

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

SYSTEMS MODELLING IN ANIMAL PRODUCTION RESEARCH:

AN INTERACTIVE CASE STUDY

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy at Massey University.

Campbell Petrie Miller

1982

38180-88

ABSTRACT

Synthesis of improved systems of year round dairy herd feeding requires whole systems to be assembled and evaluated. In the field, only a limited number of possibilities can be examined and it is likely that there will be interaction between systems and the unique environments in which they are necessarily set. Modelling was undertaken to enlarge the possible number of syntheses and to provide a constant environment in which they could be compared.

A number of forage sources and a variety of milk production patterns were combined in a linear programming model which maximized economic or physical returns from combinations of forage supply and demand, within constraints of pasture and crop management, cow intake and forage quality.

The linear programming model was validated, firstly by exposing details of structure and output to an expert panel and secondly, by comparing model structure and output with those of several real farms.

Experiments were carried out in which cropping level, stocking rate, conservation level, cow production level and forage yield and quality were varied. Selected systems were subjected to simulated climatic variability and milkfat price variability to test the stability of preliminary conclusions.

It was shown clearly that the main thrust of the field research, feeding for higher production per cow, was likely to be both feasible and highly profitable. Most of the potential means for facilitating this were shown also to be feasible and economic, though there were limitations which had not previously been obvious.

Nitrogen fertilizer on pasture was shown to be potentially very valuable. Schedules for nitrogen use in practice would require much better definition of response patterns and the modelling lent weight to decisions regarding research in this area.

High quality, wilted, pasture silage was shown to be an essential component of systems without maize silage where high production (160 kg milkfat per year) per cow is required.

Preliminary evaluation of a summer-growing grass showed large potential benefits and supported an increase in the effort to develop such a grass for commercial use.

Several other forage crops were shown to have value. Somewhat surprising was the finding that grazing these crops was often a more profitable and productive means of utilization than conservation, despite inferior efficiencies in dry matter utilization. This was due to the higher cost of conservation allied with lower quality.

Maize silage was a particularly valuable forage source and it was shown how efforts to increase its yield or energy density, but not its protein content, would be rewarding.

It was concluded that the interaction of modelling and field research had been valuable in both development and testing of hypotheses. Suggestions are made for more formality in validation, for greater continuity in parallel modelling and for more generality in field data collection.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A study crossing as many institutional and disciplinary boundaries as this one owes an unusually large number of debts, many of which can only be acknowledged collectively.

Alan Wright, Tony Taylor and Bob Townsley, my supervisors, were unusually patient in pointing to relevant directions and their open doors were often welcome succour. Barry Ridler and Peter Oppenheim revealed many mysteries of dairying and computer programming respectively. Jim Kerr gave valuable moral support and Arnold Bryant was generous with his encouragement.

Others, in Massey University, in D.S.I.R., in M.A.F. at Ruakura, Whangarei, and Kaitaia, helped in various ways during the study. Joan Baldwin typed with style and imagination and Mark Smith transformed crude sketches into figures.

My family and friends showed great forbearance in some trying circumstances and were often unrewarded.

The Queensland Department of Primary Industries granted me study leave and bore my slow writing with patience. Plant Physiology Division, D.S.I.R. and the Helen Akers Scholarship provided valuable financial support.

Deficiencies in the thesis are not due to any of those mentioned.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION
1. Background	1
2. Objectives	4
3. Outline of thesis	4
<u>PART 1 AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND THE SYSTEMS APPROACH</u>	
CHAPTER 2	SYSTEMS CONCEPTS IN AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT
1. Introduction	7
2. Nature of system	7
3. Systems in agriculture	9
4. Systems in agricultural research and development	10
5. Summary	19
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH PRIORITIES
1. Introduction	20
2. The level of evaluation	20
3. The nature of value	21
4. Research benefits	22
5. Research costs	25
6. Indices of net economic benefit	27
7. Other evaluation methods	28
8. Allocation methods	31
9. Estimation of research benefits	32
10. Conclusion	33
CHAPTER 4	THE MODELLING PROCESS
1. Introduction	34
2. Model definition and purpose	34
3. The modelling process	37

4. The use of systems modelling in agricultural research	46
5. Summary	48

PART II THE CASE STUDY AND MODEL

CHAPTER 5 INTRODUCTION TO THE CASE STUDY

1. Introduction	52
2. Choice of research program	52
3. Forage feeding systems	55
4. General approach to the case study	58
5. Summary	64

CHAPTER 6 DAIRY COW FEEDING

1. Introduction	65
2. The "standard" cow and her derivatives	66
3. The prime importance of energy and protein	67
4. The lactation cycle as an entity	69
5. Maintenance energy	69
6. Energy requirements for foetal growth	71
7. Energy requirements for liveweight change	72
8. Lactation energy	73
9. Non-standard lactations	73
10. Protein requirements	75
11. Dry matter intake	77
12. Summary of ME requirements	78
13. Summary of DCP requirements	80
14. Comparison of model cow with observed cows	80

CHAPTER 7 THE PASTURE COMPONENT

1. Introduction	82
2. Pasture growth	83
3. Pasture management and utilization	88
4. Nitrogen fertilizer on pasture	89
5. Pasture silage	

6. Pasture hay	92
7. Summary	93

CHAPTER 8 THE CROP COMPONENT

1. Introduction	94
2. Crop management	94
3. Crop nutritive value	96
4. Maize	96
5. Hybrid grazing sorghum	98
6. Winter cereals	100
7. Winter cereal-ryegrass mixture	101
8. Turnips	103
9. Red clover	103
10. Subterranean clover	104
11. Non-regenerating winter legume	105
12. Perennial summer-growing grass	106
13. Summary	108

CHAPTER 9 THE DAIRY SYSTEM MODEL

1. Introduction	109
2. Type of model	109
3. Model structure	110

PART III RESULTS OF MODELLING

CHAPTER 10 MODEL EVALUATION

1. Introduction	119
2. Validation procedures	120
3. Early results from Northland model	121
4. Later results with Northland, Ruakura and Manawatu versions	129
5. Summary	132

CHAPTER 11 EXPERIMENTATION

1. Introduction	133
2. Effects of cropping level	133
3. Level of conservation	140
4. Pasture nitrogen	145
5. Potential of a summer-growing grass	147
6. Effects of changes in forage yield and quality	149
7. Effects of higher-producing cows	153
8. Effects of climatic variability	154
9. Effects of conservation on damping seasonal variability	175
10. Effects of change in cost/price ratio	179
11. Summary	183

CHAPTER 12 IMPLICATIONS FOR RESEARCH AND GENERAL CONCLUSIONS

1. Introduction	184
2. Synthesis and evaluation of alternative dairy feeding systems	184
3. Management limitations of proposed systems	192
4. Research priorities	195
5. Evaluation of the modelling process	197
6. Conclusion	202

REFERENCES	203
------------	-----

APPENDIX A MODEL TIME PERIODS

APPENDIX B ECONOMIC ASSUMPTIONS

APPENDIX C EVALUATION PANEL

APPENDIX D FULL MATRIX AND COEFFICIENTS OF THE BASIC LP MODEL

APPENDIX E REPRINT OF REFERENCE: (MILLER 1980)

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
3.1 Two sets of criteria for ranking research projects	29
5.1 Forage yield assumptions of previous evaluations with some research yields	57
5.2 Economic evaluations of forage systems	59
6.1 Energy requirements for foetal growth of a Jersey x Friesian cow	71
6.2 Lactation patterns and milkfat production per lactation	74
6.3 Comparison of model requirements and responses with independent calculations and experimental observations	81
7.1 Adjustments for radiation differences	84
7.2 Pasture growth estimates	87
7.3 Implied timing of nitrogen application	91
8.1 Sudax: three assumed grazing patterns	99
8.2 Sudax: assumed pattern of availability of dry matter, metabolizable energy and crude protein when grazed as in table 8.1	99
8.3 Cereal/Tama: assumed pattern of growth and dry matter availability for grazing	102
8.4 Subterranean clover: assumed pattern of forage availability and nutritive value	105
8.5 Non-regenerating winter legume: assumed pattern of forage yield, utilization and nutritive value	106
10.1 Effect of cropping level on system structure and performance	123
10.2 Comparisons of farm and model plans and performances	124
10.3 Structure and performance of higher producing systems	125
10.4 Effects of lower milkfat price on system performance	126

	Page
11.1 Effects of stocking rate on feed production activities	135
11.2 Effects of stocking rate on supplementary feeding and milkfat production	136
11.3 Dry matter yield and stocking rate at some selected points for four cropping levels	139
11.4 Some effects of level of conservation in an all-grass system	142
11.5 Influence of stocking rate on forage production, level of conservation and efficiency of forage utilization	144
11.6 Comparison of structure and performance of an all-grass system with and without pasture nitrogen	146
11.7 Comparison of a system containing <i>Hemarthria altissima</i> with an all-grass system and an unconstrained cropping system	148
11.8 Analysis of variance of gross margin as affected by dry matter yield and metabolizable energy concentration of maize silage	150
11.9 Analysis of variance of gross margin as affected by dry matter yield and crude protein concentration of maize silage	150
11.10 Predicted and observed responses to increases in silage maize yield	151
11.11 Differences in crude protein supplementation expressed as a percentage of the difference in crude protein contained in maize silage	152
11.12 Structure of representative systems in an average year	157
11.13 Performance of representative systems in an average year	158
11.14 Assumed variability of forage yields in Northland	163
11.15 Additional constraints for seasonal variability experiments	165

	Page
11.16 Average-season values of constraints added for seasonal variability experiments	166
11.17 Mean and extreme values of gross margin in nine seasons	167
11.18 Analysis of variance of gross margin as affected by systems and seasons	168
11.19 Total forage dry matter and mean response of gross margin to season by seven systems	169
11.20 Summary of system performance in variable seasons	171
11.21 Feed production adjustments for variable seasons - systems without maize	172
11.22 Feed production adjustments for variable seasons - systems with maize	173
11.23 Adjustments made in the MZCER system for variable seasons	177
11.24 Effects of seasonal variation on variability of MZCER system performance with various assumptions about maize yield variation	178
11.25 Effects of milkfat price on gross margin of an unconstrained system with and without reoptimization	180
11.26 Effects of milkfat price on economic performance of a 50 ha farm	181
11.27 Effects of milkfat price on optimal physical characteristics of an unconstrained system	182
12.1 Maximum stocking rates on grazed forage	194

LIST OF FIGURES		Following Page
2.1	A simplified system	10
2.2	Agricultural research processes	14
3.1	Uncertainties of research benefit	23
4.1	Steps of the modelling process	37
6.1	Model of milk and liveweight response to feed intake	65
6.2	Milk production and liveweight patterns for three planes of nutrition	69
6.3	Examples of milk production and liveweight change functions assumed	75
6.4	Digestible crude protein requirements related to level of production	76
6.5	Apparent digestibility of crude protein	76
6.6	Assumed dry matter intake limits	78
7.1	Potential pasture growth rate of original and modified model	84
7.2	Simulated pasture growth rates 1975-77	85
7.3	Average pasture growth rates at Kaitaia (simulated) and at South Kaipara	86
8.1	Maize: assumed growth pattern and nutritive value	97
8.2	Winter cereal: assumed growth pattern and nutritive value	100
8.3	Red clover: assumed growth pattern and nutritive value	103
8.4	<i>Hemarthria altissima</i> : assumed growth pattern and nutritive value	107
9.1	The pasture matrix	112
9.2	The crop matrix	113
9.3	The purchased feed matrix	114
9.4	The cow requirement matrix	114
10.1	Optimal feeding plan of basic, unconstrained model	121
10.2	Supplementary feeding by Brown and model	124
10.3	Production patterns of Brown farm and model	124

	Following Page
10.4 Assumed growth pattern of pasture at Ruakura	131
11.1 Effects of stocking rate on gross margin at four cropping levels	134
11.2 Effects of limiting conservation in an all-grass system	140
11.3 Breakeven responses for pasture nitrogen	146
11.4 Effect of maize yield variation on gross margin and on milkfat production	150
11.5 Effects of yield and metabolizable energy and crude protein concentration in maize silage on gross margin	152
11.6 Subdivision of the normal probability distribution	161
11.7 Assumed seasonal variation in pasture growth	162
11.8 System responses to seasonal variation	168
11.9 Response of MZCER system to seasonal variation, as affected by maize yield assumptions	178
11.10 Effects of milkfat price variation on optimal system structure	182
12.1 Patterns of relative feed scarcity in three systems	185