

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Nutritional, Genetic and Meat Quality Aspects of  
Once-Bred Heifer Beef Production Systems in  
New Zealand**

**A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy  
in Animal Science  
at Massey University**

**Ali-Akbar Khadem**

**1994**



**"In the Name of Allah, Most Gracious, Most Merciful"**

## ERRATA

Page	Paragraph	Line	Correct	Incorrect
i	2	3	"was"	"were"
vii	1	1	"BEHAVIOUR"	"BEAHVIOUR"
7	2	6	"beef cows reared few calves and"	"beef cows and"
30	1	1	"practised"	"practiced"
33	2	7	"inseminated with"	"mated to"
36	3	1	"the daily faecal output (FO)"	"the daily (FO)"
50	Table 2.5	9	"349.5"	"449.5"
59	1	4	"4 h or 28 h"	"4 h or 24 h"
61	3	7	"sides"	"slides"
82	2	3	"does"	"dose"
83	1	16	"liveweight"	"liveweigt"
85	1	4	"anoestrous"	"anoestrus"
86	1	7	"oestrous"	"oestrus"
101	Table 4.3	9	"number of animals 13, 7, 12, 8"	"12, 8, 11, 9"
101	Table 4.3	14	"number of animals 11, 7, 10, 8"	"10, 8, 10, 8"
101	Table 4.3	22	"number of animals 13, 7, 12, 8"	"12, 8, 11, 9"
102	Table 4.4	10	"number of animals 13, 7, 12, 8"	"12, 8, 11, 9"
102	Table 4.4	15	"number of animals 11, 7, 10, 8"	"10, 8, 10, 8"
102	Table 4.4	23	"number of animals 13, 7, 12, 8"	"12, 8, 11, 9"
103	2	1	"None of the heifers"	"None of heifers"
110	2	7	"oversease"	"overseas"
111	3	4	"were"	"was"
114	2	2	"weaning heifers at"	"weaning heifers of"
114	4	2	"herbage is"	"pastures are"
121	2	8	"oestrous"	"oestrus"
134	2	14	"difference"	"differences"
142	2	3	"oestrous"	"oestrus"
143	Table 6.1	9	"oestrous"	"oestrus"
144	2	1	"basis for"	"basisfor"
150	Table 6.2	5,6	".. the gross margin would increase (e.g. by \$5.74, to \$47.42/SU for 3-month-old early-weaned heifers, see below)."	".. the gross margin (e.g. for 3-month-old early-weaned heifers, see below) would increase by \$5.74 to \$47.42/SU"
151	1	2	"\$1-2/SU"	"\$2-4/SU"
163	3	2	"use"	"sue"
186	2	1	"Roberts, J.S. 1986"	" <i>Roberts, J.S. 1986</i> "
195	Table All.1	14	"1.28"	"0.28"
195	Table All.1	18	"(±0.34)"	"(0.81)"
195	Table All.1	19	"(±0.35)"	"(1.05)"

## ABSTRACT

### **Khadem, A.A. 1994: Nutritional, Genetic and Meat Quality Aspects of Once-Bred Heifer Beef Production Systems in New Zealand.**

Issues related to the nutrition, management, carcass and meat quality traits, and the profitability of "Once-Bred Heifer" (OBH) beef production systems under New Zealand pastoral conditions were investigated in this research programme. Once-bred heifer beef production systems involve surplus heifers from the dairy industry which are mated to beef sire breeds at about 15 months of age and rear their calves for 3-6 months before being slaughtered at 30-32 months of age. Both the heifer dams and their progeny are thus prime meat-producing animals.

The performance of once-bred v. unbred heifers and of early-weaned (EW) heifers (heifers weaned at day 84 of lactation "L84") v. normal-weaned (NW) heifers (heifers weaned at L147) were studied in the first two trials. In the third trial, Hereford x Friesian (H x F) v. Simmental x Friesian (S x F) heifers offered a restricted herbage allowance (RHA, an allowance to maintain weight) v. normal herbage allowance (NHA, an allowance to grow at 0.6-0.7 kg/d) during mid pregnancy (from pregnancy day 114, "P114", to P214) were studied in an attempt to investigate the effects of dam genotype and prior herbage allowance on the performance of heifer dams (growth rate, reproduction and carcass and meat quality traits) and their progeny (growth rate and weaning weights). A gross margin analysis was also performed to evaluate the profitability of alternative OBH beef production systems.

Once-bred v. unbred Hereford x Friesian heifers consumed similar amounts of herbage organic matter (OM) during the period equivalent to late pregnancy of the former group (4.72 v. 5.15 kg OM/hd/d), but lactating heifers consumed more herbage OM than the comparable unbred group (11.36 v. 9.19 kg OM/hd/d,  $P < 0.05$ ) to support the growth of their calves, as well as themselves. Unbred heifers had greater carcass weights and higher dressing-out percentages than once-bred heifers. However, the differences in other carcass and meat quality traits between the heifer groups were small, indicating that once-bred heifers are capable of producing meat comparable in

quality to that of unbred and empty heifers. Higher gross margins (\$5-10/Stock Unit (SU)) were calculated for once-bred v. unbred heifers.

During the 10 days immediately prior to weaning, NW heifers had organic matter, dry matter and energy intakes which were slightly higher ( $P < 0.10$ ) than those of EW heifers (weaned at L84). Weaning caused a slight weight loss in both early- and normal-weaned heifers for the first 30-45 days post-weaning, but liveweight (LW) was recovered after this period. During L84 - L147, EW heifers had a higher daily liveweight gain (LWG) than NW heifers ( $0.79$  v.  $0.51$  kg/d,  $P < 0.01$ ). Calves weaned at L84 had significantly lower daily LWG than NW calves during the period L84 - L147 ( $0.73$  v.  $1.30$  kg/d,  $P < 0.001$ ). This resulted in EW calves being 30 kg lighter ( $P < 0.001$ ) than NW calves at the time of weaning for the latter group. Early-weaned heifers reached the target slaughter weight in March and, overall, had slightly better carcass and meat quality characteristics than those of the normal weaned group. Although similar gross margins were calculated for EW (\$41.68/SU) v. NW (\$42.00/SU) heifers, early weaning offers advantages to OBH beef production systems through increased flexibility of grazing management and selling times for animals.

From P114 until P214, NHA heifers had significantly ( $P < 0.001$ ) higher growth rates ( $0.72 \pm 0.03$  kg/d) than the RHA group ( $0.16 \pm 0.02$  kg/d). This resulted in a higher LW in NHA heifers at P214 ( $P < 0.001$ ) and P270 ( $P < 0.01$ ) than the RHA heifers. Gestation length, calving score, LW loss at calving and calf birth weight were not affected by dam genotype (Hereford x Friesian v. Simmental x Friesian) or prior herbage allowance. Meat quality traits were not affected by dam genotype, but it was concluded that the use of Simmental x dairy heifers in a OBH beef production system increases carcass weights of heifer dams in comparison to those of heifers derived from traditional British beef x dairy animals. However, gross margins were similar for H x F and S x F heifer groups indicating that little incentive would exist for dairy farmers to use sires of the large European breeds (e.g. Simmental) rather than Hereford sires which are commonly used to mate first-calving heifers in the dairy industry.

The results are discussed in the context of the development of once-bred heifer beef production systems in New Zealand.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all thanks to almighty Allah for all His kindness throughout my life and giving me the opportunity to study and write this thesis.

This research programme was supervised by Professor S.N. McCutcheon, Dr S.T. Morris and Dr R.W. Purchas of the Department of Animal Science, and Professor W.J. Parker of the Department of Agricultural & Horticultural Systems Management of Massey University. I gratefully acknowledge the assistance and support of my supervising committee, particularly in helping me to learn the intricacies of New Zealand pastoral beef cattle production systems. Their expert supervision, prompt and constructive editing of draft scripts, and enthusiastic encouragement enabled me to proceed throughout the study programme with minimal discomfort. I also appreciate the assistance of other academic staff of the Department of Animal Science in guiding me to solve some of the occasional problems throughout this research work.

The technical assistance of laboratory staff, Miss Y.H. Cottam, Mr D.A. Hamilton, Mr D.J. Hartley, Mrs K. Morton, Mrs B.J. Purchas, Miss M.F. Scott and Miss R.A. Watson in analysing faecal, feed and meat samples is highly appreciated.

Thanks are also extended to Mr T.G. Harvey, Mr D.L. Burnham and Mr T. Harcombe for their special assistance in collecting data from the field experiments. The farm management skills and friendly assistance of Mr K. Kilmister, Mr C. Howell and Mr G. McCool will never be forgotten.

I am thankful for the assistance of my fellow graduate students, Mr A.Y. Abdullah, Mr N. Dabiri, Ms P.R. Inwood, Miss C. Kemp, Mr W. Kuperus, Mr S.H. Min and Mr A. Nejati-Javaremi in data collection. The friendly environment provided by the other graduate students and post-doctoral fellows in the Department is also appreciated.

I would like to acknowledge and appreciate the Scholarship (tuition fee and living costs) awarded me and my family by the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran.

The C. Alma Baker Trust and the Livestock Improvement Corporation of New Zealand also provided financial support for this research programme.

The kindness and help of my parents and relatives, and their encouragement of me towards study for years, is gratefully appreciated.

Finally, I would like to acknowledge the kindness and invaluable assistance of my wife, Houri, and my daughters, Forough, Faezeh and Farzaneh, for all they have had to put up with in the attainment of this degree. Without their help, patience and support in staying late (days and nights) in the Department, and working on the research programme, I would have been lost.

This work is dedicated to the founder and great leader of the Islamic Republic of Iran, the late Imam (Ayatollah Rohollah) Khomeini, who devoted his life to the freedom of his people, in particular, and to humanity, in general.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Page

---

<b>ABSTRACT .....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES .....</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES .....</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>BACKGROUND .....</b>	<b>1</b>
Current Systems of Beef Production in New Zealand .....	1
Opportunities to Increase Beef Production .....	3
<b>DEVELOPMENT OF ONCE-BRED HEIFER BEEF PRODUCTION SYSTEMS.....</b>	<b>6</b>
Definition and History of Once-Bred Heifer Systems .....	6
The Potential Profitability of OBH Beef Production Systems.....	7
<b>OBJECTIVES OF DEVELOPING OBH BEEF PRODUCTION SYSTEMS FOR NEW ZEALAND .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>ISSUES IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF OBH BEEF PRODUCTION SYSTEMS .....</b>	<b>9</b>
Choice of Breed .....	9
Performance of Heifers .....	9
Performance of Progeny .....	13
Feeding Policy .....	15
Performance of Heifers .....	15
Performance of Progeny .....	18
Weaning Time .....	19
Performance of Heifers .....	19
Performance of Progeny .....	20

	<b>Page</b>
<b>Carcass and Meat Quality Characteristics</b> .....	21
Effects of Breeding .....	22
Effects of Genotype .....	25
Effects of Feeding Policy .....	26
Effects of Weaning Time .....	27
<b>PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF THE INVESTIGATION</b> .....	30
<b>CHAPTER TWO: HERBAGE INTAKE, INGESTIVE BEHAVIOUR AND GROWTH PERFORMANCE IN UNBRED AND ONCE-BRED HEREFORD X FRIESIAN HEIFERS</b> .....	31
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	31
<b>INTRODUCTION</b> .....	32
<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b> .....	33
<b>Experiment 1</b> .....	35
<b>Experiment 2</b> .....	38
<b>Statistical Analyses</b> .....	40
<b>RESULTS</b> .....	41
<b>Heifer and Calf Performance</b> .....	41
<b>Experiment 1</b> .....	45
<b>Experiment 2</b> .....	48
<b>DISCUSSION</b> .....	51
<b>Growth of never-pregnant versus calved heifers</b> .....	51
<b>Performance of pregnant/calved heifers</b> .....	52
<b>Herbage intake and ingestive behaviour of heifers</b> .....	54
<b>CONCLUSIONS</b> .....	57

---

<b>CHAPTER THREE: CARCASS AND MEAT QUALITY CHARACTERISTICS OF PASTURE-FED UNBRED AND ONCE-BRED HEREFORD X FRIESIAN HEIFERS</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>Animals</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>Slaughter Procedure</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>Meat Quality Measurements</b>	<b>63</b>
Ultimate pH	63
Water Holding Capacity	64
Sarcomere Length	64
Meat Colour (Reflectance Spectrophotometry)	65
Warner-Bratzler Shear Force Values	65
Intramuscular Fat	66
<b>Statistical Analyses</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>RESULTS</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>Breeding-Group Effects</b>	<b>68</b>
Carcass Quality	68
Meat Quality	72
<b>Holding-Period Effects</b>	<b>72</b>
<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>Breeding-Group Effects</b>	<b>75</b>
Carcass Weight and Dressing-Out Percentages	75
Conformation, Fatness and Meat Yield	78
Meat Quality Characteristics	80
<b>Holding-Period Effects</b>	<b>81</b>
<b>CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>82</b>

---

<b>CHAPTER FOUR: HERBAGE INTAKE, INGESTIVE BEAHVIOUR, GROWTH PERFORMANCE, AND CARCASS AND MEAT QUALITY CHARACTERISTICS OF EARLY- OR NORMAL-WEANED ONCE-BRED HEREFORD X FRIESIAN HEIFERS .....</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>ABSTRACT .....</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>84</b>
<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS .....</b>	<b>86</b>
<b>Experiment 1 .....</b>	<b>88</b>
<b>Experiment 2 .....</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>Carcass and Meat Quality Measurements .....</b>	<b>90</b>
Carcass Quality Measurements .....	90
Meat Quality Measurements .....	91
<b>Statistical Analyses .....</b>	<b>93</b>
<b>RESULTS .....</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>Heifer and Calf Performance .....</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>Experiment 1 .....</b>	<b>97</b>
<b>Experiment 2 .....</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Carcass and Meat Quality .....</b>	<b>103</b>
Carcass Quality .....	103
Meat Quality .....	107
<b>DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>109</b>
<b>Effects of Calf Sex and Sire Breed .....</b>	<b>109</b>
<b>Effects of Weaning Time .....</b>	<b>111</b>
<b>CONCLUSIONS .....</b>	<b>114</b>

---

<b>CHAPTER FIVE: GROWTH, REPRODUCTION, CARCASS AND MEAT QUALITY CHARACTERISTICS OF ONCE-BRED HEREFORD X FRIESIAN AND SIMMENTAL X FRIESIAN HEIFERS OFFERED NORMAL OR RESTRICTED HERBAGE ALLOWANCE DURING MID PREGNANCY .....</b>	<b>116</b>
<b>ABSTRACT .....</b>	<b>116</b>
<b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>117</b>
<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS .....</b>	<b>119</b>
Pre-Calving Management .....	119
Post-Calving Management .....	121
Carcass and Meat Quality Measurements .....	121
Statistical Analyses .....	123
<b>RESULTS .....</b>	<b>124</b>
Heifer and Calf Performance .....	124
Carcass and Meat Quality Characteristics .....	129
<b>DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>134</b>
Effects of Dam Genotype .....	134
Effects of Herbage Allowance .....	137
<b>CONCLUSIONS .....</b>	<b>138</b>
<b>CHAPTER SIX: ECONOMIC EVALUATION AND GENERAL DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>139</b>
<b>OVERVIEW .....</b>	<b>131</b>
<b>ECONOMIC EVALUATION .....</b>	<b>142</b>
Gross Margin Analyses .....	144
<b>CONSIDERATIONS FOR ON-FARM ADOPTION OF OBH BEEF PRODUCTION SYSTEMS .....</b>	<b>148</b>
Breeding of Heifers .....	148

	Page
<b>Dam Genotype</b> .....	151
<b>Management and Nutrition</b> .....	153
<b>Weaning Time</b> .....	157
<b>Double Suckling</b> .....	159
<b>Sensitivity of Gross Margins for OBH Beef Production Systems</b> .....	160
<b>SUMMARY</b> .....	162
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b> .....	164
<b>APPENDICES</b> .....	191
<b>APPENDIX I: Faecal Output, Herbage Intake and Metabolisable Energy Estimation in Once-Bred Heifers Using Chromium Controlled Release Capsules</b> .....	191
<b>APPENDIX II: Effects of Removing <i>Longissimus Dorsi</i> Samples Before and After Chilling on Meat Quality Characteristics</b> .....	193
<b>APPENDIX III: An Example of Gross Margin Analyses for Alternative Once-Bred Heifer Beef Production Systems</b> .....	196

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Effects of sex and sire breed of calf on heifer dam and calf performance .....	44
2.2 Herbage mass, sward height, botanical composition, in vitro digestibility, and ash content of herbage offered to the Hereford x Friesian heifers in August (Expt 1) .....	46
2.3 Growth performance, herbage intake and ingestive behaviour of pregnant v. never-pregnant heifers offered a restricted herbage allowance in August (Expt 1) .....	47
2.4 Herbage mass, sward height, botanical composition, in vitro digestibility and ash content of herbage offered to the Hereford x Friesian heifers in October (Expt 2) .....	49
2.5 Growth performance, herbage intake and ingestive behaviour of lactating v. never-pregnant heifers offered an <i>ad libitum</i> herbage allowance in October (Expt 2) .....	50
3.1 The effects of breeding group and pre-slaughter holding-period on carcass quality characteristics of Hereford x Friesian heifers .....	69
3.2 The effects of breeding group and pre-slaughter holding-period on the femur bone characteristics, muscularity and hind-quarter cut weights of Hereford x Friesian heifers .....	70
3.3 Proportions of carcasses of unbred, empty and calved heifers in four fatness classes, based on the New Zealand export beef carcass classification system ...	71
3.4 The effects of breeding group and pre-slaughter holding-period on meat quality characteristics and on Warner-Bratzler shear force parameters for Hereford x Friesian heifers .....	73
3.5 The effects of breeding group and pre-slaughter holding-period on meat quality characteristics of Hereford x Friesian heifers for which the interaction between group and holding-period was significant .....	74

Table	Page
4.1 Effects of sex and sire breed of calf, and weaning time on heifer dam and calf performance .....	98
4.2 Herbage mass, sward height, botanical composition, in vitro digestibility, ash, crude protein and fibre content of herbage offered to the Hereford x Friesian heifers during Expts 1 and 2 .....	99
4.3 Effects of sex and sire breed of calf on growth performance, herbage intake and ingestive behaviour of Hereford x Friesian heifers during Expt 1 .....	101
4.4 Effects of sex and sire breed of calf, and weaning time on growth performance, herbage intake and ingestive behaviour of Hereford x Friesian heifers during Expt 2 .....	102
4.5 Effects of sex and sire breed of calf, and weaning time on carcass quality characteristics of Hereford x Friesian heifers .....	104
4.6 Proportions of carcasses of heifer dams rearing female v. male, Angus-sired v. Charolais-sired and early-weaned v. normal-weaned calves in four fatness classes, based on the New Zealand export beef carcass classification system .....	105
4.7 Effects of sex and sire breed of calf, and weaning time on the hind-quarter cut weights of Hereford x Friesian heifers .....	106
4.8 Effects of sex and sire breed of calf, and weaning time on meat quality characteristics and the Warner-Bratzler shear force parameters of Hereford x Friesian heifers .....	108
5.1 Effects of heifer genotype and herbage allowance during mid pregnancy on growth performance and body condition score of once-bred heifers .....	126
5.2 Effects of dam genotype, herbage allowance during mid pregnancy and calf sex on heifer dam and calf performance, and pelvic area of heifers .....	128
5.3 Effects of dam genotype, herbage allowance during mid pregnancy and calf sex on carcass quality characteristics of once-bred heifers .....	129



Table	Page
5.4 Effects of dam genotype, herbage allowance during mid pregnancy and calf sex on the proportion of heifer dams carcasses in four fatness classes, based on the New Zealand export beef carcass classification system.....	130
5.5 Effects of dam genotype, herbage allowance during mid pregnancy and calf sex on femur bone characteristics, muscularity and the hind-quarter cut weights of once-bred heifers .....	132
5.6 Effects of dam genotype, herbage allowance during mid pregnancy and calf sex on meat quality characteristics and the Warner-Bratzler shear force parameters of once-bred heifers .....	133
6.1 The costs incurred in, and returns achieved from, adoption of once-bred heifer beef production systems at Massey University during 1989-1993 .....	143
6.2 Table 6.2 The effects of breeding, dam genotype, weaning time, herbage allowance during mid pregnancy, double suckling and mating system on the gross margin (\$/SU) of once-bred heifers purchased as 4-day-old calves, 3-month-weaners or yearlings. ....	150
6.3 Sensitivity of the gross margin of once-bred heifer beef production system to changes in parameters listed below .....	161
AII.1 Means for quality characteristics of samples from <i>longissimus dorsi</i> muscle removed from heifer carcasses within either 90 minutes (pre-chill) or 24 h (post-chill) <i>post-mortem</i> .....	195
AIII.1 The assumptions made were as follows .....	196
AIII.2 Number and capital value of livestock wintered .....	196
AIII.3 Gross margin calculation .....	197
AIII.4 The number of calved/dry heifers, their carcasses weight, proportion of carcasses in each grade, the schedule price for each grade and the income from calved and dry heifers in a OBH system .....	198
AIII.5 Schedule price for different grades and weights of heifer carcasses, published by the AFFCO New Zealand Ltd for the week beginning 11/7/93 .....	198

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 Liveweight of once-bred v. unbred heifers from purchase through to slaughter. Vertical bars represent the standard errors of the mean.....	43
5.1 Growth performance of once-bred heifers offered restricted v. normal herbage allowance from pregnancy day 114 (P114) through to P214. Vertical bars represent the standard errors of the mean .....	125
6.1 Schedule prices (excluding premiums) published by AFFCO New Zealand Ltd for steer or heifer, bull, cow and manufacturing beef grades in the 220-245 kg carcass weight range between July 1991 and July 1993.....	141

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

---

AFFCO	Auckland Farmers' Freezing Company
L, P, K, G & T	Carcass grades with 1-3, 4-7, 8-12, 13-18 and 19-24 millimeters of subcutaneous fat thickness over the fourth quarter of the eye muscle at the twelfth rib, respectively, based on the New Zealand export beef classification system.
AI	Artificial Insemination
AS	Angus-Sired
CS	Charolais-Sired
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Chromic Oxide
CIDR	Controlled Internal Drug Releasing Device
CRC	Controlled Release Capsule
CSH	Compressed Sward Height
cm	centimeter(s)
c.	circa (approximately)
d or D	day(s)
L50	day 50 of Lactation
P260	day 260 of Pregnancy
°C	degree(s) Celsius
DO%	dressing-out percentage(s)
DM	Dry Matter
DMI	Dry Matter Intake
DOMD	Digestible organic matter in dry matter herbage
EW	Early-Weaned
FO	Faecal Output
g	gram(s)
hd	head
ha	hectare(s)
H x F	Hereford x Friesian
H	Height
h	hour(s)
HFRO	Hill Farming Research Organisation
IY	Initial yield
I	Intake
kg	Kilogram(s)
LS	Limousin-Sired
Ltd	Limited
LW	Live Weight
LWG	Live Weight Gain
LD	<i>longissimus dorsi</i> muscle
MAF	Ministry of Agriculture & Fisheries
ME	Metabolisable Energy
MJ	Megajoules

---

μm	micrometer(s)
mW	micro Wave length
mg	milligram(s)
ml	milliliter(s)
mm	millimeter(s)
mM	millimolar
m	minute(s)
N.R.C.	National Research Council
nm	nanometer
NZ	New Zealand
NHA	Normal Herbage Allowance
NW	Normal-Weaned
OBH	Once-Bred Heifer(s)
OM	Organic Matter
OMI	Organic Matter Intake
OMD	Organic Matter Digestibility
PF	Peak Force
%	percentage
KCl	Potassium Chloride
R630	Reflectance at a wavelength of 630 nanometer
WL	wave length
RHA	Restricted Herbage Allowance
S x F	Simmental x Friesian
cm <sup>2</sup>	square centimeter(s)
m <sup>2</sup>	square meter(s)
SSH	Sward Surface Height
TDN	Total Digestible Nutrients
L*, a* & b*	the brightness, redness and yellowness, respectively, of meat colour measured by Minolta Chroma Meter II, Minolta Camera Co., Meter Division, Ramsey, NJ, U.S.A.
v.	versus
WB	Warner-Bratzler
WHC	Water Holding Capacity (expressed juice)
W	Width
<b>Statistical terms</b>	
r	correlation coefficient
PSE	Pooled Standard Error of the Mean
SE	Standard Error
SEM	Standard Error of the Mean