Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Approaching equity .nrough school language policy

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Philosophy in Education

Education Department Massey University

Jan McPherson

1991



Abstract

Links between language and equity are well established in educational thinking, and the policy that a school adopts towards language may be important in either confirming or countering educational disadvantage. This thesis considers case studies of the ways in which six New Zealand schools sought to address equity issues through Language Policy Across the Curriculum (LPAC).

The thesis is based on a case study research project which looked more generally at school-based LPAC development (McPherson and Corson, 1989). The research aimed to describe the ways in which schools used Language Across the Curriculum (LAC) to respond to particular language contexts, and the processes of policy development that they followed.

Overall, policy development was marked by teachers' willingness to participate in debate, discussion and self-reflection. However, during the research, equity, its relationship with language, and the implications of this for practice, emerged as perhaps the most contentious and difficult areas of policy concern. Work on language policy highlighted the gap between the language expectations and practices of the school and the language experiences and practices of students. However, the policies themselves tended to give implicit support to the standard language practices of the school. It is suggested that this severely limited the potential of the policies to contribute to establishing a basis for more equitable schooling.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank those teachers, administrative staff, parents and students who participated in the research on which this thesis is based. They made me welcome in their schools and homes, and their participation in the research was marked by generosity, enthusiasm, thoughtfulness and openness.

Thanks to my supervisor, Associate Professor John Codd, for his teaching, guidance and continuing support and encouragement, and to Professor Ivan Snook for his careful reading of the thesis, and helpful comments. I would also particularly like to thank Bill Anderson for his patient reading of earlier drafts, his perceptive comments and ideas, and his help throughout the writing of this thesis.

Special thanks to Paul, Clem, Ella Grace and my friends, who have put up with me and kept me going.

Contents

| Abstract | | i |
|------------------|---|-----|
| Acknowledgements | | iii |
| List of tables | S | v |
| | | |
| Introduction | | 1 |
| | | |
| Chapter One | Language Across the Curriculum: | |
| | Language, learning and school success | |
| 1.1 | Language Across the Curriculum: Initial development | 3 |
| 1.2 | Language and learning | 4 |
| 1.3 | Language Across the Curriculum in policy and practice | 16 |
| 1.4 | Critical approaches to language in education | 20 |
| 1.5 | Summary: Language Across the Curriculum as a vehicle for equity | 22 |
| | | |
| Chapter Two | The New Zealand context: equity | |
| | in educational policy | |
| 2.1 | Fairness, equity and education | 24 |
| 2.2 | The changing context | 26 |
| 2.3 | Summary: The research context | 30 |
| | | |
| Chapter Thr | ee Specific equity and language issues | |
| | in New Zealand education | |
| 3.1 | Equity, fairness and disadvantage | 31 |
| 3.2 | Issues in Maori education | 31 |
| 3.3 | Non-Maori Pacific Island groups | 35 |
| 3.4 | Issues in ethnic minority education | 37 |
| 3.5 | Gender issues is education | 39 |
| 3.6 | Low socio-economic status and education | 42 |
| 3.7 | Children with special teaching needs | 44 |
| 3.8 | Rural education | 46 |
| | | |
| Chapter Fou | r The LPAC research project | |
| 4.1 | Purpose of the project | 48 |
| 4.2 | Participants and procedures | 49 |
| 4.3 | The nature of the research | 51 |
| 4.4 | Emergent issues | 54 |

| Chapter Five | The case studies | |
|--------------|---|-----|
| 5.1 | Collaborative policy development | 59 |
| 5.2 | School 'A' | 59 |
| 5.3 | School 'B' | 67 |
| 5.4 | School 'C' | 71 |
| 5.5 | School 'D' | 80 |
| 5.6 | School 'E' | 89 |
| 5.7 | School 'F' | 95 |
| Chapter Six | Responding to equity through | |
| | Language Across the Curriculum | |
| 6.1 | Areas of concern | 103 |
| 6.2 | Te Reo and Taha Maori | 103 |
| 6.3 | Ethnic minority education | 109 |
| 6.4 | Gender issues in education | 114 |
| 6.5 | Rural education | 115 |
| 6.6 | Mainstreaming | 117 |
| 6.7 | Home background (class) issues in education | 117 |
| 6.8 | Specific concerns | 118 |
| Chapter Sev | en Possibilities and limitations | |
| 7.1 | Emergent themes | 120 |
| 7.2 | Discussion | 125 |
| | | |
| Chapter Eigh | | |
| 8.1 | Addressing equity through LPAC | 128 |
| 8.2 | Implications for further research | 129 |
| References | | 132 |

List of tables

| Table 1: | Roll range, roll and staff numbers, setting and | |
|----------|---|----|
| | ethnic composition of participant schools. | 50 |
| Table 2: | Ethnic composition of School 'C' (March, 1988). | 73 |
| Table 3: | Ethnic composition of School 'F' (1988). | 96 |