

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

***Effects of willow (Salix spp.) browse upon ewe
reproduction and rumen microbiology under drought
feeding conditions***

**A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the
degree of**

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Animal Science

At Massey University, Palmerston North,

New Zealand

**Dipti Wilhelmina Pitta
2007**



Massey University

INSTITUTE OF VETERINARY, ANIMAL AND BIOMEDICAL SCIENCES

**Institute of
Veterinary, Animal and
Biomedical Sciences**

Private Bag 11 222,
Palmerston North,
New Zealand

T: 64 6 350 4525

F: 64 6 350 5714

www.massey.ac.nz

20 April, 2007.

CERTIFICATE OF REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

This is to certify that the research carried out in the Doctoral Thesis entitled “Effects of willow (*Salix spp.*) browse upon ewe reproduction and rumen microbiology under drought feeding conditions”, in the Institute of Veterinary, Animal and Biomedical Sciences at Massey University, New Zealand and AgResearch (Grasslands), New Zealand:

- a. is the original work of the candidate, except as indicated by appropriate attribution in the text and/or in the acknowledgements.
- b. that the text, excluding appendices does not exceed 100,000 words;
- c. all the ethical requirements applicable to this study have been complied with as required by Massey University and AgResearch that has a particular association with this study, and relevant legislation.

Please insert Ethical Authorisation code (s) here:

Candidate: Dipti W. Pitta

Chief Supervisor: Professor Tom N. Barry

Signature: *Dipti Wilhelmine Pitta*

Signature: *T N Barry*

Date: *3/09/2007*

Date: *12/9/2007*



Massey University

INSTITUTE OF VETERINARY, ANIMAL AND BIOMEDICAL SCIENCES

**Institute of
Veterinary, Animal and
Biomedical Sciences**

Private Bag 11 222,
Palmerston North,
New Zealand

T: 64 6 350 4525

F: 64 6 350 5714

www.massey.ac.nz

CANDIDATE'S DECLARATION

This is to certify that the research carried out for my Doctoral thesis entitled “Effects of willow (*Salix spp.*) browse upon ewe reproduction and rumen microbiology under drought feeding conditions”, in the Institute of Veterinary, Animal and Biomedical Sciences at Massey University, New Zealand is my own work and that the thesis material has not been used in part or whole for any other qualification.

Candidate: Dipti W. Pitta

Signature: *Dipti Wilhelmina Pitta*

Date: *3/09/2007*



Massey University

INSTITUTE OF VETERINARY, ANIMAL AND BIOMEDICAL SCIENCES

**Institute of
Veterinary, Animal and
Biomedical Sciences**

Private Bag 11 222,
Palmerston North,
New Zealand

T: 64 6 350 4525

F: 64 6 350 5714

www.massey.ac.nz

SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION

This is to certify that the research carried out for the Doctoral thesis entitled "Effects of willow (*Salix spp.*) browse upon ewe reproduction and rumen microbiology under drought feeding conditions", was done by Dipti W. Pitta in the Institute of Veterinary, Animal and Biomedical Sciences at Massey University, New Zealand. The thesis material has not been used in part or whole for any other qualification, and I confirm that the candidate has pursued the course of study in accordance with the requirements of the Massey University regulations.

Chief Supervisor: Professor Tom N. Barry

Signature:

T N Barry.

Date:

12/9/2007.

ABSTRACT

A series of grazing experiments were conducted in the summer/autumn of 2003 and 2004 at Massey University's Riverside dryland farm near Masterton in Wairarapa on the East Coast of NZ, to study the effects of grazing willow fodder blocks (6,000 stems/ha) upon the production and reproductive performance of ewes relative to ewes grazing drought pastures. Drought pastures were simulated in this study and included short drought pasture and long drought pasture. Pasture with a low pre-grazing mass of approximately 1500 kg DM/ha, a dead matter content of >50 % and a sward height of 5-7 cm was defined as short drought pasture typical of drought conditions. Long drought pasture was similar to pasture growing in the willow fodder blocks, with a pre-grazing pasture mass of >4000 kg DM/ha, a sward height of > 30cm and a dead matter content of 30-60 %. Willow fodder blocks were established on low-lying wet, marshy areas of the farm that had very low or zero productivity in the undeveloped state. Pasture development in the fodder blocks was noticed with the growth of unsown grasses and legumes, as the areas dried up following the planting of willow stakes, due to evapotranspiration from the trees. Forage in the willow fodder blocks included both trees and pasture that was grown under the trees. The nutritive value of short drought pasture was low with an ME of 8 MJ/kg DM; long drought pasture ranged between 8-10 MJ ME/kg DM; willow pasture contained 8 MJ ME/kg DM in 2003 and 10 MJ ME/kg DM in 2004. The nutritive value of edible willow tree (<5 mm diameter) was superior to drought pasture with an ME of >10 MJ/kg DM. The concentrations of the secondary compounds such as condensed tannins (CT; 30-40 g/kg DM) and phenolic glycosides (PG; 15-35 g/kg DM) were higher in willow

trees compared to their concentrations (CT; 2-3 g/kg DM) and (PG; 2-9 g/kg DM) in control drought pastures.

Experiments involving short drought pasture, long drought pasture and willow fodder blocks as treatment groups were grazed by ewes for 10 weeks in regular breaks from mid February to early May. Ewes were mated during this period and were joined together after mating and grazed on normal pasture until weaning. Live weight (LW) change and body condition score (BCS) were recorded throughout the experiments, whilst reproductive performance of ewes was measured as the number of lambs recorded at ultrasound pregnancy scanning, lambing, docking and weaning. Measurements on wool production were also recorded at weaning.

In 2003, experimental ewes grazed control drought pastures (short and long) and willow fodder blocks (restricted and full access) as treatment groups (n=100 ewes/group; Chapter 2). Ewes grazing short drought pasture had an allowance of 0.8 kg DM/ewe/d whilst ewes with restricted access had an allowance of 0.8 kg DM/ewe/d from drought pasture and 0.4 kg DM/ewe/d from willow fodder blocks. Ewes in full access treatment group had no access to pasture but were confined to willow fodder blocks at an allowance of 2.0 kg DM/ewe/d, which was the same allowance given to long drought pasture ewes. Ewes grazing short drought pasture lost weight at approximately 100g/d and recorded a low reproductive rate (90 lambs weaned/100 ewes mated) with a high proportion of single lamb births. Live weight loss was significantly reduced to 40 g/d in ewes grazing willow fodder blocks (full access) with a 20% units increase in reproductive rate due to more multiple births ($P<0.05$). Ewes grazing long drought pasture performed intermediate to ewes with full access to fodder blocks and ewes grazing short drought pasture, whilst ewes with

restricted access performed similar to ewes grazing short drought pasture. In 2004 (Chapter 3), the restricted access to willow fodder blocks treatment was eliminated from the study and the number of ewes was increased to 165 ewes per treatment group. Performance of ewes grazing short drought pasture was similar to that of ewes grazing short drought pasture in 2003, with ewes losing live weight (40g/d) and a low reproductive rate (90 lambs weaned/100 ewes mated) whilst ewes grazing long drought pasture gained LW (54 g/d) and had a higher reproductive rate ($P<0.05$). Ewes grazing willow fodder blocks performed better than ewes grazing short drought pasture by maintaining LW and their reproductive rate was intermediate to ewes grazing short and long drought pasture.

In 2005, a short grazing trial with rumen fistulated sheep was conducted to study the effect of supplementing willow to ewes grazing drought pastures upon plasma amino acid concentrations (Chapter 4) and upon the microbiology of the rumen (Chapter 5 and 6). Grazing occurred during summer/autumn for 10 weeks with two treatment groups; control (short drought pasture; $n=7$) at an allowance of 0.8 kg DM/ewe/d and ewes grazing short drought pasture at 0.8 kg DM/ewe/d plus a supplement of fresh willow at 1.4 kg fresh willow/ewe/d ($n=7$). Blood samples for the quantification of plasma amino acids were collected at week 5 and 10, with LW and BCS measured at fortnightly intervals. Short drought pasture in this experiment had a low pasture mass (2000 kg DM/ha) and a low nutritive value (8 MJ/kg DM), whilst willow had a higher ME of 10 MJ/kg DM. Both groups of ewes lost live weight at the rate of 50 g/d. Plasma concentration of 3-methyl histidine (3-MTH; 88 vs 127 μ mole/L) at week 5 and non essential amino acids (NEAA; 1082 vs 1417 μ mole/L) at week 5 and (1155 vs 1324 μ mole/L) at week 10, were substantially lower ($P<0.05$) in

willow supplemented ewes than control ewes. It was concluded that the increased reproductive rate from willow supplementation in ewes grazing drought pasture might be partly explained by reduced body protein catabolism, besides also increasing plasma branched chain amino acids (BCAA) and essential amino acids (EAA) concentrations.

To investigate the effects of willow supplementation on rumen microbes, rumen samples were collected during the 2005 experiment with fistulated ewes over a 10 week period. The study involved the use of a molecular technique (Chapter 5), denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE), to compare the rumen microbial populations between the control and supplemented ewes and a cultivation technique (Chapter 6) to study the effect on rumen bacteria of ewes grazing drought pastures with and with out willow supplementation. DGGE analysis of the V3 region of 16S ribosomal RNA genes in DNA extracted from samples of rumen contents taken fortnightly over a 10 week feeding period showed a distinct difference in banding patterns between treatment groups which progressively developed over time, showing rumen microbial adaptation to willow supplementation. However, phylogenetic analysis of the DNA sequences retrieved from the DGGE bands from willow-supplemented and control ewes did not cluster by treatment group. It was deduced that willow supplementation induced a change in rumen bacterial populations through selecting sub-populations of organisms already present in the rumen. The changes in the rumen bacterial populations is attributed to the ability of these bacteria to metabolise secondary compounds in willow such as phenolic glycosides and flavanoid monomers and their ability to resist the inhibitory effects of condensed tannins.

The cultivation study involved enumeration, isolation and purification of bacterial colonies on Complete Carbohydrate, Salicin, Xylan, Cellulose and Willow media followed by full characterisation of a representative set of pure bacterial cultures. Total bacterial counts on the above media at week 5 and week 10 were generally lower in willow-supplemented ewes compared to control ewes and the 16S rRNA gene sequences of the majority of isolates characterised from both Salicin and Xylan media, were most closely related to species from the *Pseudobutyrvibrio* genus. Isolates from Willow medium clustered as two distinct groups. One group (mostly isolated from control ewes) was made up of mainly of organisms not usually associated with the rumen and probably represent non-resident organisms that are passing through the rumen. The other group of bacteria were mainly retrieved from willow-supplemented ewes and were most closely related to species of the *Olsenella* genus. Compared to bacteria isolated on Salicin and Xylan media, isolates on Willow medium showed little ability to ferment various carbohydrates or trypticase (hydrolysed protein) but were able to utilise secondary compounds from willow.

It was concluded that willow fodder blocks are useful sources of supplementary fodder for mating ewes during drought situations. Both the field and microbiological studies showed adaptation to the willow supplementary diet, including the detection of *Olsenella*-like bacteria for the first time in the rumen. It is suggested that the principal purpose of the rumen investigation is the degradation of secondary compounds present in willow.

Acknowledgements

I extend my sincere thanks to **Professor Tom Barry** who helped me to step into the highest qualified degree programme and had been a pillar of support and encouragement through out my study. I am indebted for his constant inspiration, untiring help, constructive ideas, immense affection and for the excellent facilities provided to conduct this work. No words can fully express my gratitude for his continued patronage and dedication to this work.

My heartfelt thanks to **Senior Scientist. Dr. Graeme Attwood** for providing me the opportunity to work at AgResearch Rumen Microbiology Laboratory, for his timely help, expertise, valuable suggestions and excellent lab facilities provided to undertake rumen microbiology studies.

I express my thanks to Co-Supervisors to **Dr. Nicolas Lopez Villalobos** and **Prof. Peter Kemp** who encouraged me at every stage and provided constructive ideas and valid criticism when ever required despite their busy schedule.

I am indebted to the technical expertise provided by **Geoff Purchas, Collin Morgan** and **Nathan Crombie** at organising field experiments and to **Dong Li, Matt Deighton, Jason Peters, Matt Nicholson, Debjit De, Graham Naylor, Diana Paccheco** for extending their constant help to carry out my Lab work. Without their help my work would have been incomplete.

My research could not have been possible without the financial support provided by **Massey University** through Doctoral scholarship for 3 years, Joan Berry Scholarship for 6 months and Helen E Akers Scholarship for personal finances. I also thank AgResearch who supported financially in the critical, final stages of this study.

I owe all my friends a big thank you for their constant encouragement and support rendered at various stages of this 4 year study. I especially thank **Ithiel** and **Sheelum Satya** for their limitless help in crucial stages, **Jerusha** for giving me moral strength

and **Carolina** for backing me up in my low times. For all my other friends with whom I shared all my feelings during my study and names that are not mentioned here, your company is always remembered and cherished.

I owe my thanks to my brother **Pitta Heston Sam Sagar** for his timely help in supporting me financially to come to New Zealand. Without his help I would not have been to NZ to pursue my career. I also thank my Parents for their courage, patience and prayers to send me this far to undertake PhD and backing me every moment. I also thank my sisters and their families for supporting me to complete this study.

I express my love to **Sundar kanth** who had been my fiancé through out this study and gratitude for his encouragement, moral support and patience to help me complete this degree program. His support remained unchanged as my husband to finish the concluding phase of this thesis.

Finally, I praise and thank the **Lord Almighty Jesus Christ**, with whose blessing I am able to submit this research work.

Praise the Lord.....I finished!!!!!!!!!!

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DECLARATIONS	i-iii
ABSTRACT	iv-viii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ix-x
TABLE OF CONTENTS	xi-xix
LIST OF TABLES	xx-xxv
LIST OF FIGURES	xxvi-xxviii
LIST OF PLATES	xxix
LIST OF APPENDICES	xxx
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxxi-xxxii
 CHAPTER 1	
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	
1.0 Introduction	2
1.1 Sheep Production	5
1.1.1 Annual Cycle	5
1.1.2 Seasonal Priorities	6
1.1.2.1 Summer/Early Autumn (weaning to mating)	6
1.1.2.2 Late Autumn (Mating and early pregnancy)	8
1.1.2.3 Winter and Early Spring	8
1.1.2.4 Early Spring (Lambing)	9
1.1.2.5 Late Spring (Lactation)	10
1.1.3 Pasture Production	11
1.1.3.1 Seasonal Pattern	11
1.1.3.2 Sward Characteristics	13
1.1.3.2.1 Sward surface height	13

1.1.3.2.2 Herbage mass	13
1.1.4 Grazing systems	13
1.1.4.1 Continuous stocking system	14
1.1.4.2 Rotational grazing system	15
1.2 Drought	16
1.2.1 Definition	16
1.2.2 Occurrence	16
1.2.2.1 Influence of Climatic factors	16
1.2.2.1.1 Effects of ENSO	17
1.2.2.1.2 Interdecadal Pacific Oscillation	19
1.2.2.1.3 Global warming	20
1.2.3 Effect on farming	21
1.2.3.1 Loss at National level	22
1.2.3.2 Loss at Individual Farm level	23
1.3 Willows as a supplementary feed in NZ Farms	25
1.3.1 Varieties	26
1.3.2 Utilisation	26
1.3.2.1 Soil conservation	26
1.3.2.2 Shade and shelter	26
1.3.2.3 Supplementary feed	27
1.3.3 Methods of feeding	27
1.3.3.1 Cut and supplementation with willows	28
1.3.3.2 Fodder blocks	29
1.3.4 Nutritive value	30
1.3.4.1 Supplementary feed	31
1.3.4.2 Primary compounds	31
1.3.4.3 Secondary compounds	33
1.3.4.3.1 Phenolic glycosides	34
1.3.4.3.2 Condensed Tannins	36
1.3.4.3.2.1 Concentration of CT	38
1.3.4.3.2.2 Effects of CT	38

1.3.4.3.2.3 Voluntary feed intake	38
1.3.4.3.2.4 Digestibility and metabolism of nutrients	39
1.3.4.3.2.4.1 Protein	39
1.3.4.3.2.4.2 Fibre digestion	42
1.3.4.3.2.4.3 Animal production	43
1.4 The rumen microbial ecosystem	45
1.4.1 Fibre degrading bacteria	46
1.4.2 Degradation of phenolic compounds in the rumen	47
1.4.2.1 Effects of CT on microbes	47
1.4.2.1.1 Anti-microbial effects	47
1.4.2.1.2 Resistance to CTs	48
1.4.2.2 Effects of other phenolic compounds on rumen microbes	49
1.4.2.2.1 Inhibitory effects	49
1.4.2.2.2 Resistance to phenolic compounds	49
1.4.3 Molecular techniques used for microbial community analysis	50
1.5 Feeding value of forages	57
1.5.1 Definition	57
1.5.2 Feeding value of drought pasture in NZ	59
1.5.3 Feeding value of tree forages	60
1.5.4 Problems associated with calculated intakes in grazing experiments	62
1.6 Conclusion	64
1.7 References	67

	Page
CHAPTER 2	
2003 GRAZING EXPERIMENT	
2.1 Abstract	91
2.2 Introduction	93
2.3 Material and Methods	94
2.3.1 Experimental Design	94
2.3.2 Ewes	95
2.3.3 Forages	96
2.3.3.1 Pasture management	96
2.3.3.2 Management of willow fodder blocks	97
2.3.3.2.1 Site selection	97
2.3.3.2.2 Willow fodder blocks	97
2.3.4 Forage Measurements	98
2.3.4.1 Pasture	98
2.3.4.2 willow	98
2.3.5 Animal measurements	99
2.3.6 Laboratory analyses	99
2.3.7 Statistical analyses	100
2.4 Results	102
2.5 Discussion	110
2.6 Conclusions and Recommendations	118
2.7 References	120

	Page
CHAPTER 3	
2004 GRAZING EXPERIMENT	
3.1 Abstract	124
3.2 Introduction	126
3.3 Material and Methods	127
3.3.1 General	127
3.3.2 Experiment I	127
3.3.2.1 Experimental design	127
3.3.2.2 Animals	128
3.3.2.3 Forages	129
3.3.2.3.1 Preparation of drought pasture	129
3.3.2.3.2 Preparation of willow fodder blocks	130
3.3.2.3.3 Grazing management	130
3.3.3 Experiment II	131
3.3.3.1 Experimental design	131
3.3.3.2 Animals	131
3.3.3.3 Pasture and willow fodder blocks	131
3.3.4 Forage measurements	132
3.3.4.1 Pasture	132
3.3.4.2 willow	132
3.3.5 Laboratory Analyses	133
3.3.6 Statistical Analyses	134
3.4 Results	135
3.4.1 Experiment I	135
3.4.2 Experiment II	144
3.5 Discussion	150
3.6 Conclusions	155
3.7 References	156

	Page
CHAPTER 4	
2005 SHORT GRAZING EXPERIMENT	
4.1 Abstract	160
4.2 Introduction	162
4.3 Material and Methods	163
4.3.1 Experimental Design	163
4.3.2 Ewes	163
4.3.3 Forages	164
4.3.3.1 Drought pasture	164
4.3.3.2 Grazing management	164
4.3.3.3 Willow supply	165
4.3.4 Forage measurements	165
4.3.4.1 Pasture	165
4.3.4.2 willow	165
4.3.5 Animal measurements	166
4.3.6 Laboratory analyses	166
4.3.7 Statistical analyses	168
4.4 Results	169
4.5 Discussion	173
4.6 References	176

	Page
CHAPTER 5	
MOLECULAR STUDY	
5.1 Abstract	179
5.2 Introduction	180
5.3 Material and Methods	181
5.3.1 Grazing trial	181
5.3.2 Rumen sampling	182
5.3.3 Molecular study	182
5.3.3.1 DNA extraction	182
5.3.3.2 PCR amplification of 16S rRNA gene V3 region	183
5.3.3.3 Cleaning of PCR product	184
5.3.3.4 Denaturing Gradient Gel Electrophoresis (DGGE)	184
5.3.3.5 DGGE standard DNAs	186
5.3.3.6 Analysis of DGGE DNA bands	186
5.3.3.7 Sequencing DNA from DGGE bands	187
5.3.4 Feed Analyses	187
5.4 Results	188
5.4.1 Feed Analysis	188
5.4.2 DGGE analysis of bacterial population changes during diet adaptation	191
5.5 Discussion	198
5.6 References	203

	Page
CHAPTER 6	
CULTURE STUDY	
6.1 Abstract	207
6.2 Introduction	208
6.3 Material and Methods	209
6.3.1 Bacterial strains and media	209
6.3.2 Animals, willow supplementation and rumen sampling	209
6.3.3 Enumeration, Isolation and purification of bacterial colonies	210
6.3.4 Phenotypic characterisation of bacteria	210
6.3.5 Extraction of DNA, sequencing and phylogenetic analysis	211
6.3.6 Analysis of secondary compounds	212
6.4 Results	213
6.4.1 Enumeration	213
6.4.2 Bacterial isolation and characterisation of bacterial cultures	213
6.4.3 Utilisation of components in willow	221
6.4.4 Phylogenetic analysis	228
6.5 Discussion	231
6.6 References	237

	Page
CHAPTER 7	
GENERAL DISCUSSION	
7.0 Introduction	242
7.1 Drought	244
7.1.1 Defining the severity of drought in NZ	244
7.1.2 Prediction of future drought risk under scenarios of climate change	246
7.1.3 Quality of pastures during drought	247
7.1.4 Concentration of other secondary compounds in short drought pastures	248
7.2 Willow fodder blocks	250
7.2.1 Contribution of willow tree in fodder block	250
7.2.2 Contribution of willow pasture in fodder block	251
7.2.3 Dietary allowance and access to willow fodder blocks	251
7.2.4 Grazing management of willow fodder blocks	252
7.2.4.1 Weaknesses in grazing willow fodder blocks	252
7.2.4.2 Grazing plan	253
7.2.4.3 Improvement in quality in willow fodder blocks	254
7.3 Effect of tree fodder supplementation on ewe performance	256
7.3.1 Increase in ewe reproductive rate	256
7.3.1.1 Reasons for the enhanced reproductive rate	256
7.3.2 Increase in plasma amino acid concentrations	263
7.4 Aspects of rumen microbiology	265
7.4.1 DGGE study	265
7.4.2 Culture study	266
7.4.3 Comparison of DGGE and Culture study	266
7.4.4 Utilisation of plant secondary compounds	268
7.5 Alternative forages for drought pastures	269
7.6 References	275

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 1.1	Changes in the domestic livestock population in NZ from 1980 to 2005	2
Table 1.2	Changes in productivity of the NZ sheep industry from 1980 to 2005	3
Table 1.3	Contribution of pastoral agriculture to NZ's export earnings (FOB Value of NZ's exports (\$ Million))	4
Table 1.4	Seasonal recommended allowances of pasture cover, pasture length and DM quantities for a breeding ewe	7
Table 1.5	Values related to either sward conditions under continuous stocking or post grazing stubble under rotational grazing	15
Table 1.6	Comparison of reproductive rates at ultrasound scanning over the three field experiments for experimental control ewes grazing simulated drought pasture during mating versus commercially farmed ewes grazing non-drought pastures during mating at Massey University Riverside Farm in Wairarapa	23
Table 1.7	Estimated cost of a drought using Massey University Riverside Farm, Wairarapa, as a model (J. Stantiall, Agricultural Consultant, Wilson & Keeling Ltd.)	24
Table 1.8	Nutritive value of edible DM (leaf plus stem \leq 5mm diameter) of willows. OMD is organic matter digestibility, ME is metabolisable energy	32
Table 1.9	Nutritive value of willow supplements and drought pasture, to beef cattle (Moore et al., 2003) and ewes (McWilliam et al., 2005)	33
Table 1.10	Concentration of secondary compounds (condensed tannins and others) in temperate forage species with pastoral value for New Zealand farming systems	40
Table 1.11	Livestock production responses to feeding CT-containing forages such as <i>Lotus corniculatus</i> and <i>Salix</i> and <i>Populus sp.</i> , relative to a control non CT-containing forages.	43, 44
Table 1.12	The comparative feeding value in terms of sheep live-weight gain of some pasture species grown in NZ. All forage feeding values expressed relative to white clover as 100%.	57

Table 1.13	Effect of feeding white clover or perennial ryegrass diets on intake and milk yield of dairy cows	58
Table 1.14	Effect of feeding lactating cows maintaining weight (LW; 550 kg) on good and medium quality pasture on milk production and DM intake	59
Table 1.15	Variation in the control drought pasture between years and its effect on calculated dry matter intake (DMI) and on animal performance. Data refers to pastures on Massey University's Riverside Farm in the Wairarapa, NZ, between mid Feb and late April (Late summer/autumn)	60
Table 1.16	The effect of supplementing ewes (100/group) grazing low quality drought pasture with willow/poplar (1.4 kg fresh/ewe/d for approximately 70 d) during mating upon reproductive performance (lambs/100 ewes mated) and lamb mortality between birth and weaning adjusted to equal birth rank. Data collected on Massey University's Riverside Farm in the Wairarapa, NZ	61
Table 2.1	Pre-grazing and post-grazing mass (kg DM/ha) and dead matter content of control (short and long) drought pasture and willow fodder blocks grazed during the experiment (mean values with standard errors)	103
Table 2.2	Chemical composition and nutritive value of the pasture and willow diet selected (g/kg DM) by ewes grazing low quality control drought pastures (short and long) and willow fodder blocks (mean values with standard errors) ¹	104
Table 2.3	Secondary compound content of the pasture and willow diet selected (g/kg DM) by ewes grazing low quality control drought pastures (short and long) and willow fodder blocks (mean values with standard errors) ¹	105
Table 2.4	Live weight change (g/day) and body condition score change (units) during the 75 day experimental grazing period, together with reproductive rate (expressed as a percentage of the total number of ewes exposed to the ram) in ewes grazing control (short and long) drought pastures and willow fodder blocks (mean values with standard errors)	111
Table 2.5	The effect of grazing ewes for 75 days, including mating, on control (short and long) drought pasture and willow fodder blocks on conception rate, fecundity, mean lambing date and total lamb	112

	mortality from birth to weaning(mean values with standard errors)	
Table 2.6	The effect of grazing ewes on control (short and long) drought pasture and willow fodder blocks for 75 days during the late summer/autumn, including mating, on lamb birth and weaning weights (kilograms; mean values and standard errors)	113
Table 2.7	The effect of grazing ewes on control (short and long) drought pasture and willow fodder blocks for 75 days during the late summer/autumn, including mating, on whole –year wool production and staple length (mean values and standard errors)	114
Table 2.8	The effect of ewes grazing for 75 days during the late summer/autumn, including mating, on low quality control drought pastures (short and long) and willow fodder blocks on calculated dry matter intake (kg DM/ewe/day), calculated metabolisable energy (ME) intake (MJ ME/ewe/day), calculated crude protein (CP) intake (g/ewe/day) and calculated condensed tannin (CT) intake (g/ewe/day) and phenolic glycosides (g/ewe/day) (mean values with standard errors)	116
Table 3.1	Pregrazing and post grazing mass (kg DM/ha) and dead matter content from primary and secondary growth of control (short and long) drought pasture and willow fodder blocks grazed during the experiment (mean values with standard errors) (Experiment I)	137
Table 3.2	Chemical composition and nutritive value of the pasture and willow diet selected (g/kg DM) by ewes grazing low quality control drought pastures (short and long) and willow fodder blocks (primary and secondary growths) (mean values with standard errors) (Experiment I)	138
Table 3.3	Secondary compound content of the pasture and willow diet selected (g/kg DM) by ewes grazing low quality control drought pastures (short and long) and willow fodder blocks (mean values with standard errors) ¹ (Experiment I)	139
Table 3.4	Changes in live weight (g/day) and body condition score (BCS) over the experimental feeding period, when ewes were grazed on control (short and long) pastures and willow fodder blocks (mean values with standard errors) (Experiment I)	145
Table 3.5	The effect of grazing ewes for 86 days, including mating, on control (short and long) drought pasture and willow fodder blocks on reproductive rate (expressed as a proportion of the total number of ewes exposed to the ram), conception rate, fecundity,	146

mean lambing date and total lamb mortality at birth and weaning(mean values with standard errors) (Experiment I)

Table 3.6	The effect of grazing ewes on control (short and long) drought pasture and willow fodder blocks for 86 days during the late summer/autumn, including mating, on lamb birth and weaning weights (kilograms; mean values and standard errors) (Experiment I)	147
Table 3.7	Pregrazing and post grazing mass (Kg DM/ha), dead matter content and Chemical composition of control pasture and willow fodder block (primary and regrowth) grazed during the experimental period of 30 days (mean values and standard errors) (Experiment II)	148
Table 3.8	Live weight change (g/day) and body condition score change (units), during the 30 day period of grazing control and willow fodder block and reproductive data at ultra sound scanning expressed as a percentage of the total number of ewes exposed to the ram (mean values and standard errors) (Experiment II)	149
Table 3.9	The effect of ewes grazing for 86 days during the late summer/autumn, including mating, on low quality control drought pastures (short and long) and willow fodder blocks on calculated dry matter intake (kg DM/ewe/day), calculated metabolisable energy (ME) intake (MJ ME/ewe/day), calculated crude protein (CP) intake (g/ewe/day) and calculated condensed tannin (CT) intake (g/ewe/day) and phenolic glycosides (g/ewe/day) (mean values with standard errors) (Experiment I)	152
Table 3.10	The effect of grazing frequency per season upon the nutritive value of the diet selected by sheep grazing full access on fodder blocks	153
Table 4.1	Pasture mass, chemical composition of the diet selected and change in live weight (LWC) and body condition score (BCS) in ewes when grazing drought pasture with and without supplementation with willow during the experimental period (mean values with standard errors)	170
Table 4.2	Plasma concentration of amino acids (μ mole/L) in fistulated ewes grazing short drought pasture with (supplemented) and without (control) supplementation of willow for 35 and 70 days. Mean values with pooled standard error (SEM)	171
Table 5.1	Chemical composition of the lowest (25%) and highest (60%)	185

denaturing solutions used to make the denaturing gradient

Table 5.2	Pasture mass, chemical composition (primary and secondary compounds) of the diet selected and change in live weight (LWC) and body condition score (BCS) in ewes when grazing drought pasture with and without supplementation with willow during the experimental period (mean values with standard errors)	189
Table 5.3	Clone sequence information	196, 197
Table 6.1	Bacterial colony counts ($\times 10^9$) at Weeks 5 and 10 in control and willow-supplemented ewes.	213
Table 6.2	Phenotypic characteristics of representative bacterial isolates on Salicin, and Xylan media	215
Table 6.3	Substrate utilisation tests of bacterial isolates from Salicin and Xylan medium	216
Table 6.4	Phenotypic characteristics of bacterial isolates from rumen samples of willow-supplemented ^w and control ^c ewes	218
Table 6.5	Substrate utilisation tests of Willow bacterial isolates from willow supplemented ^w and control ^c ewes	220
Table 7.1	Comparison of herbage mass and nutritive value of long and short drought pastures simulated in this study during the 2003 and 2004 grazing Experiments	249
Table 7.2	Concentration of other secondary compounds (g/kg DM) in short drought pasture simulated in the 2001-2003 year long grazing Experiments	250
Table 7.3	Effect of increasing the number of grazings per season upon herbage mass and botanical composition in willow browse blocks from 2003 to 2006	255
Table 7.4	Comparison of willow stem cuttings as supplementary feed to ewes grazing drought pastures and grazing willow fodder blocks during mating (summer/autumn) upon ewe reproductive performance in a series of grazing Experiments conducted at Massey University's Riverside Farm from 2002 until 2004	260
Table 7.5	Comparison of calculated intakes of DM (kg/d) by ewes and their live weight change in grazing Experiments with willow stem cuttings and willow fodder blocks as supplementary feed to ewes grazing drought pastures during mating (summer/april) conducted at Massey University's Riverside Farm from 2001 until 2004	261

Table 7.6	Comparison of calculated intakes (g/d) of CP, CT and PG in grazing Experiments with willow stem cuttings and willow fodder blocks as supplementary feed to ewes grazing drought pastures during mating (summer/april) conducted at Massey University's Riverside Farm from 2001 until 2004	262
Table 7.7	Comparison of plasma amino acid concentrations (μ mole/L) in fistulated ewes grazing short drought pasture, with and without willow supplementation (Experiment 3), with that of ewes grazing <i>Lotus corniculatus</i> and ryegrass/white clover pasture (Min et al., 1999).	264

LIST OF FIGURES		Page
Fig 1.1	Live weight targets through the annual cycle of breeding ewes on easy summer wet country (Easy) and harder hill country (Hard).	5
Figure 1.2	Pasture growth curve (moist summer / mild winter areas) ^a	12
Figure 1.3	Pasture growth curve (dry summer / cold winter areas) ^b	12
Figure 1.4	Sward surface height (cm) is expressed against herbage intake. Point C depicts critical height of the sward surface when animal performance starts to decline.	15
Figure 1.5	Percentage DSMD deviations from 1989 till 2003 due to El Nino and La Nina events. DSMD: Days of soil moisture deficit; EN: El Nino; LN: La Nina events.	18
Figure 1.6	The monthly DSMD deviation (%) for NZ for the year 2002/03.	18
Figure 1.7	Index denoting the phases of the Interdecadal Pacific Oscillation Index (IPO).	20
Figure 1.8	Smoothed NZ surface air and surrounding marine temperatures 1871-1998 (°C) compared with the 1961-1990 reference period.	21
Figure 1.9	The diameter of supplementary willow consumed by beef cattle when grazing low quality drought pasture.	28
Figure 1.10	Change in stem diameter of supplementary Tangoio willow consumed by ewes grazing low quality drought pasture.	29
Figure 1.11	The chemical structure of Salicin molecules (MW=286.27)	34
Figure 1.12	Salicin as a percentage of dry weight versus palatability as a percentage of leaves partly or wholly consumed.	35
Figure 1.13	Intake of (a) dry matter (DM) and (b) salicin in common brushtail possums fed diets with variable concentrations of salicin.	36
Figure 1.14	Thiolysis reaction of condensed tannin polymers with	37

procyanidin (R=H) and/or prodelphinidin (R=OH) units.

Figure 1.15	The relationship between condensed tannin concentration in the dry matter of forage species (x), and (a) the ratio of non-amonia-nitrogen (NAN) flowing at the abomasum or duodenum.	42
Figure 2.1	Change in (a) neutral detergent fibre concentration (NDF) and (b) organic matter digestibility (OMD) in samples of willow selected by sheep grazing willow fodder blocks.	106
Figure 2.2	Decrease in organic matter digestibility (OMD) with increase in neutral detergent fibre (NDF) concentration in samples of willow selected by sheep grazing willow fodder blocks	107
Figure 2.3	Changes in (a) mean ewe live weight and (b) body condition score in ewes grazed on control drought pastures (short and long) and willow fodder blocks (restricted and full access). The solid line indicates the experimental grazing period (75 days).	108
Figure 3.1	Experiment I. Increase in (a) Dry matter (DM) content expressed as (%) (b) Diameter (D) of chewed willow (mm) with time during experimental grazing in samples of willow selected by ewes grazing willow fodder blocks.	140
Figure 3.2	Change in CT concentration (g/kg DM) with time in short control pasture(○); long control pasture(●); willow trees (□); lotus legume present in willow pasture (■); and willow fodder block pasture (◆).(Experiment I)	142
Figure 3.3	Changes in (a) mean ewe live weight and (b) body condition score in ewes grazed on control drought pastures (short and long) and willow fodder blocks (full access).	143
Figure 3.4	Pattern of rainfall recorded at Riverside Farm (Wairarapa) through out the years of 2003 (Pitta <i>et al.</i> , 2005) and 2004 (this Experiment I), compared with the long term average in the past 15 years.	151
Figure 4.1	Change in diameter of willow chewed down (D; mm) by in the willow supplemented group	169
Figure 4.2	Changes in (a) mean ewe live weight and (b) body condition score in ewes grazed on short drought pastures with (supplemented) and without (control) supplementation of willow stem cuttings	172

Figure 5.1	Change in concentration (g/kg DM) of (a) phenolic glycosides (PGs) and (b)flavanoid monomers (FMs) in the rumen samples of willow supplemented ewes.	190
Figure 5.2	DGGE gels of the PCR amplified, hyper-variable region (V3) of 16S DNA from rumen samples in control and willow supplemented ewes collected at weeks (0, 2, 4, 6 and 10) along with their similarity matrices (Pearson's Coefficient).	192
Figure 5.3	A DGGE gel (Week 4) of the PCR amplified, hyper-variable region (V3) of 16S rRNA genes from rumen samples collected from willow-supplemented animals (lanes 2-7) and control animals (lanes 8-14).	193
Figure 5.4	A phylogenetic tree constructed using the 16S rRNA gene V3 sequences retrieved from the DNA bands excised from DGGE gels.	194, 195
Figure 6.1	Electron micrographs of the negatively stained bacterial cells isolated on Willow enrichment media.	219
Figure 6.2	Utilisation patterns of secondary compounds (g/kg DM) in uninoculated media and bacterial cultures grown on Willow media after growth isolated from both willow supplemented and control ewes.	222
Figure 6.3	HPLC chromatograms indicating the elution of peaks before inoculation (—)and after growth (—) of Willow isolates.	223-227
Figure 6.4	A phylogenetic tree constructed using the 16S DNA sequences from the isolates on Salicin, and Xylan media.	229
Figure 6.5	A phylogenetic tree constructed using the 16S DNA sequences from the isolates on Willow media.	230
Figure 7.1	The areas of NZ which experienced drought conditions during 1972-2003 with July-June PED accumulation exceeding specified threshold.	246
Figure 7.2	Plant density (plants/m ²) versus plant age (month as unit) for chicory over 4 year period (Barry, 1998).	270
Figure 7.3	Mean monthly growth rates of pasture and Rere (Lucerne) grown on hill country (20o slope) at Whatawhata over five years (1982-87; McGowan et al., 2003).	272

		Page
	List of Plates	
Plate 1	Preparation of short drought pasture a. Before grazing b. Grazing with cattle c. Simulated drought pasture	84
Plate 2	Establishment of willow fodder blocks a. Site selection b. Site preparation c. Planting stakes d. Established willow fodder blocks	85
Plate 3	Experiment 1 in 2003 a. Established willow fodder blocks (3 year old) being grazed by ewes b. Willow fodder block showing start and end of a break	86
Plate 4	Experiment 2 in 2004 a. Willow fodder blocks – Primary growth (Feb/march) b. Willow fodder blocks – regrowth (April/may)	87
Plate 5	Ewes grazing willow fodder blocks in Experiment 2 a. Ewes grazing primary growth b. Ewes grazing regrowth	88
Plate 6	Short grazing Experiment with fistulated ewes in 2005 a. Fistulated ewes grazing short drought pasture supplemented with willow stem cuttings b. Control fistulated ewes grazing short drought pasture	89

List of Appendices		Page
Appendix 1	Similarity matrix of the phylogenetic tree (Figure 6.4) constructed using xylan isolates	282
Appendix 2	Similarity matrix of the phylogenetic tree (Figure 6.4) constructed using salicin isolates	283
Appendix 3	Similarity matrix of the phylogenetic tree (Figure 6.5) constructed using willow isolates	284
Appendix 4	Electron micrographs of the negatively stained bacterial cells isolated on Xylan media	285

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BCAA	Branched chain amino acid
BCS	Body condition score
CA	Chlorogenic acid
CC	Complete carbohydrate
CP	Crude protein
Cr2O3	Chromium sesquioxide
CT	Condensed tannins
d	day
DGGE	Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis
DM	Dry matter
DMI	Dry matter intake
DOMD	Digestible organic matter
DON	Deoxy Nivalenol
DSMD	Days of soil moisture deficit
EAA	Essential amino acid
ELISA	Enzyme linked immunosorbent assay
ENSO	El Nino - Southern oscillation phenomenon
EU	European union
FM	Flavanoid monomer
FV	Feeding value
GDP	Gross domestic product
GLM	Generalised linear model
ha	hectare
HCL	Hydrochloric acid
hd	Head
HPLC	High performance liquid chromatography
HT	Hydrolysable tannins
IPO	Interdecadal pacific oscillation index
IVDMD	Invitro dry matter digestibility
LHV	Lower heating value

LIG	Lignin
LW	Live weight
LWC	Live weight change
MAF	Ministry of agriculture and forestry
ME	Metabolisable energy
MTH	Methyl histidine
MW	Molecular weight
NAN	Non ammonia nitrogen
ND	Not determined
NDF	Neutral detergent fibre
NE	Net energy
NEAA	Non-essential amino acid
NH ₃	Ammonia
NIV	Nivalenol
NIWA	National institute for water and atmospheric research
NV	Nutritive value
NZ	New Zealand
OM	Organic matter
OMD	Organic matter digestibility
OR	Ovulation rate
P	Probability
PED	Potential evapotranspiration deficit
PEG	Poly ethylene glycol
PG	Phenolic glycoside
SAS	Statistical analysis system
SE	Standard error
SMD	Soil moisture deficit
SOI	Southern oscillation index
TLC	Thin layer chromatography
UDP	Undegradable dietary protein
VFI	Voluntary feed intake