Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

The Impact of Trauma on Health and the Moderating Effects of Social Support: a Study With the New Zealand Police

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Psychology at Massey University

Christine Vivienne Stephens

1996

ABSTRACT

Traumatic experiences are an inherent part of many aspects of police work and the effects of trauma on police officers are of increasing concern to the New Zealand Police. There is evidence that social support following trauma may directly influence the development of adverse post traumatic stress reactions. Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a specific psychiatric outcome of traumatic stress with a diagnosis (DSM-IV, American Psychiatric Association, 1994), which includes the traumatic experience as well as a constellation of symptoms. There is also evidence that additional physical health symptoms are associated with PTSD. Several factors have been explored as variables that could intervene between the traumatic experience and the development of symptoms and there is a growing body of empirical evidence for social support as an important factor. Horowitz' (1986) theoretical model of PTSD aetiology suggests that social support is a moderator of the experience of trauma in the development of stress reactions. The form of the interaction predicted by this model is known in the social support literature as the 'buffering hypothesis'. The primary aims of the present study were to extend the current evidence for the preventive role of social support in PTSD. Using a theoretical approach, it focused on the specific aspects of support which could buffer the stress\PTSD relationship. The theoretical model of PTSD, and the existing evidence, suggest that perceived emotional support, and in particular the opportunities to talk about the traumatic experience, are the salutary aspects of social support following trauma. It was hypothesised that aspects of emotional support such as opportunities to talk about trauma would moderate the relationship between traumatic experiences and psychological and physical health outcomes. The study also aimed to examine the prevalence of PTSD and physical health symptoms, and their relationship to the traumatic experiences of police officers. To meet these aims and test the hypotheses, 527 police officers in one geographical region of New Zealand were surveyed by questionnaire. Initial results showed that the prevalence of PTSD symptoms in the New Zealand Police is similar to that of other groups in the community who have suffered traumatic experiences. The wide range of traumatic experiences that were affecting the health of police officers were most likely to have occurred while they

were at work. The results of regression analyses showed that the numbers of traumatic experiences were positively related to levels of posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms and physical health symptoms. The particular social support variables that moderated the effects of traumatic experiences on psychological and physical outcomes were: the ease of talking about trauma in the work place; the attitudes to expressing emotion; emotional support from peers; and emotional support from outside work. These results support the theoretical model of PTSD and social support that was tested in the study, and substantiate suggestions that, to buffer stress, the type of support must be specifically related to the needs elicited by the stressor. The results were further discussed in terms of the limitations of cross-sectional design, the measures used and suggestions for further research to confirm and extend the findings. The implications for police organisations were also discussed. These included the additive health effects of ongoing traumatic stress, the effects of other organisational stressors which must be taken into account, emotional support as an important factor intervening between traumatic experience and health outcomes, and the importance of support from peers and from family and friends which was highlighted in the present study.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank Professor Nigel Long for his valuable help in facilitating and supervising my work on this project. Thanks are also due to Dr Ian Miller and Dr Ross Flett for their support and advice. Dr Miller deserves special appreciation for services at the photocopier beyond the call of duty. Thanks also to Dr John Spicer for helpful advice about statistical analysis.

This project was supported by the New Zealand Police. I am grateful to all the police officers who gave their time and interest to responding to questionnaires, being interviewed or discussing the project, taking me out on patrol, or helping with administration of the survey. In this regard I would like to thank especially, Inspector Dave Scott, Sergeant Murray Torwick and his team, and Senior Sergeant Eric Bryan.

Special thanks also to Vivienne and Peter Allen, Jacqueline and Francis Stephens and Robin McCammon for their love and support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract Acknowledgements Appendices List of figures List of tables	
Part I	LITERATURE REVIEW AND RATIONALE
Chapter 1	INTRODUCTION 1
1.1	Chapter Overview
1.2	Traumatic Stress and the Police 1
1.3	Outline of the Study
Chapter 2	THEORIES OF THE AETIOLOGY OF PTSD 7
2.1	Chapter Overview
2.2	Introduction 7
2.3	Psychobiological Theories 8
2.4	Cognitive Models 8
2.5	Behavioural Models
2.6	Psychodynamic Models 11
2.7	Biopsychosocial Frameworks
Chapter 3	SOCIAL SUPPORT FOR WORK RELATED STRESS
3.1	Chapter Overview
3.2	Conceptualisations of Social Support 17
3.3	The Buffering Model of Social Support 24
3.4	Approaches to Social Support
3.5	Summary

Chapter 4	EVIDENCE OF A RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SOCIAL
	SUPPORT AND PTSD 36
4.1	Chapter Overview
4.2	Assessment of Functional Social Support
4.3	Assessment of Structural Social Support 43
4.4	Summary of Social Support Evidence 44
Chapter 5	DISCLOSURE OF EMOTIONS AND HEALTH . 47
5.1	Chapter Overview 47
5.2	Links Between PTSD and Physical Health 47
5.3	The Disclosure of Trauma Studies 48
5.4	Disclosure of Emotion 50
5.5	Disclosure and Social Support 50
5.6	Summary
Chapter 6	SUPPORT IN POLICE ORGANISATIONS 53
6.1	Chapter Overview
6.2	Social Obstacles to Disclosure at Work 53
6.3	The Effects of the Occupational Culture 54
6.4	Police Attitudes 55
6.5	Attitudes Towards the Expression of Emotion 56
6.6	Attitudes Towards Professional Support 58
6.7	Summary of Part One
D. A. II	THE DRECENT CTUDY
Part II	THE PRESENT STUDY
Chapter 7	OBJECTIVES OF THE PRESENT STUDY 61
7.1	Chapter Overview 61
7.2	Measuring PTSD in the Police
7.3	The Traumatic Stressors
7.4	Demographic Variables
7.5	Social Support 67
7.6	Summary of Hypotheses 71

Chapter 8	METHOD	72
8.1	Research Design	72
8.2	Respondents	72
8.3	The Measures	73
8.4	Procedure	85
Chapter 9	RESULTS	87
9.1	Analyses	87
9.2	Sample Description	88
9.3	PTSD Symptoms in the Present Sample	93
9.4	Traumatic Experiences	99
9.5	Correlations Among Social Support Variables	
	and PTSD	107
9.6	Regression of Social Support Variables on	
	Civilian Mississippi Scores	112
9.7	Regression of Social Support Variables on	
	Physical Health	126
9.8	Comparing Work Groups	131
9.9	Summary of Hypotheses	134
Chapter 10	DISCUSSION	135
10.1	Chapter Overview	135
10.2	Results of Research Goals and Hypotheses	135
10.3	The PTSD Model	156
10.4	Organisational Issues	162
10.5	Limitations of the Present Study	165
10.6	Interventions	174
10.7	Future Research	179
10.8	Summary of the Main Findings	182
DEFEDENCES		194

APPENDICES

Appendix	A	204
Appendix	В	212
Appendix	C	216
Appendix	D	220
Appendix	E	242
Appendix	F	245
Appendix	G	246
	LIST OF FIGURES	
Figure 1:	Normal and pathological phases of post-stress response	12
Figure 2:	A simplified model of the development of work related PTSD showing the interaction between the traumatic stressor and social support	
Figure 3:	The form of the interaction between trauma and attitudes to expressing emotions on Civilian Mississippi mean scores	117
Figure 4:	The form of the interaction between the ease of talking about trauma and attitudes to expressing emotions on Civilian Mississippi mean scores	122
Figure 5:	The form of the interaction between trauma and peer support on Civilian Mississippi mean scores	123
Figure 6:	The form of the interaction between peer disturbing communications and negative peer communications	126

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	Criteria for Diagnosis of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder	2
Table 2:	Mean Inter-item Correlations, Alpha Coefficients, Means and Standard Deviations for Social Support from Peers, Supervisor and Family	73
Table 3:	Loadings on Four Components and Communalities for Principal Components Extraction and Varimax Rotation of 15 "Content of Communication with Peers" Items	81
Table 4:	Loadings on Three Components and Communalities for Principal Components Extraction and Varimax Rotation on 15 "Content of Communication with Supervisor" Items	82
Table 5:	Mean Inter-item Correlations, Alpha Coefficients, Means and Standard Deviations for the Sub-scales of Peer and Supervisor Content of Communication	83
Table 6:	Summary of Biographic Information	91
Table 7:	Summary of Police Service Information	92
Table 8:	The 10 Symptoms Reported Most Frequently by PTSD Cases and Non-PTSD Cases as a Percentage of Total Respondents in Each Group	96
Table 9:	The 10 Symptoms Reported Most Frequently as Severe by PTSD Cases and Non-PTSD Cases as a Percentage of Total Respondents in Each Group	97
Table 10:	Percentage of PTSD Cases and Non-PTSD Cases by Self -rated Health	98

Table 11:	Pearsons r Correlations Between Trauma Variables and	
	Civilian Mississippi Scores	101
Table 12:	Means and F Ratios of Civilian Mississippi Scores According to the Experience of Individual Trauma	102
Table 13:	Traumatic Experiences Showing Number Chosen as Worst Experience, Percentage of Those Who Reported the Experience, Number Classified as PTSD Cases, and Mean Score on the Civilian Mississippi	106
Table 14:	Simple Pearsons r Correlations Between Social Support Variables and Civilian Mississippi Scores	108
Table 15:	Component Loadings and Communalities for Principal Components Extraction and Varimax Rotation on 12 Social Support Variables	111
Table 16:	Hierarchical Multiple Regression of Civilian Mississippi Scores on Trauma, Demographic and Five Social Support Variables Showing Standardised Regression Coefficients (Beta), R, R ² , Adjusted R ² and R ² Change	115
Table 17:	Hierarchical Multiple Regression of Civilian Mississippi Scores on Trauma, Demographic and Thirteen Social Support Variables Showing Standardised Regression Coefficients (Beta), Squared Semi-partial Correlations (sr²), R, R², Adjusted R² and R² Change	118
Table 17a:	Hierarchical Multiple Regression of Civilian Mississippi Scores on Trauma, Demographic and Social Support Variables Showing Standardised Regression Coefficients (Beta), R, R ² , Adjusted R ² and R ² Change for Significant Attitude Interaction Terms Entered Separately at Step 3	121

Hierarchical Multiple Regression of Civilian Mississippi	
Scores on Trauma, Demographic and Social Support	
Variables Showing Standardised Regression Coefficients	
Trauma Interaction Terms Entered Separately at Step 3	123
Hierarchical Multiple Regression of PILL Scores on Trauma,	
Demographic and Social Support Variables Showing	
Standardised Regression Coefficients (Beta), Squared Semi	
-partial Correlations (sr ²), R, R ² , Adjusted R ² and R ² Change	128
Hierarchical Multiple Regression of PILL Scores on Trauma,	
	130
Entered Separately at Step 3	150
Means and Standard Deviations for Each Continuous	
	206
variable in thase I of the fliot Study	200
Diagraphia and Dalica Carries Variables for Dhass 1 of the	
	207
Pilot Study	207
Manna Standard Daviations and Danga for all Trauma	
	244
variables, F15D and Physical Health variables	244
Means Standard Deviation and Range for Social Support	246
	Scores on Trauma, Demographic and Social Support Variables Showing Standardised Regression Coefficients (Beta), R, R², Adjusted R² and R² Change for Significant Trauma Interaction Terms Entered Separately at Step 3