

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Personality correlates of fluid and crystallised intelligence in
the New Zealand workplace**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for
the degree of

Master of the Arts
in
Psychology

at Massey University, Wellington,
New Zealand.

Paul Q. Wood

2004

Abstract

This study investigated relationships between personality traits and intelligence, and the extent to which personality traits can predict intelligence. The General Reasoning Test 2 served as the general intelligence measure, also assessing crystallised and fluid intelligence (N=4120). Two personality inventories were used to measure personality traits. 1576 participants completed the Fifteen-Factor Questionnaire; and 2544 participants completed the Occupational Personality Profile. Overall, the strongest correlations with intelligence for the Fifteen-Factor Questionnaire were for the personality traits 'Conscientious' and 'Disciplined'. The strongest correlations with intelligence for the Occupational Personality Profile were those related to the personality traits 'External locus of control' and 'Detail-Conscious'; followed by the personality traits 'Pragmatic', 'Contesting', 'Trusting', and 'Conform'. The predominant relationships were between personality traits and crystallised intelligence. Hypothesis testing established consistently negative relationships for the primary personality traits that combine to make up the Five-Factor Model (FFM) personality trait of 'Conscientious', which is consistent with previously reported findings (e.g. Ackerman & Heggstad, 1997). Also consistent with previous research, combinations of personality traits predicted intelligence to varying degrees (Moutafi, Furnham, & Crump, 2003), and did so most successfully for crystallised intelligence. Implications of the findings include issues concerning the homogeneity of the job performance construct, the consistency of the measurement of job performance, and the additive use of the personality trait 'Conscientious' and intelligence scores to increase predictive validity in workplace selection decisions. Further implications of the findings, limitations and suggestions for future research are also addressed.

Acknowledgements

First, thanks to OPRA Publishing & Consulting Group, without whom this project would not have been possible. Especially Dr. Paul Englert for his infectious interest in the field of individual differences and its application within the workplace, his willingness to make time for me, and his contribution to some of the ideas expounded in this project. Thanks to Sarah Burke for her readiness in rendering assistance and encouragement, to Fred Bauer for his help in organising the necessary data, and Irene Wong for supplying what turned out to be a particularly useful research article.

A special thanks to my advisor from Massey University Dr. Gus Haberman, who was always such a pleasure to talk with, an absolute wealth of good practical advice and information, and so abidingly generous with his time and assistance. Thanks to Dr. Christine Stephens for her assistance in my enrolment in the graduate programme, and the Massey University Psychology Department's administrative staff for their goodwill in passing on messages and correspondence. Thanks also to the wonderful people of Massey University's distance library service, who spent a great deal of time bending over the photocopier, and pulling books off shelves for me.

Thanks to my father Brian Wood for his support, proofreading assistance and example, and his partner Alison White for keeping her eye open for, and tracking down relevant research articles. Thanks to John Barlow for his assistance in playing the part of a lay *advocatus diaboli*; and thanks to Henare Davidson for supplying the computer hardware that was so catalytic in reaching this stage in my education, and integral to the feasibility of this project. Furthermore, I would like to thank Jim Read, Bill Henderson, Nev Trainor, and Sister Marie Roche for their consistent encouragement, support, and assistance in making this year's work possible.

Table of Contents

Abstract.....	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Tables & Figures	vii
 CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	 1
1.2: Intelligence.....	2
1.3: Personality	8
1.4: Joint Use of Personality & Intelligence Measures in Personnel Selection	13
1.5: Correlates of Intelligence & Personality.....	16
1.5.1: Historical Research.....	16
1.5.2: Modern Research, Current Issues & Goals.....	19
1.6: Hypotheses.....	25
1.6.1: Hypotheses for Relationships Between Fifteen-Factor Questionnaire Personality Traits & Intelligence.	26
1.6.2: Hypotheses for Relationships Between Occupational Personality Profile Personality Traits & Intelligence.	26
CHAPTER 2: METHOD	27
2.1: Participants.....	27
2.1.1: Subsample 1.....	27
2.1.2: Subsample 2.....	28
2.2: Design.....	29
2.3: Materials.....	29
2.3.1: Fifteen-Factor Questionnaire (15FQ).....	30
2.3.2: Occupational Personality Profile (OPP).....	35
2.3.3: General Reasoning Test 2 (GRT2).....	39
2.4: Procedure.....	41
CHAPTER 3: RESULTS	42
3.1.1: Subsample 1 Results for Relationships Between Personality Traits & Intelligence.....	43
I): Results for Subsample 1 Hypotheses:	43

II): Subsample 1 Descriptive Statistics & Correlation Matrix Tables	43
III): Novel Results.....	46
3.1.2: Subsample 2 Results for Relationships Between Personality Traits & Intelligence.....	47
I): Results for Subsample 2 Hypotheses.	47
II): Subsample 2 Descriptive Statistics & Correlation Matrix Tables.	48
III): Novel Results.....	51
3.1.3: Multiple Regressions	51
I): General Intelligence	54
II): Abstract Reasoning	58
III): Numerical Reasoning	59
IV): Verbal Reasoning	60
CHAPTER 4: DISCUSSION	62
4.1.1: Summary of Findings.....	62
4.1.2: Explanations for Findings.....	67
4.2: Integration of Findings with Past Literature.	73
4.2.1: Convergent Findings.....	73
Explanations of Convergence.	73
Implications of Convergence	77
4.2.2: Divergent Findings	78
Explanations of Divergence	78
Implications of Divergence.....	81
4.3: Contribution of Findings.....	81
4.4: Implications of Findings.	82
4.4.1: Theoretical Implications.	82
4.4.2: Research Implications.....	84
4.4.3: Implications for Practice	84
4.5: Limitations.	87
4.5.1: Design & Internal Validity.	87
4.5.2: Generalisability.....	88
4.5.3: Analyses & Statistical Power.....	90
4.5.4: Measurement.....	90
4.6: Future Directions.	92
CONCLUSION	98

REFERENCES.....	102
APPENDICES.....	138
Appendix A: 15FQ Dimensions.....	138
Appendix B: OPP Dimensions	143
Appendix C: Administrative Guidelines.....	146
Appendix D: Data Editing	147
Appendix E: Demographics	148
E.1: Age Ranges.....	148
E.2: Gender Differences.....	158
E.3: Ethnicity	164
E.4: Educational Demographics.....	169
Appendix F: Unadjusted R Regression Table.....	176

List of Tables & Figures

Table 1: 15FQ Trait Descriptions	31
Table 2: OPP Trait Descriptions	37
Table 3: Descriptive Statistics for 15FQ & GRT2 Variables	45
Table 4: Correlation Matrix for 15FQ & GRT2 Variables	46
Table 5: Descriptive Statistics for OPP & GRT2 Variables	49
Table 6: Correlation Matrix for OPP & GRT2 Variables.....	50
Table 7: Multiple Regression Coefficients & β Values -15FQ/OPP on GRT2.....	57
Figure 1: ‘Openness-to-Experience’, Academic Achievement, ‘Conscientious’, & g Overlap.....	80
Figure 2: ‘Conscientious’, g, & Job Performance.....	86
Table 8: Correlation Matrix for 15FQ & GRT2 Variables - Under 30 yr olds.....	149
Table 9: Correlation Matrix for 15FQ & GRT2 Variables - Over 30 yr olds.....	150
Table 10: Correlation Matrix for 15FQ & GRT2 Variables - Under 40 yr olds.....	152
Table 11: Correlation Matrix for 15FQ & GRT2 Variables - Over 40 yr olds.....	153
Table 12: Correlation Matrix for OPP & GRT2 Variables - Under 30 yr olds	155
Table 13: Correlation Matrix for OPP & GRT2 Variables - Over 30 yr olds	156
Table 14: Correlation Matrix for OPP & GRT2 Variables - Under 40 yr olds	157
Table 15: Correlation Matrix for OPP & GRT2 Variables - Over 40 yr olds	158
Table 16: Correlation Matrix for 15FQ & GRT2 Variables - Females	159
Table 17: Correlation Matrix for 15FQ & GRT2 Variables - Males.....	160
Table 18: Correlation Matrix for OPP & GRT2 Variables - Females	162
Table 19: Correlation Matrix for OPP & GRT2 Variables - Males.....	163
Table 20: Correlation Matrix for 15FQ & GRT2 Variables- Maori.....	164
Table 21: Correlation Matrix for 15FQ & GRT2 Variables - Europeans.....	165
Table 22: Correlation Matrix for OPP & GRT2 Variables - Maori.....	166
Table 23: Correlation Matrix for OPP & GRT2 Variables - Pacific Islanders.....	167
Table 24: Correlation Matrix for OPP & GRT2 Variables - Europeans.....	168
Table 25: Correlation Matrix for 15FQ & GRT2 Variables - University Graduates.....	169
Table 26: Correlation Matrix for 15FQ & GRT2 Variables- Secondary School Graduates	170
Table 27: Correlation Matrix for 15FQ & GRT2 Variables - Alternative Post- Secondary Qualifications	171

Table 28: Correlation Matrix for OPP & GRT2 Variables - University Graduates ..172

Table 29: Correlation Matrix for OPP & GRT2 Variables – Secondary School
Graduates 173

Table 30: Correlation Matrix for OPP & GRT2 Variables - Alternative Post-
Secondary Qualifications 174

Table 31: Correlation Matrix for OPP & GRT2 Variables - Less than Secondary
School 175

Table 32: Multiple Regression Coefficients -15FQ/OPP on GRT2 (*Multiple R*)...... 176