

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

STRUCTURAL ADJUSTMENT IN
DAIRYING IN THE MANAWATU

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of
the requirements for the degree of
Master of Agricultural Science in
Agricultural Economics

at

Massey University

M.J. FOUNTAINE

1972

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

For beneficial discussion and guidance throughout this study the author is indebted to his supervisor Mr A.B. Ward.

The helpfulness of members of the Agricultural Economics and Farm Management Department is gratefully acknowledged, especially that of Messrs A.H. Hughes, W.G. Payne, Dr W.R. Schroder and Professor R.J. Townsley.

Financial assistance from the Department of Agriculture, the New Zealand Dairy Board and from the Manawatu Co-operative Dairy Company is acknowledged with gratitude.

The Co-operation and interest shown by the participating farmers in the survey is gratefully acknowledged, as is the assistance of Mr G.E. Baker, General Manager of the Manawatu Co-operative Dairy Company and Mr D. Johnston, an advisory officer with the New Zealand Dairy Board.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	page
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	x
CHAPTER I A BACKGROUND TO THE STUDY	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Scope of the study	8
1.3 Review of literature pertaining to the structure of N.Z. dairy farming	10
1.4 Overseas review of structural changes affecting dairying	25
CHAPTER II NEW ZEALAND'S AGRICULTURAL INPUTS AND OUTPUTS. AN HISTORICAL REVIEW	36
2.1 Introduction	36
2.2 Aggregative gross farm income	36
2.3 Gross productivity	37
2.4 Partial productivity trends	43
2.5 Major inputs	43
2.6 Summary	49
CHAPTER III NEW ZEALAND AGRICULTURAL LABOUR SITUATION. PAST AND PROJECTED	51
3.1 Primary and total labour force	51
3.2 Estimation of the total agricultural labour force	52
3.3 Estimates of future manpower required in agriculture as made by the Agricultural Development Conference (1964) and the National Development Conference (1968)	55
3.4 Composition of the agricultural labour force - with emphasis on owner-operators	57
3.5 Total European owner-operators	60
3.6 Projections: A general comment	68
3.7 Projections of total owner-operators: 1966-2006	71
3.8 Relationship between the total number of owner-operators and employees	76
3.9 Dairy farmer owner-operators	78
3.10 Projections of dairy owner-operators: 1966-2006	87

	Page	
CHAPTER IV	A REGIONAL STUDY IN THE MANAWATU	94
4.1	Introduction	94
4.2	Description of the survey area and comparison with other dairying districts of New Zealand	94
4.3	Formation of the Manawatu Co-operative Dairy Company Limited	102
4.4	Selection of survey farms	102
4.5	Selection of the survey period	103
4.6	The questionnaire - general background	104
4.7	Conducting the survey	109
4.8	Results of the survey. Characteristics of the 98 surveyed farms: 1968-69	110
4.9	Socio-economic aspects of the survey farms	120
CHAPTER V	SYNTHESIS AND CHARACTERISTICS OF THE AVERAGE WELL ORGANIZED FARM	137
5.1	Introduction	137
5.2	The hypothesis	137
5.3	Identification of well organized farms	139
5.4	Characteristics of the average well organized farm	142
5.5	The characteristics of the average well organized farm compared with the 'average farm' obtained from the survey farms	142
5.6	Summary	144
CHAPTER VI	CHARACTERISTICS OF THE RATIONALIZED STRUCTURE AND A COMPARISON OF THIS STRUCTURE WITH THAT DAIRY STRUCTURE OBSERVED TO BE IN EXISTENCE IN THE SURVEY AREA IN 1968-69	148
6.1	Introduction	148
6.2	Assumptions in constructing the hypothetical rationalized structure	148
6.3	Basis for re-organization	149
6.4	Characteristics of the rationalized structure	150
6.5	Comparison and some consequences in moving from the observed 1968-69 structure to the rationalized structure	153
6.6	Rejection or acceptance of hypothesis?	160
6.7	Reasons for rationalizing sub-optimally	162
6.8	Summary	164
CHAPTER VII	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	165
7.1	Is rationalization of the present structure worthwhile?	170
7.2	Future prospects of the small herd	173
APPENDICES		178
SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY		192

NOTES TO THE READER

- (i) The references for the tables and for the text are independent, e.g. where Ibid. appears in a table reference, it refers to a previous table reference.
- (ii) The signs listed below have been used throughout the thesis and have the following interpretation:
- < less than
 - \leq less than or equal to
 - > greater than
 - \geq greater than or equal to

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.1	Percentage of dairy farms with net farm incomes of less than \$2,000 per annum	3
1.2	Dairy Farmers' terms of exchange	4
1.3	Capital expenditure on N.Z. Dairy farms	5
1.4	Average net farm incomes for factory supply farms with differing acreages	16
1.5	Data pertaining to the N.Z. dairy industry: 1950 - 1970	20
1.6	Number of holdings in each herd size group in England and Wales and New Zealand	27
1.7	Farms of one hectare and over, number and average size	30
1.8	Share of persons employed in agriculture as percentage of total numbers in employment	31
2.1	Annual average compound growth rates. Per cent per year	40
2.2	The changing composition of real inputs making up total non-factor inputs. Averages for 1921-23, 1946-48 and 1964-66	46
2.3	Index numbers of costs and of nominal award adult male wage rates	49
3.1	Primary producers as a percentage of breadwinners and of total population	52
3.2	Total agricultural labour force 1926-1966	54
3.3	Agricultural Development Conference's estimates of labour requirements 1972	56
3.4	Estimates of manpower requirements for farming (including farm contracting)	57
3.5	Total European owner-operators. Original data up to and including 1966	61
3.6	Comparison of total owner-operators and the number of holdings ≥ 11 acres	63
3.7	Proportions of total European owner-operators by age groups	65

Table	Page	
3.8	Per cent net rates of entry and withdrawal between decennial censuses. 1916-1966	68
3.9	Results of three multiple regression equations of total owner-operators on time	73
3.10	Summary of projections	74
3.11	Ratio of employees to total owner-operators 1896-1966	77
3.12	Total European dairy owner-operators. Actual data up to and including 1966	80
3.13	A comparison between number of herds with ten or more cows and the total number of suppliers to dairy companies	82
3.14	Two estimates of herd size average	83
3.15	Proportions of total European dairy owner-operators by age groups	85
3.16	Components of the percentage change in the total number of dairy owner-operators between two successive decennial censuses	89
3.17	Results of four multiple regression equations of dairy owner-operators (excluding Maoris) on time	89
3.18	Summary of projections	91
4.1	Normal temperature (^o F), Rainfall (inches) and sunshine (hours)	97
4.2	Number of herds by herd size. 1950-51, 1960-61 and 1968-69. Selected districts	100
4.3	The number and percentage of milking cows by selected districts as at 31st January	101
4.4	Comparison of herd size distributions: 1968-69	113
4.5	Summary of results	119
4.6	Age distribution of the 98 farmers	121
4.7	Summary of three possible dairy structures that could exist in the survey area by 1980-81	127
4.8	Production statistics for the Manawatu Co-operative Dairy Company. 1964/65 - 1971/72	135

Table	Page	
5.1	The average total net farm income derived from average total gross farm income for different herd sizes	140
5.2	Specifications of the 'average well organized farm'	143
5.3	Percentage increase in respective characteristics of the average per farm characteristics formed from the 98 farms, compared with the characteristics of the average well organized farm. (A.W.O.F.)	145
6.1	Comparison of the original and rationalized structure	153
6.2	Composition of the labour force under the two structures	157
6.3	Differences in inputs as between the original and rationalized structures	161
6.4	Values of added net farm income (\$74,631) when capitalized in perpetuity at different rates of interest	162
7.1	Proportion of milkfat supplied by herds with <100 cows and those with \geq 100 cows for three seasons, 1968-69, 1969-70 and 1970-71	175
A	Average net and average real net farm income per dairy farm 1960-61 to 1970-71	178
B.1	Occupations representing owner-operators	180
B.2	Code number, occupations and numbers in each age group for all status classes	182
B.3	Code number, occupations and numbers in each age group for 'employer' and 'own account' classes for those occupations listed in table B.1. Other occupations same as in table B.2	183
H	Standard values and dairy cow equivalent coefficients	191

TABLE OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure		page
1.1 (a)	Percentage number of holdings principally in dairying by acreage size groups for years: 1966, 1967, 1968 and 1969	19
1.1 (b)	Percentage number of holdings milking herds with 10 or more cows on Jan. 31, in 1951, 1961 and 1969	19
1.2	Total number of suppliers, herds with ≥ 10 cows and average herd size: 1950/51 - 1970/71	23
1.3	Average production per cow, total butterfat 'at pail' and number of dairy cows in milk: 1950/51 - 1970/71	24
2.1	Gross and net farm income, 1921-67. Gross farm output (1949/50 prices), 1921-67	38
2.2	Gross output, aggregate inputs and gross productivity, 1921-67	41
2.3	Partial productivity ratios. 1921-67	42
2.4	Real growth of machinery, fertilizer and lime. 1921-67	45
2.5	Total non-factor inputs, real capital and labour. 1921-67	48
3.1	Number of European owner-operators. 1896 and 1916 cohorts	66
3.2	Total number of owner-operators (excluding Maoris). Actual data and various projections ('000)	75
3.3	The number of owner-operators and employees. 1896-1966	79
3.4	Number of dairy owner-operators. 1906, 1926 and 1946 cohorts	86
3.5	Total number of dairy owner-operators (excluding Maoris). Actual data and various projections. ('000)	92
4.1	Map of the survey area	95
4.2	Distribution of farm size (by acres) for the survey farms	112

Figure		Page
4.3	Distribution of milking cows by herd size for the survey farms	112
4.4	Distribution of gross farm income for the survey farms	115
4.5 (a)	Distribution of milkfat/cow ratios	117
4.5 (b)	Distribution of milkfat/total corrected acreage ratios	117
4.5 (c)	Distribution of cows/total corrected acreage ratios	117
4.5 (d)	Distribution of cows/labour unit ratios	117