

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Comparison of two ultrafiltration  
membrane systems for whole milk feta  
cheese production.**

*A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the degree of*

**Master of Technology**

*In*

**Food Technology**

**At Massey University, Auckland,  
New Zealand.**

*Anusha Chollangi*

*2009*

## **Abstract**

Cheese is one of the most well known food products in the world dating back to the 8<sup>th</sup> century B.C. There are more than 2000 varieties of cheese that are manufactured all over the world. Feta cheese is a soft white cheese with a salty and slightly acidic taste, which has originated from Greece. Most of the feta cheese manufactured in Greece is consumed locally, the migration of greeks to other parts of the world led to a demand for feta cheese outside of Greece. The spreading of the popularity of feta cheese to other ethnic groups in different parts of the world resulted in the high demand for feta cheese worldwide.

The modern and most efficient method of feta cheese production involves a membrane filtration method, known as ultrafiltration. The ultrafiltration process utilises pressure as a driving force to concentrate milk by removal of water and small dissolved molecules. Hollow fibre and spiral wound ultrafiltration membranes are the two types of membranes that are commonly used for cheese production. An extensive amount of research exists on the implementation of ultrafiltration to improve the efficiency of the cheese making process and the performance of the membranes. However, limited research has been conducted on the comparison of the hollow fibre and spiral wound membrane performance in the cheese making process.

The objective of the research was to determine if the hollow fibre membranes used at Puhoi Valley Cheese can be replaced with spiral wound membranes without compromising the quality of cheese produced. In order to achieve the objective, feta cheese was produced using hollow fibre and spiral wound ultrafiltration pilot plants. The operating performances of the hollow fibre and spiral wound membrane units were compared. To ensure that the quality of cheese is maintained, the cheese manufactured on the pilot plant units was analysed in terms of composition, microbiology, texture and sensory properties.

The cheese made using the hollow fibre membrane pilot plant was compared with the reference sample from Puhoi Valley Cheese as they use hollow fibre membranes to produce feta cheese. The cheese made from the spiral wound membrane unit was also compared to that made by the hollow fibre membrane pilot plant unit. The operating parameters such as the inlet and outlet pressure, pressure difference along the membrane, transmembrane pressure, flow rate, recycle rate (bleed off rate),

temperature and the run time were recorded. The operating parameters of the hollow fibre and spiral wound runs were compared with the data from Puhoi Valley Cheese.

The quality of cheese made on the hollow fibre and spiral wound pilot plant units were evaluated in terms of composition, texture, microbiology and sensory properties. The composition was defined by the fat, protein, total solids and salt contents. The fat content was determined by utilising the modified Schmid-Bondzynski-Ratzlaff method, protein by the Kjeldahl method, total solids by using the air drying oven and salt percentage by the volhard method. The texture of the cheese was determined by the fracturability and hardness from the compression curve generated using the single bite compression test. The microbiological testing was performed according to New Zealand testing methods for *E.Coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, coliforms and yeast and mould. The difference from the control method was utilised for sensory evaluation. The acid degree value method was used to determine the lipase activity in feta cheese.

It was found from the composition, texture and sensory analysis that the cheese from the hollow fibre pilot plant was different from the cheese manufactured at Puhoi Valley Cheeses (PVC). The spiral wound cheeses were also found to be different to PVC cheese, however the spiral wound cheeses and the pilot plant hollow fibre cheese were the same. The differences between both the pilot plant cheeses and PVC cheese were in terms of the fat, salt, moisture contents and the lipase activity in the cheeses. The fat content in the hollow fibre and spiral wound pilot plant cheeses are lower in comparison to the PVC cheese. This difference in fat content is considered to be due to the difference in the fat to protein ratio of the milk concentrated on the pilot plant and the PVC ultrafiltration system. The lower fat content resulted in firmer cheese than PVC due to more cross linking between the protein strands in cheese.

The salt content in the cheeses made using the hollow fibre and spiral wound pilot plants was lower than Puhoi Valley Cheese. This is considered to be due to the low ratio of brine volume to cheese volume used for salting the cheese. The salt content of brine decreases during brining; hence a low ratio of brine volume to cheese volume causes a significant decrease in brine concentration. The decrease in brine concentration decreases the salt intake of the cheese. As salt diffuses in the moisture diffuses out, lower salt content results in higher moisture content in the cheese. As mentioned, the moisture content of the hollow fibre pilot plant cheese was higher than

the PVC cheese. The moisture content is inversely proportional to the total solids, hence higher moisture in pilot plant cheeses implies lower total solids than the PVC cheese.

The lipase activity results showed that the hollow fibre and spiral wound pilot plant cheeses had higher lipase activity than the Puhoi valley cheese. The differences in lipase activity of the pilot plant cheeses and Puhoi Valley cheese were considered to be due to the incomplete inactivation of lipase present in milk during pasteurisation. The results from texture and sensory evaluation support the above mentioned differences. The microbiology results for all pilot plant cheeses were within the trigger limits set by Puhoi valley cheeses.

The results from monitoring the operating parameters of both the pilot plant data show that the permeate flux decreases while the total solids in milk increase with time, which was also observed from the Puhoi Valley Cheese data. However, the rate of decrease of the permeate flux and the increase of the total solids in milk are dependent on the membrane area, feed volume, transmembrane pressure, pressure drop across the membrane and the flow characteristics.

The rate of decrease in permeate flux and the rate of increase in the total solids of the hollow fibre runs and spiral wound runs are slightly different. The difference is due to the availability of larger membrane surface area and processing of larger feed volume of milk in the spiral wound runs. The transmembrane pressure and the pressure drop across the membrane were maintained as close as possible to Puhoi Valley Cheese.

In conclusion, spiral wound membranes can be used to achieve the desired total solids concentration and successfully make the same feta cheese as the hollow fibre pilot plant. In order to make the same quality of feta cheese as Puhoi Valley Cheese using the spiral wound membrane pilot plant, the same composition of milk used for concentration at Puhoi Valley Cheese needs to be used on the spiral wound pilot plant unit. It is recommended that Puhoi Valley Cheeses should be replaced with spiral wound membranes if they are more economical in terms of cost than the hollow fibre membranes.

## **Acknowledgements**

I would like to acknowledge the following people for their assistance

- My supervisors Rod Bennett, Dr. Marie Wong from Massey University and Hamish Conway from Goodman Fielder.
- Kay McMath for sensory advice.
- All operators in the ultrafiltration plant, Meagan, Bex, Olive and Chris from the Micro lab at Puhoi Valley Cheeses.
- Anthony Light and Caroline Edge from Puhoi Valley Cheeses.
- Garry Radford, Steve Glasgow, Michelle Tamahera and Helen Matthews for technical assistance in the labs at Massey University.
- Anne Wilson, Mike Dunn and Sonja Lincoln from Goodman Fielder (Longburn division) for milk supply and microbiological analysis of samples.
- Byron McKillop, Chris Hall, Warwick from the product development lab at Massey University.
- Hugh Morton for statistical advice.

## Table of Contents

|  |    |
|--|----|
| 1.0 Introduction .....                                     | 1  |
| 2.0 Literature review .....                                | 3  |
| 2.1 <i>Introduction</i> .....                              | 3  |
| 2.2 <i>Membrane Filtration</i> .....                       | 3  |
| 2.2.1 What is membrane filtration? .....                   | 3  |
| 2.2.2 Types of membrane filtration .....                   | 4  |
| 2.2.3 Types of feed flow .....                             | 7  |
| 2.3 <i>Ultrafiltration</i> .....                           | 8  |
| 2.3.1 Applications.....                                    | 8  |
| 2.3.2 Ultrafiltration Membranes and Flux Models.....       | 9  |
| 2.3.3 Spiral wound membranes .....                         | 10 |
| 2.3.4 Hollow fibre membranes.....                          | 12 |
| 2.4 <i>Cheese</i> .....                                    | 18 |
| 2.4.1 Manufacturing process of Feta cheese .....           | 18 |
| 2.4.2 Composition of feta cheese .....                     | 23 |
| 2.4.3 Benefits of Ultrafiltration in cheese making .....   | 23 |
| 2.4.4 Limitations of ultrafiltration in cheese-making..... | 24 |
| 2.4.5 Factors affecting the quality of cheese.....         | 25 |
| 2.4.6 Effect of temperature on cheese-making .....         | 26 |
| 2.5 <i>Chemical analysis</i> .....                         | 27 |
| 2.5.1 pH .....   | 27 |
| 2.5.2 Milk Composition.....                                | 28 |
| 2.5.3 Fat in cheese .....                                  | 28 |
| 2.5.4 Protein .....  | 28 |
| 2.5.5 Acidity.....   | 29 |
| 2.5.6 Salt .....   | 29 |
| 2.5.7 Total Solids (TS) .....                              | 29 |
| 2.5.8 Lipase activity .....                                | 30 |
| 2.6 <i>Rheology and texture Analysis</i> .....             | 31 |
| 2.7 <i>Microbiology</i> .....                              | 31 |
| 2.8 <i>Sensory Analysis</i> .....                          | 32 |
| 2.8.1 Quality Scoring.....                                 | 32 |
| 2.8.2 Discrimination testing .....                         | 33 |
| 2.8.3 Description testing .....                            | 34 |

|  |    |
|--|----|
| 2.8.4 Time-intensity measurements.....                 | 34 |
| 2.8.5 Consumer acceptability testing.....              | 35 |
| 2.9 <i>Conclusions</i> .....                           | 35 |
| 3.0 Cheese-making at Puhoi Valley Cheeses.....         | 37 |
| 3.1 <i>Raw milk</i> .....                              | 38 |
| 3.2 <i>Pasteurisation</i> .....                        | 39 |
| 3.3 <i>Ultrafiltration</i> .....                       | 39 |
| 3.4 <i>Homogeniser and Heat exchanger</i> .....        | 41 |
| 3.5 <i>Starter Culture and Incubation</i> .....        | 41 |
| 3.6 <i>Enzyme</i> .....                                | 42 |
| 3.7 <i>Cutting, brining and packing</i> .....          | 43 |
| 4.0 Cheese making with pilot plant.....                | 44 |
| 4.1 <i>Experimental design</i> .....                   | 46 |
| 5.0 Methods of Analysis .....                          | 50 |
| 5.1 <i>Chemical Analysis</i> .....                     | 50 |
| 5.1.1 Composition of milk .....                        | 50 |
| 5.1.2 Protein in cheese .....                          | 50 |
| 5.1.3 Fat in cheese .....                              | 50 |
| 5.1.4 Total solids and moisture content in cheese..... | 50 |
| 5.1.5 Salt in cheese .....                             | 51 |
| 5.1.6 Lipase activity .....                            | 51 |
| 5.2 <i>Microbiology</i> .....                          | 51 |
| 5.3 <i>Sensory</i> .....                               | 51 |
| 6.0 Results and Discussion .....                       | 53 |
| 6.1 <i>Ultrafiltration runs</i> .....                  | 53 |
| 6.1.1 Fluxes .....                                     | 56 |
| 6.1.2 Increase in total solids.....                    | 59 |
| 6.1.3. Total solids vs. permeate flux.....             | 62 |
| 6.2 <i>Chemical Analyses of Feta Cheese</i> .....      | 70 |
| 6.2.1 Protein, fat, moisture and salt content.....     | 70 |
| 6.2.2 Lipase activity .....                            | 73 |
| 6.3 <i>Sensory</i> .....                               | 76 |
| 6.3.1 Degree of difference .....                       | 76 |
| 6.3.2 Difference in terms of attributes.....           | 78 |
| 6.4 <i>Microbiology</i> .....                          | 80 |



|   |     |
|---|-----|
| 6.5 Texture .....   | 81  |
| 7.0 Discussion .....  | 84  |
| 7.1 Composition .....   | 84  |
| 7.1.1 Comparison of cheese made using Hollow fibre unit against PVC cheese .... | 85  |
| 7.1.2 Comparison of cheese made using Spiral wound unit against PVC cheese ...  | 87  |
| 7.2 Texture .....   | 88  |
| 7.2.1 Comparison of cheese made using Hollow fibre unit against PVC cheese .... | 88  |
| 7.2.2 Comparison of cheese made using Spiral wound unit against PVC cheese ...  | 89  |
| 7.3 Lipase activity .....   | 90  |
| 7.3.1 Comparison of cheese made using Hollow fibre unit against PVC cheese .... | 90  |
| 7.3.2 Comparison of cheese made using Spiral wound unit against PVC cheese ...  | 91  |
| 7.4 Sensory.....  | 92  |
| 7.4.1 Comparison of cheese made using Hollow fibre unit against PVC cheese .... | 92  |
| 7.4.2 Comparison of cheese made using Spiral wound unit against PVC cheese ...  | 93  |
| 8.0 Conclusions .....   | 95  |
| 9.0 Recommendations for further work.....                                       | 97  |
| 10.0 References .....   | 98  |
| Appendix - A. Data sheet.....   | 104 |
| Appendix – B. Ultrafiltration operating procedure .....                         | 105 |
| Appendix – C. Methods.....  | 109 |
| Appendix – D. Raw Data.....   | 124 |

## List of Figures

|   |    |
|---|----|
| <i>Figure 1. Four different types of pressure driven membrane filtration processes</i><br>(Nielsen, 2000).....  | 6  |
| <i>Figure 2. Schematic of dead end flow through the membrane, showing the build up of large particles on the membrane surface (Baker, 2005).....</i>        | 7  |
| <i>Figure 3. Schematic of cross flow or tangential flow through the membrane, showing the sweeping action of the feed flow (Baker, 2005). .....</i>         | 7  |
| <i>Figure 4. Schematic of a Spiral wound module showing the direction of the feed flow and the set up of the spiral wound membrane (Cheryan, 1998).....</i> | 10 |
| <i>Figure 5. Cross sectional view of an individual hollow fibre, the pores are clearly visible on the fibre (Cheryan, 1998).....</i>                        | 12 |

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Figure 6. Schematic of the shell and tube arrangement and the flow directions of the hollow fibre module (Yeh et al., 2003). .....  | 12 |
| Figure 7. Plot of flow rate vs. pressure drop of hollow fibre and spiral wound modules. It can be observed that the spiral wound shows turbulent flow characteristics whereas hollow fibre shows laminar flow characteristics (Cheryan 1998). .....   | 17 |
| Figure 8. Summarised flow chart of the traditional cheese making process (Mallatou et al., 1994). .....   | 19 |
| Figure 9. Summarised flow chart of the manufacturing process of feta cheese utilising ultrafiltration (Scott, 1998). .....  | 20 |
| Figure 10. The structure of casein micelle and casein submicelle (Farrell et al., 2006). .....  | 27 |
| Figure 11. Flow chart of cheese production from raw milk delivery to packaging as at Puhoi valley cheeses. ....   | 37 |
| Figure 12. (A) The layout of one bank of 12 hollow fibre cartridge of the ultrafiltration system as at PVC. (B) The direction of the flow in, permeate and retentate/concentrate. ....  | 40 |
| Figure 13. Flow chart of the pilot plant cheese making process utilised while using either hollow fibre or spiral wound ultrafiltration pilot plant units. ....   | 44 |
| Figure 14. Schematic of hollow fibre membrane ultrafiltration pilot plant. ....   | 48 |
| Figure 15. Schematic of the spiral wound membrane ultrafiltration pilot plant. ....   | 49 |
| Figure 16. Plot of permeate flux (LMH) versus time (minutes) for runs on hollow fibre at $\Delta P$ of 0.3 bar, spiral wound unit at $\Delta P$ of 0.3 and 1.0 bar. Data are mean $\pm$ SE ( $n = 4$ ). .....   | 56 |
| Figure 17. Plot of permeate flux (LMH or Litres per Meter squared Hour) versus time (minutes) data is mean $\pm$ SE (PVC $n = 10$ , HF $n = 4$ ) PVC runs operated with $\Delta P$ of 1.0 bar and hollow fibre pilot plant operated with $\Delta P$ of 0.3 bar, both operated between 45-50°C. .... | 58 |
| Figure 18. Plot of total solids % $w/w$ versus time (minutes) for hollow fibre at $\Delta P$ of 0.3 bar, spiral wound at $\Delta P$ of 0.3 bar and 1.0 bar. Each set of data is the mean $\pm$ SE ( $n = 4$ ). .....  | 59 |
| Figure 19. Graph of total solids % $w/w$ versus time (minutes), data is mean $\pm$ SE (PVC $n = 10$ , HF $n = 4$ ). PVC runs operated with $\Delta P$ of 1.0 bar and hollow fibre pilot plant operated with $\Delta P$ of 0.3 bar, both operated between 45-50°C. ....                              | 61 |

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Figure 20. Plot of permeate flux (LMH) versus total solids (%) for runs on hollow fibre at $\Delta P$ of 0.3bar, spiral wound unit at $\Delta P$ of 0.3 bar and 1.0 bar. Data are a representation of mean $\pm$ SE (n=4).....  | 63 |
| Figure 21. Graph of permeate flux (LMH) versus time (minutes) for runs at different concentrate recycle rates of 7.5 and 12 litres per minute on spiral wound unit at $\Delta P$ of 0.3 bar. The 12L/min data is a mean $\pm$ SE (n = 4) s and 7.5L/min data (n = 1). .....   | 64 |
| Figure 22. Graph of total solids (% w/w) versus time (minutes) for different recycle rates of 7.5 and 12 litres per minute for runs on spiral wound unit at $\Delta P$ of 0.3 bar. The 12L/min data is a mean $\pm$ SE (n = 4) s and 7.5L/min data (n = 1).....   | 66 |
| Figure 23. Plot of permeate flux (LMH) versus time (minutes) for runs on spiral wound unit with $\Delta P$ of 1.0 bar at concentrate recycle rate of 7.5 and 12 litres per minute. The 12L/min data is a mean $\pm$ SE (n = 4) and 7.5L/min data (n = 1). .....   | 67 |
| Figure 24. Graph of total solids (%) versus time (minutes) for runs on spiral wound unit at $\Delta P$ of 1.0 bar at concentrate recycle rates of 7.5 and 12 litres per minute. The 12L/min data is a mean $\pm$ SE (n = 4) and 7.5L/min data (n = 1). .....  | 68 |
| Figure 25. Graph of protein, fat, total solids and salt content in feta cheese made using hollow fibre and spiral wound at $\Delta P$ of 0.3 bar and 1.0 bar and the reference sample from PVC.....   | 70 |
| Figure 26. Graph of lipase activity as measured in acid degree value (ADV) after two and four months of storage time of cheese made by concentrating milk on hollow fibre, spiral wound unit operated at differential pressures of 0.3 and 1.0 bar and the reference cheese from PVC. Storage temperature of 5°C.   | 74 |
| Figure 27. Graph of degree of difference detected by the judges for all the cheese made on hollow fibre unit and spiral wound at two differential pressures compared to the reference feta cheese from PVC. (n = 27 $\pm$ SE).....  | 77 |
| Figure 28. Plot of difference in terms of attributes as defined by the judges during sensory evaluation over a period of three months for cheese made on hollow fibre and spiral wound at transmembrane pressure of 1.0 and 1.3 bar compared to the reference feta cheese from PVC ( n= 27 $\pm$ SE). The frequency represents the number of judges that perceived that specific attribute..... | 79 |

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| <i>Figure 29. Graph of fracturability and hardness of cheese made using the concentrated milk from the hollow fibre unit at 0.3 bar differential pressure, spiral wound unit at differential pressure of 0.3 and 1.0 bar and the reference from PVC for all batches of cheese. All data are mean <math>\pm</math> SE (n = 4 runs).....</i> | <i>82</i> |
|--|-----------|

## **List of Tables**

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| <i>Table 1. The inlet pressure (<math>P_{in}</math>), outlet pressure (<math>P_{out}</math>), pressure difference along membrane (<math>\Delta P</math>), transmembrane pressure (TMP), pressure drop per unit length (<math>\Delta P/L</math>) and the milk volume to membrane area ratio for the runs on hollow fibre, spiral wound pilot plants and PVC ultrafiltration system. ...</i> | <i>53</i> |
| <i>Table 2. The milk volume, concentrate collected, operating temperature and the run time for the hollow fibre, spiral wound pilot plant and PVC runs. The pilot plant data is an average of four runs, where as the PVC data is an average of ten runs. ....</i>   | <i>54</i> |
| <i>Table 3. The pH of concentrate before enzyme addition, before cutting the set cheese and after brining the cheese for the pilot plant runs and the PVC runs. The pilot plant data are mean <math>\pm</math> SE (n=4), the PVC data (n=10).....</i>  | <i>55</i> |
| <i>Table 4. The initial and final feed, permeate flow rate and the recycle rate for the hollow fibre, spiral wound and PVC runs. The data is the mean <math>\pm</math> SE (n=4) for the pilot plant and for PVC (n=10). ....</i>   | <i>56</i> |
| <i>Table 5. Microbiological results for all batches of cheese made from milk concentrated in ultrafiltration pilot plants after four months of storage time. All data is representative of four replicates. ....</i>   | <i>81</i> |