Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# Changing the metabolism of dogs (*Canis familiaris*) and cats (*Felis catus*) at rest and during exercise by manipulation of dietary macronutrients

Shay Rebekah Hill 2010

# Changing the metabolism of dogs (*Canis familiaris*) and cats (*Felis catus*) at rest and during exercise by manipulation of dietary macronutrients

## A thesis presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

### DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY Nutritional Science

At Massey University Palmerston North, New Zealand

Shay Rebekah Hill

2010

# **Table of Contents**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS		9
ABSTRACT		11
ABBREVIATIONS		15
INDEX OF TABLES		17
INDEX OF FIGURES		20
STATEMENT OF RESEARCH CONT	RIBUTION	27
CHAPTER ONE: REVIEW OF LITER	RATURE	28
<b>1.1 EVOLUTION OF THE DOG</b>		29
1.2 HISTORY OF DOG FOOD AND F	EEDING REGIMENS	31
<b>1.3 MACRONUTRIENTS IN THE DO</b>	G'S DIET	34
1.3.1 Carbohydrates		34
1.3.2 Proteins		34
1.3.3 Fats		35
1.4 DIGESTION, ABSORPTION AND	METABOLISM	37
1.4.1 Digestion of Carbohydrates		39
1.4.2 Digestion of Proteins		41
1.4.3 Digestion of Fats		43

1.5 LIFEST	TAGE REQUIREMENTS	44
1.5.1 Grow	th	44
1.5.2 Maint	tenance	46
1.5.3 Pregn	ancy and Lactation	47
1.5.4 Geria	tric	49
1.6 WORK	ING/PERFORMANCE DOGS	51
1.6.1 Sourc	es of Energy for Muscles	52
1.6.2 Types	s of Exercise and Energy Sources Used	55
1.6.3 Musc	le Fibres	57
1.6.4 Chang	ges with Exercise	58
1.6.5 Feedi	ng Regimens	61
1.6.6 Dieta	ry Requirements	61
1.6.6.1 En	ergy	62
1.6.6.2 Ca	rbohydrates	63
1.6.6.3 Pro	oteins	64
1.6.6.4 Fa	ts	65
1.7 DIETA	RY STRATEGIES FOR WORKING DOGS	66
1.7.1 Carbo	ohydrate Loading	67
1.7.2 Fat A	daptation	68
1.7.3 Fuel 1	Mixes for Different Canine Athletes	69
<b>1.8 COMP</b> A	ARISONS WITH THE CAT	70
<b>1.9 WHER</b>	E TO FROM HERE?	74

#### CHAPTER TWO: THE EFFECTS OF THE PROPORTIONS OF DIETARY MACRONUTRIENTS ON THE DIGESTIBILITY, POST-

# PRANDIAL ENDOCRINE RESPONSES AND LARGE INTESTINALFERMENTATION OF CARBOHYDRATE IN WORKING DOGS76

#### **2.1 INTRODUCTION**

2.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	79
2.2.1 Animals and Housing	79
2.2.2 Diets and Feeding	80
2.2.3 Digestibility of the Diets	82
2.2.4 Post-prandial Changes in Concentrations of Glucose and Insulin	83
2.2.5 Post-prandial Changes in the Concentration of Breath Hydrogen	84
2.2.6 Statistical Analysis	86

#### **2.3 RESULTS**

2.3.1 Digestibility of the Diets	87
2.3.2 Post-prandial Changes in Concentrations of Glucose and Insulin	88
2.3.3 Post-prandial Changes in the Concentration of Breath Hydrogen	91

#### **2.4 DISCUSSION**

93

87

#### CHAPTER THREE: THE EFFECTS OF THE PROPORTIONS OF DIETARY MACRONUTRIENTS ON THE DIGESTIBILITY AND POST-PRANDIAL ENDOCRINE RESPONSES IN CATS 96

# 3.1 INTRODUCTION 97 3.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS 100

5.2 NIATERIALS AND WEIHODS	100
3.2.1 Animals and Housing	100
3.2.2 Diets and Feeding	101

3.2.3 Digestibility of the Diets	102
3.2.4 Post-prandial Changes in Concentrations of Glucose	103
3.2.5 Statistical Analysis	105
3.3 RESULTS	107
3.3.1 Weight Maintenance	107
3.3.2 Digestibility of the Diets	107
3.3.3 Drinking Water Intakes and Urine Characteristics	108
3.3.4 Post-prandial Changes in Concentrations of Glucose	110
3.4 DISCUSSION	112
CHAPTER FOUR: THE EFFECTS OF THE PROPORTION	IS OF
DIETARY MACRONUTRIENTS AND DIET FORM ON WI	EIGHT
MAINTENANCE, DIGESTIBILITY AND POST-PRANDIAL	L
ENDOCRINE RESPONSES IN CATS	116
4.1 INTRODUCTION	117
4.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	119
4.2.1 Animals and Housing	119
4.2.2 Diets and Feeding	119
4.2.3 Weight Maintenance	121
4.2.4 Digestibility of the Diets	121
4.2.5 Post-prandial Changes in Concentrations of Glucose	122
4.2.6 Statistical Analysis	122
4.3 RESULTS	123
4.3.1 Weight Maintenance	123

	1		
1	•	١	١
			J

4.3.2 Digestibility of the Diets	
4.3.3 Drinking Water Intakes and Urine Characteristics	126
4.3.4 Post-prandial Changes in Concentrations of Glucose	127
4.4 DISCUSSION	130
CHAPTER FIVE: THE EFFECTS OF THE PROPORTIONS	OF
DIETARY MACRONUTRIENTS ON DIGESTIBILITY AND	EXERCISE
IN WORKING DOGS	135
5.1 INTRODUCTION	136
5.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	138
5.2.1 Animals and Housing	138
5.2.2 Diets and Feeding	139
5.2.3 Digestibility of the Diets	140
5.2.4 Treadmill Study	140
5.2.5 Statistical Analysis	142
5.3 RESULTS	144
5.3.1 Digestibility of the Diets	144
5.3.2 Ambient Temperature	144
5.3.3 Rectal Temperature	145
5.3.4 Heart Rate	146
5.3.5 Concentration of Plasma Glucose	148
5.3.6 Concentration of Plasma Triglycerides	149
5.3.7 Concentration of Serum Free Fatty Acids	150

#### **5.4 DISCUSSION**

CHAPTER SIX: THE EFFECTS OF THE PROPORTIONS OF DIETA	RY
MACRONUTRIENTS ON DIGESTIBILITY, EXERCISE AND	
IMMUNITY IN WORKING DOGS	155

#### **6.1 INTRODUCTION**

6.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	158
6.2.1 Animals and Housing	158
6.2.2 Diets and Feeding	159
6.2.3 Digestibility of the Diets	160
6.2.4 Treadmill Study	160

6.2.5 Statistical Analysis	162

6.3 RESULTS	164
6.3.1 Digestibility of the Diets	164
6.3.2 Ambient Temperature	164
6.3.3 Rectal Temperature	165
6.3.4 Heart Rate	166
6.3.5 Concentration of Plasma Glucose	168
6.3.6 Concentration of Plasma Triglycerides	169
6.3.7 Concentration of Serum Free Fatty Acids	170
6.3.8 Concentration of Blood Lactate	171

6.3.9 Immune Parameters1	.73

#### **6.4 DISCUSSION**

# CHAPTER SEVEN: THE EFFECTS OF THE PROPORTIONS OFDIETARY MACRONUTRIENTS ON DIGESTIBILITY AND FUELUTILISATION DURING EXERCISE IN WORKING DOGS184

#### 7.1 INTRODUCTION

7.2 MATERIALS AND METHODS	188
7.2.1 Animals and Housing	188
7.2.2 Diets and Feeding	189
7.2.3 Digestibility of the Diets	190
7.2.4 Treadmill Study	191
7.2.5 Statistical Analysis	195
7.3 RESULTS	197
7.3.1 Digestibility of the Diets	197
7.3.2 Ambient Temperature	197
7.3.3 Rectal Temperature	198
7.3.4 Heart Rate	199
7.3.5 Concentrations of Plasma Glucose and Blood Lactate	199
7.3.6 Concentrations of Plasma Triglycerides and Serum Free Fatty Acids	201
7.3.7 Concentrations of Creatine Kinase and Complete Blood Counts	203
7.3.8 Immune Parameters	205
7.3.9 Respiratory Exchange Ratio (RER)	210
7.4 DISCUSSION	212
CHAPTER EIGHT: GENERAL DISCUSSION	218
REFERENCES	229
<b>APPENDIX ONE: PUBLICATION OF CHAPTER 2</b>	252

185

#### Acknowledgements

When I decided to leave my job to return to Massey, I had no idea what I was getting myself in for! This PhD has been challenging but it has also been one of the best decisions I have made, and with the support of my family and friends I have finished.

Firstly I would like to thank my supervisors Dr Kay Rutherfurd-Markwick and Dr David Thomas who helped me with the hardest part of this thesis; the write up and editing process. Dr Thomas was always available when any help or advice was needed, and managed to find more funding when supplies ran out. I am also extremely grateful to Dr Rutherfurd-Markwick for her quick to response to queries, her assistance with the immune part of the PhD and most importantly the encouragement she gave me when it was really needed in the final stages.

I would also like to acknowledge and thank the other three members of my supervisory team, who were involved at various stages of my research. Dr Claudia Ugarte was heavily involved with my first trial and her knowledge and assistance with the design and execution of this trial was greatly appreciated. Dr Rana Ravindran was involved from the beginning and I would like to thank her particularly for her expertise of statistics and carbohydrates. Finally I would like to thank Dr Nick Cave for his enthusiasm, vast veterinary and nutrition knowledge, and unique ideas.

The biggest support I have received throughout the course of my PhD is that of my family and friends. My husband Aaron has been the cornerstone of my success, he encouraged me to begin my PhD, and I couldn't have done it without him, his belief in me, and his practical skills in helping to develop the calorimetry masks for my final trial. I am eternally grateful to my parents who provided encouragement at all the right times, support of every kind, and their belief in my abilities has played a large part in my academic success throughout the years. Others who need thanking are my close friends who have made life easy during this time by keeping me company when I needed a break and leaving me alone when they knew I needed to work.

I would also like to sincerely thank Kelly O'Flaherty and Maggie Honeyfield-Ross for their assistance during this research, for their willingness to help, and the many hours spent

exercising dogs on treadmills and consuming jellybeans and gingernuts! More important to me than this is the great friendships I have developed with them both.

Additionally I thank Margreet Hekman and Karin Weidgraaf, for their assistance with cat and dog trial work, which I could not have completed without their help. Yvette Cottam for help on trial days and statistical advice, Clare Brown for the many hours of help she gave during the first dog study and Colin Naftel for freeze drying samples. The laboratory analysis, advice and reporting carried out by the IFNHH Nutrition lab, particularly Felicity Jackson, Leiza Turnbull and Vilma Rodriguez. Michelle McGrath, for help on trial days, and analysis of the immune parameters measured. John Pedley for always displaying such a helpful attitude with repairs to equipment and Stephen Stannard for his advice in regards to the calorimetry trial. Mathew Barnes and Neil Ward for being so forthcoming with my many queries regarding the calorimetry part of the research. The many students and casual workers for help with some or all of the trials.

Willem Vandeveen for his assistance with diet formulations and for the many hours spent producing diets in the extruder at Massey. Mark brown and the rest of the team at Heinz Watties for their support and help with diet preparation.

#### Funding

Heinz Watties' New Zealand Limited provided funding to support me financially for the majority of my PhD and for all of the trials undertaken and for this I am extremely grateful. Trial work was further supported by money received from the Institute of Food, Nutrition and Human Health at Massey University. Finally I would also like to thank the Dillon Scholarship for the 2 years of grants I received from them to further help me with personal costs.

#### Abstract

Worldwide, dogs are used for a vast range of activities, however, in New Zealand the most valuable and common are those that play a key role in the daily management of farms. These dogs are invaluable for farmers and therefore providing them with optimum care and nutrition is of paramount importance. However, despite their importance, there is very little information regarding the nutrition of these 'intermediate' working dogs and others such as hunt and agility dogs, although a significant amount of research exists focussing on sled dogs and greyhounds; the marathon runners and sprinters of the canine world. Today many farmers in New Zealand feed home kill meat to their dogs, often supplemented with commercial dry biscuits. The major issues associated with these diets are that home kill can be deficient in many micronutrients; while commercial dog biscuits contain high carbohydrate levels and large quantities of both of these feeds need to be consumed for the dog to meet its nutrient requirements. The ideal diet for working dogs should be highly digestible, palatable and energy dense as their stomach capacity is limited and they are often too tired to eat a large amount after exercise. Ultimately the goals of nutrition for working dogs should be to maintain health and immune function, minimise injury and optimise performance by providing a sustained energy source during their long periods of exercise.

The aims of this thesis were to evaluate the effects of dietary macronutrients on animal metabolism during exercise and at rest in cats and dogs. This was achieved by determining apparent digestibility, post-prandial glycaemic and insulinaemic responses, large intestinal carbohydrate fermentation, weight maintenance, exercise performance, immunity and fuel utilisation during exercise in working dogs (with exercise or at rest) and/or cats (at rest). Diets with macronutrient profiles giving better glycaemic control and increased satiety may be beneficial for the cat especially because the incidence of feline obesity, the most common form of malnutrition seen in cats in the western world, and diabetes mellitus are increasing worldwide. It was hypothesised that a diet high in protein and low in carbohydrate would be beneficial for dogs and cats at maintenance and working dogs; being closer to their natural diets (carnivorous) than many of the commercial diets available today (Zoran 2002; Rand and Marshall 2005; Bradshaw 2006; Kirk 2006; Backus *et al.* 2007) and perhaps offering advantages such as better glycaemic control, maintenance of weight, higher digestibility and increased performance during exercise.

An initial study was carried out in working dogs at maintenance fed either a highcarbohydrate (low-protein) or high-protein (low- carbohydrate) diet. The results of this study demonstrated the high-protein diet was more digestible, produced a slower, more sustained release of glucose thus affording better glycaemic control, and was therefore likely to be of benefit to working dogs and also to pet dogs suffering from diabetes mellitus. This diet was therefore deemed suitable to use in further trials to investigate if similar results could be obtained in cats and if measurable performance benefits could be achieved in exercising dogs fed this diet.

The trial in cats used a newly developed marginal ear vein prick technique for blood sampling to measure glucose and this study showed similar results to those seen in the dog study, with the high-protein diet being more digestible and producing a slower steadier release of glucose compared to the low-protein diet. Due to the promising results of this initial cat study, a second longer duration trial was conducted using cats where a high-protein, low-carbohydrate wet diet was also fed alongside these two dry diets to compare the effect not only of macronutrient proportion but also dietary form on glycaemic responses and weight maintenance in these animals. The results of this study showed that the high-protein, lowcarbohydrate wet diet produced the smallest glycaemic response of the three diets. The cat's body weights throughout the study period illustrated that the cats fed both of the dry diets (high-protein and low-protein) gained weight over the course of the trial whereas those fed the wet diet lost weight, despite the mean caloric intakes (kcal/kg/day) for each of three diets not being significantly different at 77.3, 73 and 77.5 gross energy (GE). Interestingly the energy digestibility and digestible energy intake was lowest for the wet diet which would have contributed to this lack of weight gain in these cats. This result illustrates that the highprotein dry diet needs to be fed using restricted feeding rather than *ad libitum* feeding and also that a wet high-protein, low-carbohydrate diet may be more successful for cats as it would not rely on strict compliance of owners in regards to the amount fed and feeding method used.

Two treadmill exercise studies were then performed in working dogs to investigate the effects of dietary macronutrients on metabolism and performance during exercise over a 56 day period, where the dogs were tested before and after exercise (1 hour) on days 0, 14, 28 and 56. In the initial exercise study the high-protein (low-carbohydrate) diet showed clear benefits with higher digestibility of protein and fat and lower rectal temperatures and heart rates before and/or after each exercise test, both of which are advantageous to exercise performance. The

plasma glucose concentrations were also lower before and after exercise for the dogs fed this diet on the majority of test days. On day 56 after exercise, the plasma triglyceride concentration decreased in the dogs fed the high-protein diet and increased in the dogs fed the low-protein diet, which may be in part due to the fact that the ambient temperature was highest on this day and probably increased the intensity of the exercise. Following each exercise test the serum free fatty acid concentrations of the dogs fed both diets increased significantly. Taken together these results reflect an increased mobilisation of free fatty acids into circulation from fat sources including triglycerides, for use by the muscles for energy in the high protein diet.

In the second study, which used a more intensive exercise test with the aim of producing clearer differences with diet and exercise, similar performance advantages were shown for the high-protein (low-carbohydrate) diet with higher protein, fat and energy digestibility and lower pre-exercise heart rates for the dogs fed this diet. Serum free fatty acid concentrations in both groups increased significantly following exercise, suggesting an increase in their mobilisation for uptake and use by muscles for energy generation. This is an advantage as it results in the sparing of more limited muscle glycogen and blood glucose stores. No detrimental effects of the diets on the immune system were determined, however the phagocytic activity of the dogs fed the low-protein diet were higher on day 56 and the response of the dogs fed the high-protein diet to the lymphocyte mitogen phytohaemagglutanin (PHA) increased greatly from day 28 to day 56, possibly indicating that changing the macronutrient proportions in the diet may impact on certain aspects of immune function however, more work is needed to confirm this finding. Following these two studies in exercising dogs, a final study measuring a more novel marker in dogs, but one which is commonly used in humans; respiratory exchange ratio (RER) was conducted to determine whether by changing the dietary macronutrient profile, the metabolism and exercise physiology in the working dog can be manipulated.

This final trial investigated the effects of three diets (3x3 crossover design) on apparent digestibility, various measures of performance, immune parameters and respiratory exchange ratios. The high-protein, high-fat, low-carbohydrate diet (Diet 2) conferred performance advantages as evidenced by the higher digestibility and the predominant use of fat sources for fuel during exercise, thus sparing more limited energy stores and delaying the onset of fatigue. In comparison, when the dogs were fed the low-protein, low-fat, high-carbohydrate

diet (Diet 3), they used predominantly carbohydrate as fuel sources and when fed the highprotein, low-fat, low-carbohydrate diet (Diet 1) they used a mixture of carbohydrate and fat sources. Increases in free fatty acid concentrations with exercise were greatest when the dogs were fed Diet 2, which supports the breath hydrogen results indicating the predominant use of fat as the energy source by dogs fed Diet 2. Unlike Diet 2, when Diets 1 and 3 were fed, glucose concentrations increased significantly with exercise, highlighting the use of glycogen and glucose stores for muscular energy in these dogs. All facets of the immune system that were measured (level of expression of CD4, CD8, B cells, and CD14, phagocytic activity, cell proliferation using ConA, PHA and LPS) remained unchanged during the trial.

These findings indicate that by altering the macronutrient profile of the diet, the metabolism of dogs during exercise and dogs and cats at rest can be manipulated. In particular a high-protein, low-carbohydrate diet, may offer working dogs, and cats and dogs with diabetes mellitus and obesity the advantages of better glycaemic control and less large intestinal fermentation of carbohydrate. For working dogs, the high-protein, low-carbohydrate diets fed during the two treadmill exercise tests also appear to be advantageous to these dogs as they were more efficiently utilised, resulting in smaller volumes needing to be fed and reduced faecal output. The results obtained from the final study illustrate how pre-feeding diets differing in macronutrient proportions can profoundly affect fuel utilisation during exercise. The implications of this are that diet can be used to improve efficiency of fuel utilisation, performance and endurance in working dogs. Results from these studies indicate a high-protein, high-fat, low-carbohydrate diet may be of great benefit to farm and hunt dogs, being highly digestible, energy dense, and allowing the dog to rely predominantly on fat as a fuel for exercise it may therefore be closer to the ideal diet for these working dogs than those currently available.

# Abbreviations

ω-3	Omega 3 fatty acid
ω-6	Omega 6 fatty acid
GLUT-2	Glucose transporter type 2
SGLT-2	Sodium-glucose co-transporter type 2
GLUT-5	Glucose transporter type 5
Acetyl-CoA	Acetyl co-enzyme A
ATP	Adenosine tri phosphate
ME	Metabolisable energy
kcal	Kilocalorie
BW	Body weight
VCO <sub>2</sub>	Volume of carbon dioxide produced
VO <sub>2</sub>	Volume of oxygen consumed
RQ	Respiratory quotient
RER	Respiratory exchange ratio
NEFA	Non-esterified fatty acid
HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	Bicarbonate ion
СК	Creatine kinase
AST	Aspartate aminotransferase
MJ	Mega joule
ppm	Parts per million
SEM	Standard error of the mean
MER	Maintenance energy requirements
kJ	Kilo joule
TiO <sub>2</sub>	Titanium dioxide
rpm	Revolutions per minute
mmol	Millimoles
μU	Microunits
AUC	Area under the curve
Cmax	Maximum concentration
Tmax	Time of maximum concentration
GI	Glycaemic index
BMI	Body mass index

DEXA	Duel energy x-ray absorptiometry
bpm	Beats per minute
ConA	Concanavalin A
PHA	Phytohemagglutinin
LPS	Lipopolysaccharide
mph	Miles per hour
G	Gauge
EDTA	Ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid
pg	Picogram
fL	Femtoliter
IU	International unit
CBC	Complete blood count
WBC	White blood cell
MCHC	Mean corpuscular haemoglobin concentration
MPV	Mean platelet volume
RBC	Red blood cell
HGB	Haemoglobin
НСТ	Haematocrit
MCV	Mean corpuscular volume
MCH	Mean corpuscular haemoglobin
CHCM	Cell haemoglobin concentration mean
СН	Cell haemoglobin
RDW	Red blood cell distribution width
HDW	Haemoglobin distribution width
PLT	Platelet count
μg	Microgram
cpm	Counts per minute
μl	Microlitres
μΜ	Micromolar
µgml⁻¹	Micrograms per millilitre
Uml <sup>-1</sup>	Units per milliliter
mM	Millimolar
$mM-L^{-1}$	Millimolar per litre

# **Index of Tables**

Title		Page
1.1.	Adenosine triphosphate production in dogs using different metabolic fuels for exercise (Grandjean and Paragon 1992).	54
1.2.	Relative importance of different metabolic pathways in the energy generation for canine exercise (Grandjean and Paragon 1992).	57
2.1.	Dietary analysis <sup>a</sup> of Diet 1 and Diet 2 fed to 12 Harrier Hounds, in order to determine the effects of the proportions of dietary macronutrients on the digestibility, post-prandial endocrine responses and large intestinal fermentation of carbohydrate.	82
2.2.	Mean apparent nutrient digestibility coefficients of two diets fed to 12 Harrier Hounds (n=6 per diet), determined using the indigestible- marker (Marker) and total-collection (Total C) methods. Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet, and Diet 2 was a high- carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	88
2.3.	Characteristics of plasma glucose curves determined from serial blood samples collected from 12 Harrier Hounds, following a single meal of either two diets. Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet, and Diet 2 a high-carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	89
2.4.	Characteristics of plasma insulin curves determined from serial blood samples collected from 12 Harrier Hounds, following a single meal of either two diets. Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet, and Diet 2 a high-carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	91
2.5.	Characteristics of breath hydrogen curves determined from serial breath samples collected from 12 Harrier Hounds, following a single meal of either two diets. Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet, and Diet 2 a high-carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	92
3.1.	Dietary analysis <sup>a</sup> of Diet 1 and Diet 2 fed to 16 cats, in order to determine the effects of the proportions of dietary macronutrients on the digestibility and post-prandial endocrine responses.	101
3.2.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) caloric intakes (GE) of 14 cats fed two diets (n=8 Diet 1 and n=6 Diet 2). Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet, and Diet 2 was a high-carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	107
3.3.	Mean apparent nutrient digestibility coefficients of two diets fed to 14 cats (n=8 Diet 1 and n=6 Diet 2), determined using the total-collection method. Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet, and Diet 2 was a high-carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	108

3.4.	Mean (±SEM) daily drinking water intakes of 14 cats fed two diets (n=8 Diet 1 and n=6 Diet 2). Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein dry diet and Diet 2 was a high-carbohydrate, low-protein dry diet.	108
3.5.	Urine pH values from 14 cats over five consecutive days fed two diets (n=8 Diet 1 and n=6 Diet 2). Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet, and Diet 2 was a high-carbohydrate, low-protein diet. Results are presented as means and pooled SEM.	109
3.6.	Urine specific gravity values from 14 cats over five consecutive days fed two diets (n=8 Diet 1 and n=6 Diet 2). Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet, and Diet 2 was a high-carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	109
3.7.	Characteristics of blood glucose curves determined from serial blood samples collected from 16 cats, following a single meal of either Diet 1 ( low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet) or Diet 2 (high-carbohydrate, low-protein diet).	111
4.1.	Dietary analysis <sup>a</sup> of Diet 1, Diet 2 and Diet 3 fed to 24 cats, in order to determine the effects of the proportions of dietary macronutrients and diet form on weight maintenance, digestibility and post-prandial endocrine responses.	121
4.2.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) caloric intakes (GE) of 24 cats fed three diets (n=8 per diet). Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein dry diet, Diet 2 was a high-carbohydrate, low-protein dry diet and Diet 3 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein wet diet.	123
4.3.	Mean apparent nutrient digestibility coefficients of three diets fed to 24 cats (n=8 per diet), determined using the total-collection method. Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein dry diet, Diet 2 was a high-carbohydrate, low-protein dry diet and Diet 3 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein wet diet.	126
4.4.	Mean (±SEM) daily drinking water intakes of 24 cats fed three diets (n=8 per diet). Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein dry diet, Diet 2 was a high-carbohydrate, low-protein dry diet and Diet 3 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein wet diet.	126
4.5.	Mean urine specific gravity values from 24 cats fed three diets (n=8 per diet). Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein dry diet, Diet 2 was a high-carbohydrate, low-protein dry diet and Diet 3 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein wet diet.	127
4.6.	Characteristics of blood glucose curves determined from serial blood samples collected from 24 cats, before and after a single meal of one of the three diets (n=8 per diet). Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein dry diet, Diet 2 was a high-carbohydrate, low-protein dry diet	128

and Diet 3 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein wet diet.

5.1.	Dietary analysis <sup>a</sup> of Diet 1 and Diet 2 fed to 18 Harrier Hounds, in order to determine the effects of the proportions of dietary macronutrients on digestibility and exercise.	140
5.2.	Mean apparent nutrient digestibility coefficients of two diets fed to 18 Harrier Hounds (n=10 Diet 1 and n=8 Diet 2). Diet 1 was a low- carbohydrate, high-protein diet, and Diet 2 was a high-carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	144
5.3.	Mean ambient temperatures during the treadmill exercise tests of 17 Harrier Hounds fed Diet 1 and Diet 2.	145
6.1.	Dietary analysis <sup>a</sup> of Diet 1 and Diet 2 fed to 12 Harrier Hounds, in order to determine the effects of the proportions of dietary macronutrients on digestibility, exercise and immunity.	159
6.2.	Specificity of CD markers and role of the labeled cell type.	161
6.3.	Mean apparent nutrient digestibility coefficients of two diets fed to 12 Harrier Hounds (n=7 Diet 1 and n=5 Diet 2). Diet 1 was a low- carbohydrate, high-protein diet, and Diet 2 was a high-carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	164
6.4.	Mean ambient temperatures during the treadmill exercise tests of 12 Harrier Hounds fed Diet 1 and Diet 2.	165
7.1.	Dietary analysis <sup>a</sup> of Diet 1, Diet 2 and Diet 3 fed to 10 Harrier Hounds, in order to determine the effects of the proportions of dietary macronutrients on digestibility and fuel utilisation during exercise.	190
7.2.	Macronutrient proportions of Diet 1, Diet 2 and Diet 3 based on percentage of dietary energy, fed to 10 Harrier Hounds, in order to determine the effects of the proportions of dietary macronutrients on digestibility and fuel utilisation during exercise.	190
7.3.	Mean apparent nutrient digestibility coefficients of three diets fed to 10 Harrier Hounds, determined using the total-collection method. Diet 1 was a high-protein, low-carbohydrate, low-fat diet, Diet 2 was a high- protein, low-carbohydrate, high-fat diet and Diet 3 was a low-protein, high-carbohydrate, low-fat diet.	197
7.4.	Mean ambient temperatures during the treadmill exercise tests of 9 Harrier Hounds fed Diet 1, Diet 2 and Diet 3.	198
7.5.	Mean complete blood count values of three diets fed to 9 Harrier Hounds. Diet 1 was a high-protein, low-carbohydrate, low-fat diet, Diet 2 was a high-protein, low-carbohydrate, high-fat diet and Diet 3 was a low-protein, high-carbohydrate, low-fat diet.	205

# **Index of Figures**

Title	index of Figures	Page
1.1.	Taxonomy of the dog (Morris and Rogers 1989).	30
1.2.	Triglyceride structure (Case et al. 2000).	35
1.3.	Dog gastrointestinal tract (Stevens and Hume 1995).	37
1.4.	Carbohydrate metabolism in animal cells (Reece 2004).	41
1.5.	Sources of energy for muscle work (Grandjean and Paragon 1992).	55
1.6.	Transverse section of semitendinosus muscle. Type I (I) and Type II (II) fibers and capillaries (arrows) are shown (Rosenblatt <i>et al.</i> 1988).	58
1.7.	Cat gastrointestinal tract (Stevens and Hume 1995).	72
2.1.	Time-line of the study to determine the effects of the proportions of dietary macronutrients on the digestibility, post-prandial endocrine responses and large intestinal fermentation of carbohydrates of two diets fed to 12 working dogs.	79
2.2.	Purpose-built concrete pens where the dogs were housed during the study to determine the effects of the proportions of dietary macronutrients on the digestibility, post-prandial endocrine responses and large intestinal fermentation of carbohydrates of two diets fed to 12 working dogs.	80
2.3.	Apparatus used to collect post-prandial breath samples, in order to determine the effects of the proportions of dietary macronutrients on large intestinal fermentation of carbohydrates when Diet 1 and Diet 2 were fed to 12 Harrier Hounds.	84
2.4.	Collection of post-prandial breath samples to determine the effects of the proportions of dietary macronutrients on large intestinal fermentation of carbohydrate when Diet 1 and Diet 2 were fed to 12 Harrier Hounds.	85
2.5.	Analyser used to establish the concentration of hydrogen in the breath of 12 Harrier Hounds fed Diet 1 and Diet 2 to determine the effects of the proportions of dietary macronutrients on large intestinal fermentation of carbohydrate.	86
2.6.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) concentration of glucose in plasma determined from serial blood samples ( ——lower limit of normal glucose range for dogs, upper limit is greater than the y axis), collected from 12 Harrier Hounds before and after a single meal of either Diet 1 or Diet 2 (*	89

	p<0.05). Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet, and Diet 2 was a high-carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	
2.7.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) concentration of insulin in plasma determined from serial blood samples (ZZZ normal insulin range for dogs), collected from 12 Harrier Hounds before and after a single meal of either Diet 1 or Diet 2 (* p<0.05). Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet, and Diet 2 was a high-carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	90
2.8.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) concentration of breath hydrogen determined from serial breath samples, collected from 12 Harrier Hounds before and after a single meal of either Diet 1 or Diet 2 (* p<0.05). Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet, and Diet 2 was a high- carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	92
3.1.	Time-line of the study to determine the effects of the proportions of dietary macronutrients on the digestibility and post-prandial endocrine responses of two diets fed to 16 cats.	100
3.2.	Specially designed litter trays used to collect faeces and urine separately, to determine the apparent digestibility of Diet 1 and Diet 2 fed to 16 cats.	102
3.3.	Warming the cat's pinna prior to taking a blood sample using the marginal ear vein prick technique, to determine the effects of the proportions of dietary macronutrients on glycaemic responses when Diet 1 and Diet 2 were fed to 16 cats.	104
3.4.	Application of blood to the hand held glucometer for glucose determination, to determine the effects of the proportions of dietary macronutrients on glycaemic responses when Diet 1 and Diet 2 were fed to 16 cats.	105
3.5.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) concentration of glucose determined from serial blood samples ( $\angle \angle$ normal glucose range for cats), collected from 16 cats before and after a single meal of either Diet 1 or Diet 2 (* p<0.05). Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet, and Diet 2 was a high- carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	110
3.6.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) glucose concentration adjusted to a common initial glucose concentration in 16 cats fed a single meal of either Diet 1 or Diet 2 (* p<0.05). Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet, and Diet 2 was a high-carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	111
4.1.	Time-line of the study to determine the effects of the proportions of dietary macronutrients and diet form on weight maintenance, digestibility and post-prandial endocrine responses of three diets fed to 24 cats (D=day, W=weigh).	119

4.2.	Mean bodyweights of 24 cats fed three diets (n=8 per diet). Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein dry diet, Diet 2 was a high- carbohydrate, low-protein dry diet and Diet 3 was a wet diet.	124
4.3.	Change in bodyweight of 24 cats fed three diets (n=8 per diet). Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein dry diet, Diet 2 was a high-carbohydrate, low-protein dry diet and Diet 3 was a wet diet.	125
4.4.	Mean (±SEM) concentration of glucose determined from serial blood samples ( $\angle \angle \angle$ normal glucose range for cats), collected from 24 cats before and after a single meal of one of the three diets (n=8 per diet). Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein dry diet, Diet 2 was a high-carbohydrate, low-protein dry diet and Diet 3 was a wet diet (*p<0.05, higher than Diet 3).	127
4.5.	Mean glucose concentration adjusted to a common initial glucose concentration in 24 cats fed three diets (n=8 per diet). Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein dry diet, Diet 2 was a high-carbohydrate, low-protein dry diet and Diet 3 was a wet diet (*p<0.05, higher than Diet 3).	129
5.1.	Time-line of the study to determine the effects of the proportions of dietary macronutrientss on digestibility and exercise of two diets fed to 18 working dogs (D=day, W/BC=weigh and body condition).	138
5.2.	Treadmill exercise test to determine the effects of the proportions of dietary macronutrients on exercise when Diet 1 and Diet 2 were fed to 18 Harrier Hounds.	141
5.3.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) rectal temperatures from 17 Harrier Hounds fed either Diet 1 or Diet 2 (a) before, (b) after exercise tests (— normal range, * p<0.05). Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet and Diet 2 a high-carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	146
5.4.	Mean (±SEM) heart rates from 17 Harrier Hounds fed either Diet 1 or Diet 2 on (a) day 0, (b) day 14, (c) day 28 and (d) day 56. Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet and Diet 2 a high-carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	147
5.5.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) plasma concentration of glucose of 17 Harrier Hounds fed either Diet 1 or Diet 2 (a) before, (b) after exercise tests (* p<0.05). Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet and Diet 2 a high- carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	148
5.6.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) plasma concentration of triglycerides of 17 Harrier Hounds fed either Diet 1 or Diet 2 (a) before, (b) after exercise tests (* p<0.05). Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet and Diet 2 a high-carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	149

5.7.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) serum concentration of free fatty acids from 17 Harrier Hounds fed either Diet 1 or Diet 2 (a) before, (b) after exercise tests (* p<0.05). Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet and Diet 2 a high-carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	150
6.1.	Time-line of the study to determine the effects of the proportions of dietary macronutrients on digestibility, exercise and immunity of two diets fed to 12 working dogs (D=day, W/BC=weigh and body condition score).	158
6.2.	Mean (±SEM) rectal temperatures from 12 Harrier Hounds fed either Diet 1 or Diet 2 (a) before, (b) after exercise tests (— normal range). Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet and Diet 2 a high- carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	166
6.3.	Mean (±SEM) heart rates from 12 Harrier Hounds fed either Diet 1 or Diet 2 on (a) day 0, (b) day 14, (c) day 28 and (d) day 56. Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet and Diet 2 a high-carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	167
6.4.	Baseline heart rates from 12 Harrier Hounds fed either (a) Diet 1 or (b) Diet 2 on sampling days. Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet and Diet 2 a high-carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	168
6.5.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) plasma concentration of glucose from 12 Harrier Hounds fed either Diet 1 or Diet 2 (a) before, (b) after exercise tests (* p<0.05). Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet and Diet 2 a high-carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	169
6.6.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) plasma concentration of triglycerides from 12 Harrier Hounds fed either Diet 1 or Diet 2 (a) before, (b) after exercise tests (* p<0.05). Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet and Diet 2 a high-carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	170
6.7.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) serum concentration of free fatty acids from 12 Harrier Hounds fed either Diet 1 or Diet 2 (a) before, (b) after exercise tests. Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet and Diet 2 a high- carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	171
6.8.1.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) differences in blood concentration of lactate from 12 Harrier Hounds fed either Diet 1 or Diet 2 before and after exercise tests. Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet and Diet 2 a high-carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	172
6.8.2.	Mean (±SEM) blood concentration of lactate from 12 Harrier Hounds fed either Diet 1 or Diet 2 (a) before, (b) after exercise tests. Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet and Diet 2 a high-carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	173

6.9.1.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) expression of cell surface marker CD4 from 12 Harrier Hounds fed Diet 1 and Diet 2 on the sampling days. Diet 1 was a low- carbohydrate, high-protein diet and Diet 2 a high-carbohydrate, low- protein diet.	174
6.9.2.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) expression of cell surface marker CD8 from 12 Harrier Hounds fed Diet 1 and Diet 2 on the sampling days. Diet 1 was a low- carbohydrate, high-protein diet and Diet 2 a high-carbohydrate, low- protein diet.	174
6.9.3.	Mean expression of B cells from 12 Harrier Hounds fed Diet 1 and Diet 2 on the sampling days. Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet and Diet 2 a high-carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	175
6.9.4.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) expression of cell surface marker CD14 from 12 Harrier Hounds fed Diet 1 and Diet 2 on the sampling days. Diet 1 was a low- carbohydrate, high-protein diet and Diet 2 a high-carbohydrate, low- protein diet.	175
6.9.5.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) peripheral blood phagocytosis from 12 Harrier Hounds fed Diet 1 and Diet 2 on the sampling days (*p<0.05). Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet and Diet 2 a high-carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	176
6.9.6.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) stimulation index for ConA from 12 Harrier Hounds fed Diet 1 and Diet 2 on the sampling days. Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet and Diet 2 a high-carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	177
6.9.7.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) stimulation index for PHA from 12 Harrier Hounds fed Diet 1 and Diet 2 on the sampling days. Diet 1 was a low-carbohydrate, high-protein diet and Diet 2 a high-carbohydrate, low-protein diet.	177
7.1.	Time-line of the study to determine the effects of the proportions of dietary macronutrients on digestibility and fuel utilisation during exercise of three diets fed to 9 working dogs (W=weigh, ET=exercise test).	188
7.2.	Douglas bag used to determine the effects of the proportions of dietary macronutrients on fuel utilisation during exercise when Diet 1, Diet 2 and Diet 3 were fed to 9 Harrier Hounds.	193
7.3.	Collection of breath samples, to determine the effects of the proportions of dietary macronutrients on fuel utilisation during exercise when Diet 1, Diet 2 and Diet 3 were fed to 9 Harrier Hounds.	194
7.4.	Equipment used for gas analysis to determine the effects of the proportions of dietary macronutrients on fuel utilisation during exercise when Diet 1, Diet 2 and Diet 3 were fed to 9 Harrier Hounds.	195

7.5.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) rectal temperatures from 9 Harrier Hounds fed Diet 1, Diet 2 and Diet 3, before and after exercise tests ( $-$ normal range). Diet 1 was a high-protein, low-carbohydrate, low-fat diet, Diet 2 was a high-protein, low-carbohydrate, high-fat diet and Diet 3 was a low- protein, high-carbohydrate, low-fat diet.	198
7.6.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) heart rates during the exercise test from 9 Harrier Hounds fed Diet 1, Diet 2 and Diet 3 (* p<0.05). Diet 1 was a high- protein, low-carbohydrate, low-fat diet, Diet 2 was a high-protein, low- carbohydrate, high-fat diet and Diet 3 was a low-protein, high- carbohydrate, low-fat diet.	199
7.7.1.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) plasma concentrations of glucose before and after the exercise test from 9 Harrier Hounds fed either Diet 1, Diet 2 or Diet 3. Diet 1 was a high-protein, low-carbohydrate, low-fat diet, Diet 2 was a high-protein, low-carbohydrate, high-fat diet and Diet 3 was a low-protein, high-carbohydrate, low-fat diet.	200
7.7.2.	Mean (±SEM) blood concentrations of lactate before and after the exercise test from 9 Harrier Hounds fed either Diet 1, Diet 2 or Diet 3. Diet 1 was a high-protein, low-carbohydrate, low-fat diet, Diet 2 was a high-protein, low-carbohydrate, high-fat diet and Diet 3 was a low-protein, high-carbohydrate, low-fat diet. (*p<0.05 higher than before exercise).	201
7.8.1.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) plasma concentrations of triglycerides before and after the exercise test from 9 Harrier Hounds fed either Diet 1, Diet 2 or Diet 3 (*p<0.05). Diet 1 was a high-protein, low-carbohydrate, low-fat diet, Diet 2 was a high-protein, low-carbohydrate, high-fat diet and Diet 3 was a low-protein, high-carbohydrate, low-fat diet.	202
7.8.2.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) serum concentrations of free fatty acids before and after the exercise test from 9 Harrier Hounds fed either Diet 1, Diet 2 or Diet 3 (*p<0.05). Diet 1 was a high-protein, low-carbohydrate, low-fat diet, Diet 2 was a high-protein, low-carbohydrate, high-fat diet and Diet 3 was a low-protein, high-carbohydrate, low-fat diet.	203
7.8.3.	Mean (±SEM) concentrations of creatine kinase before and after the exercise test from 9 Harrier Hounds fed either Diet 1, Diet 2 or Diet 3. Diet 1 was a high-protein, low-carbohydrate, low-fat diet, Diet 2 was a high-protein, low-carbohydrate, high-fat diet and Diet 3 was a low-protein, high-carbohydrate, low-fat diet.	204
7.9.1.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) expression of cell surface marker CD8 from 10 Harrier Hounds fed Diet 1, Diet 2 and Diet 3. Diet 1 was a high-protein, low- carbohydrate, low-fat diet, Diet 2 was a high-protein, low- carbohydrate, high-fat diet and Diet 3 was a low- protein, high- carbohydrate, low-fat diet.	206

7.9.2.	Mean (±SEM) expression of cell surface marker CD4 from 10 Harrier Hounds fed Diet 1, Diet 2 and Diet 3. Diet 1 was a high-protein, low- carbohydrate, low-fat diet, Diet 2 was a high-protein, low- carbohydrate, high-fat diet and Diet 3 was a low-protein, high- carbohydrate, low-fat diet.	206
7.9.3.	Mean (±SEM) expression of cell surface marker CD14 from 10 Harrier Hounds fed Diet 1, Diet 2 and Diet 3. Diet 1 was a high-protein, low- carbohydrate, low-fat diet, Diet 2 was a high-protein, low- carbohydrate, high-fat diet and Diet 3 was a low-protein, high- carbohydrate, low-fat diet.	207
7.9.4.	Mean (±SEM) expression of B cells from 10 Harrier Hounds fed Diet 1, Diet 2 and Diet 3. Diet 1 was a high-protein, low-carbohydrate, low- fat diet, Diet 2 was a high-protein, low-carbohydrate, high-fat diet and Diet 3 was a low-protein, high-carbohydrate, low-fat diet.	207
7.9.5.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) peripheral blood phagocytosis from 10 Harrier Hounds fed Diet 1, Diet 2 and Diet 3 (*p<0.05). Diet 1 was a high-protein, low- carbohydrate, low-fat diet, Diet 2 was a high-protein, low- carbohydrate, high-fat diet and Diet 3 was a low-protein, high- carbohydrate, low-fat diet.	208
7.9.6.	Mean (±SEM) stimulation index for ConA from 10 Harrier Hounds fed Diet 1, Diet 2 and Diet 3. Diet 1 was a high-protein, low-carbohydrate, low-fat diet, Diet 2 was a high-protein, low-carbohydrate, high-fat diet and Diet 3 was a low-protein, high-carbohydrate, low-fat diet.	209
7.9.7.	Mean (±SEM) stimulation index for PHA from 10 Harrier Hounds fed Diet 1, Diet 2 and Diet 3. Diet 1 was a high-protein, low-carbohydrate, low-fat diet, Diet 2 was a high-protein, low-carbohydrate, high-fat diet and Diet 3 was a low-protein, high-carbohydrate, low-fat diet.	209
7.9.8.	Mean (±SEM) stimulation index for LPS from 10 Harrier Hounds fed Diet 1, Diet 2 and Diet 3. Diet 1 was a high-protein, low-carbohydrate, low-fat diet, Diet 2 was a high-protein, low-carbohydrate, high-fat diet and Diet 3 was a low-protein, high-carbohydrate, low-fat diet.	210
7.9.9.	Mean ( $\pm$ SEM) respiratory exchange ratios from 9 Harrier Hounds fed Diet 1, Diet 2 and Diet 3 (*p<0.05). Diet 1 was a high-protein, low- carbohydrate, low-fat diet, Diet 2 was a high-protein, low- carbohydrate, high-fat diet and Diet 3 was a low-protein, high- carbohydrate, low-fat diet.	211

#### **Statement of Research Contribution**

#### by Shay Rebekah Hill

This thesis includes work which has been published in a peer-reviewed journal. This work was conducted as part of the PhD candidature.

Chapter 2 was published in December 2009, in The New Zealand Veterinary Journal as "Hill SR, Rutherfurd-Markwick KJ; Ravindran G; Ugarte CE; Thomas DG. (2009). The effects of the proportions of dietary macronutrients on the digestibility, post-prandial endocrine responses and large intestinal fermentation of carbohydrate in working dogs. *New Zealand Veterinary Journal* 57(6): 313-318".

The candidate was the principal investigator for all studies and held the majority of the responsibility for all aspects of these studies. The candidate planned, conducted, interpreted and wrote up all of the studies. The candidate was responsible for the majority of sample collection and preparation of samples for laboratory analyses (including collection of blood samples, centrifugation of blood and collection of serum or plasma, analysis of lactate, collection of breath samples, gas analysis, faecal collections, sampling and grinding). The candidate also designed and constructed the masks developed for the final trial and was responsible for all manuscript preparations. Input from co-authors was of an advisory, mentorship and critiquing nature.

Signed

DG Thomas, Chief Supervisor