Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Redefining Appraisal: Giving teachers ownership of their practice.

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctorate in Education

at Massey University, Palmerston North New Zealand.

Janelle Deane McKenzie

2012

Abstract

Appraisal has, for many years, been seen as something 'done to' teachers. It has simply been that bit extra that needed to be completed each year to ensure teachers could teach one more year. It was seen as having little benefit or significance, simply being a 'tick box' exercise. This study aimed to change this view and give teachers ownership of the appraisal process through self-directed professional development within a collaborative and collegial environment. In doing so teachers could then claim their practice as their own.

This study explores the issues with the appraisal system, the perceptions of teachers and possible solutions using action research methodology to plan, create and evaluate potential changes to the appraisal process. Throughout this process, staff at a secondary school participated in developing a shared understanding of the performance management criteria, provided feedback on the changes developed, and began the journey toward greater reflection on their practice.

The main aim of this study was to create a structured portfolio that could be individualised by teachers, allowing them to take control of the process by developing their own professional development plan based on their areas of need and interest. The building in of discussion and reflection time where possible helped to reinforce improvements in practice with the aim of meeting the Ministry of Education's focus of creating 'quality teachers'. Integration of the New Zealand Teachers Council's recently developed Registered Teacher Criteria created a backbone upon which the changes could be structured.

This study has demonstrated that teachers can take ownership of their appraisal processes. In doing so, teachers can improve their practice and engage in life-long learning. The structured portfolio not only allows this process to occur but also enables the integration of formative and summative assessment within one system, thus lessening the amount of work necessary to meet both attestation and

registration requirements. Central to this 'new' system is the need for it to be driven by the professional development needs of the teachers – this is the key component that enables teachers to drive the process rather than being the passive passengers of previous systems.

Acknowledgements

I wish to acknowledge the support of the many people who assisted me with this research study. First and foremost amongst these is my husband Rod. He has been the driving force behind this study, offering support, encouragement and patience in equal quantities. This research would not have been possible without his assistance for so many reasons. He has stood by me in all the good times where aspects of the research ran smoothly, and also during those times that stretched me beyond what I thought possible, all the while providing quiet and patient understanding and support. Rod helped me to unlock the potential I hold within.

My thanks also go to the staff at NZTC, especially Jenny Thomas, who willingly answered my questions and pointed me in appropriate directions. Her encouragement and enthusiasm helped me to realise that what I was attempting could have benefits for many people, not just those with whom I worked. Juliet Martin, one of the chief researchers into the RTC, was also pivotal in her support of this research.

I am grateful for the assistance and support of my principal and his senior management team. This research simply would not have happened had this trust and support not been available. The principal has also provided many examples of how a learning community can function well, leading by example rather than instruction. This helped to reinforce the findings of this research. All members of the senior management team 'got behind' this research in one way or another and for that I am extremely thankful; it made the change process within the school much easier to manage. It has been gratifying that this research has made a positive impact within the school and I thank this team unreservedly for the opportunity to help make a difference.

Finally, I wish to acknowledge my supervisors Professor Margaret Walshaw and Dr Sally Hansen. These two people have been instrumental in helping to make this research happen. Over the several years of this study Margaret has been a constant

source of guidance, answering all my questions and providing timely and effective feedback. Sally has offered insights specific to the field of study that might otherwise have been missed. I am grateful to both these ladies for their different skills and their input into this study. I have also appreciated the support Margaret has provided on a personal level; it has been a delight getting to know her and her husband.

Table of Contents

Abstract	t	ii
Acknow	ledgements	iv
List of F	igures, Graphs and Tables	X
Acronyr	ns Used in this Thesis	. xii
Chapter	One Introduction	1
1.1	Statement of Purpose	6
1.2	Research Questions	7
1.3	Underpinning Philosophy	8
1.4	Thesis Structure	.10
Chapter	Two Literature Review	.12
2.1	What is appraisal and what is its purpose?	.14
2.2	How long is long enough?	.15
2.3	Who is responsible for appraisal?	.16
2.4	The Formative vs. Summative Debate	.18
2.5	What is assessed?	.21
2.6	Professional Development	.26
2.7	Portfolios	.31
2.8	The Future	.36
Chapter	Three Methodology and Design	.38
3.1	Introduction	.38
3.2	Mixed Method Research:	.39
3.3	Research Design	.40
3.3	.1 Survey Research:	.40
3.3	.2 Action Research:	.43
3.4	Methodological Tools	4.9

3.4	1.1	Interviews:	49
3.4	1.2	Journals:	51
3.4	1.3	Portfolios:	52
3.5	Res	search Sequence	52
3.5	5.1	Step One	54
3.5	5.2	Step Two	54
3.5	5.3	Step Three	54
3.5	5.4	Step Four	56
3.5	5.5	Step Five	57
3.6	Dat	ta Analysis	57
3.7	Rel	iability and Validity	59
3.8	Aco	cess	60
3.9	Res	search Participants	61
Chapte	r Fou	r The Findings – Step by Step	65
4.1	Ste	p One	67
4.2	Ste	p Two	68
4.2	2.1	Survey Findings	73
4.3	Ste	p Three	86
4.3	3.1	Action Research Findings	86
4.3	3.2	Themes	90
Th	eme	one: Professional Development (PD)	90
Th	eme	Two: Observations	92
Th	eme	Three: Reflection	94
Th	eme	Four: Time	96
Th	eme	Five: Discussion Groups	97
Th	eme	Six: Registered Teacher Criteria (RTC)	98
Wl	hole	Staff PD Sessions	100

4.4	Ste	p Four	.103			
4.5	Ste	p Five	.118			
Chapte	er Fiv	e Analysis and Discussion	.120			
5.1	Que	estion One	.120			
5.2	Que	Question Two127				
5.3	Que	Question Three13'				
5.4	Que	Question Four14				
5.5	The	e Appraisal Process	.146			
Chapte	er Six	Conclusions	.152			
6.1	The	e Context and the Need	.152			
6.2	Res	search Questions – Key Points	.154			
6.3	Imj	plications	.158			
6.4	Sig	nificance and Limitations	.160			
6.5	Issi	ues	.161			
6.6	Wh	nere to From Here?	.165			
6.7	Fut	ture Research	.168			
6.	7.1	Longitudinal Study	.168			
6.	7.2	Cross Institution	.168			
6.	7.3	Portfolios	.169			
6.7.4	ł S	Student Impact	.169			
6.8	Reco	ommendations	.171			
6.	8.1	Funding	.171			
6.	8.2	Training	.172			
6.	8.3	Time	.173			
6.	8.4	Portfolios	.175			
6.	8.5	Teacher in Charge	.176			
9.9 Fin	al Th	oughts	.177			

Chapter	r Seven Afterword	179	
References			
Append	lices	190	
9.1	Letter of Request for Access	191	
9.2	Performance Management Survey 1	193	
9.3	Information Sheet for Participants	197	
9.4	Participant Consent Form - Individual	200	
9.5	Participant Consent Form - Group	201	
9.6	Confidentiality Agreement	202	
9.7	Interview Schedule	203	
9.8	Performance Management Survey 2	204	
9.9	School Policy for Performance Management	207	
9.10	Timeline for Performance Appraisal Process:	209	
9.11	Professional Development and Reflection Log	210	
9.12	Professional Development Plan	211	
9.13	Performance Measures	213	
9.14	RTC Comparative Matrix	216	

List of Figures, Graphs and Tables

Table 3.1	Quantitative and Qualitative Designs and Methodological Tools 38
Figure 3.2	Research Sequence
Figure 4.1	Step by Step of the Research Process
Figure 4.2	Section of Step by Step Research Process - Step One 67
Figure 4.3	Section of Step by Step Research Process - Step Two
Graph 4.1	Number of female survey respondents and their years of teaching
	experience
Graph 4.2	Number of male survey respondents and their years of teaching
	experience
Table 4.1	Correlations between No. of Units held and Awareness of NOE/NZTC
	requirements (Beginning Survey)
Table 4.2	Awareness of Appraisal Supervisors to MOE/NZTC Requirements
	(Beginning Survey)
Table 4.3	Teacher Perceptions of Current School Appraisal System 77
Table 4.4	Professional and Bureaucratic Approaches to Appraisal: Staff
	Responses
Figure 4.4	Section of Step by Step Research Process - Step Three
Figure 4.5	Reflection Square – used to direct reflection on evidence teacher is
	collecting95
Figure 4.6	Section of Step by Step Research Process - Step Four 103
Table 4.5	Correlations between No. of Units held and Awareness of NOE/NZTC
	requirements (End Survey)
Figure 4.7	Section of Step by Step Research Process - Step Five 118
Figure 5.1	Section of the Professional Development Plan showing where links to
	RTC can be made
Figure 5.2	Section of the Professional Development Plan showing means of
	evidencing RTC
Figure 5.3	The Appraisal Cycle
Figure 6.1	Day's Reciprocal Relationship of Appraisal and Development 170

Figure 6.2	The	relationship	between	appraisal,	individual	development
	planı	ning and schoo	l-wide dev	elopment pl	anning (adap	oted from Day,
	1999)				170

Acronyms Used in this Thesis

AR

BoT

Action Research

Board of Trustees

DAR	Developmental Action Research – research undertaken in one's own
	institution where a group works together to address an issue
E4E	Education for Enterprise – a MOE initiative where students are exposed to
	authentic learning opportunities with stakeholders
ECE	Early Childhood Education
ERO	Education Review Office
FO	Finance Officer
HOD	Head of Department
MOE	Ministry of Education
NCEA	National Certificate of Educational Achievement – this certificate is gained
	by students in Years 11 to 13 at levels 1 to 3 respectively
NZC	New Zealand Curriculum
NZEI	New Zealand Education Institute – the union for the primary sector
NZTC	New Zealand Teacher Council
NZQA	New Zealand Qualifications Authority
PAR	Participatory Action Research – the researcher participates in the research
	process and is not an impartial observer
PD	Professional Development
PL	Professional Learning
PMS	Performance Management System – the means of managing staff and their
	evaluation
PPTA	Post Primary Teachers Association – secondary union
RTC	Registered Teacher Criteria – the current assessment tool for registration in
	New Zealand
SCT	Specialist Classroom Teacher – has the role of support and guidance for
	other teachers within the school – usually at secondary level
WPR	Work Plan Review – an initial document used to help establish professional
	development plans prior to the research