Working towards a master's degree amidst the pressures of a demanding career has been a difficult task. I deeply appreciate the selfless and unconditional support of my dear wife, Ajantha Vithanage, in motivating me to complete this thesis and for shouldering most of my share of the household responsibilities during this difficult time.

I give sincere thanks to Anthony Bennet, the manager of project engineering, for recognising the importance of the role of DoE in the food-processing environment of Heinz Wattie Ltd, Hastings and for providing the much-needed funds for this research. Further, I express my appreciation and thanks to Trixie Ackerly for her expert advice in helping to shape up the desktop document numerous times, and to Graham Danes for his valuable comments during the revising of this document.

---

# Contents

---

<b>Contents</b>	<b>Page</b>
Tables	x
Figures	xi
Glossary of technical terms	xii
1-0 Introduction	1
1-1 Scope of research	1
1-2 Project proposal	3
2-0 Literature survey	4
2-1 Review of statistical methods	4
2-2 Applications and case studies	4
2-2.1 Statistical methods in food process improvement	4
2-2.2 Use of statistical methods	6
2-2.2.1 Role of statistical thinking in food industry	6
2-2.2.2 Benefits of statistical methods	7
2-2.3 Design of Experiments in variability reduction	9
2-2.4 DoE as a tool of building process knowledge	11
2-2.5 Useful statistical techniques in DoE	12
2-2.6 Applications of DoE in food process variability	15
2-3 Experimental model of DoE	19
2-3.1 Tools of experimentation	20
2-3.1.1 Experimental pattern	21
2-3.1.2 Randomisation	21
2-3.1.3 Planned grouping (blocking)	22
2-3.1.4 Replication	22
2-3.2 Tools of analysis of DoE	23
2-4 Conclusion of literature survey	25

---

# Contents

---

<b>Contents</b>	<b>Page</b>
3-0 Sources of variability	27
3-1 Sources and state of control	27
3-1.1 Variations related to process dynamics	27
3-1.2 Variation related to product composition	29
3-1.3 Variation related to packaging	29
3-1.4 Variations related to filling operations	31
3-1.4.1 Vacuum filling operations	31
3-1.4.2 Piston filling operations	36
3-1.4.3 Pocket fillers	39
3-1.4.4 Fillers as a contributor to variability	42
3-1.4.5 Control of filler variability	44
3-1.5 Effect of quality control on variation	46
3-1.6 Quantity control	47
3-1.6.1 As an essential function of food processes	47
3-1.6.2 Methods of quantity control	48
3-2 Screening of sources for major factors	50
3-2.1 Improvement focus through partitioning of variations	50
3-2.2 Identifying the factors responsible for net weight variation	52
3-2.3 Time based characteristics of variations	55
3-2.3.1 Short term variability	55
3-2.3.2 Long term variability	56
3-2.4 Selection of important factors for the planned experiments	57
3-2.4.1 Effect of variation of tare-weight of Cans	57
3-2.4.2 Process of factor selection	58

---

# Contents

---

<b>Contents</b>	<b>Page</b>
4-0 Experimental methods	59
4-1 Experimental design approach	59
4-1.1 Weaknesses of one factor at a time designs	59
4-1.2 Available designs	60
4-1.2.1 Nested designs	60
4-1.2.2 Factorial designs	60
4-1.2.3 Fractional factorial designs	61
4-1.3 Selection of appropriate design	62
4-2 Planning for factorial design and trials	63
4-2.1 Planning form for factorial design	63
4-3 Methods and materials	66
4-3.1 Production gear	66
4-3.2 Product preparation	66
4-3.3 Trial Runs	68
4-3.4 Data collection	70
4-3.4.1 Collecting response data	70
4-3.4.2 Measurement of factor levels	71
5.0 Results and analysis	73
5-1 Methods of translating data for analysis	73
5-1.1 Tabulation of trial data	73
5-1.2 Response types used for analyses	79
5-2 Analysis using mean of fill weights across filling cycles	85
5-2.1 Mean of fill weights across filling cycles at High-P	86
5-2.2 Mean of fill weights across filling cycles at Low-P	87
5-3 Analysis using mean of fill weights across filler heads	88
5-3.1 Mean fill of weights across filler heads at High-P	89
5-3.2 Mean fill of weights across filler heads at Low-P	90

---

# Contents

---

<b>Contents</b>	<b>Page</b>
5-4 Analysis using variance of fill weights across filling cycles	91
5-4.1 Variance of fill weights across filling cycles at High-P	92
5-4.2 Variance of fill weights across filling cycles at Low-P	93
5-5 Analysis using variance of fill weights across filler heads	94
5-5.1 Variances across filler heads at High-P	95
5-5.2 Variances across filler heads at Low-P	95
6-0 Discussion of results	96
6-1 Analysis of the planned experiments using mean fill weights	96
6-1.2 Projection of designs with mean fill weights	99
6-1.2.1 Projection designs ( $2^3$ ) across filling cycles and across filler heads	99
6-2 Analysis of the planned experiments using variances as responses	100
6-2.1 Analysis for variance of fill weights across filling cycles	100
6-2.1.1 The contribution from the unstable filling mechanics	102
6-2.2 Analysis using variance of fill weights across filler heads	103
6-2.3 Factor level combinations required to minimise variation	105
6-3 Partitioning of variability due to filling Heads	107
7.0 Application of results	109
7-1 Relevance to the existing food process	109
7-1.2 Applicability of the experimental model	110
7-2 Combinations required for least variance	113
7-3 Long and short-term variability of product processes	115
7-4 Application of DoE in different filling and measuring environments	117

---

# Contents

---

<b>Contents</b>	<b>Page</b>
8 0 Summary and conclusions	119
8-1 Summary of investigation	119
8-2 Main findings	121
8-3 Applications	125
8-4 Recommendations	126
8-5 Areas for further research	127
References	128
Appendices	133

---

## List of Tables

---

<b>Table</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Page</b>
Table 3-1	Fill variations of various particle sizes using pocket Filler	41
Table 4.1	Design matrix for 2 <sup>4</sup> factorial design using selected factors	62
Table 4.2	Tabular plan for filling process using starch solution	67
Table 4-3	Trial 1314 b: gross fill weights, means and variances across filling cycles and heads	71
Table 4-4	Factor-level measurements	72
Table 5-1	Mean fill weights and variances across filling heads	74
Table 5.2	Mean fill weights & variances across filling cycles	75
Table 5-3	Schedule of planned analyses	83
Table 5-4	Summary analysis of trial responses using Minitab output	84
Table 6-1	Modeling of factor combination for fill weight optimisation	98
Table 6-2	Modeling of factor combination for variance optimisation	106
Table 6-3	Partitioning head variation using range method	108
Table 7-1	Component variation of three different Beef Curry types	111
Table 7-2	Comparison of direct physical effects versus actual process effects of factors on fill weights	114

---

## List of Figures

---

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Page</b>
Fig. 2-1	Use of statistical methods within responding organisations	8
Fig. 2-2	Three major sources of variation in industries (Crow, 2006)	9
Fig. 2-3	Concept of robust design (Crow, 2006)	10
Fig. 2-4	General model of a process	19
Fig. 3-1	The can seal system comprising rubber gasket and open flange	32
Fig. 3-2	Four major areas of a piston filler operating cycle	37
Fig. 3-3	Cross sections of piston strokes during four major operations	38
Fig. 3-4	Cross-section sketch of a pocket filler	41
Fig. 3-5	Fluid dynamics during piston and vacuum filling	43
Fig. 3-6	Head analysis using fill weights against each filler head for filler R2	45
Fig. 3-7	Potential for net weight savings based on variation reduction	48
Fig. 3-8	Cause and Effect diagram for sources of net weight variation in cans	53
Fig. 3-9	Hierarchy of variables in product filling process	54
Fig. 4-1	Can transfer from star wheel to filling station showing the can Labelling method	69
Fig. 5-1	Run Charts for mean (Original) fill-weights across filling cycles for each filler head	76
Fig. 5-2	Run Charts for mean (Original) fill-weights across filler heads for each cycle	77
Fig. 5-3	Run Charts for variance of (original) fill-weights across filler-heads	78
Fig. 5-4	Transformation of filler trial data into four main response variables	80
Fig. 6-1	Projection of $2^4$ into two replicates of $2^3$ designs using $F_4$	99
Fig. 6-2	Fluctuation of Fill Weights within each head during filling cycles	101
Fig. 7-1	Effect of temperature on fill variations	116

---

---

## Glossary of Notations

---

ANOM	Analysis of Means
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
AQS	Average Quantity System
Brix	Percent of soluble solids on w/w basis on sugar scale
DIKT	Data-Information-Knowledge-Technology
DoE	Design of Experiments (Planned Experiments)
HACCP	Hazard and Critical Control Points
IQR	Inter Quartile Range
LQ	Lower quartile
LSL	Lower Specification Limits
LSM	Least Square Means
MFw-Ac-Fc	Mean Fill weights Across Filling cycles
MFw-Ac-Fh	Mean Fill weights Across Filler heads
NPPOSE	Normal Probability Plot of the Standardized Effects
PCA	The principal component analysis
PCOSE	Pareto Chart of the Standardized Effects
PCR	Process capability ratio
PLC	Programmable Logic Control
Pre-fill	Any filling components involved prior to final filling
SD	Standard Deviation
SKU	Stock Keeping Unit
SPC	Statistical Process Control
SSB	Sum of Squares Between Samples
SSW	Sum of Squares Within Samples
TQC	Total Quality Control
TQM	Total Quality Management
UQ	Upper quartile
USL	Upper Specification Limits
Var-Ac-Fc	Variance of Fill weights Across Filling cycles
Var-Ac-Fh	Variance of Fill weights Across Filling heads

---

---

# I INTRODUCTION

## Chapter

---

### 1-1 SCOPE OF RESEARCH

Heinz Wattie's Ltd. is a food company which manufactures about 1200 food products in the form of cans, pouches and frozen packs. In 1993, the author introduced Statistical Process Control (SPC), replacing the existing methods of control. The existing methods specified control of individual weights of cans within specification limits.

The initial stage of SPC (Statistical Process Control) involves the controlling of process averages within control limits. During the next stage, the variability of some processes was reduced by taking corrective actions for special causes. A total of over NZ\$ 1.5m worth of "give-away" has been recovered. The proportion of time for which the process is in control has increased from 23% to 62% (Vithanage, 1994, 68). In spite of the initial success and ongoing improvements, the SPC programme was facing ever-increasing challenges from the following areas.

- Incapable production processes due to high variability of quantity and related quality parameters
- Control of weights while maintaining product safety and can integrity
- Control of weights while conserving product quality characteristics
- Expectations from overseas markets for tighter weight performance
- Increased consumer vigilance and meeting the regulatory requirements of different countries

---

A significant amount of direct and indirect saving will be made by meeting some of these challenges. For example, the cost of non-standard products due to under- and over-filling is around \$ 500,000 per annum (Vithanage, 2003). The majority of quantity and quality related Nonstandard Products are due to the increased variations of output process variables. Although the total output variability could be reduced to some extent, a planned and systematic reduction was not possible for following reasons:

- a) Lack of tools to identify and quantify the sources of this variability
- b) Lack of knowledge of the optimum combination of the input variables, required to reduce the variation of net contents in canned products

Therefore, this study was aimed at developing methods to identify and quantify the contributing variations to the total variability of net weights. These process variables, which appear in the form of quality and other process parameters, would be identified and used as factors in the Design of Experiment.

Appendix 12 illustrates how the major sources, the factors within each of the major sources and the interactions among major sources, contribute to final and measurable net content variation in canned foods using the Japanese Beef Curry Process as an example.

---

## 1-2 PROJECT PROPOSALS

The overall goal of the project was to develop methods to minimise the variation of net weights in canned foods. The following two tasks were used to achieve this goal.

- a) Identify and quantify the process variables, which contribute to net weight variability in canned foods using a liquid medium representing the key variables.
- b) Develop methods to determine the optimum combination required of the contributing factors to minimise the variation of total net contents.

The optimum combination of the variables to reduce net weights variation, once quantified, provides a logical basis to optimise weights to reduce “give-away” and underweights.

These objectives were achieved by studying a representative medium through a selected filler. The information was then used to develop methodologies which are applicable to any product fill situation. The scoping of this study was therefore confined to the filler and product variability aspects represented in Appendix 12.

### Project Stages

- 1) Process and Literature Reviews
- 2) Project approval from Heinz Wattie Ltd.
- 3) Screening of factors for planned experiment
- 4) Planned Experimentation
- 5) Data Collection
- 6) Analysis
- 7) Submission of Thesis