Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

THE DELPHI TECHNIQUE: ITS METHODOLOGY AND POTENTIAL FOR EDUCATIONAL PLANNERS IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree

Master of Education at

Massey University

Mariama Sarr-Cessay February, 1982

ABSTRACT

This study had two objectives:

- (i) To examine methodological difficulties in using the Normative Delphi Technique as a tool for educational planners; and
- (ii) To explore the potential of the Normative Delphi Technique for educational planners in Developing Countries.

To achieve the above objectives, an experimental-type Delphi was carried out, using a group of fourteen New Zealand experts in the field of Educational Flanning, who had worked as consultants or advisers in Developing Countries. A three phase Delphi procedure was employed combined with a follow-up evaluation of the study by the respondents.

On the basis of this experimental Delphi study it was concluded that the Technique may be potentially viable as an instrument for gaining consolidation and consensus of respondent opinion, but that methodological difficulties exist within the Technique. These include: the selection of subjects, character and clarity of Round One, type and effect of information feedback and the number of rounds used. It was suggested that these difficulties could easily be overcome and that, the Delphi Technique may complement, and indeed expedite existing educational planning procedures in Developing Countries such as Commissions of Enquiry, Ministry Flans and Research Studies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis would not have been possible without the co-operation of a number of people and I would like to acknowledge my appreciation for their contribution. First and foremost I would like to record my sincere appreciation and gratitude for the untiring help given by my supervisor Dr. David Battersby since the inception of this thesis. His ideas have been both challenging and stimulating, and his encouragement especially has aroused my curiosity to explore other spheres of the educational field.

I am especially indebted to Professor Raymond Adams who despite his heavy workload has been both helpful and understanding throughout the preparation of this thesis.

My thanks are also due to:

- Kennece Coombe whose moral support has greatly helped during the course of this work.
- Dr. Alison St. George, my mentor whose moral support too has been greatly appreciated.
- My sample of experts who spent their time responding to questionnaires despite their busy schedules.
- Jacque Aldridge-Sutton for proof-reading this piece of work.
- My thanks are also due to Mrs. E. Lynch for typing this thesis.

I was honoured to be nominated by the Gambian Government as a Commonwealth scholar to undertake this research. I wish to thank the New Zealand Government for providing the financial support for this scholarship. The Secretary of the Scholarships Committee at the U.G.C., Ms. Dorothy Anderson who has always been helpful.

Finally, to my husband, Bass, this thesis represents a testimony of his tolerance, understanding and faith in me.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

																					Page
ABSTRACT	•	•	•	•		•		•	•	•	•				•		•	•	•		i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS			•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•		•	•		ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	iii
LIST OF TABLES	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•		•	iv
INTRODUCTION	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
CHAPTER ONE	Th	ne	D€	elp	hi	i	ec.	hr	niç	que	9				•	•		•	•	•	2
CHAPTER TWO	Re	986	ar	ch	ı I)es	sig	gn	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		13
CHAPTER THREE	Re	sı	ılt	s	ar	nd	Di	LSC	us	ssi	ior	n		•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	20
CHAPTER FOUR	Tł	ne	Po	ote	ent	tie	ıl	01	? t	the	e I)e]	Lpl	ni	Te	ecl	nni	iqı	ıe	•	37
CONCLUSION	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	47
Bibliography	•		•		•		•	•		•	•	•	•		•	٠	•		•	•	49
Appendix A		•		٠			•	•	•	٠	•		•		•		٠	•			56
Appendix B	•				•		•	•	•	•	•		•		•	•	•		•		67
Appendix C				•	•			•		•		•	•		•		•			•	70
Appendix D	•	•		•					•	•				•	•	•		•			74
Appendix E	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•		•	•	•	78
Appendix F	•	•	٠		•				•		•		•	•	•	•		•			8 1
Appendix G	•	•	•				•				•		•	•							87
Appendix H																					90

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	A Selection of Delphi Studies in Education	. 7
2	Studies That Have Raised Methodological Questions in Delphi	10
3	Overall Design Of The Study	18
4	A Summary Of The Group's Response From Round One	22
5	The Two Tasks Dropped and Four New Additional Tasks Introduced in Round Two	23
6	The 23 Tasks Obtained From Round Two Returns	25
7	Rank Ordering of Tasks	27
8	The Occurrence of Changes in Opinion	32

INTRODUCTION

The future of education seems to be universally uncertain, probably more so in Developing Countries where education has often been regarded as a tool for solving such problems as poverty, over-rapid population growth and political stability. It is this uncertainty of what lies ahead in education that has brought to prominence the Delphi Technique as one instrument to generate consensus of opinion concerning the solutions to present and potential problems and issues in education.

Developed during the 1950's, the Delphi Technique has traditionally used experts, via a series of intensive questions interspersed with feedback, to arrive at consensual opinion and judgements.

In education, the use of the Technique has been concentrated in the area of educational planning. Despite its popularity, methodological difficulties in Delphi's use have been identified, and in the present study an experimental-type investigation is carried out to explore some of these difficulties, and to ascertain the potential of the Technique particularly for educational planners in Developing Countries.

The report of this investigation then, consists of four chapters. The first provides background details about the Delphi Technique, its uses and applications, and then highlights the objectives of the present study, and the reasons why these objectives were chosen.

In Chapter Two an outline is given of the design of the experimental-type study used to collect information on some of the methodological difficulties involved with the Delphi Technique. This is followed by a discussion of the results obtained. The report concludes with a chapter on an evaluation of the potential of the Delphi Technique for educational planners in Developing Countries.