Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

LEAD MATERNITY CARER MIDWIVES' CONSTRUCTION OF NORMAL BIRTH: A QUALITATIVE STUDY

SUSAN M CRABTREE

A thesis presented in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Arts

MASSEY UNIVERSITY
PALMERSTON NORTH, NEW ZEALAND

December 2002

What is normal birth? I wonder if we really know anymore. The modern birth has been so managed, arranged, choreographed, augmented, drugged; sliced and diced that many of us have forgotten its very nature.

(El Halta, 1996, p.2)

labour and birth unfold within a

complex, and infinite web,

spun by the mother,

and by everyone who has ever taught her

about mothering, birth, sexuality, pain

control and surrender

all the people at her birth

help spin the web with threads from

their histories, beliefs, experiences, fears...

and recent birth experiences that they have witnessed,

which empowered

or terrified them.

(England & Horowitz, 2000, p.151)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My thanks go to many people for support and encouragement received in the process of completing this thesis.

Firstly, thank you to the nine midwives who agreed to be a part of this study. Without your participation the study would not have been possible. I have learnt a lot from each of you and after every interview I came home re-inspired and excited by the research process and midwifery practice. We have so much to learn from each other and it is so important for our profession that we discover ways to remain open, to listen and to keep learning. In an atmosphere of critical affirmation we can embrace the need to affirm one another and also have space for critique.

A heartfelt thank you to my family and especially thank you to two people. My son, Jason; thanks for being you and being patient (as much as any 11 year-old can be!) and for telling me to 'go and do your thesis' sometimes when I became distracted. My mother, Barbara Jordan; thank you for the practical support and for hearing me out through the highs and the lows, you are an inspirational 'life-long learner' role model.

Professor Jenny Carryer, my supervisor, challenged and guided me academically and supported me as I grappled with the process of undertaking this work. Thank you Jenny for your belief in my abilities, your flexibility and your sense of humour along the way.

Thank you to Karen Guilliland for commenting on the research proposal. Thank you to Wheturangi Walsh-Tapiata for commenting on the ethics proposal and agreeing to the role of cultural advisor for this project.

Finally thank you to the midwifery colleagues who have supported me throughout this process. My ideas, at times, I am sure have seemed challenging, vague and sometimes unrelated to our day-to-day practice reality. I hope that in reading this thesis you may come to understand some of my 'rush of words' a little better.

ABSTRACT

Midwives provide maternity care for the majority of women in New Zealand and in 2000 midwives were the Lead Maternity Carer for seventy one percent of childbearing women. The aim of this research was to explore the assertion that continuity of midwifery care 'enhances and protects the normal process of childbirth' (New Zealand College of Midwives, 1993, p.7). I aimed to explore the meaning of 'normal birth' in Lead Maternity Care midwifery practice in the current New Zealand context and to understand the complex influences surrounding midwives' construction of normal birth.

In order to explore the construction of 'normal birth' Lead Maternity Carer midwives were invited to participate in a small qualitative study. In-depth one to one interviews were used to collect data from nine Lead Maternity Carer midwives. Interviews were recorded, transcribed and analysed using thematic analysis. Using a qualitative approach allowed me to make thoughtful links with the literature and build upon what is already known about the construction of normal birth.

Data analysis revealed that midwifery practice and women's birthing experiences occur in a contested context that remains firmly entrenched in a medically dominant model of care. There is an increasing normalisation of intervention and technology leading to ongoing medicalisation of the physiological processes of labour and birth. The midwives interviewed employed a number of strategies for promoting the normalcy of labour and birth including supporting women's choice to birth at home, and working with women in the hospital setting to birth without intervention. However, the medical model influenced the midwives' practice in a number of subtle ways and I argue that the medical model is the default mode: it is always there and is taken as the 'right' way to 'do' birth unless it is actively contended.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 KEY WORDS	2
1.2.1 Lead Maternity Carer (LMC)	2
1.2.2 Medicalisation and technologicalisation	3
1.3 AIM OF THE STUDY	3
1.4 BACKGROUND AND JUSTIFICATION FOR THE STUDY	3
1.5 DECLARING MY POSITION	6
1.6 REVIEW OF CHAPTERS	7
1.7 CONCLUSION	9
CHAPTER TWO: A REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	10
2.1 Introduction	10
2.2 'Normal?'	
2.3 Intervention during Labour and Birth	14
2.3.1 Midwifery and 'normal birth'	
2.4 Models of care	18
2.4.1 Medical Model	
2.4.2 Midwifery Model	21
2.4.3 Midwifery Continuum	
2.5 HISTORY	26
2.6 CURRENT SITUATION AND LEGISLATION	28
2.7 Women's views	29
2.8 CONCLUSION	33

CHAP	PTER	THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND METHOD	35
3.1	INTE	RODUCTION	35
3.2	EPIS	TEMOLOGY	35
3.3	Тне	ORETICAL PERSPECTIVE	37
3.4	QUA	LITATIVE METHODOLOGY	39
3.	.4.I	Qualitative descriptive	39
3.	4.2	Trustworthiness of the research	40
3.5	RES	EARCH METHODS	44
3.	5.1	Participant recruitment	44
3.	5.2	Interviews	45
	3.5.2	2.1 Insider/outsider issues	47
3.	5.3	The Midwives	47
3.	5.4	Ethical issues	48
	3.5.4	4.1 Informed consent	49
	3.5.4	4.2 Privacy and confidentiality	49
	3.5.4	4.3 Anonymity	49
	3.5.4	4.4 Minimizing of harm	50
	3.5.4	4.5 Feminist ethical concerns	50
3.6	DAT	A ANALYSIS	51
3.	.6.1	Audit trail	52
3.	6.2	Issues arising from data analysis and writing up	55
3.	.6.3	The final 'themes'	57
3.7	Con	ICLUSION	58
СНАР	PTER	R FOUR: CONTESTED CONTEXT	60
4.1	INTE	RODUCTION	60
4.2	Con	VTESTED CONTEXT	60
4.	.2.1	Place of birth	63
4.	.2.2	Women's internalisation of medicalisation	67
4.	.2.3	Interventions acceptable and expected	71
4.	.2.4	Fear in the birthing context	73

4.2.5	Intervention in birth is common	77
4.2.6	Pitfalls of referral	80
4.2.7	Agreeing/acquiescing	87
4.3 Con	NCLUSION	90
СНАРТЕ	R FIVE: MIDWIVES' ADAPTATION TO THE ENVIRONMENT	92
5.1 INTI	RODUCTION	92
5.2 AD/	APTATION TO THE ENVIRONMENT	93
5.2.1	Supporting women's 'choices'	96
5.2.	1.1 Supporting choices 'outside' the boundaries	103
5.2.2	'Protecting' women - becoming a buffer	105
5.2.	2.1 Shutting the door	107
5.2.	2.2 Keeping women away	108
5.2.3	Creating a new paradigm	110
5.2.	3.1 Fluid definitions	111
5.2.	3.2 Returning birth to 'normal'	113
5.2.	3.3 As 'normal as possible'	116
5.2.	3.4 Dangers inherent in adaptation	120
5.3 Con	NCLUSION	121
СНАРТЕ	R SIX: DISCUSSION AND CONCLUDING STATEMENTS	123
6.1 INT	RODUCTION	. 123
6.1.1	The aims revisited	125
6.2 Cui	LTURAL CONSTRUCTION	. 126
6.2.1	Referral Guidelines	127
6.2.2	Clinical examples	
6.2.	2.1 Electronic Foetal Monitoring	. 129
6.2.	2.2 Time Frames	. 130
6.3 MII	OWIFERY RESPONSE	. 131
6.3.1	Partnership	. 134
6.3.2	Midwives' subjectivities	. 136
6.3.3	Postmodern midwife	. 139

6.	.3.4 Normal birth – midwives (re) construction	141
6.4	PUTTING ME IN AGAIN	143
6.5	LIMITATIONS	143
6.6	CONCLUDING STATEMENT	144
APPE	NDIX A: INFORMATION SHEET	148
APPE	NDIX B: CONSENT FORM	150