Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

EMPLOYEE PERCEPTIONS OF SUPPORT FOR FAMILY FRIENDLY INITIATIVES IN THE WORKPLACE

Fiona Elizabeth M^cAulay

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Arts in Psychology at Massey University, 1999.

ABSTRACT

The present research investigated the relationships between levels of workfamily/family-work conflict, the use of family friendly initiatives, and levels of perceived supervisor, co-worker, and overall organisational support. It has been suggested that the use and effectiveness of family friendly initiatives may be compromised due to unsupportive supervisor attitudes, co-workers, and organisational cultures. Thus, the relative importance of family friendly initiatives and informal workplace supports for the reduction of work-family conflict, and the influence of informal workplace supports on the use of these initiatives were of particular interest. Participants were employees in four medium to large organisations that were members of the Equal Employment Opportunities Trust, Work and Family Network. A questionnaire was developed that included existing scales as well as original items. Overall, 279 male and female employees returned useable questionnaires (a response rate of 37%). No significant relationships were found between the use of family friendly initiatives and work-family or family-work conflict. However, significant relationships were found between levels of work-family conflict and supervisor, coworker, and overall workplace support. These informal workplace supportive variables were also shown to be more important to the prediction of work-family and family-work conflict, than was the use of family friendly initiatives. Levels of work-family conflict were greater for men than for women, and men's use of family friendly initiatives was significantly related to their perceptions of informal workplace support. No such relationship was found for women. The research demonstrated that informal workplace support was more important to the reduction of work-family and family-work conflict than the number of initiatives used. The importance of work-family conflict to men was highlighted, demonstrating the relevance of family friendly initiatives for both genders. The attitudes and expectations in the workplace that limit the use of initiatives, particularly by men, need to be changed. When introducing a family friendly programme, the needs of employees, the quality of the initiatives, the attitudes of supervisors and co-workers, and the expectations and structure of work within the organisation, must all be addressed to ensure that employees feel able to make use of the family friendly initiatives available.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my supervisor, Dr. Philip Voss for his guidance and encouragement. Through his outstanding advice and positive approach he inspired confidence in my ability to successfully complete this project.

I would also like to thank the organisations and employees who participated for giving their time and thoughts and making this research possible.

Thank you to Dr. Hilary Bennett for her time and input during development of the questionnaire and to Robyn Ramage at the Equal Employment Opportunities Trust for her time and assistance in the early stages of this research.

I greatly appreciate the continuous support from my parents, as well as their unlimited tolerance and encouragement. Special thanks to Patrick for his love and support and for always believing in me.

Finally, I would like to thank my friends for their support and much appreciated distraction.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Acknowledgements	iii
Contents	iv
List of Tables	
List of Figures	vii
List of Appendices	viii
Introduction	
History of the Work-Family Interface	1
Work-Family Conflict	4
The spillover perspective	5
Rational view	6
Gender role expectations	6
Role identity salience	7
Utilitarian approach	7
Karasek's job strain model	8
Family Friendly Initiatives	12
Reasons for organisational involvement in family friendly	
initiatives	12
Provision of family friendly initiatives	14
A model of the linkages between family friendly initiatives	
and work and family outcomes	21
The Use and Success of Family Friendly Initiatives	22
Family Oriented Workplace Support	26
The Present Research	29
Method	
Participants	32
Measures	33
Rational for Measures	33
Questionnaire Development	35
Scoring	36
Psychometric Information	37
Procedure	43

Results

Data E	entry	44
Missin	ng Data	44
Initiati	ives	45
	Organisational information	45
	Employee perceptions of initiatives offered and used	46
	Employee perceptions of the implementation and	
	functioning of family friendly initiatives	49
Interna	al Consistency	53
	Scoring	53
Descri	ptive Information	55
	Demographic information	55
	Scales and sub-scales	60
Relatio	onships among Measures	65
	Pearson correlation co-efficients	65
	Multiple linear regression	68
Discussion		
Findin	gs of the Present Research	73
	Initiatives	73
	Descriptive information	76
	Scales and sub-scales	79
	Relationships among measures	82
	Predicting work-family and family-work conflict	86
Contri	butions of the Present Research	89
	Contributions to the literature	90
	Contributions to organisations	93
Limita	tions of the Present Research	94
Conch	usions	96
Appendix A	Questionnaire	97
Appendix B	Pilot Evaluation Form	113
Appendix C	Information Sheet	115
Appendix D	Questions for Organisation Contact Person	116
Appendix E	Categories for Qualitative Data	118
References		120

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 1.	Proportion of Respondents who Perceive Initiatives	
	to be Available, Presently Use and Would Use Initiatives.	46
Table 2.	How Respondents Learnt about the Family Friendly	
	Initiatives in their Organisation.	49
Table 3.	Respondent Perceptions of their Organisation's Reasons	
	for Implementing Family Friendly Initiatives.	50
Table 4.	Employee Perceptions of the Reasons Family Friendly	
	Initiatives are/are not Equally Available to Male and	
	Female Employees.	51
Table 5.	Employee Perceptions of the Reasons Family Friendly	
	Initiatives are/are not Equally Likely to be used by Male and	
	Female Employees.	52
Table 6.	Reliability Estimates for Measurement Scales showing	
	the Effective Sample Size (Respondents) and Coefficient	
	Alpha for Each Sub-scale.	55
Table 7.	Demographic Information showing the Frequencies	
	and Percentages for the Categories of the Main Demographic	
	Variables.	57
Table 8.	Summary Statistics for scales showing Sample Size, Minimum,	
	Maximum, Mean, and Standard Deviation.	61
Table 9.	Percentage of Scale Scores within each Category: Scores	
	shown are Mid-points of the Relevant Class Intervals.	62
Table 10.	Pearson Correlation Matrix for the 10 Measurement Scales	
	and the Number of Family Friendly Initiatives Used.	65
Table 11.	Summary of Standard Multiple Linear Regression Analysis	
	for Variables Predicting Work-Family Conflict.	70
Table 12.	Summary of Standard Multiple Linear Regression Analysis	
	for Variables Predicting Family-Work Conflict.	71

4

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 1.	Model of the Antecedents and Consequences of Work-	
	Family and Family-Work Conflict (Frone, Yardley,	
	& Markel, 1997).	9
Figure 2.	A Model of the Linkages between Family Friendly	
	Initiatives and Work and Family Outcomes	
	(Bowen, 1988).	22
Figure 3.	Scatterplot Matrix for the 10 Measurement Scales	
	and the Number of Family Friendly Initiatives Used.	66

LIST OF APPENDICIES

		Page
Appendix A	Questionnaire	96
Appendix B	Pilot Evaluation Form	112
Appendix C	Information Sheet	114
Appendix D	Questions for Organisation Contact Person	115
Appendix E	Categories for Qualitative Data	117