Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

ENHANCING STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT THROUGH CURRICULUM INTEGRATION BASED ON NEW ZEALAND'S KEY COMPETENCIES:

A Descriptive Case Study

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Philosophy (Education) at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

ANA MATANGI-HULLS

November 2010

STATEMENT OF AUTHENTICITY

I certify that the thesis entitled <u>Enhancing student achievement through curriculum</u> <u>integration based on New Zealand's key competencies: a descriptive case study</u> and submitted as part of the degree of Master of Philosophy (Education) is the result of my own work, except where otherwise acknowledged, and that this research thesis (or any part of the same) has not been submitted for any other degree to any other university or institution.

Signed: _____

Date:

ABSTRACT

New Zealand schools are constantly searching for means for enhancing student achievement, maximising learning potential and utilising effective teacher pedagogy. Curriculum integration is widely supported as an effective pedagogical approach to curriculum design and has been identified within The New Zealand curriculum (Ministry of Education, 2007) as a method that would successfully aid in implementation of all aspects of the new curriculum, including the *Key Competencies*.

This research used a descriptive case study approach to attempt to enhance student achievement through the creation of a model of curriculum integration that was based on the Queensland *New Basics* model and yet unique to a New Zealand setting so that it developed the teaching and learning of the *Key Competencies*. Through the conducting of semi-structured interviews, extensive observations of students and teachers, and document analysis, there were five emergent themes identified. One of these themes effectively led to a working model of curriculum integration that enhanced student achievement and overall learning experiences. Central features of the model include the development of a personalised school curriculum: *Deeper Understandings* and learning dispositions, recognition and development of effective pedagogical tools and approaches, culminating rich assessments encompassing self, peer, formative and summative assessment; and greater student engagement, levels of higher order thinking and transferability of learning.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research conducted in this study and the thesis itself, have only been possible with the extensive support and cooperation of many wonderful people.

My first acknowledgement must be to Dr Jenny Poskitt. Not only has she been my supervisor throughout this study, but she has been like a mentor to me since I began my teaching career, she has been very encouraging of my potential, planting the seed of Masters study in my mind and then aptly supporting me in determining and pursuing a course of research. Jenny's determination for me to succeed provided all the support I needed. I cannot thank her enough. Similarly, thanks must also go to Bill MacIntyre, my second supervisor who has always believed in me and provided thoughtful support and feedback.

I am also grateful to the principal, staff, students and board of *Te Tuara School*. I offer my sincere gratitude to the board of trustees who not only permitted, but supported me in carrying out this research. To the students, I am extremely grateful for they were wonderful in helping me with this study. To the teachers I also offer my thanks for their encouragement, their support and their generous giving of time – we couldn't have achieved so much without their commitment. Also, a special mention of thanks must be made to the principal, who was always ready to lend an ear, a hand or a "reality check", helping me to engage regularly in rigorous discussion over what we were doing and supporting me through time, leadership and professional development so that this study could be possible. A special mention must also be made to all those lead teachers, principals and facilitators involved in the *EHSAS Graduate Cluster*, including Hanan Harrison – a very knowledgeable and innovative professional.

Finally, to my husband, Corrin... where do I begin? Thank you for your love and patience – for doing the housework when I just needed to study; for braving the aisles of the supermarket alone when I couldn't get away; for cooking more than just spaghetti toasties and for, thankfully, loving your Xbox so much you often didn't notice how much time I wasn't spending with you while I was working on this.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATEM	ENT OF	AUTH	ENTICITY	ii
ABSTRACT				iii
ACKNOW	VLEDG	EMENT	S	iv
TABLE O	F CONT	TENTS		v
LIST OF F	IGURE	S AND	TABLES	xiii
CHAPTER	R 1: INT	RODU	CTION	1
1.	Back	cground	l to the Study	1
•	TT1	D	1 O	0
2.	Ine	Kesearc	h Questions	2
3.	Te T	'uara Pr	imary School	3
4.	Orga	anisatio	n of the thesis	3
CHAPTER	R 2: LIT	ERATU	RE REVIEW	5
1.	Cha	pter Int	roduction	5
2.	Curi	riculum	Integration	5
	2.1	Introc	luction to Curriculum Integration	5
	2.2	Theor	retical Underpinnings of Curriculum	
		Integr	ration	7
		2.2.1	Constructivist Theory	7
		2.2.2	Theory of Curriculum Integration	8
	2.3		ry of Curriculum Integration	9
		2.3.1	United States of America	9
		2.3.2	Britain	10
		2.3.3	Australia	11
			2.3.3.1 Influences & History	11

			2.3.3.2 Introduction to New Basics in	
			Queensland	11
		2.3.4	New Zealand	12
	2.4	Mode	els of Curriculum Integration	13
		2.4.1	Introduction	13
		2.4.2	Multidisciplinary approaches	13
		2.4.3	Integrative/ Transdisciplinary Approaches	14
		2.4.4	A Continuum or not?	15
	2.5	Imple	ementing Curriculum Integration	16
		2.5.1	Preparing for Curriculum Integration	17
		2.5.2	Key Considerations and Planning	17
		2.5.3	Models of Implementation	18
		2.5.4	Pedagogical Strategies for Curriculum	
			Integration	19
		2.5.5	Curriculum Standards in Curriculum	
			Integration	19
	2.6	Benef	its of Curriculum Integration	20
	2.7	Barrie	ers to Curriculum Integration	20
	2.8	Critic	isms of Curriculum Integration	21
3.	Key	Compe	tencies in Education	22
	3.1	Intro	luction	22
	3.2	Theor	retical Underpinnings of Key	
		Comp	petencies	23
	3.3	Histo	ry of Key Competencies	24
		3.3.1	Worldwide	24
			3.3.1.1 The DeSeCo Project through the OECD	24
		3.3.2	Australia	26
		3.3.3	New Zealand	26
	3.4	Exam	ining New Zealand's Key Competencies	27
		3.4.1	The New Zealand Curriculum Key	
			Competencies: An overview	27
		3.4.2	Thinking	28
		3.4.3	Using Language, Symbols & Texts	28
		3.4.4	Managing Self	29
		3.4.5	Relating to Others	29

			3.4.6	Participating & Contributing	30
	3.	.5	Impler	nenting the Key Competencies	30
			3.5.1	Planning for key competency learning	31
		i	3.5.2	Assessing the Key Competencies	31
	3.	.6	Potenti	ial Barriers for Key Competencies	32
4	. Ç)ueen	sland	New Basics	33
	4.	.1	What i	s the Queensland New Basics Project?	33
		4	4.1.1	Project Overview	33
		4	4.1.2	Purpose of the project	34
	4.	.2	Theore	tical Underpinnings of the Queensland	
]	New B	asics	35
	4.	.3	Queen	sland New Basics Findings	35
	4.	.4	Releva	nce to New Zealand	36
5.	5. Managing the Change Process			37	
	5.	.1	Introdu	uction	37
	5.	.2 '	The Cu	llture of Change	37
	5.	.3	Enabli	ng Successful Change	38
6.	. C	Chapte	er Sum	imary	39
СНАРТ	'ER 3:R	RESEA	ARCH	METHODOLOGY	41
1.	. Iı	ntrod	uction		41
2	. R	lesear	ch Qu	estions	41
	2.	.1	Resear	ch Sub-Questions	41
3	. т	he Bo	oundar	y of Investigation	42
	3.	.1	Resear	ch Design Type	43

4.Case Study Research Design434.1Introduction43

	4.2	Case	Study Designs	45
		4.2.1	Descriptive Case Studies	45
	4.3	Data	Collection in Case Study Research	46
		4.3.1	Interviewing in Case Study Research	46
		4.3.2	Observation	47
		4.3.3	Document Analysis	49
	4.4	Data	Analysis and Reporting in Case Study	49
	4.5	The R	Role of the Researcher	50
	4.6	Plann	ing for the Case Study Research Process	51
5.	Theo	oretical	Considerations	52
	5.1	Valid	ity & Reliability	52
		5.1.1	Interval Validity	52
		5.1.2	Reliability	53
		5.1.3	External Validity	54
6.	Ethi	cal Con	siderations	54
7.	Con	clusion		56
CHAPTI	ER 4:THE	E CASE	FINDINGS	57
1.	Intro	oductior	1	57
2.	Teac	her Und	lerstanding	57
	2.1	Prior	to the Unit	58
		2.1.1	Curriculum Integration	58
		2.1.2	The curriculum	59
		2.1.3	Pedagogy & Expectations	61
	2.2	Durir	ng the Unit	62
	2.3	After	the Unit	63
		2.3.1	Curriculum Integration	63
		2.3.2	Key Competencies & The curriculum	65
		2.3.3	Pedagogy & Expectations	66

3.	Cons	straints		68
	3.1	Prior	to the Unit	68
	3.2	Durin	ng the Unit	68
	3.3	After	the Unit	69
4.	The	Change	e Process	70
	4.1	Prior	to the Unit	70
	4.2	Durin	ng the Unit	71
	4.3		the Unit	71
5.	Stud	ent Ac	hievement	72
	5.1	Prior	to the Unit	73
	5.2	Durin	ng the Unit	73
		5.2.1	Juniors (Year 0-4)	73
		5.2.2	Seniors (Year 5-8)	74
	5.3	After	the Unit	75
		5.3.1	Juniors (Year 0-4)	75
		5.3.2	Seniors (Year 5-8)	76
6.	Stud	ent Lea	arning	78
	6.1	Enga	gement	79
		6.1.1	Prior to the Unit	79
		6.1.2	During the Unit	79
			6.1.2.1 Juniors (Year 0-4)	79
			6.1.2.2 Senior (Year 5-8)	79
		6.1.3	After the Unit	80
			6.1.3.1 Juniors (Year 0-4)	80
			6.1.3.2 Senior (Year 5-8)	80
	6.2	High	er Order Thinking	81
		6.2.1	Prior to the Unit	81
		6.2.2	During the Unit	81
			6.2.2.1 Juniors (Year 0-4)	81
			6.2.2.2 Senior (Year 5-8)	82
		6.2.3	After the Unit	82
			6.2.3.1 Juniors (Year 0-4)	82
			6.2.3.2 Senior (Year 5-8)	83

	6.3	Transferability	84
		6.3.1 Prior to the Unit	84
		6.3.2 During the Unit	84
		6.3.3 After the Unit	85
		6.3.3.1 Juniors (Year 0-4)	85
		6.3.3.2 Senior (Year 5-8)	85
7	. Cha	apter Summary	86
CHAPT	ER 5: DI	SCUSSION	87
1	. Inti	roduction	87
2	. Get	tting Started	87
	2.1	Teacher Understandings about Curriculu	ım
		Integration	88
	2.2	Teacher Understanding of Pedagogy	90
	2.3	Teacher Knowledge of the Curriculum	92
	2.4	Teacher Understanding of Assessment	94
	2.5	Constraints and Influences on the School	95
		2.5.1 The Graduate Cluster	95
		2.5.2 The Ministry of Education Policies and	1 Changes 98
3	. Let	ting go of Achievement Objectives	98
	3.1	Teacher Understanding of Pedagogy	99
	3.2	Teacher Understanding of the Curriculu	m 101
	3.3	Teacher Expectations and Philosophies	103
	3.4	Teacher Fear	104
4	. Enc	couraging Transferability	105
	4.1	Danger of Over-packing	106
	4.2	Shifts in Teacher and Student Control	107
5	. Imp	pact on Students	108
	5.1	Student Achievement	109

		5.2	Stude	ent Learning	110
			5.2.1	Engagement	110
			5.2.2	Transferability	111
			5.2.3	Higher Order Thinking	112
	6.	Towa	rds a (Curriculum Integration Model	112
		6.1	The N	Aodel: Rich Learning	113
			6.1.1	Deeper Understandings	114
			6.1.2	Learning Dispositions	115
			6.1.3	Significant Questions	116
			6.1.4	Context	116
			6.1.5	Deeper Knowledge	117
			6.1.6	Productive Pedagogies	117
			6.1.7	Culminating Rich Tasks	117
		6.2	Planr	ning a Unit of Learning	118
		6.3	Supp	orting Resourcing	119
			6.3.1	Long Term Plans	120
			6.3.2	Rich Learning Overview	120
			6.3.3	Shared Development of Learning Dispositions	120
	7.	Conc	lusion	s of the Study	121
REFE	RENC	ES			123
APPE	ENDIC	ES			134
1.	The Q	ueensla	and Ne	w Basics Model	135
2.	The M	layer K	ey Cor	npetencies	136
3.	Interv	view Scl	nedule	5	137
		i.	Teach	ner Pre-Interview Schedule	137
		ii.	Teach	ner Post-Interview Schedule	138
		iii.	Stude	ent Post-Interview Schedule	139
4.	Schoo	ol Obser	vation	al Matrix Tools	140
_,		i.		ol Observational Matrix Tool – Teachers	140
				ol Observational Matrix Tool – Students	140
		11.	JUIDE		141

5.	Research Plan	142
6.	Consent Form Samples	144
	i. Teacher Consent Forms	144
	ii. Student Consent Forms	145
7.	Information Sheet Samples	146
	i. Information Sheet Sample – Students	146
	ii. Information Sheet Sample – Teachers	148
8.	Teacher Observations During the Unit	151
9.	Student Observations During the Unit	152
10.	Rich Learning Collaborative Plan - A World of Mystery	153
11.	Figure for Deeper Understanding – Example	154
12.	Planning Template	155
13.	Learning Journey Template	157
14.	Long Term Plan	159
15.	Figure linking Learning Dispositions with Deeper Knowledge	
	and Productive Pedagogies – Example	160

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

Figures

2.1	Comparisons of key competencies in New Zealand with	
	DeSeCo	28
2.2	Comparisons of New Zealand's Key Competencies with the	
	Queensland New Basics referents.	36
3.1	Deeper Understandings and Deeper Knowledge focus for	
	unit of research	42
5.1	Rich Learning Model	113
5.2	Deeper Understandings	114
5.3	Learning Dispositions	115
5.4	Rich Learning Planning Model Overview	119

Tables

4.1	Individual Teacher Summary	58
4.2	Curriculum Integration: Teacher Understanding Prior	
	to the Unit	59
4.3	Key Competencies & the Curriculum: Teacher	
	Understanding Prior to the Unit	60
4.4	Teacher Pedagogy & Expectations: Teacher	
	Understanding Prior to the Unit	61
4.5	Curriculum Integration: Teacher Understanding After	
	the Unit	64
4.6	Key Competencies & the Curriculum: Teacher	
	Understanding After the Unit	65
4.7	Pedagogy & Expectations: Teacher Understanding After	
	the Unit	67
4.8	Summary of Changing Student Achievement	78