Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

## The Influence of Breaks in Optimal Storage Conditions on 'Cripps Pink' Apple Physiology and Quality

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Food Technology at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand.

Andrew Richard East
BE (Hons) (Food Engineering)

## Abstract

Apples stored onshore in Australia and New Zealand, are maintained at optimal storage conditions with the aid of low temperatures; controlled atmospheres (CA) and new technologies that retard the production or effect of ethylene (AVG and 1-MCP respectively). These technologies allow distribution of the highest quality apples to local and export markets on a year round basis. However, during distribution, maintenance of optimal storage conditions may be lost due to refrigeration system breakdown, operational constraints or management decisions. This thesis quantifies the influence of commercially realistic breaks in optimal storage conditions (temperature and CA) on fruit physiology and quality, both at the time of the break and in subsequent optimal storage conditions. The 'Cripps Pink' ('Pink Lady™') apple cultivar was chosen for consideration in this thesis because it is a high value cultivar that is of considerable importance to the Australian apple industry.

The knowledge of the behaviour of 'Cripps Pink' apples in coolstorage conditions (in air and CA) was confirmed through comparison of physiological and quality change behaviour of fruit from three harvests collected in this research and those reported recently by other authors. The investigation of the influence of breaks in temperature control during storage in air at 0°C, revealed that preclimacteric apples exposed to a break in temperature control, were advanced towards the establishment of the climacteric. Postclimacteric apple, responded by doubling ethylene production a short time after return to coolstorage. Harvest maturity, timing of break during coolstorage, length of break of temperature control and multiple breaks in temperature control, had little influence on the increase ethylene production response. Quality factors (firmness, background hue angle, and titratable acidity) were all reduced as a result of exposure to warmer temperatures, but on return to coolstorage temperatures rates of loss in these quality factors were not influenced by the increased ethylene production.

Short-term (3-day) breaks in CA while fruit remained at refrigerated temperatures were shown to have no substantial effect on fruit physiology or quality, either during the period of the break in CA or in subsequent CA storage. Breaks in temperature control in combination with breaks in CA were observed to cause a doubling of ethylene production on CA stored apples regardless of being returned to 0°C in air or CA. Those apples that were exposed to a break in temperature control and returned to air storage at refrigerated temperature lost quality (firmness and background hue angle) more rapidly than apples not

exposed to breaks in temperature control and transferred to air storage. This result strengthened the knowledge of the influence of ethylene on changes in apple quality, as found for many other apple cultivars.

The influence of the decision to transport fruit in CA or air atmosphere shipping containers was initially investigated with a laboratory simulation. Physiology (respiration rate and ethylene production) of air shipped fruit was found not only to be more rapid, but more variable between fruit, than for apples shipped in CA. This more rapid and larger variation of possible fruit physiologies, suggests that in addition to losing quality at a faster rate, the variation in the quality of fruit shipped in air will also enlarge during shipment. This hypothesis was confirmed with data pooled from treatments subjected to 0°C and 3°C, simulating the likely temperature variability within a shipping container. Validation of the influence of shipping atmosphere on delivered fruit quality, was conducted in the commercial environment. This trial found that the length of time to ship fruit from Australia and New Zealand to European markets was not sufficient to induce commercially significant differences between 'Cripps Pink' apples shipped in the two atmospheres.

Finally, as ethylene production was influenced by fluctuations in temperature control and subsequently affected quality of apples previously stored in CA, an investigative attempt to model ethylene production in temperature variable scenarios was conducted. Published models of ethylene production in apples were adapted to the variable temperature storage scenario and a new model was proposed. Unfortunately, none of the models investigated were able to predict all of the consistent behaviours of ethylene production observed during the experimental work, indicating that more knowledge of the ethylene production pathway is required, before modelling of ethylene production and subsequently apple quality can be conducted successfully.

## **Acknowledgements**

This thesis was conducted as a joint partnership between Massey University, (New Zealand) and Food Science Australia, and subsequently conducted in both countries. Additionally, 6 months was also spent as a visiting scholar at the Catholic University of Leuven, Belgium. Subsequently, there are a lot of people to who deserve thanks, for aiding me to complete this piece of work.

First thanks should go to my team of supervisors (in no particular order), Dr Kate Maguire (RipeSense™), Assoc. Prof. John Mawson (Massey University), Dr Jenny Jobling (University of Sydney) and Dr David Tanner (Food Science Australia) for firstly providing the opportunity and then without exception providing useful feedback when required. Furthermore, thanks are extended to the members of the teams of Fresh Technologies (Massey University), Supply Chain Innovation (Food Science Australia), and the Sydney Postharvest Laboratory, who helped me collect the vast data sets. A special mention must go to Sarah Campbell for her year worth of painstaking GC work and Dr Victor Escalona who gave his time to aid me with my work in the UK. Thanks also to Prof. Bart Nicolai, for allowing me to continue my study at the Catholic University of Leuven and his colleague Dr Maarten Hertog for his ever critical, but never unwarranted modelling critic and advice.

As this work had a genuine focus on assessing commercial scenarios, many organisations in the industry deserve recognition for their help, including Mr Apple New Zealand Ltd; Batlow Fruit Co-op Ltd (NSW, Australia); Maersk Sealand, Donnybrook Packing Company (WA, Australia); and Empire World Trade (Pinchbeck, UK). Thanks must also go to Food Science Australia and Maersk Sealand for sponsoring this work and to The Royal Society of New Zealand and The New Zealand Fruitgrowers Federation for financial support.

Finally, I would like to thank my family and friends for all their support during my time as a PhD student. To my loving wife Ximenita, I've enjoyed our joint journey with doing PhDs together. Without your constant support, encouragement, assistance and critic, this thesis would not be half of what it is. I love you. A special thanks to my brother Grant and his partner Pauline, for putting up with me and my apples for a couple of weeks in London; and to my colleague and good friend Dr Nick Smale, for his ever reliable support and willingness to listen and help.

## Contents

1.	The	sis Outline	1
2.	Lite	erature Review	5
2	.1. 7	THE INFLUENCE OF STORAGE CONDITIONS ON POSTHARVEST FRUIT	
	(	QUALITY	. 5
	2.1.1	. Temperature	. 6
	2.	1.1.1. The Influence of Temperature Variation on Produce Quality	. 6
	2.1.2	2. Controlled Atmosphere Gas Conditions	13
	2.	1.2.1. The Influence of Gas Atmosphere Variation on Produce Quality . 3	15
	2.1.3	3. Ethylene	15
	2.	1.3.1. The Biochemistry of Ethylene in Fruit	16
	2.	1.3.2. The Influence of Ethylene on Fruit Ripening	20
	2.	1.3.3. Temperature Influence on Ethylene Production	20
	2.	1.3.4. CA Effects on Ethylene Production	21
2		THE `CRIPPS PINK' APPLE CULTIVAR	
	2.2.1	Origins and Appearance	22
		2. Current `Cripps Pink' Knowledge	
		2.2.1. At Harvest Maturity	
		2.2.2. Cool Storage Performance	
		2.2.3. Ethylene and Storage	
		2.2.4. Storage Disorders	25
2		USING MATHEMATICAL RELATIONSHIPS TO DESCRIBE POSTHARVEST	
		FRUIT PHYSIOLOGY AND QUALITY	
		Empirical Models	
		3.1.1. Correlations of Quality to Degree-Days	
		3.1.2. Empirical Fruit Quality Models	
		2. The Kinetic Assumption Approach	
		3.2.1. Kinetics Modelling Formulation	
		3.2.2. Modelling the Effect of Temperature on the Rate of Change	
_		3.2.3. Quality Modelling Using the Kinetic Approach	
		CONCLUSIONS AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR RESEARCH	32
3.	Cha	racterisation of the Postharvest Changes of 'Cripps	
	Pinl	k' Apples Stored at Refrigerated Temperatures 3	5
3	.1. I	INTRODUCTION	35
3		METHODOLOGY	
		Fruit	
	3.2.2	2. Storage Conditions	36

3.2	.2.1. Air Storage	. 36
3.2	.2.2. CA Storage	. 36
3.2.3.	Physiological Status	. 37
3.2	.3.1. Air Storage	. 37
3.2	.3.2. CA Storage	. 38
3.2	.3.3. Respiration Rate Determination	. 38
3.2	.3.4. Ethylene Production Determination	. 39
3.2.4.	Firmness	. 39
3.2	.4.1. Non-Destructive Firmness Measurements	. 39
3.2	.4.2. Destructive Firmness Measurement	. 39
3.2.5.	Background Colour	. 40
3.2.6.	Other Quality Attributes	. 41
3.2	.6.1. Weight Loss	. 41
3.2	.6.2. Soluble Solids and Titratable Acidity	. 41
3.2	.6.3. Disorders Incidence	. 41
3.3. RI	ESULTS AND DISCUSSION	. 42
3.3.1.	Physiological Status	. 42
3.3	.1.1. Air Storage	. 42
3.3	.1.2. CA Storage	. 44
3.3.2.	Firmness	. 45
3.3	.2.1. Air Storage	. 45
3.3	.2.2. CA Storage	. 48
3.3.3.	Background Colour	. 48
3.3	.3.1. Air Storage	. 48
3.3	.3.2. CA Storage	. 49
3.3.4.	Other Quality Parameters	. 49
3.3	.4.1. Weight loss	. 49
3.3	.4.2. Soluble Solids and Titratable Acidity	. 50
3.3	.4.3. Disorders Incidence	. 50
3.4. FL	JRTHER DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	. 51
3.4.1.	Correlations Between Quality Parameters	. 51
3.4.2.	Sensitivity of quality parameters to ethylene in apple cultivars	. 53
3.4.3.	Final Conclusions	. 53
4. The	Effect of Breaks in Temperature Control on 'Cripps	
Pink	' Apple Physiology and Quality	55
	ITRODUCTION	
	ETHODOLOGY	
	Fruit. Physiological and Quality Measurements	

4.2.2. Temperature Treatments	57
4.2.3. Data Transformation	57
4.2.3.1. Physiological data	57
4.2.3.2. Non-Destructive Quality Data	60
4.2.3.3. Data Analysis	60
4.3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	61
4.3.1. Physiological Changes	61
4.3.1.1. Effect of Length of Storage Duration Prior to a Temperate	ıre Break
	61
4.3.1.2. Effect of Length of a Single Break During Storage	66
4.3.1.3. Effect of Multiple Temperature Breaks During Storage	68
4.3.2. Firmness Changes	71
4.3.2.1. Effect of Length of Storage Duration Prior to a Temperation	ıre Break
	71
4.3.2.2. Effect of Length of a Single Break During Storage	72
4.3.2.3. Effect of Multiple Temperature Breaks During Storage	72
4.3.3. Colour Changes	73
4.3.3.1. Effect of Length of Storage Duration Prior to a Temperate	ıre Break
	73
4.3.3.2. Effect of Length of a Single Break During Storage	75
4.3.3.3. Effect of Multiple Temperature Breaks During Storage	75
4.3.4. Other Quality Changes	
4.3.4.1. Titratable Acidity	
4.3.4.2. Weight Loss	76
4.3.4.3. Disorder Incidence	77
4.4. FURTHER DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	79
4.4.1. Effect of Breaks in Temperature Control on Postclimacteric 'C	ripps
Pink' Apple Physiology	79
4.4.2. Effect of Breaks in Temperature Control on 'Cripps Pink' Apple	e Quality.
4.4.3. Linking 'Cripps Pink' Apple Physiology to Quality	84
4.4.4. Other Potential Consequences of the Observed Results	86
4.4.4.1. Potential for Increased Volatile Production	
4.4.4.2. Consequences on Laboratory Methods	
4.4.5. Final Conclusions	
<b>5.</b> The Effect of Breaks in Controlled Atmospheres on	<b>'Cripps</b>
Pink' Apple Physiology and Quality	88
5.1 INTRODUCTION	88

5.2. M	IETHODOLOGY	89
5.2.1.	Fruit	89
5.2.2.	Storage Conditions	89
5.2	2.2.1. Storage Technique	89
5.2	2.2.2. Treatments Combinations	90
5.2.3.	Physiology and Quality Assessment	91
5.2	2.3.1. Respiration Rate and Ethylene Production	91
5.2	2.3.2. Firmness and Colour	91
5.2.4.	Data Analysis	93
5.3. R	ESULTS AND DISCUSSION	93
5.3.1.	Breaking CA at Refrigerated Temperatures	93
5.3	3.1.1. Permanent Removal from CA	. 93
5.3	3.1.2. Breaking CA During Storage to Allow other Apples to be Remov	ed
		96
5.3.2.	Breaks in CA in Combination with Breaks in Temperature Control at	
	Time of Loadout	96
5.3	3.2.1. Respiration Rate and Ethylene Production	96
5.3	3.2.2. Firmness	. 98
5.3	3.2.3. Colour	. 99
5.4. F	URTHER DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS	. 99
5.4.1.	The Effect of Breaking CA on Apple Physiology	. 99
5.4.2.	The Influence of Ethylene on Apple Quality	101
5.4.3.	The Influence of CA and Temperature on Range of Fruit Physiologies	5
		103
5.4.4.	Final Conclusions	104
6. The	Effect of Shipping Atmosphere on 'Pink Lady™' Appl	e
Qua	lity 1	08
6.1. IN	NTRODUCTION	108
6.2. M	IETHODOLOGY	108
6.2.1.	Fruit	108
6.2.2.	Container Settings	109
6.2.3.	Environmental Conditions Monitoring	109
6.2	2.3.1. Apple Grading and Packing	109
6.2	2.3.2. Shipping	109
6.2	2.3.3. Shelf Life	111
6.2.4.	Quality Assessment	111
6.2	2.4.1. Firmness	111
6.2	2.4.2. Colour	111

	6.2.4.3. Superficial Scald	112
6	.2.5. Data Analysis	112
6.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	112
6	.3.1. Environmental Conditions	112
	6.3.1.1. Apple Grading and Packing	112
	6.3.1.2. Shipping Conditions	113
	6.3.1.3. Shelf Life	113
6	.3.2. Quality Assessment	115
	6.3.2.1. Firmness	115
	6.3.2.2. Colour	118
	6.3.2.3. Superficial Scald	120
6.4	. FURTHER DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS	121
6	.4.1. Validation of Quality Variation Increase Effect	121
7. N	Modelling Ethylene Production in 'Cripps Pink' Apples	
E	Exposed to Variable Temperature Scenarios	<b>123</b>
7.1	INTRODUCTION	123
7.2		
7	'.2.1. Commonly Used Equations	127
	7.2.1.1. Arrhenius' Equation	127
	7.2.1.2. Diffusion of Ethylene to Calculate Measured Ethylene Production	n.
		127
		12/
7	'.2.2. Model of Tijskens et al. (1999)	
		128
7	'.2.2. Model of Tijskens et al. (1999)	128 129
7 7	7.2.2. Model of Tijskens et al. (1999)	128 129 131
7 7 7.3	7.2.2. Model of Tijskens et al. (1999)	128 129 131 134
7 7 7.3	7.2.2. Model of Tijskens et al. (1999)	128 129 131 134 134
7 7 7.3	7.2.2. Model of Tijskens et al. (1999)	128 129 131 134 134
7 7 7.3 7	7.2.2. Model of Tijskens et al. (1999) 7.2.3. Model of Genard and Gouble (2005) 7.2.4. The Proposed Model 7.3.1. Treatment Selection 7.3.1.1. Model Development	128 129 131 134 134 134 135
7 7.3 7	7.2.2. Model of Tijskens et al. (1999) 7.2.3. Model of Genard and Gouble (2005) 7.2.4. The Proposed Model 7.3.1. Treatment Selection 7.3.1.1. Model Development 7.3.1.2. Model Testing	128 129 131 134 134 135 135
7 7.3 7 7 7.4	7.2.2. Model of Tijskens et al. (1999) 7.2.3. Model of Genard and Gouble (2005) 7.2.4. The Proposed Model 7.3.1. Treatment Selection 7.3.1.1. Model Development 7.3.1.2. Model Testing 7.3.2. Model Parameter Optimisation	128 129 131 134 134 135 135
7 7.3 7 7 7.4 7	7.2.2. Model of Tijskens et al. (1999) 7.2.3. Model of Genard and Gouble (2005) 7.2.4. The Proposed Model 7.3.1. Treatment Selection 7.3.1.1. Model Development 7.3.1.2. Model Testing 7.3.2. Model Parameter Optimisation 7.3.2. Model Parameter Optimisation 7.3.3.3.2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	128 129 131 134 134 135 135 135
7 7.3 7 7 7.4 7	7.2.2. Model of Tijskens et al. (1999) 7.2.3. Model of Genard and Gouble (2005) 7.2.4. The Proposed Model 7.3.1. Treatment Selection 7.3.1.1. Model Development 7.3.1.2. Model Testing 7.3.2. Model Parameter Optimisation 7.3.1.3. Model of Tijskens et al. (1999)	128 129 131 134 134 135 135 135 136
7 7.3 7 7 7.4 7	7.2.2. Model of Tijskens et al. (1999) 7.2.3. Model of Genard and Gouble (2005) 7.2.4. The Proposed Model 7.3.1. Treatment Selection 7.3.1.1. Model Development 7.3.1.2. Model Testing 7.3.2. Model Parameter Optimisation 7.4.1. Model of Tijskens et al. (1999) 7.4.2. Model of Genard and Gouble (2005) 7.4.3. The Proposed Model	128 129 131 134 134 135 135 135 136 137
7 7.3 7 7 7.4 7 7	2.2.2. Model of Tijskens et al. (1999)	128 129 131 134 134 135 135 135 137 139
7 7.3 7 7 7.4 7 7 7.5 7.6	2.2.2. Model of Tijskens et al. (1999)	128 129 131 134 134 135 135 135 137 139 143
7 7.3 7 7 7.4 7 7 7.5 7.6	7.2.2. Model of Tijskens et al. (1999) 7.2.3. Model of Genard and Gouble (2005) 7.2.4. The Proposed Model 7.3.1. Treatment Selection 7.3.1.1. Model Development 7.3.1.2. Model Testing 7.3.2. Model Parameter Optimisation 7.4.1. Model of Tijskens et al. (1999) 7.4.2. Model of Genard and Gouble (2005) 7.4.3. The Proposed Model 7.5. FURTHER DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS 7.6. NOTATION	128 129 131 134 134 135 135 136 137 139 143 147

8.3. The Effect of Breaks in Temperature Control
8.3.1. Apple Physiology
8.3.2. Apple Quality
8.4. Breaks in Controlled Atmospheres
8.4.1. Permanent Removal from CA
8.4.2. Breaks in CA while Remaining at 0°C
8.4.3. Breaks in CA in Combination with Breaks in Temperature Control 152
8.4.4. Physiology and Quality Variation Suppression Effect of CA 152
8.5. The Influence of Ethylene on Apple Quality
8.6. Models of Ethylene Production
8.7. Future Opportunities
8.7.1. Further evaluation of the Induced Increase in Ethylene Production. 157
8.7.1.1. Further Opportunities with the 'Cripps Pink' Apple Cultivar 157
8.7.1.2. Response of Other Apple Cultivars and Horticultural Products . 157
8.7.1.3. Potential for Increased Volatile Production
8.7.1.4. Use of Documented Response to Gain Understanding of Ethylene
Control Systems
8.7.2. Evaluation of Other Temperature Fluctuation Scenarios
8.7.3. Consequences of the Induced Increase in Ethylene Production on
Laboratory Technique 159
8.7.4. Comparison of Apple Cultivars to Gain Understanding of Ethylene
Control and Quality Influences
8.7.5. Optimisation of Controlled Atmosphere Operations
8.7.6. Economical Evaluation of the Benefit of CA Containers 160
8.7.7. Creation of Models that Predict Fruit Physiology and Subsequent
Quality Changes
9. References 163
10. Appendix 180
10.1. ADAPTED MODEL OF TIJSKENS ET AL. (1999)
10.2. ADAPTED MODEL OF GENARD AND GOUBLE (2005)
10.3. THE PROPOSED MODEL