Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# Interactions between commensal obligate anaerobes and human intestinal cells

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy** 

Massey University

Manawatu, New Zealand

Dulantha Ulluwishewa

#### **ABSTRACT**

The human intestinal epithelium is formed by a single layer of epithelial cells which regulates intestinal barrier permeability. Increased permeability can result in the entry of potentially harmful compounds into the body, and is implicated in autoimmune, inflammatory and atopic diseases. The intestinal tract is inhabited by an estimated 10<sup>14</sup> microbes and it is increasingly evident that they affect intestinal barrier function. However, over 90% of commensal intestinal bacteria are obligate anaerobes, making it difficult to co-culture them with oxygen-requiring mammalian cells *in vitro*.

To investigate the interactions between obligate anaerobes and epithelial cells that regulate the intestinal barrier, an apical anaerobic model of the human intestinal epithelium, which utilises a dual-environment co-culture chamber, was developed and validated. The chamber allowed for polarised monolayers of the intestinal cell line Caco-2 to be grown such that the apical (luminal) side was exposed to an anaerobic environment, while maintaining an aerobic basal side. The cell viability and barrier function of Caco-2 monolayers was unaffected by culture in the apical anaerobic model for at least 12 hours. Global gene expression analysis predicted upregulation of cell survival and proliferation in Caco-2 cells cultured in the apical anaerobic model, compared to Caco-2 cells grown under conventional conditions, suggesting an adaptation of the Caco-2 cells to a lower supply of oxygen.

The apical anaerobic model was used to co-culture the commensal obligate anaerobe *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* with Caco-2 cells. The survival of *F. prausnitzii* was improved in the anaerobic apical environment compared to when cultured in an aerobic atmosphere. Live *F. prausnitzii*, but not non-viable (UV-killed) *F. prausnitzii*, were shown to increase permeability across Caco-2 monolayers. Furthermore, global gene expression analysis suggested that live *F. prausnitzii* cells have more profound effects on Caco-2 cells than non-viable *F. prausnitzii*, illustrating the importance of maintaining viability of obligate anaerobes in an *in vitro* co-culture system.

The apical anaerobic model can be used to gain insights into the mechanisms of crosstalk between commensal obligate anaerobic bacteria and intestinal cells, and new knowledge generated using this model will assist in the development of strategies to improve intestinal barrier function.

Dedicated to aththamma, seeya, and 'big' aththamma.

My greatest inspirations. Love always.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

It is with immense gratitude that I acknowledge the guidance and support of my supervisors: Prof Warren McNabb, Dr Nicole Roy, Dr Rachel Anderson, Prof Jerry Wells, and Prof Paul Moughan. To Warren and Paul, thank you for taking me under your wing and making me part of AgResearch and the Riddet Institute. Rachel, thank you for always being available to answer my questions; I have truly appreciated your help and advice. Jerry, thank you for sharing your wisdom and enthusiasm, and imparting some of your expertise to me, it was my privilege to have you as a supervisor. Nicole, it has been my pleasure to study under your guidance - thank you for your kindness and unwavering support. I also acknowledge AgResearch and the Riddet Institute, a Centre of Research Excellence, for funding this research and my PhD fellowship, and providing me with excellent research facilities.

I am indebted to many people for their support and assistance in the completion of this thesis. The co-culture chamber, instrumental for achieving the aims of this thesis, was designed based on the concept conceived by Prof Denise Kelly (University of Aberdeen), and built by Steve Gebbie, Scott Sevier, Hong Zhang, Russel McAuliffe, Paul Lovejoy and Jason Peters. I am most grateful for the technical assistance of Kelly Armstrong (microarrays), Eva Maier (co-culture experiments), Dr Dmitry Sokolov (from the Manawatu Microscopy and Imaging Centre, Massey University; confocal microscopy) and Cécile Mourette (model validation experiments). I share the credit for the microarray analysis with Dr Wayne Young who processed the raw microarray data and generated the heatmaps presented in this dissertation. The imaging of cells by transmission electron microscopy was carried out by Dr Duane Harland and James Vernon at AgResearch Lincoln Research Centre.

I owe my deepest gratitude to Dr John Koolaard for his invaluable advice on most of the statistical analysis carried out in this project. I would also like to acknowledge Dr Mark McCann (cell culture, flow cytometry, qPCR), Graham Naylor (anaerobic microbiology), Dr Adrian Cookson (microbiology), Dr Jurgen Karczewski (confocal microscopy and cell viability assay), Bruce Sinclair (dissolved oxygen assays), Dr Peter van Baarlen (microarray analysis) and Catherine Lloyd-West (statistical analysis) for their valuable advice and sharing their expertise. My thanks also

go to Dr Anna Russ for proof-reading this dissertation and providing me with valuable feedback.

I would also like to thank my many colleagues in AgResearch, especially the PhD students I shared an office with during the course of this project, for their friendship, support and help over the past few years. Thank you for listening and encouraging me through the triumphs and trouble of this study, and providing valuable and entertaining discussions on matter related (or not) to my research. Thank you also to the AgResearch support staff including Denise Martin and Information Services, whose help has been invaluable in carrying out many of the tasks and activities along the road to completing this thesis.

Finally, to my family and friends, especially my parents and sister, thank you for your love and support, and the sacrifices you made to create opportunities for me that you never had. To my wife Neranjala, thank you for your constant encouragement and making me see the positive in every situation - you have brightened up my life in a way I never knew possible. This dissertation is a testament to your unyielding faith in me during my PhD journey.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstrac	:t		i
Acknov	vledgen	nents	iii
Table o	f conte	nts	v
List of t	figures .		xiii
List of t	tables		xviii
List of a	abbrevi	ations	XX
Introdu	ction		1
Chapte	<i>r 1:</i> R	eview of literature	3
1.1	The h	uman intestinal barrier	4
1.2	The in	ntestinal epithelial barrier	6
	1.2.1	Tight junction structure and regulation of intestinal permeability	7
	1.2.2	Regulation of tight junctions	10
	1.2.3	Effects of dietary components on tight junction integrity	12
1.3	The m	nucus layer	15
1.4	Immu	ne cells and signalling in the intestinal barrier	16
	1.4.1	Host recognition of bacteria	17
	1.4.2	Pro-inflammatory pathways	18
	1.4.3	Dendritic cells	20
1.5	Comn	nensal intestinal microbiota	22
	1.5.1	Establishment of intestinal microbiota	23
	1.5.2	Distribution of microbiota in the intestinal tract	24
	1.5.3	Diversity of the commensal bacteria	26
1.6	Functi	ions of the commensal bacteria	27
1.7	Intera	ctions between intestinal cells and bacteria	29
	1.7.1	Barrier enhancement by commensals and probiotic bacteria	29

		1.7.2	Modulation of intestinal epithelial cell function by commensal	
			bacteria	32
		1.7.3	Modulation of intestinal-dendritic cell crosstalk	33
		1.7.4	Modulation of intestinal function by dietary components and	
			intestinal bacteria	37
	1.8	In vitr	o epithelial models of the intestinal barrier	38
		1.8.1	In vitro cell lines as models of the intestinal epithelium	38
		1.8.2	Transepithelial models to study host-bacteria interactions	39
		1.8.3	In vitro models to study interactions between obligate anaerobes	
			and intestinal epithelial cells	41
	1.9	Concl	usions and future perspectives	43
	1.10	Aims	of work reported in dissertation	44
	1.11	Appro	each and structure of the dissertation	45
Ci	hapter	· 2: D	evelopment of an apical anaerobic model of the intestinal barrier	49
	2.1	Introd	uction	50
	2.2	Aim		51
	2.3	Metho	ods	51
		2.3.1	Model concept	51
			Culture of intestinal epithelial cells	
			2.3.2.1 Maintenance of Caco-2 cells	52
			2.3.2.2 Harvesting Caco-2 cells	55
			2.3.2.3 Passaging of Caco-2 cell line	55
			2.3.2.4 Long term storage of Caco-2 cells	55
			2.3.2.5 Cell counting	56
		2.3.3	Growth of Caco-2 cells on microporous membranes	56
		2.3.4	TEER measurements	58
			2.3.4.1 STX-2 electrodes	61

		2.3.4.2	EndOhm culture cup	61
		2.3.4.3	Statistical analysis	61
	2.3.5	Design o	f co-culture chamber	63
		2.3.5.1	Design concept	63
		2.3.5.2	Components of the co-culture chamber	63
		2.3.5.3	Chamber size	63
		2.3.5.4	Features	66
2.4	Result	S		67
	2.4.1	Choice o	of microporous membrane and cell seeding density	67
	2.4.2	Co-cultu	re chamber set-up	70
		2.4.2.1	Effect of co-culture chamber set-up on TEER	70
		2.4.2.2	Optimisation of co-culture chamber set up	73
	2.4.3	Analysis	of TEER in the co-culture chamber	75
	2.4.4	Modifica	ntion of co-culture chamber	79
			Determination of the cause of loss in Caco-2 cell	
			monolayer integrity	
			Replacement of electrodes	
		2.4.4.3	Introduction of pressure release valves	83
		2.4.4.4	CellZscope controller	83
	2.4.5	Establish	ning the apical anaerobic co-culture model	86
2.5	Discu	ssion		88
Chapte	r 3: V	alidation o	of the apical anaerobic model of the intestinal barrier	93
3.1	Introd	uction		94
3.2	Hypot	hesis and	aims	95
3.3	Metho	ods		96
	3.3.1	Cell cult	ure	96

		3.3.1.1 Culture of Caco-2 cells	. 96
		3.3.1.2 Co-culture chamber set up	. 96
		3.3.1.3 Apical anaerobic model	. 96
		3.3.1.4 Alternative cell culture environments	. 97
	3.3.2	Measuring dissolved oxygen in the aerobic compartment	. 97
		3.3.2.1 Initial methods of measuring basal dissolved oxygen level	. 99
		3.3.2.2 Optimised method for measuring basal dissolved oxygen level	100
	3.3.3	Measuring dissolved oxygen in the anaerobic compartment	100
	3.3.4	Neutral red uptake assay	103
	3.3.5	Transmission electron microscopy	104
	3.3.6	DNA content analysis by flow cytometry	105
	3.3.7	TEER assay	105
	3.3.8	<sup>3</sup> H-mannitol bioassay	106
	3.3.9	Confocal laser scanning microscopy	107
	3.3.10	Analysis of abundance and localisation of tight junction proteins	107
	3.3.11	Analysis of internalisation of tight junction proteins	109
3.4	Result	S	110
	3.4.1	Oxygen depletion in the aerobic compartment of the co-culture chamber	110
	3.4.2	Dissolved oxygen in the anaerobic compartment of the co- culture chamber	113
	3.4.3	Ultrastructural features of Caco-2 cells cultured in the apical anaerobic model	113
	3.4.4	Effect of anaerobic apical environment on Caco-2 cell viability	113
	3.4.5	Cell cycle analysis	116

	3.4.6	Effect of apical anaerobic environment on the barrier function of	
		the Caco-2 cell monolayer	116
	3.4.7	Effect of apical anaerobic environment on the localisation of	
		tight junction proteins	116
3.5	Discu	ssion	128
Chapte		lobal gene expression analysis of Caco-2 cells cultured in an naerobic apical environment	133
4.1	Introd	uction	134
4.2	hypotl	nesis and aim	136
4.3	Metho	ods	136
	4.3.1	Overview	136
	4.3.2	Cell culture	138
	4.3.3	RNA isolation	138
	4.3.4	Microarray experimental design	138
	4.3.5	Global gene expression analysis	139
	4.3.6	Validation of microarray results by qPCR	139
	4.3.7	Ingenuity pathway and functional analysis	142
	4.3.8	Gene ontology analysis	143
	4.3.9	Generation of heatmaps	144
4.4	Result	ts	144
	4.4.1	Differentially expressed genes	144
	4.4.2	Biological functions associated with differentially expressed genes	147
	4.4.3	Biological interaction networks associated with differentially expressed genes	154
	444	KEGG pathway clustering	
		Ingenuity pathway analysis	
	+.+.∫	mgonuty pantway analysis	13/

	4.4.6	Ingenui	ty upstream regulator analysis	157
4.5	Discu	ssion		167
Chapte	<i>r 5:</i> Iı	nteraction	s between an obligate anaerobic bacterium and Caco-2	
	c	ells in an	apical anaerobic model of the intestinal barrier	173
5.1	Introd	luction		174
5.2	Нуро	thesis and	aims	175
5.3	Metho	ods		176
	5.3.1	Culture	of epithelial cells	176
	5.3.2	Culture	of bacteria	176
		5.3.2.1	Faecalibacterium prausnitzii cell culture	176
		5.3.2.2	Lactobacillus rhamnosus cell culture	176
	5.3.3	Preparat	tion of bacterial culture medium	177
		5.3.3.1	Anaerobic BHI broth	177
		5.3.3.2	MRS broth	177
		5.3.3.3	Anaerobic BHI agar	177
		5.3.3.4	MRS agar	179
	5.3.4	Long te	rm storage of cultures	179
		5.3.4.1	Preservation with DMSO	179
		5.3.4.2	Preservation with glycerol	179
	5.3.5	Enumer	ation of bacteria	179
		5.3.5.1	Counting chamber	179
		5.3.5.2	Colony-forming units	181
	5.3.6	16S rRN	NA gene sequencing	181
		5.3.6.1	DNA isolation	181
		5.3.6.2	Polymerase chain reaction	182
		5.3.6.3	DNA sequencing	183

	5.3.7	Bacterial growth measurem	ent	183
	5.3.8	Epithelial and bacterial cell	co-culture	185
		5.3.8.1 Preparation of bac	terial cultures	185
		5.3.8.2 Preparation of epit	helial cells	186
		5.3.8.3 Establishing co-cu	lture	186
	5.3.9	Estimating bacterial viabili	y	186
	5.3.10	TEER assay		186
	5.3.11	<sup>3</sup> H-mannitol bioassay		187
	5.3.12	Global gene expression ana	lysis	188
		5.3.12.1 Experimental design	gn	188
		5.3.12.2 RNA isolation		190
		5.3.12.3 Gene expression a	nalysis	190
5.4	Results			192
	5.4.1	Confirmation of bacterial st	rains	192
	5.4.2	Growth Curve		192
	5.4.3	Viability of bacteria in the	apical anaerobic model	195
	5.4.4	Effect of bacteria on TEER		195
	5.4.5	Effect of bacteria on <sup>3</sup> H-ma	nnitol permeability	195
	5.4.6	Differentially expressed gen	nes	199
	5.4.7	Biological interaction netw	orks	203
	5.4.8	2	ciated with differentially expressed	210
	<b>~</b> 4.0			
	5.4.9			
			or analysis	
5.5				
Chapter	6: Ge	neral discussion		239

6.1	Backgr	ound	240			
6.2	Summa	Summary of results				
6.3	General discussion					
	6.3.1	Development	242			
	6.3.2	Validation	244			
	6.3.3	Application	246			
6.4	Future	perspectives	248			
	6.4.1	Improving the co-culture chamber design	248			
	6.4.2	Creating a physiological intestinal environment	249			
	6.4.3	Future applications of the apical anaerobic model	250			
6.5	Conclu	isions	252			
Append	lices		254			
App	endix I	Engineers' drawings of the co-culture chamber	254			
App	endix II	FACSCalibur instruments for DNA content analysis	257			
App	endix III	Settings for confocal microscope	258			
Referer	ices		261			

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Components of the intestinal barrier	5
Figure 1.2	Structure of tight junctions.	9
Figure 1.3	TLR and NOD signalling in the NF-κB pathway.	19
Figure 1.4	Variation in numbers of bacteria along the intestinal tract	25
Figure 1.5	Modulation of the NF-κB pathway in epithelial cells by bacteria	34
Figure 1.6	Representation of transepithelial models.	40
Figure 1.7	Structure of the dissertation.	47
Figure 2.1	The apical anaerobic co-culture model.	53
Figure 2.2	Cell culture insert configuration.	57
Figure 2.3	Methods of measuring TEER across Caco-2 cell monolayers	62
Figure 2.4	Design concept of co-culture chamber	64
Figure 2.5	Components of the prototype co-culture chamber	65
Figure 2.6	TEER across Caco-2 cell monolayers grown on selected cell culture membranes.	68
Figure 2.7	TEER across Caco-2 cell monolayers grown Transwell PET membranes.	69
Figure 2.8	TEER over time across Caco-2 cell monolayers seeded on Transwell PET membranes with 0.4 μm pores	71
Figure 2.9	Alternative methods of setting up co-culture chamber.	72
Figure 2.10	Typical TEER profile for Caco-2 cells cultured in the co-culture chamber at 37°C in a 5% CO <sub>2</sub> incubator.	74
Figure 2.11	Process for the sealing of the sampling port in the co-culture chamber with the use of a needle.	76
Figure 2.12	Effect of sealing the sealing the co-culture chamber sampling port on TEER across the Caco-2 cell monolayer.	77

Figure 2.13	TEER across Caco-2 cell monolayers when cultured in the co- culture chamber set up using the optimised method
Figure 2.14	Effect of copper electrode shavings on the TEER across Caco-2 cell monolayers cultured at 37°C in a 5% CO <sub>2</sub> incubator
Figure 2.15	Effect of electrodes in the co-culture chamber on TEER across  Caco-2 cell monolayers
Figure 2.16	Pressure release valves in the co-culture chamber
Figure 2.17	Final proposed method for setting up the apical anaerobic co- culture model
Figure 3.1	Alternative cell culture environments used to compare and validate the apical anaerobic model
Figure 3.2	Special chamber lid containing a port for the dissolved oxygen probe
Figure 3.3	Measuring dissolved oxygen in the apical compartment of the co- culture chamber
Figure 3.4	Analysing abundance and localisation of TJ proteins
Figure 3.5	Preliminary data on depletion of dissolved oxygen in basal compartment of co-culture chamber
Figure 3.6	Depletion of dissolved oxygen in the basal compartment of the co- culture chamber over 12 hours
Figure 3.7	Transmission electron micrographs of Caco-2 cells cultured in the apical anaerobic model
Figure 3.8	Neutral red uptake assay to determine viability of Caco-2 cells cultured in various cell culture environments
Figure 3.9	Representative dot plot of forward and side scatter of Caco-2 cells harvested for cell cycle analysis
Figure 3.10	Cell cycle analysis for Caco-2 cells cultured in various cell culture environments

Figure 3.11	TEER over time across Caco-2 cell monolayers cultured in the co-	
	culture chamber	119
Figure 3.12	<sup>3</sup> H-mannitol permeability across Caco-2 cell monolayers cultured in the co-culture chamber.	120
Figure 3.13	Caco-2 cell monolayers stained for TJ proteins and visualised using confocal microscopy.	121
Figure 3.14	Abundance and localisation of TJ proteins in Caco-2 cell monolayers cultured in various cell culture environments.	123
Figure 3.15	Abundance of TJ proteins as measured by peak fluorescence intensity in Caco-2 cells cultured in various cell culture environments.	124
Figure 3.16	Localisation of TJ proteins as measured by distance from TJ at which 50% (d50) and 20% (d20) of peak fluorescence intensity is reached in Caco-2 cells cultured in various cell culture environments.	125
Figure 3.17	TJ staining within the cytoplasm of Caco-2 cells cultured in various cell culture environments.	126
Figure 3.18	Number of cells with internalised TJ protein in Caco-2 monolayers cultured in various cell culture environments.	127
Figure 4.1	Intestinal epithelial cells receive oxygen from the underlying capillary bed.	135
Figure 4.2	Microarray analysis workflow.	137
Figure 4.3	Heatmap of gene expression levels in Caco-2 cells cultured in the apical anaerobic environment or the co-culture chamber in a 5% CO <sub>2</sub> incubator.	145
Figure 4.4	Network of differentially expressed genes in Caco-2 cells in the apical anaerobic environment (compared to Caco-2 cells in the co-culture chamber in a 5% CO <sub>2</sub> incubator).	155

Figure 4.5	AEGG average expression heatmap for Caco-2 cells cultured in the apical anaerobic environment or the co-culture chamber in a 5%	
	CO <sub>2</sub> incubator.	156
Figure 4.6	Ingenuity pathway for NRF2-mediated oxidative stress response	160
Figure 4.7	Ingenuity pathway for TJ signalling.	161
Figure 4.8	Ingenuity pathways for TNFR1 and TNFR2 signalling.	162
Figure 4.9	Ingenuity pathway for IL6.	163
Figure 4.10	Network of differentially expressed genes regulated by P53 and HIF1A in Caco-2 cells cultured in an apical anaerobic environment for 12 hours (compared to Caco-2 cells in the co-culture chamber in a 50% CO in substant)	166
	in a 5% CO <sub>2</sub> incubator).	
Figure 5.1	Design choices for the microarray experiment	189
Figure 5.2	Growth curves for F. prausnitzii and L. rhamnosus	194
Figure 5.3	Viability of bacteria in the anaerobic cell culture medium	196
Figure 5.4	Bacterial viability in the apical anaerobic environment and standard atmospheric conditions.	197
Figure 5.5	Effect of bacteria on TEER across Caco-2 cell monolayers in the apical anaerobic model over time.	198
Figure 5.6	Effect of bacteria on permeability of Caco-2 cell monolayers in the apical anaerobic model.	200
Figure 5.7	Numbers of shared and unique differentially expressed genes by Caco-2 cells treated with various bacteria.	204
Figure 5.8	Heatmap of gene expression levels in Caco-2 cells treated with various bacteria.	205
Figure 5.9	Principal component analysis profile of gene expression by Caco-2 cells treated with various bacteria	206
Figure 5.10	Network of differentially expressed genes in Caco-2 co-cultured with <i>F. prausnitzii</i> (compared to Caco-2 cells not treated with bacteria).	207
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	0/

Figure 5.11	Network of differentially expressed genes in Caco-2 co-cultured	
	with UV-killed F. prausnitzii (compared to Caco-2 cells not treated	
	with bacteria).	208
Figure 5.12	Network of differentially expressed genes in Caco-2 co-cultured	
	with F. prausnitzii (compared to Caco-2 cells treated with UV-	
	killed F. prausnitzii).	209
Figure 5.13	Network of differentially expressed genes in Caco-2 co-cultured	
	with L. rhamnosus (compared to Caco-2 cells not treated with	
	bacteria).	211
Figure 5.14	Ingenuity pathway for 'Differential Regulation of Cytokine	
	Production in Intestinal Epithelial Cells by IL-17A and IL-17F'	218
Figure 5.15	Ingenuity pathway for 'TREM1 Signalling'	221
Figure 5.16	Ingenuity pathway for 'Dendritic Cell Maturation'	223
Figure 5.17	Ingenuity pathway for 'IL-8 Signalling'	224

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Composition of cell culture medium.	54
Table 2.3	Volume of medium and number of Caco-2 cells added to cell culture inserts.	60
Table 4.2	Genes selected for qPCR analysis.	141
Table 4.4	Key IPA biological functions predicted to be induced in Caco-2 cells in the apical anaerobic environment (compared to Caco-2 cells in the co-culture chamber in a 5% CO <sub>2</sub> incubator).	148
Table 4.5	Key IPA biological functions predicted to be supressed in Caco-2 cells in the apical anaerobic environment (compared to Caco-2 cells in the co-culture chamber in a 5% CO <sub>2</sub> incubator).	150
Table 4.6	Gene ontology biological processes over-represented in differentially expressed genes from Caco-2 cells cultured in an apical anaerobic environment for 12 hours (compared to Caco-2 cells in the co-culture chamber in a 5% CO <sub>2</sub> incubator).	151
Table 4.7	IPA canonical pathways enriched with differentially expressed genes from Caco-2 cells cultured in an apical anaerobic environment for 12 hours (compared to Caco-2 cells in the co-culture chamber in a 5% CO <sub>2</sub> incubator).	158
Table 4.8	Predicted activation state of transcription factors in Caco-2 cells cultured in an apical anaerobic environment for 12 hours (compared to Caco-2 cells in the co-culture chamber in a 5% CO <sub>2</sub> incubator).	165
Table 5.2	Components of the 50% DMSO solution.	180
Table 5.3	Oligonucleotide sequences used for 16S sequence analysis	184
Table 5.5	Genes selected for qPCR analysis.	193
Table 5.7	Validation of microarray results by qPCR	202

Table 5.9	Key biological functions predicted to be increased or decreased in Caco-2 cells co-cultured with UV-killed <i>F. prausnitzii</i> (compared to Caco-2 cells not treated with bacteria).	214
Table 5.10	Key biological functions predicted to be increased or decreased in Caco-2 cells co-cultured with <i>F. prausnitzii</i> (compared to Caco-2 treated with UV-killed <i>F. prausnitzii</i> ).	215
Table 5.11	Canonical pathways enriched with differentially expressed genes from Caco-2 cells co-cultured with <i>F. prausnitzii</i> (compared to Caco-2 cells not treated with bacteria).	217
Table 5.13	Canonical pathways enriched with differentially expressed genes from Caco-2 cells co-cultured with UV-killed <i>F. prausnitzii</i> (compared to Caco-2 cells not treated with bacteria).	220
Table 5.15	Predicted activation state of transcription regulators in Caco-2 cells co-cultured with <i>F. prausnitzii</i> (compared to Caco-2 cells not treated with bacteria).	226
Table 5.16	Predicted activation state of transcription regulators in Caco-2 cells co-cultured with UV-killed <i>F. prausnitzii</i> (compared to Caco-2 cells not treated with bacteria).	228
Table 5.17	Predicted activation state of transcription regulators in Caco-2 cells co-cultured with <i>F. prausnitzii</i> (compared to Caco-2 treated with UV-killed <i>F. prausnitzii</i> )	230

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANOVA Analysis of variance

ATCC American Type Culture Collection

BHI Brain-heart infusion

BLASTN NCBI nucleotide Basic Local Alignment Search

CAR Coxsackie and adenovirus receptor

cDNA Complementary DNA

CFU Colony-forming units

cIAP Cellular inhibitor of apoptosis protein

CLA Conjugated linoleic acids

CRE cAMP response element

CREB CRE-binding protein

cRNA Complementary RNA

DC Dendritic cells

ddNTPs Dideoxynucleotides

DGGE Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis

DHA Docosahexaenoic acid

DMSO Dimethyl sulfoxide

DNA Deoxyribonucleic acid

dNTP Deoxyribonucleotide

DO Dissolved oxygen

DSMZ Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen

(German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures)

EDTA Ethylenediamine tetra-acetic acid

EGCG Epigallocatechin gallate

EHEC Enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli

EIEC Enteroinvasive Escherichia coli

EPA Eicosapentaenoic acid

EPEC Enteropathogenic Escherichia coli

ERK Extracellular signal regulated kinases

FBS Foetal bovine serum

FSH Follicle stimulating hormone

GFP Green fluorescent protein

GLA γ-linolenic acid

GO Gene ontology

hCGΔ Choriogonadotropin

HIF Hypoxia-inducible factor

IBD Inflammatory bowel disease

IEC6 Intestinal epithelial cell line 6

IFN Interferon

Ig Immunoglobulin

IKK IkB kinase

IL Interleukin

IPA Ingenuity Pathway Analysis

IRAK IL-1-receptor-asociated kinase

IRF IFN-regulatory factor

IκB Inhibitor of NF-κB

JAM Junctional adhesion molecules

KEGG Kyoto Encyclopaedia of Genes and Genomes

Lh Luteinising hormone

LOESS Locally weighted smoothing spline

LSD Least significant difference

M199 Medium 199

MAMPs Microbe-associated molecular patterns

MAPK Mitogen activated protein kinases

MDCK Madin Darby canine kidney

MLC Myosin II regulatory light chain

MLCK Myosin light chain kinase

MOI Multiplicity of infection

mRNA Messenger RNA

MRS Man, Rogosa and Sharpe

MyD88 Myeloid differentiation primary response gene

NCBI National Center for Biotechnology Information

NEAA Non-essential amino acids

NF-κB Nuclear factor kappa B

NOD Nucleotide-binding and oligomerisation-domain

NRF2 NF-E2-Related Factor 2

OD Optical density

PAMPs Pathogen-associated molecular patterns

pamr Prediction Analysis for Microarrays

PC Polycarbonate (Transwell® cell culture inserts)

PCA Principal component analysis

PCR Polymerase chain reaction

PDZ PSD95–DlgA–ZO-1 homology

PEPT1 H+/di-tripeptide transporter

PET Polyester (Transwell® cell culture inserts)

PGE2 Prostaglandin E2

PI Propidium iodide

PKC Protein kinase C

PLA2 Group IIA phospholipase A2

PPAR Peroxisome-proliferator-activated receptor

PRRs Pathogen recognition receptors

PTFE Polytetrafluoroethylene (Transwell® cell culture inserts)

q False discovery rate

REML Restricted maximum likelihood

RICK Receptor-interacting serine/threonine kinase

RNA Ribonucleic acid

RNase Ribonuclease A

ROCK Rho kinases

ROS Reactive oxygen species

rRNA Ribosomal RNA

SCFAs Short chain fatty acids

SDS Sodium dodecyl sulphate

SEM Standard error of the mean

SULTs Sulfotransferases

TAK-1 Transforming growth factor-β-activated kinase-1

TEER Transepithelial electrical resistance

TGF Transforming growth factor

TJ Tight junction

TLR Toll-like receptor

TNF Tumour necrosis factor

TNFR Tumour necrosis factor receptor

TRAF6 TNF-receptor-associated factor 6

TREM Triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cells

TSLP Thymic stromal lymphopoietin

UV Ultraviolet

VegF Vascular endothelial growth factor

ZO Zonula occludens