Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# An Investigation of Mild Traumatic Brain Injury in Club-Grade Rugby: A New Zealand Study

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Psychology at Massey University

Sally Maree Wills

2002

Mild traumatic brain injury (MTBI) in sports is a relatively common phenomenon, particularly where a high degree of physical contact is a central feature of the sport. While many of the MTBI's incurred by athletes may be innocuous, some result in negative outcomes that are more persistent and disabling. It is important, therefore, to ensure that sporting groups not only have adequate knowledge about the incidence and severity of MTBI and of the factors that typically surround its occurrence, but that they also have adequate guidelines regarding appropriate assessment, management and treatment of this phenomena.

Despite numerous studies having been conducted with elite/professional or school grade players in high-contact sports such as American gridiron football and rugby league, very little research has been conducted in the area of club-grade rugby, and to-date, there has been no detailed examination of MTBI incurred at this level. The present investigation sought to rectify this situation.

The proposed investigation, incorporating male rugby players participating in a regional club-grade competition, took place in two distinct phases. In the first phase of the research, three questionnaires were administered to players and to those monitoring the sport (i.e., coaches, team management, and referees). The results revealed a high rate of MTBI (14.4%), of which 20.7% of concussions involved a loss of consciousness (LOC). Identified risk factors included: (1) being under 21 years of age; (2) being a forward player, in particular a flanker; (3) the second half of a match; (4) frequent involvement in tackles; and (5) having a history of more than two MTBI. While a relatively high rate of mouthguard use was identified, it unfortunately did not reflect the compulsory use required by mandatory rugby laws. Attitudes relating to mouthguard use indicate that more education surrounding the proven benefits of mouthguard use in MTBI prevention is required at this level.

Slightly more than half of the MTBI reported in the current investigation failed to receive any attention, with players involved at the top club-grade level (i.e., Senior I) more likely to have their injury go unrecognised than players in lower grades. Such findings are attributed in part to the subtlety of MTBI symptomology, but more importantly, to an apparent reluctance on the part of players to report these symptoms. While the majority of those monitoring club-grade players reported basic first aid training/qualifications, the need for more specific training in the assessment and management of MTBI is evident on the basis of the research findings. A general lack of knowledge regarding recommendations for periods of abstinence after MTBI (as advised by governing sporting bodies) was also demonstrated, highlighting another area requiring further attention.

Phase II of the research involved the administration of three neuropsychological measures sensitive to deficits in information processing speed (Symbol Digit Modalities Test, Digit Symbol-Coding Test and Speed of Comprehension Test) in an attempt to monitor the rate of recovery after MTBI. However, on the basis of players reluctance to report (a phenomenon which appeared endemic at this level), the objectives in relation to this phase of the research were not achieved.

The apparent failure of the latter research phase effectively highlights just one of a number of methodological problems associated with conducting research with this particular population, of which other difficulties also primarily relate to the collection of data (i.e., less-than-ideal testing conditions, missing data, etc.). On the basis of the research findings, continuing education and relevant training in relation to MTBI is advocated for all those involved at the club-grade level, particularly in relation to symptom recognition, potential adverse outcomes, protective factors and appropriate assessment and management techniques. Despite the challenges this area presents for research, continued exploration is recommended with careful consideration given to the methodological issues raised in the current investigation.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

There are a number of people whom I would like to sincerely thank for their contribution to the formation of this thesis. Firstly, I would like to acknowledge the commitment and dedication afforded to this project by my primary supervisor, Professor Janet Leathem. Janet, your encouragement, guidance and extensive knowledge in the field of neuropsychology has proved invaluable over this course of study. Thank you also for your support on both a professional and personal level. My sincere thanks also to Associate Professor John Podd, my second supervisor, for his expertise and much respected advice. I would also like to extend my gratitude to Dr. Ross Flett, who offered his time selflessly to assist with the statistical analyses undertaken in this research, and whose enduring patience was greatly appreciated.

In particular I would like to express my sincere appreciation to the players, referees, coaches and members of team management who gave of their valuable time – this research would certainly not have been possible without your co-operation. Thank you also to the Manawatu Rugby Football Union for their tolerance and support. I would especially like to acknowledge the financial contribution afforded by the Neurological Foundation, which enabled the present study to be undertaken. Thank you also to the School of Psychology for their support, both professional and financial, over the course of this project.

Thank you also to my fantastic friends, in particular to those who have shared a similar journey – your support has been truly valued. Finally, I would like to thank my wonderful family for their enduring love and support over the years. In particular I would like to thank my parents, for their unwavering belief in my abilities especially in times of my own doubt. My achievement is also yours.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	4		
Abstract			i
Acknowledgene	nts		iii
Table of Content	ts		v
List of Tables			ix
List of Figures			χi
	LITERATURE REVIEW AND RATIONALE		
Chapter 1: In	troduction		1
1.1 1.2	Rationale Underlying the Research	1 3	
Chapter 2: Overview of Traumatic Brain Injury			
2.1	2.1.1 Head Injury vs. Brain Injury 2.1.2 Mild Traumatic Brain Injury (MTBI) 2.1.3 Concession	5 5 7 8	
2.2	Pathophysiology	9 10 <i>10</i> 11	
2.3	Sequelae Associated with MTBI  2.3.1 Physical Symptoms of MTBI  2.3.2 Behavioral Oxanges Characteristic of MTBI  2.3.3 Cognitive Deficits Associated with MTBI	13 13 14 14 15	
2.4	Syndromes and Cumulative Effects of MTBI	17 17 18 19	
2.5	Measuring Injury Severity	20 20 23	
2.6		25	

Chapter 3: 1	Rate of Sport-Related Head Injury	27
2.1	Data Collection in Sports	27
2.2	Rate of Sport-Related Brain Injury	
2.2		30
		31
		32
2.3		34
2.3		
		<i>34 37</i>
	. , .,	<i>37</i>
		40
2.4	2.3.4 Research in New Zealand Chapter Summary	
2.7	Chapter Summary	72
Chapter 4: 1	Assessment and Management of Sport-Related Brain Injury	45
4.1	Immediate Management: Sideline Assessment	45
4.2	Neuropsychological Assessment	
4.3	Guidelines for Return-to-Play	
4.4	Chapter Summary	
7.7	Chapter Summary	50
Chapter 5: 1	Prevention of Sport-Related Brain Injury	61
5.1	Risk Factors Associated with Rugby	61
	5.1.1 Grade and Age of Player	62
	5.1.2 Time of Season	63
	5.1.3 Period of Game	63
	5.1.4 Phase of Play	64
	5.1.5 Position	65
	5.1.6 Foul Play	68
5.2	Prevention of Sport-Related Brain Injury	68
	5.2.1 Rule Changes	69
	5.2.2 Chadring Techniques	69
	5.2.3 Protective Gear	70
	5.2.4 Improved Conditioning of Athlete	72
5.3	Chapter Summary	72
	THE PRESENT STUDY	
Chapter 6: l	Formulation of Proposed Research	75
6.1	Summary of Literature Reviewed	75
6.2	Formulation of Proposed Research - Phase I	78
	6.2.1 Rate of Brain Injury and Relationship to Other Injury	78
	6.2.2 Severity of Brain Injury	79
	6.2.3 Player-and Game-Related Variables Associated with	
	Brain Injury	81
	6.2.4 Use of Protective Gear	82
	6.2.5 Levels of Recognition, Assessment and Management	84

	6.2.6 Adherence to Regulations and Recommendations	<i>87</i>	
6.3	Formulation of Proposed Research - Phase II	88	
	6.3.1 Practice Effects	89	
	6.3.2 Mornitoring Rate of Recovery	90	
Chapter 7: N	Method - Phase I		93
Chapter // I	1 1000 1 111111111111111111111111111111		,,,
7.1	Research Hypotheses	93	
	7.1.1 Rate of Brain Injury and Relationship to Other Injury	93	
	7.1.2 Severity of Brain Injury	94	
	7.1.3 Player-and Game-Related Variables Associated with		
	Brain Injury	94	
	7.1.4 Use of Protective Gear	95	
	7.1.5 Establishing Levels of Recognition, Assessment and		
	Managonent	96	
	7.1.6 Adherence to Recommendations	97	
7.2	Formulation of Questionnaires	98	
	7.2.1 The Rugby Players Questionnaine	99	
	7.2.2 The Headgear and Monthguard Use Questionnaire	104	
7.0	7.2.3 The Assessing and Managing Head Injury Questionnaine	105	
7.3	Respondents	107	
	7.3.1 The Rugby Players Questionname	107	
	7.3.2 The Headgear and Moubsquard Use Questionnaire 7.3.3 The Assessing and Managing Head Injury Questionnaire	110	
7.4		111	
7.4	Procedure	112	
	7.4.1 The Rugby Players Questionnine	112 116	
	7.4.2 The Headgear and Mouthquard Use Questionnaire 7.4.3 The Assessing and Managing Head Injury Questionnaire		
7.5		<i>116</i> 117	
7.5	Data Analysis	11/	
Chapter 8: R	Results – Phase I	· · · · · · · · ·	121
8.1	Rate of Brain Injury and Relationship to Other Injury	121	
8.2	Severity of Brain Injury	124	
8.3	Player- and Game-Related Variables Associated with		
	Brain Injury	126	
8.4	Use of Protective Gear	132	
8.5	Establishing Levels of Recognition, Assessment and		
w.	Management	134	
8.6	Adherence to Regulations and Recommendations	141	
Chanter 9: N	1ethod - Phase II		145
Chapter 7. IV		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	113
9.1	Research Hypotheses	145	
9.2	Assessment Measures	146	
	9.2.1 Selection Criteria	146	
	9.2.2 Assessment Measures Selected	147	
9.3	Participants	151	
9.4	Procedure	153	
9.5	Data Analysis	158	

Chapter 10: F	Results – Phase II	· · · · · · ·	161
10.1	Practice Effects	161	
	10.1.1 Alternate form Equivalence	161	
	10.1.2 Effect of Practice	162	
10.2	Monitoring Recovery of Function	163	
10.3	Post-Hoc Evaluations of MTBI Cases		
Chanter 11: F	Discussion		169
Chapter II. L	/15 <b>cu</b> 551011	•••••	107
11.1	Phase I - Questionnaire Findings	169	
	11.1.1 Rate of Brain Injury and Relationship to Other Injury	169	
	11.1.2 Seventy of Brain Injury	171	
	11.1.3 Player- and Game-Related Variables Associated with		
	Brain Injury	173	
	11.1.4 Use of Protective Gear	177	
	11.1.5 Establishing Levels of Recognition, Assessment and		
	Management	<i>179</i>	
	11.1.6 Adherence to Regulations and Recommendations	183	
11.2	Phase II - Neuropsychological Assessment	185	
11.3	Methodological Issues and Limitations		
11.4	General Conclusions		
References			201
Appendices			219

### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Estimation of severity and duration of recovery as indicated by duration of PTA, based on an adaption by Kibby and Long (1997)	21
Table 2.	Scores awarded on the Glasgow Coma Scale, used to determine a mild, moderate and severe brain injury	22
Table 3.	Four of the most cited classification systems of concussion severity	23
Table 4.	International research conducted in the area of rugby-related injury, illustrating size of sample population, rate of injury to the region of the head and concussive injuries as a proportion of total injuries	38
Table 5.	The rate of concussive injuries as a proportion of total injuries obtained in irrestigations of rugby in NewZealand, encompassing school and dub grade rugby	41
Table 6.	Comparison of the Colorado Medical Society and the Cantu/A CSM guidelines for return to play	56
Table 7.	Proportions of general injury identified in rugby investigations as a consequence of different phases of play	64
Table 8.	Positions in rugby union which are associated with the highest and lowest risk of incarring injury	.65
Table 9.	Classification of concussion severity, for the purposes of current research, based on the presence of symptoms and LOC, ranging from very mild to moderate severity	80
Table 10.	Summary of objectives to be achieved through the administration of the RPQ, HMQ and AMQ	99
Table 11.	Number of players, average age and years of playing as a function of grade and season investigated	108
Table 12.	Number of players, average age, years of education and time playing sport as a function of grade	110

Table 13.	Respondents average age and grade involved with as a function of their role within club grade rugby	111
Table 14.	The number of MTBI, non-MTBI injury and total injury associated with each of four data groups	121
Table 15.	Relationship between a history of MTBI and MTBI incomed during the current season	122
Table 16.	The percentage of symptoms experienced and the proportion of respondents endorsing each symptom	125
Table 17.	The number of Sample B respondents in each of four age groups and the rumber in each age group reporting at least one MTBI during the target season	127
Table 18.	Comparison of forward versus back-line positions with respect to the number of MTBI reported and the number involving a LOC or receiving a diagnosis of concussion	128
Table 19.	Rate of MTBI and non-MTBI related injury as a proportion of respondents occupying individual positions	129
Table 20.	Receipt of MTBI presented in relation to position (i.e., forward or back) and as a proportion of total MTBI	131
Table 21.	The point at which attention was first received as a function of grade and total MTBI	135
Table 22.	Who attended MTBI, presented as a function of grade, injury severity and proportion of total MTBI	137
Table 23.	Number of AMQ respondents with both medical/first aid training and qualifications, with training only, or with neither, presented as a function of the respondent's role and grade involved with	138
Table 24.	Mean age, years of education, time playing sport and standard score for the Spot-the-Word test for those having previously sustained a MTBI and those with no MTBI history	152
Table 25.	Effect of repeated assessments on psychonetric test performance	162
Table 26.	Comparison of non-MTBI group baseline (average and maximum) scores to mid-season and post-season scores on psychornaric measures	164

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Representation of the injury distribution, including MTBI	123
Figure 2.	Severity classification of MTBI ranging from 'very mild' to 'moderate'	126
Figure 3.	Phases of play accounting for MTBI	130
Figure 4.	Flowchart depicting the three stages of the assessment process	155
Figure 5.	SDMT scores at baseline, mid-season and post-season assessments	165
Figure 6.	Digit Symbol scores at baseline, mid-season and post-season assessments	166
Figure 7.	Sentence Completion scores at baseline, mid-season and post-season assessments	167