Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Stress, Well-Being And Hardiness In The New Zealand Military: A Transactional Model

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment for the degree of Master of Arts in Psychology at Massey University (Palmerston North), New Zealand.

Michael Craig Carston 2006

Abstract

The present research sought to investigate stress in the New Zealand Army from a transactional perspective (Lazarus & Folkman, 1984). Traditional models of stress have focused on linear or cause and effect relationships, often referred to as stressor-strain models, when attempting to explain the complex nature of stress. However, stressor-strain models often emphasise the negative consequences of stress and tend to incorporate a "one size fits all" approach where stress is assumed to be negative and unavoidable. It is argued that people differ in their response to stress, the types of stress they experience as well as the intensity and duration of stressful encounters.

The current research investigated appraisal, coping, cognitive hardiness and work related stress in 439 military personnel. Positive and negative outcomes were measured as well as the role of moderating and mediating variables in the stress process. Results provide empirical support for a transactional model of occupational stress consisting of both negative and positive pathways. Associations were found between challenge appraisals, adaptive coping and positive psychological and physical outcomes. Associations were also found between threat appraisals, maladaptive coping and negative psychological and physical outcomes. Cognitive hardiness was not found to be associated with building adaptive coping strategies and did not mediate or moderate the positive pathway to stress. However, cognitive hardiness did mediate the negative pathway suggesting a potential protective element to this construct.

Acknowledgements

I dedicate this research to Leslie - my best friend and wife. Without your unconditional love support I would not have been able to complete this.

My thanks to the NZ Army who enabled access to such a large and diverse organisation and to everyone who participated in this research. There was an overwhelming response from participants and this will not be forgotten.

To Dianne Gardner, my sincerest thanks and appreciation for your continuous enthusiasm and good humour throughout, especially given the never-ending e-mails, phone calls and "quick questions". Your technical guidance from idea to research and a final product taught me much about the process of research and about myself.

Table of Contents

| ABSTRACT | II |
|--|---|
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | III |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | IV |
| LIST OF FIGURES | VIII |
| LIST OF TABLES | IX |
| CHAPTER 1 | 1 |
| PREVALENCE OF OCCUPATIONAL STRESS | 1 |
| OCCUPATIONAL STRESS IN NEW ZEALAND Occupational Stress in the Military THE PRESENT RESEARCH | 2 <i>4</i> 4 |
| CHAPTER 2 | 7 |
| THE CONSEQUENCES OF STRESS | 7 |
| Physiological Consequences Fight-or-Flight Response General Adaptation Syndrome The Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal (HPA) Axis Autonomic Nervous System Consequences of Stress for the Individual Consequences of Stress for the Organisation Positive Consequences of Stress Research on Positive Emotions | 7 7 8 9 9 10 10 11 11 |
| CHAPTER 3 | 14 |
| MODELS OF STRESS | 14 |
| THE STRESSOR-STRAIN APPROACHES | 14 |
| LIFE EVENTS DAILY HASSLES | 14 16 |
| OCCUPATIONAL STRESS MODELS | 16 |
| PERSON-ENVIRONMENT FIT DEMANDS CONTROL SUPPORT (DCS) MODEL SUMMARY OF THE OCCUPATIONAL MODELS | 17 18 20 |
| CHAPTER 4 | 21 |
| THE TRANSACTIONAL MODEL OF STRESS | 21 |
| PRIMARY APPRAISAL | 23 |
| IRRELEVANT BENIGN POSITIVE STRESS APPRAISALS Harm/Loss Appraisals Threat Appraisals Challenge Appraisals | 23 23 23 23 24 25 |

| CHAPTER 5 | 28 |
|--|--|
| COPING | 28 |
| THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE COPING CONCEPT MODELS OF COPING THE DISPOSITIONAL MODELS Ego-Psychology Model Trait Model THE CONTEXTUAL / TRANSACTIONAL MODEL CONCEPTUAL ISSUES | 28 29 29 29 29 30 31 |
| COPING FUNCTIONS | 32 |
| MEASURES OF COPING | 33 |
| PRIMARY APPRAISAL AND COPING | 35 |
| CHALLENGE APPRAISALS THREAT APPRAISALS | 35 36 |
| CHAPTER 6 | 38 |
| OUTCOMES OF THE STRESS PROCESS | 38 |
| AFFECTIVE OUTCOMES | 38 |
| COPING AND POSITIVE AFFECT COPING AND NEGATIVE AFFECT LONG TERM OUTCOMES Intention to Turnover Health Outcomes | 39 41 42 42 43 |
| CHAPTER 7 | 45 |
| COGNITIVE HARDINESS | 45 |
| ORIGINS OF COGNITIVE HARDINESS HARDINESS AND OCCUPATIONAL STRESS HARDINESS TRAINING THE APPLICABILITY OF HARDINESS TO ORGANISATIONS HARDINESS AND APPRAISAL HARDINESS AND COPING HARDINESS AND EMOTIONS (AFFECT) | 45 46 46 47 48 49 50 |
| CHAPTER 8 | 52 |
| THE ROLE OF COPING, AFFECT AND | 52 |
| HARDINESS IN THE STRESS PROCESS | 52 |
| COPING EMOTIONS (AFFECT) COGNITIVE HARDINESS | 52 53 54 |
| SUMMARY OF HYPOTHESES | 50 |

| CHAPTER 9 | 63 |
|---|--|
| METHOD | 63 |
| QUESTIONNAIRE DATA ANALYSIS PROCEDURE PARTICIPANTS MEASURES Threat and challenge appraisals Coping Positive and Negative Affect Intention to Turnover Health | 63 63 65 66 66 67 67 68 68 |
| Cognitive Hardiness CHAPTER 10 | 68 69 |
| RESULTS | 69 |
| DEMOGRAPHICS | 69 |
| Gender Rank Age Length of service Ethnicity | 69 69 69 69 |
| GROUP DIFFERENCES | 71 |
| Soldiers Officers | 71 73 |
| MEANS, STANDARD DEVIATIONS, AND CORRELATIONS | 74 |
| Hypothesis Testing | 74 |
| MEDIATION ANALYSES | 78 |
| COPING AS A MEDIATOR BETWEEN PRIMARY APPRAISAL AND AFFECT AFFECT AS A MEDIATOR BETWEEN COPING AND OUTCOMES COGNITIVE HARDINESS AS A MEDIATOR BETWEEN PRIMARY APPRAISAL AND COPING COGNITIVE HARDINESS AS A MEDIATOR BETWEEN COPING AND AFFECT | 78 80 83 85 |
| COGNITIVE HARDINESS AS A MODERATOR | 87 |
| THE TRANSACTIONAL MODEL | 88 |
| CHAPTER 11 | 90 |
| DISCUSSION | 90 |
| GROUP DIFFERENCES | 90 |
| THE TRANSACTIONAL MODEL | 92 |
| THE NEGATIVE PATHWAY THE POSITIVE PATHWAY | 93 94 |
| OUTCOMES | 95 |

| COGNITIVE HARDINESS | 96 |
|--|-----|
| LIMITATIONS | 98 |
| IMPLICATIONS FOR RESEARCH | 98 |
| IMPLICATIONS FOR PRACTICE | 100 |
| SUMMARY | 103 |
| Conclusion | 103 |
| REFERENCES | 104 |
| Appendix A: The New Zealand Army Values | 129 |
| Appendix B: Information Sheet and Questionnaire | 130 |
| Appendix C: The Rank Structure of the New Zealand Army | 141 |
| Appendix D: Cognitive Hardiness as a Moderator | 142 |

List of Figures

| Figure 1. A transactional model of stress, hardiness, | |
|--|-------|
| and outcomes (adapted from Mc Gowan, 2004) | p. 6 |
| Figure 2. An appraisal-coping model of occupational stress outcomes: | |
| Distress and eustress (adapted from Mc Gowan, 2004) | p. 22 |
| Figure 3. Mediation model (Baron & Kenny, 1986) | p. 64 |
| Figure 4. Moderation model (Baron & Kenny, 1986) | p. 65 |
| Figure 5. Bivariate associations | p. 77 |
| Figure 6. A transactional model of occupational stress | p. 89 |

List of Tables

| Table 1. Demographic information of respondents | p. 70 |
|--|-------|
| Table 2. ANOVA: Differences between rank groupings | p. 72 |
| Table 3. Means, standard deviations, and correlations | p. 75 |
| Table 4a. Summary of hypotheses for coping as a mediator between primary appraisal and affect | p. 78 |
| Table 4b. Results of coping as a mediator between primary appraisal and affect | p. 79 |
| Table 5a. Summary of hypotheses for affect as a mediator between coping and intention to turnover | p. 80 |
| Table 5b. Results of affect as a mediator between coping and intention to turnover | p. 82 |
| Table 6a. Summary of hypotheses for cognitive hardiness as a mediator between primary appraisal and coping | p. 83 |
| Table 6b. Results for cognitive hardiness as a mediator between primary appraisal and coping | p. 84 |
| Table 6c. Summary of hypotheses for cognitive hardiness as a mediator between coping and affect | p. 85 |
| Table 6d. Results for cognitive hardiness as a mediator between coping and affect | p. 86 |