

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

WHOLE FARM PLANNING
FOR A LARGE SCALE SHEEP AND BEEF CATTLE FARM
"A CASE STUDY"

Miguel G B Gomes

1991

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Agricultural Science in
Farm Management
at
Massey University, New Zealand

ABSTRACT

The use of pasture by grazing animals is the basis of New Zealand pastoral agriculture. Therefore, a major part of the management of pastoral-based farms concerns decisions about the allocation of feed to livestock.

The aims of this study were first, to develop a linear programming (LP) model for the case study farm. Secondly, to use this model to determine optimal farm plans and associated information. Thirdly, to evaluate the technique as to its suitability as an aid to farm planning.

The LP model developed in this study was built to investigate alternative means of increasing farm profitability for Limestone Downs, a large sheep and beef cattle property situated on Raglan County. The model allows pasture to be used by grazing animals in 12 periods throughout the year, subject to maximum and minimum constraints on pasture cover which have the effect of limiting the amount of feed that can be transferred from one period to the next. Supplementary feed activities within the model include hay and nitrogen.

The animal production activities considered by the model were: (1) a breeding sheep system producing meat and wool; (2) a beef cattle system comprising breeding and finishing stock; and (3) a bull beef system.

The model was used to optimise farm surplus (\$/farm).

The results demonstrated that farm profitability could be substantially increased in direct proportion to the rate at which bull beef substitutes for, firstly sheep, and secondly breeding and finishing cattle.

However, to attain higher profits, current management constraints, in particular the maximum number of Friesian bulls and/or the minimum number of breeding ewes on the property will have to be overcome. Investigation of these issues as well as the effect of climatic variability on the various plans derived are clearly identified as areas requiring further study and consideration.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to express my gratitude to Mr. Kevin I. Lowe, Associate Professor in Farm Management, Massey University, for his guidance and assistance in all aspects of this study. We both travelled a long way to make this study possible. He is also responsible for a considerable improvement in my oral comprehension of the Kiwi English.

Also to the staff at Limestone Downs who shared their experience and good humour and willingly gave their time during the many times I was there.

I benefited from discussions with several other staff members at Massey University, in particular Mr. Alan F. McRae, from the numerous times I knocked on his door.

I am also very grateful to Professor Frank M. Anderson for his time and patience in introducing me to linear programming in the early stages of this study.

Special thanks to Dr. Ian Brookes, who developed the models used to calculate animal feed requirements.

Other thanks go to:

Denise and Christine,
my classmates for useful discussions and a bit of distraction,
my flatmates and friends for their friendship and help,

and

my parents, for their encouragement and "financial" support.

Partial funding of this study was provided by the Ministry of External Relations and Trade.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
Chapter 1	Introduction	
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Pastoral Farming in New Zealand	1
1.3	Motivation for the Study	4
1.4	Objectives of the Study	4
1.5	Thesis Outline	5
Chapter 2	Limestone Downs	
2.1	Introduction	6
2.2	Physical Characteristics	6
2.2.1	Climate	6
2.2.2	Soils	7
2.2.3	Fertiliser	9
2.2.4	Pastures	10
2.2.5	Subdivision	10
2.2.6	Livestock Management	11
2.2.7	Physical Performance	14
2.2.8	Financial Performance	15
2.3	Conclusion	17
Chapter 3	Systems Modelling	
3.1	Introduction	18
3.2	The Reductionism Research Concept	18
3.3	The Systems Research Concept	19
3.4	The Principles of Farm Management	20
3.5	Farm Planning Models	21
3.6	Model Definition	22

	Page
3.7	Nature of Models 22
3.8	Purpose of Modelling 24
3.9	Problems in Applying Planning Models 25
3.10	Conclusion 25
Chapter 4	Methodology
4.1	Introduction 27
4.2	Choice of Model 27
4.3	Theoretical Aspects of LP Model Building 28
4.3.1	Introduction 28
4.3.2	Definition 29
4.3.3	Assumptions of Linear Programming 31
4.3.4	Algebraic Formulation of an LP Problem 32
4.3.5	Solving an LP Problem 33
4.3.6	Information Provided by the Optimal Solution 35
4.3.7	Sensitivity Analysis 36
4.3.8	Steps for LP Model-building 38
4.4	Conclusion 40
Chapter 5	The Model
5.1	Introduction 41
5.2	Activities 41
5.2.1	Pasture Production 41
5.2.2	Pasture Cover 43
5.2.3	Feed Wasting 43
5.2.4	Hay Making 44
5.2.5	Hay Feeding 44
5.2.6	Nitrogen 44
5.2.7	Animal Production Activities 45

5.3	Constraints	68
5.3.1	Land	68
5.3.2	Feed Constraints	69
5.3.3	Pasture Cover Constraints	70
5.3.4	Hay Row	71
5.3.5	Nitrogen Row	71
5.3.6	Sheep Constraints	71
	5.3.6.1 Lamb	71
	5.3.6.2 Wool Row	72
	5.3.6.3 Replacement Ewe Row	72
	5.3.6.4 Cull Ewe Row	72
	5.3.6.5 Minimum Sheep Row	72
5.3.7	Beef Cattle Constraints	73
	5.3.7.1 Calf Row	73
	5.3.7.2 Replacement Cow Row	73
	5.3.7.3 Cull Cow Row	73
5.3.8	Bull Beef Constraints	74
	5.3.8.1 Bull Calf Row	74
	5.3.8.2 Maximum Bull Row	74
	5.3.8.3 Beef Schedule Rows	74

Chapter 6 Data Analysis, Results and Discussion

6.1	Limitations of the Model	75
6.2	Results	76
6.2.1	The Optimal Plan	76
6.2.2	Sheep Activities included in the Optimal Solution	78
6.2.3	Sheep Activities not included in the Optimal Solution	79
6.2.4	Beef Cattle Activities in the Optimal Solution	80
6.2.5	Beef Cattle Activities not included in the Optimal Solution	82
6.2.6	Pasture Production Activity	84
6.2.7	Feed Wasting Activities	84

	Page
6.2.8 Hay Activities	84
6.2.9 Nitrogen Activities	85
6.2.10 Pasture Cover Activities	86
6.3 Comparison of Optimal and Current Plans	88
6.3.1 Sheep Scenario	88
6.3.2 Beef Cattle Scenario	90
6.4 Conclusion	91
Chapter 7	Sensitivity Analysis
7.1 Introduction	92
7.2 Results	93
7.3 Discussion	95
7.3.1 Plan I	95
7.3.2 Plan II	95
7.3.3 Plan III	96
7.3.4 Plan IV	97
7.3.5 Plan V	98
7.3.6 Other Responses to Constraint Variation	98
7.4 Conclusion	99
Chapter 8	Conclusions
8.1 Introduction	100
8.2 Evaluation of the Planning Tool	100
8.3 Areas for Further Research	101
8.4 Conclusions	103

FIGURES

	Page	
1.1	Trend for Sheep and Beef Cattle Numbers since 1971	3
2.1	Rotational crossbreeding programme at Limestone Downs	12
4.1	Structure of Algorithms	
	(Adapted from Hillier and Lieberman, 1990)	34
5.1	Friesian Bull Activities	
	Liveweight Profiles and Slaughtering Options	67
6.1	Pasture Cover Levels for the Optimal and Current Plans	86
6.2	Sheep Numbers for the Current and Optimal Plans	89
6.3	Cattle Numbers for the Current and Optimal Plans	90
7.1	Pasture Cover Levels for Plans, I, II, III, IV and V	94

TABLES

	Page	
1.1	New Zealand's Sheep and Beef Production Exported	2
2.1	Monthly Rainfall (mm)	7
2.2	Soil Test Analysis Results	9
2.3	Stock Numbers	14
2.4	Farm Working Account	16
4.1	An LP Tableau	40
5.1	Pasture Growth Rates and Pasture Quality	42
5.2	Breeding Ewe Activity - BRDEWE	45
5.3	Breeding Ewe - Liveweight and Feed Requirements	46
5.4	Replacement Hogget Activity - REPHGT	47
5.5	Replacement Hogget - Liveweight and Feed Requirements	47
5.6	Ram Lamb Selling Activity - FATMALMB	48
5.7	Ram Lamb - Liveweight and Feed Requirements	49
5.8	Ewe Hogget Selling Activity - HGTSELL	49
5.9	Ewe Hogget Activity - Liveweight and Feed Requirements	50
5.10	Breeding Cow Activity - BRDCOW	51
5.11	Breeding Cow - Liveweight and Feed Requirements	52
5.12	Replacement Heifer Activity - REPHFR	53
5.13	Replacement Heifer - Liveweight and Feed Requirements	54
5.14	Heifer Finishing Activity - FATHFR	55
5.15	Heifer Finishing Activity - FATHFR Liveweight, Liveweight Gain and Feed Requirements	56
5.16	Steer Finishing Activities	57
5.17	Steer Finishing Activities FATSTAPR (1), FATSTJUN (2) and FATSTNOV (3) Liveweight, Liveweight Gain and Feed Requirements	58
5.18	Once-bred Heifer Activity - OBHFR	59
5.19	Once-bred Heifer - Liveweight and Feed Requirements	60
5.20	OBH Heifer Finishing Activity - OBFTHFR	61
5.21	OBH Heifer Finishing Activity - OBFTHFR Liveweight, Liveweight Gain and Feed Requirements	61
5.22	OBH Steer Finishing Activities	62

	Page	
5.23	OBH Steer Finishing Activities OBSTAPR (1), OBSTJUN (2) and OBSTNOV (3) Liveweight, Liveweight Gain and Feed Requirements	63
5.24	Friesian Bull Finishing Activities	64
5.25	Friesian Bull Finishing Activities FB1YDEC (1), FB1YFEB (2), FB1YAPR (3), FB1YJUN (4) Liveweight, Liveweight Gain and Feed Requirements	65
5.26	Friesian Bull Finishing Activity - FB2YDEC Liveweight and Feed Requirements	66
5.27	Beef Schedules	68
6.1	The Optimal and Current Plans	77
6.2	Price Range over which the Optimal Plan Remains Constant	78
6.3	Shadow Costs for Non-basis Sheep Activities	79
6.4	Range Over which the Activity's Level in the Optimal Plan Remains Constant	81
6.5	Shadow Costs for Non-basis Beef Cattle Activities	83
6.6	Shadow Cost for Hay Making Activities	84
6.7	Shadow Cost for Nitrogen	85
6.8	Shadow Prices for feed in each Month	87
7.1	Plans I, II, III, IV and V	93
7.2	Percentage of Bulls in each Finishing Policy Option for the Optimal Plan and Plan III	96