Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# Parent and Teacher Knowledge of Head Injuries

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Arts

in

Psychology

at Massey University, Wellington

New Zealand

Erin Anne McKay

2011

### Abstract

This study examined parents and teachers knowledge of aspects of head injury (HI). Part one examined the various sources of information and education parents and teachers have access to that could impact on their knowledge of HI. Part two surveyed 64 parents and 64 teachers of young children (<5 years) from the North Shore, Auckland, to examine the extent of their personal knowledge of HI, and the sources of their knowledge (e.g. media). Participants were asked about the source of their knowledge of HI (i.e. various types of media, personal experiences etc), and the qualifications they hold that could impact on their knowledge (i.e. first aid training, teacher training qualification). Participants were also asked to complete a questionnaire about aspects of head injury - general knowledge, memory, recovery.

Results indicated that there is a wealth of information in the public domain regarding HI. First aid courses provide information that can help a person deal with a HI immediately following the incident, but are not compulsory for teachers to have. Various other sources of information such as doctors, PlunketLine and the internet also provide information and advice for the public to access.

When parents and teachers were surveyed it was found that they have similar levels of knowledge regarding HI, although on average parents had slightly higher scores than teachers. Having a first aid certificate did not mean parents and teachers had higher levels of accuracy - in fact those who did not have a first aid certificate had higher average scores than those who did.

Doctors and PlunketLine were the most likely source of information for parents and teachers. The most popular media-specific sources were daily newspapers and television news.

Further studies could examine the wider public's knowledge of HI. A more complete form of the survey could be used to get a well rounded picture of the current knowledge base of HI.

## Acknowledgements

Thank you to my supervisor Janet Leathem for her positive attitude and incredible knowledge base. I appreciate your support and kind words of encouragement.

Thank you to Jane Clark, Librarian for the College of Humanities and Social Sciences for your help with article searches.

Thank you to Barry McDonald, Albany campus, for his statistical knowhow.

Thank you to those parents and teachers that participated in the survey. I appreciate the time you took to complete the surveys and give me feedback where applicable.

To my friends Mieke Sachsenweger and Matt Williams – thank you for being so supportive and willing to give up your time to help me (on more than one occasion!) I would have been lost without your support and will be forever grateful.

To Jen, Sarah, and Kelly – thank you for the many laughs and distractions you gave me when they were most needed.

To my parents, John and Helen, and my brother Ryan – thank you for being the most supportive family anyone could ask for. Your senses of humour, regular phone calls, and general encouragement gave me the push to keep going, even when I didn't want to! Thanks also to Colleen, Patrick and the boys, for providing meals and a laugh when I needed them.

Finally - and most of all - to my incredible fiancé Aidan. You have been an amazing support, both when near and sailing far away seas. Thank you for your patience, support, laughter, and love. This is for you!

Approval for this research was obtained from the Massey University Human Ethics Committee (MUHEC: Southern B 10/63). Low risk notification was also obtained from the Massey University Human Ethics Committee for Part One of the research.

## **Table of Contents**

		F	Page		
Abstract			ii		
Acknowl	edge	mentsi	ii		
Table of	Cont	entsi	v		
List of Ta	bles	V	/i		
Overviev	v		1		
Chapter					
1.	Head Injury				
	-	Definition	3		
	-	Epidemiology	3		
	-	Causes	4		
	-	Effects on young children and general population	4		
	-	Costs	5		
	-	Classification of injuries	5		
2.	Mil	d Head Injury			
	-	Definition	9		
	-	Classification	9		
	-	Symptoms1	1		
	-	Epidemiology of Mild Head Injury14	4		
	-	Causes15	5		
	-	The 'silent epidemic'16	6		
	-	Risk factors and factors influencing outcome1	7		
3.	Fac	ctors that influence symptom knowledge			
	-	Factors that impact on mild HI knowledge in the general public20	)		
	-	Attitudes27	7		
	-	Factors that impact on HI knowledge in Teachers 28	2		

4.	Aims and Hypotheses				
	-	Overview and aims	30		
	_	Hypotheses	31		
		o Part one	31		
		o Part two	31		
5.	Me	thod			
	-	Participants	34		
		o Part one	34		
		o Part two	34		
	-	Procedure	35		
		o Part one	35		
		o Part two	37		
	-	Measures	37		
	-	Data analysis	38		
6.	Results				
	-	Part one	40		
	-	Part two	44		
	-	Post Hoc comparisons	58		
7.	Discussion				
	-	First aid training	59		
	-	Accuracy of knowledge	60		
	-	Parents	61		
	-	Teachers	62		
	-	Domains	63		
	-	Assessing information knowledge	64		
Limitation	ns of	the current study	65		
Recomme	enda	tions for future research	67		
Conclusio	ns		68		
Reference	es		. 69		
Appendix	1: lr	nformation Sheets for Parents, Teachers, and Board of Trustees	. 81		
Appendix	2: Q	uestionnaire for Parents and Teachers	. 85		

## **List of Tables**

	Page
Table 1: Glasgow Coma Scale	6
Table 2: Pediatric Glasgow Coma Scale	6
Table 3: Definitions of mild head injury from various focus groups	10
Table 4: Symptoms of mild head injury immediately following the incident	12
Table 5: Demographic information about participants in the current research	35
Table 6: Number of teachers and parents responding to each question	45
Table 7: Percentage of parents and teachers who answered correctly in	
each domain	48
Table 8: Percent of participants answering correctly from past and	
current studies	49
Table 9: Percentage of participants answering questions adapted from	
other sources	52
Table 10: Average scores for parents and teachers in regards to	
media sources	56

#### Overview

Head Injury (HI) is a common cause of death and disability worldwide. Children in particular have one of the highest rates of HI, therefore knowledge of the symptoms and long term consequences of this is crucial.

Within the spectrum of HI severity, mild HI accounts for approximately 80% of HI's. Information on the prevalence of mild HI is difficult to determine as some of the symptoms of mild HI (headache, nausea, fatigue etc) can be attributed to other causes, or can be attended to without medical attention. For those who care for young children knowledge of the symptoms of mild HI is particularly important – young children do not have the skills to describe any potential symptoms following a HI and it is up to those who care for them to be able to identify when these symptoms are occurring, to therefore seek and advocate for medical assistance if required.

Therefore a literature review was performed, focussing on the levels of knowledge the parents and teachers of young children have about HI. This search found previous studies which have examined the public knowledge of HI symptoms, in particular a study by Gouvier, Presholdt, and Warner (1988). This survey found there were many misconceptions held by the general public in regards to HI, and has since been repeated with similar results.

From this it was found that there has not yet been a survey of similar sorts in New Zealand. Experiences of the researcher as an early childhood teacher were that many children have accidents, and there was questions regarding how these injuries are dealt with by teachers and parents, and what knowledge parents and teachers have about HI. The researcher also spoke to some parents and teachers throughout the course of researching this topic and found some general confusion about signs and symptoms of mild HI.

Given the above the current study focuses on the knowledge that teachers and parents of young children have about HI. There is a focus on the sources and accuracy of this knowledge, with a comparison to previous studies.

Chapter one begins with an outline of HI, discussing the definitions and different terms used to define HI, and epidemiology of HI. The causes of HI are discussed, and the effect HI has on young children and the general population is also examined. The three ways in which the severities of HI are classified are then outlined.

Following from this Chapter two looks at mild HI, and the definitions and ways in which mild HI is classified. The symptoms of mild HI are divided into three categories – physical, cognitive, and behavioural - and are discussed. The epidemiology and causes of mild HI are outlined, showing how widespread and common mild HI is. The reasons for mild HI being referred to as the 'silent epidemic' are discussed, and the risk factors that influence the outcome following a HI are outlined.

Chapter three reviews the factors that influence symptom knowledge, with a focus on the general public and teachers. Misconceptions about HI are discussed, and previous studies analysed. Media and public awareness of HI, and the organisations that help dispense this information are examined. The impact that attitudes can have on knowledge is examined, and the legislation that dictates the training that teachers may get in regards to HI is also discussed.

Chapter four outlines the current study's aim and hypotheses, further emphasizing the need for the research.

This is followed by chapter five, outlining the method of the current study which is divided into two parts – research into the knowledge available to the public in regards to HI, and a survey of parents and teachers of young children about their knowledge of HI.

Chapter six details the results of the current study.

Chapter seven discusses the results of the current study, followed by an outline of the possible limitations of the study, suggestions for future research, and conclusions.