Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Conversation and Change: Integrating Information Literacy to Support Learning in the New Zealand Tertiary Context.

A thesis presented for partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

at Massey University, Manawatu

Angela Joy Feekery

2013

ABSTRACT

This thesis outlines a participatory action research (PAR) PhD project aimed at embedding information literacy development into a four-year Bachelor of Environmental Planning (BEP) at a New Zealand university. The research suggests enhancing information literacy is an effective strategy to support students' development of essential academic competencies over the full undergraduate programme. The research took an 'informed learning' (Bruce, 2008a) approach (using information to learn), shifting the focus of information literacy development from the library into the academic classroom. PAR allowed a dual focus on both action (to support staff to change pedagogy) and research (to understand the process of change).

The key purpose of this research was to support BEP instructors to identify ways they could embed IL development into their curriculum and assessment to support students' learning during the transition into and through tertiary study. This involved reconceptualising students' apparent lack of effective research and writing skills as a developmental concern. Prior to this research, existing information literacy support in the Bachelor of Environmental Planning had an information search and retrieval focus. Furthermore, product-focused assessment did not explicitly engage students in key aspects of the research and writing process.

Therefore, this research took a learner-focused, process-oriented view of learning, and developed a thread of reflective learning throughout the programme. To support quality source selection and use, library workshops were refocused and new formative assessments were created requiring students to justify source selection and reflect on learning. While the interventions proved successful in supporting learning, the research revealed that more in-depth conversation with academics, librarians and students on how information impacts on learning is needed to encourage students to make considered information choices and become informed learners.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was a truly collaborative process, professionally and personally. There are several people I would like to personally thank:

Firstly, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisors, Associate Professor Lisa Emerson and Dr. Gillian Skyrme. Throughout this process, you have been dedicated, supportive, and encouraging. You delivered constructive criticisms gently, and boosted me when my confidence was failing. Your guidance and suggestions have helped me learn so much and have made this challenging journey seem possible from start to finish.

Secondly, my gratitude extends to AKO Aotearoa, my scholarship providers. I have truly appreciated both the financial and professional support provided through a collegial research community for emerging and experienced researchers alike. I am incredibly grateful they invested in my PhD research.

Thirdly, this journey has been a collaborative learning experience, made possible by the commitment of the five participating BEP instructors I am eternally grateful that you volunteered to engage in this research. Your dedication and commitment to improving student learning was sustained over the two years of the project and beyond. Your stories are captured within these pages, your friendships valued, and your contribution to my learning immeasurable.

A huge thanks also goes out to the BEP students, who volunteered to be a part of this process and gave honest, reflective feedback. The whole point of this research was to help you learn, and I truly believe you have.

Finally, my sincere thanks goes to the university librarians who actively engaged in developing and delivering the re-focused library workshops, and engaged in on-going conversation with me around IL. Your professionalism and dedication to student learning is admirable, and your efforts in this research are truly appreciated. Thanks also to librarians at other universities for discussing your experiences, successes and challenges with me.

On a personal note, my eternal gratitude goes to my family and friends who supported me throughout this research. I couldn't have done this without you, and your love and support made this research journey possible.

Thank you to my friends, old and new, who have come through this journey with me, and put up with me going on about this project for nearly four years! Particular thanks goes to Lara, Tash, Maryanne, Katy and my cousin Karene, who all took a real interest in what I was doing and supported me through the stress, encouraged me, discussed ideas with me and helped me find all the typos!

To both Nana and Nana Pete, thanks for encouraging me and always being proud of my achievements.

Kay, the best mother-in-law I have. Thank you for always being there, for taking care of the boys, helping out at home, and maintaining a genuine interest in what I have been doing. I could not have done this without you.

Mum, I finally finished! You have made me who I am. I inherited your strength and determination, and I have needed both to get through this. You have always believed I could do it, even when I doubted myself, and you were right, as always.

My sister, B. I think I have said frequently 'I can only do what I do, because you do what you do'. You have been there every step of this journey to support me, encourage me, and listen while I babbled on. Thank you for being the best sister and best Aunty ever!

My darling boys, Blake and Jared. Thank you for being so good while Mum was working hard writing her book. I needed all your hugs. I love you to the moon and back.

Finally, my husband, Scott. Your unconditional love and support makes me stronger. We survived this together, for better and worse.

I love you all.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER ONE: Introduction	1
1.1 Research Aims and Questions	3
1.2 The Significance of the Study	5
1.3 Taking a Moment to Reflect	5
1.4 Thesis Organisation	6
SECTION I – CONNECTING INFORMATION	11
LITERACY AND LEARNING IN HIGHER EDUCATION	
CHAPTER TWO: Exploring IL and Learning in	13
Higher Education	
2.1 IL Definitions, Models and Standards	13
2.1.1 Behavioural skills-focused stage-models and frameworks	14
2.1.2 Emerging holistic views of IL	16
2.1.3 IL standards	17
2.2 Rethinking IL as Fundamental to Learning	19
2.2.1 Six Frames of Informed Learning	20
2.2.2 A New Curriculum for Information Literacy (ANCIL)	23
2.3 Emerging Theme: IL and Critical Awareness to Support Independent Lifelong	25
Learning	
2.4 Situating IL in Higher Education	28
2.4.1 Invisibility of IL in undergraduate teaching	28
2.4.2 Connecting IL and academic literacy	31
2.4.3 Research as conversation	33
2.5 The Net-Gen and IL	34
2.5.1 Impact of the Internet, Google, and Google Scholar	35
2.5.2 Task completion vs learning	38
2.6 Roles, Responsibilities and Approaches to Teaching IL	39
2.6.1 Libraries' role: Rethinking focus from BI to IL	40
2.6.2 Academics' role: Fundamental	41
2.6.3 Collaboration: Beneficial	42
2.6.4 Embedded approach: Ideal	43

2.6.5 Online IL instruction: Increasing	45
2.7 Reflections	46
CHAPTER THREE: Teaching to Support Learning of	47
Information Literacy at University	
3.1 Changes in the University Environment and Student Body	47
3.1.1 The change in student cohorts	47
3.1.2 The change in modes of teaching	48
3.1.3 The change in university environments	48
3.1.4 University readiness and transition	49
3.2 Student Approaches to Learning	50
3.2.1 Trial and error	50
3.2.2 A surface approach	51
3.2.3 A strategic approach	52
3.2.4 A deep approach	52
3.3 Adopting Learner-Focused Pedagogy	53
3.3.1 Traditional teaching pedagogies and remedial approaches to skills	53
development	
3.3.2 Research vs teaching	55
3.3.3 A shift towards learner-focused, developmental approaches to	56
learning	
3.3.4 The complexity of a collaborative teaching and learning relationship	58
3.3.5 Constructivism	60
3.3.6 Experiential learning	61
3.3.7 Reflective learning	62
3.3.8 Socially-constructed learning	64
3.4 Fostering Independent Learning	65
3.5 Impact of Assessment and Feedback on Learning	68
3.5.1 Summative vs formative assessment	69
3.5.2 Using feedback to support learning	70
3.6 Reflections	72

SECTION II - METHODOLOGY	73
CHAPTER FOUR: Methodology – Participatory	75
Action Research	
4.1 Action Research in Education: Introduction and Background	75
4.2 Participatory Action Research (PAR)	77
4.3 Definitions and Characteristics of Action Research: The 6 Cs	79
4.3.1 Cyclical	80
4.3.2 Collaborative	82
4.3.3 Context-specific	83
4.3.4 Combining theory and practice	85
4.3.5 Critically reflective	85
4.3.6 Change-focused	86
4.4 Action Research Methodology and Research Tools	87
4.5 Ethical Considerations	88
4.6 Theoretical Approach	89
4.7 The Research Participants	90
4.7.1 Positioning the researcher	91
4.8 Data Sources and Collection Methods	94
4.8.1 Researcher's reflective journal	96
4.8.2 Document review	97
4.8.3 Collecting data from participating instructors	99
4.8.3.1 Initial BEP instruction interviews (IIN)	99
4.8.3.2 Conversation	100
4.8.3.3 Meeting notes (IMN)	101
4.8.3.4 Written reflections / feedback (IRF)	101
4.8.3.5 Observations	102
4.8.4 Collecting data from students	102
4.8.4.1 Reflective email journals (SJN)	104
4.8.4.2 Focus group interviews (SFG)	104
4.8.4.3 Short anonymous surveys (SSV)	105
4.8.5 NZ University librarian interviews	106
4.9 Data analysis: Identifying and Coding Themes in the Data	107
4.9.1 Triangulation	108

4.10 Reflections

SECTION III- EMBEDDING INFORMATION LITERACY IN THE BEP	
CHAPTER FIVE: Contexts: Situating Information	113
Literacy Development in NZ and the BEP	
5.1 Universities in NZ	113
5.2 IL in NZ Universities	116
5.2.1 Invisibility of IL	117
5.2.2 'Information literacy' as a term	118
5.2.3 Librarians' and academics' roles in developing students' IL	119
5.2.4 Librarians' views of student approaches to IL	123
5.2.5 Shift to online IL delivery	124
5.2.6 Rebranding the library	126
5.3 The BEP	126
5.3.1 Course delivery	128
5.3.2 Assessment	128
5.3.3 IL in Planning	129
5.3.3.1 Provision of course readings	131
5.3.3.2 Critical thinking and reflection	132
5.3.4 Assessing the learning process	133
5.3.5 Knowledge about other BEP courses	
5.4 Reflections	136
CHAPTER SIX: The Interventions	139
6.1 Participating Courses, Key Considerations, and Resulting Interventions	139
6.1.1 Data coding	140
6.2 Purposes of Each Cycle of Action Research	141
6.2.1 Key Considerations in developing the interventions	142
6.2.1.1 Key consideration 1: Teaching IL	142
6.2.1.2 Key consideration 2: Point of need	142
6.2.1.3 Key consideration 3: Seamless integration of the	142
interventions	
6 1 2 4 Key consideration 4: Balancing workloads	143

PART I – LIBRARY WORKSHOPS	143
6.3 Pre-interventions Library Skills Offerings: Semester 1, 2010 observations	143
6.4 Cycle One: Refocusing the Library Sessions	
6.4.1 Course 1-2, Semester 2, 2010: Thwarted atttempt at change	145
6.4.2 Course 1-1, Semester 1, 2011: Still not quite right	146
6.4.3 Course 4-D, Semester 1, 2011: Observing the 'advanced' library	147
session	
6.4.4 Lessons learned: Reflecting on Cycle One	148
6.4.4.1 Library sessions	148
6.4.4.2 Students' ineffective search strategies	149
6.4.4.3 Google	151
6.4.4.4 Evaluating source quality and relevance	152
6.5 Cycle Two: Modifications to Library interventions.	152
6.5.1 Course 1-1, Semester 1, 2012: Significant shift in focus and delivery	153
6.5.2 Course 3-1, Semester 1, 2012: A new need identified	155
6.5.3 Course 4-D, Semester 1, 2012: Modified delivery	156
6.5.4 Lessons learned: Reflecting on Cycle Two	157
6.5.4.1 Library workshops	157
6.5.4.2 Supporting students to be effective Google users and	158
aware of alternatives	
6.5.4.3 Under-developed evaluation strategies	159
PART II - THE ASSESSMENT INTERVENTIONS	159
6.6 Changes in Course Assessment	160
6.7 Overview of the Assessment Interventions	160
6.7.1 Key considerations in designing the assessment tasks	162
6.8 Georgia – Course 1-1: Focusing on source selection	165
6.8.1 Cycle One: Developing the Source Justification Task	165
6.8.2 Cycle Two: Modifying the Source Justification Task	167
6.8.3 Final Outcomes: Course 1-2 Interventions.	171
6.9 Jacinta – Course 1-2: Significant change to promote skills development	172
6.9.1 Cycle One: Developing the Reflection on Values task	172
6.9.2 Cycle Two: Modifying the Reflection on Values task	175
6.9.3 Cycle One: Creating the group presentation worksheets	177
6.9.4 Cycle Two: Reconsidering the impact of the worksheets on	178
learning	

6.9.5 Cycle One: Implementing the i-map task	179
6.9.6 Cycle Two: Modifying the i-map task	183
6.9.7 Final Outcomes: Course 1-2 Interventions	186
6.10 Fran - Course 2-2: Extending reading to support learning	
6.10.1 Cycle One: Developing the PR&LL	187
6.10.2 Cycle Two: Modifying the PR&LL	191
6.10.3 Final Outcomes: Course 2-2 Interventions	196
6.11 Carl – Course 3-1 and Course 4-1: Focusing on Research, Writing and	1947
Reflective Learning	
6.11.1 Course 3-1, Cycle One: Developing the interventions	198
6.11.2 Course 3-1, Cycle Two: Rethinking the focus on process and	199
reflective learning	
6.11.3 Final Outcomes: Course 3-1 Interventions	202
6.11.4 Course 4-1, Cycle One: Experimenting with experiential learning	203
6.11.5 Course 4-1, Cycle Two: Greater support for experiential learning	208
6.11.6 Seminar Attendance: Considering information beyond printed	211
texts	
6.11.7 Final Outcomes: Course 3-1 and Course 4-1	213
6.12 Jane - Course 4-D: Supporting Honours-Level Research, Writing and	214
Learning	
6.12.1 Cycle One: Observing the existing course	215
6.12.2 Cycle Two: Changes to assessment	217
6.13 Overview of the Resulting Interventions	219
6.14 Reflections	220
CHAPTER SEVEN: Participating Instructors	221
7.1 The Research Participants: Common Points of Interest	221
7.2 Individual Characteristics and Involvement of the Participating Instructors	225
7.3 GEORGIA	227
7.4 FRAN	232
7.5 CARL	238
7.6 JACINTA	242
7.7 JANE	245
7.8 Reflections	249

CHAPTER EIGHT: Key Factors Determining	251
Students' IL Development	
8.1 Students' Understanding of IL	251
8.2 Preparedness for IL Demands at University	
8.3 Instructor and Student Mismatches	253
8.3.1 Getting support with learning: people vs print resources	254
8.3.2 Using feedback to learn	255
8.4 Assessment Design and Acquisition of IL	257
8.5 Instructor Autonomy and Students' IL Development.	259
8.6 Interactivity and IL Development	261
8.7 Reflection and IL Development	262
8.8 Reflections	264
SECTION FOUR – LESSONS LEARNED	267
CHAPTER NINE: Promising Outcomes and Lessons	269
Learned	
9.1 Factors Supporting or Hindering IL Development in the BEP	270
9.1.1 Recognising that IL is central to learning	271
9.1.2 Embedding IL to support learning	271
9.1.3 Supporting academic instructors to embed IL	274
9.1.3.1 Relationship building	275
9.1.3.2 Exploring learner-focused pedagogy	277
9.1.3.3 Creating assessment for learning	279
9.1.3.4 Challenging assumptions about independent learning	280
9.1.3.5 Recognising constraints	283
9.2 Participatory Action Research to Support Change	284
9.2.1 Becoming a reflective action researcher	285
9.2.2 The emergence of the 7 th C of PAR – Conversation-driven	287
9.3 Implications, Limitations, and Future Research Directions	287
9.3.1 Research limitations	290
9.3.2 Future research opportunities	291
9.4 A Final Word: An On-going Conversation	292

REFERENCES	295
APPENDICES	321
APPENDIX 1: IL Models	323
1a. The Big6 Process Model (1990)	325
1b.The Seven Faces of Information Literacy (1997)	325
1c. The SCONUL Seven Pillars of Information Literacy Model (1999)	326
1d. Information Literacy Continuum (2005)	326
1e. Information and Critical Literacies Model (2007)	328
1f. Colvin-Keene Model of Information Literacy (2010)	328
1g. Revised SCONUL Seven Pillars of Information Literacy Model (2011)	329
APPENDIX 2: Key Themes in Holistic IL Models and Frameworks (Martin,	331
2013)	
APPENDIX 3: Examples of Information Sheets	335
3a Instructor Information Sheet	337
3b Student Information Sheet	339
3c Student Focus Group Information Sheet	341
APPENDIX 4: Examples of Instructor Interview and Focus Group Questions	343
4a. Initial BEP Instructor Interview Questions	345
4b. Student Focus Group Interview Sheet	347
APPENDIX 5: Evaluating Sources – Library Workshop Hand-out	349
APPENDIX 6: Healthy Resources Pyramid	353
APPENDIX 7: Course 1-1 – Source Justification Task Instructions	357
7a Cycle One	359
7b Cycle Two	359
APPENDIX 8: Reflection on Values Draft Marking Schedule	361
APPENDIX 9: Course 1-2 – Group Presentation Worksheet	365
APPENDIX 10: Course 1-1 – i-map Instructions	369
APPENDIX 11: Course 2-2 – Professional Reading and Learning Log Instructions	373
APPENDIX 12: Course 3-1 – Reflective Task Instructions – Cycle Two	381
APPENDIX 13: Course 4-1 - Reflection on Reflections Feedback 2011 - excernt	380

List of Tables

Table 1:	ACRL Standards	18
Table 2:	ANZIL Standards	19
Table 3:	Six Frames of Informed Learning	22
Table 4:	Factors that Encourage Surface Approaches to Learning	51
Table 5:	Factors that Encourage Deep Approaches to Learning	52
Table 6:	Key Differences Between Summative and Formative Assessment	70
Table 7:	Assumptions Underlying Educational Research	90
Table 8:	Continuum and Implications of Positionality	93
Table 9:	Data Collection Timeline	95
Table 10:	Cycle One and Cycle Two Student Participation Data	103
Table 11:	Number of Participants in Interviews at NZ Universities	107
Table 12:	Participating Courses and Instructors	140
Table 13:	Years Enrolled in Study for the Five BEP Student Cohorts Participating in the Research	140
Table 14:	Changes in Assessment in the Participating Courses over Two Cycles of Action Research	161
Table 15:	Developing and Modifying the Interventions Over Two Cycles of Action Research	164
Table 16:	Changes to Assessment in Course 1-1	165
Table 17:	Changes to Assessment in Course 1-2	172
Table 18:	Course 1-2 – I-map Survey and Journal Comments (Cohort D, Cycle 2)	185
Table 19:	Changes to Assessment in Course 2-2	187
Table 20:	Cycle One – Purpose of PR&LL Themes (Cohort B)	188
Table 21:	Cycle One – Students' Established Reading Habits- (Cohort B)	189
Table 22:	Cycle Two – Purpose of PR&LL Themes (Cohort C)	192
Table 23:	Cycle Two - Students' Established Reading Habits (Cohort C)	194
Table 24:	Changes to Assessment in Course 3-1	198
Table 25:	Changes to Assessment in Course 4-1	205
Table 26:	Changes to Assessment in Course 4-D	217
Table 27:	Final Interventions Developed for Each Participating Course	219
Table 28:	Participating Instructors – Characteristics, Challenges and Shifts	226

List of Figures

Figure 1:	ANCIL 'Learning Pizza'	24
Figure 2:	ANCIL Information Literacy Landscape	32
Figure 3:	Framework for Learning Contexts	67
Figure 4:	McNiff's Generative Transformational Evolutionary Process Model of the Action Research Process	81
Figure 5:	The Dual Focus of Action Research	82
Figure 6:	Modifications to the Course 1-1 Library Workshop	154
Figure 7:	Example of a Student Source Justification Task	167
Figure 8:	Changes in Source Justification Requirements	168
Figure 9:	Student Example of Modified Source Justification Task	170
Figure 10:	Example of an i-map	181
Figure 11:	Research Participants – Teaching Experience and Academic Rank	222
Figure 12:	Georgia – Characteristics, Challenge and Shift	227
Figure 13:	Fran – Characteristics, Challenge and Shift	232
Figure 14:	Carl – Characteristics, Challenge and Shift	239
Figure 15:	Jacinta – Characteristics, Challenge and Shift	243
Figure 16:	Jane - Characteristics, Challenge and Shift	246

Abbreviations

ACRL Association of College and Research Libraries

ALA American Library Association

ANCIL A New Curriculum for Information Literacy

ANZIL Australia New Zealand Information Literacy (Framework)

AR Action research

BI Bibliographic instruction

COL Course outline

CHO Course hand-out
CWS Course website

IIN Interviews with all BEP instructors

IL Information literacy

IMN Instructor meeting notes

IRF Instructor's reflective feedback

NZ New Zealand

NZPI New Zealand Planning Institute

PAR Participatory action research

RSQ Research sub-question

SFG Student focus group

SJN Student reflective journal

SSV Student survey

UK United Kingdom

US United States of America