Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

LEARNING STRATEGIES IN MATHEMATICS EDUCATION

A THESIS PRESENTED IN PARTIAL

FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR

THE DEGREE OF PhD IN

MATHEMATICS EDUCATION

AT MASSEY UNIVERSITY

GLENDA JOY ANTHONY
1994

Abstract

Interest in learning strategies is particularly relevant to current curriculum reforms in mathematics education. The body of literature concerning the constructivist perspective of learning characterises the learner as being cognitively, metacognitively and affectively active in the learning process. The learner must appropriately control his or her learning processes by selecting and organising relevant information and building connections from existing knowledge.

In order to assist students in becoming more active, and self-regulated, it is timely that we learnt more about learning strategies, and their relation to knowledge construction and effective performance. This ethnographic study examines sixth form students' use and awareness of learning strategies. Data was obtained from observations, questionnaires, and stimulated recall interviews. Case studies of four students provided descriptive learning profiles of strategic behaviours in context.

Learning strategies are classified according to cognitive, metacognitive, affective, and resource management goals. Examples of students' specific use of learning strategies indicates that a wide range of strategies are employed. However, the use of learning strategies *per se* is not inherently indicative of purposive, intentional learning behaviour. There is a strong indication that the appropriateness and effectiveness of strategies relate to the learning goal and the task demands.

Learning behaviours that contribute to successful learning include rehearsal, elaboration, organisation, planning, monitoring and, self-evaluation. In addition, more successful students modify their learning tasks, know when it is appropriate to seek help, and are able to adapt their physical and social learning environment to optimise their learning opportunities.

Contributing factors of low achievement include: lack of relevant prior knowledge; lack of orientation towards mastery learning and an associated confusion about task goals; and inappropriate use of learning strategies related to monitoring understanding. Less successful students provide infrequent reports of metacognitive behaviours to control learning and employ ineffective use of help seeking and resources.

The study provides ample evidence of passive learning behaviours. Students sample selectively from the flow of instructional stimuli according to their needs and interests, but seldom take action to adapt the lesson to their individual requirements. Specific instructional factors which appear to contribute toward passive learning behaviours are highlighted in this study.

The present study provides evidence to support the proposed *Interactive Model of Learning Mathematics*. The influence of presage and product factors on strategic learning behaviours is clearly demonstrated in reports of the students' classroom and home learning environments.

Success of new curriculum developments in mathematics is critically linked to creating a suitable learning environment. To promote higher-order thinking in the mathematics class we may require a less instrumental approach - one that transfers some of the burden for teaching and learning from the teacher to the student, creating greater student autonomy and independence in the learning process.

Acknowledgments

I wish to express my appreciation for the guidance and support provided by Associate Professor Gordon Knight and Dr Alison St George. Their ongoing support, encouragement, and helpful suggestions have been a great motivation to complete this research.

I acknowledge with gratitude the teacher who so willingly agreed for the research to take place in her mathematics classroom. My ongoing presence in her class, the videotaping of lessons, and withdrawing of students from study periods were cheerfully integrated into the classroom routine.

Grateful thanks are due to all the students who took the time to complete questionnaires and discuss their learning behaviours. They graciously accepted my intrusion into their classroom lives and openly shared their learning experiences - thank you all very much.

I wish to acknowledge and thank Nick Broomfield for his expertise in the video productions and his patience in adapting to the wet lunch-hour timetables!

I would also like to express my appreciation to colleagues Mary, Gillian and Jo - their support, interest and encouragement along the way helped smooth over the 'rough patches'.

Lastly, but not least - my family can now breath a sigh of relief - thanks very much for your love and understanding.

Contents

Acknowled	lgments	(iv)
Table of Co	ontents	(v)
List of Figu	ures and Tables	(iix)
Chapter 1	Introduction	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Learning Strategies	3
1.3	The Specific Problem	6
1.4	The Research Objective	8
1.5	Summary	10
Chapter 2	Towards a Model of Learning Mathematics	11
2.1	Introduction	11
2.2	Domain Knowledge versus Strategic Knowledge	12
2.3	Interactive Model of Learning Mathematics	23
2.4	Summary	33
Chapter 3	Learning Strategies in Mathematics	35
3.1	Introduction	35
3.2	The Nature of Learning Strategies	36
3.3	The Classification of Learning Strategies	40
3.4	Learning Strategy Research in Mathematics Education	48
3.5	Factors Affecting Strategic Learning	59
3.6	Summary	71

Chapter 4	The Present Position 73	3
4.1	Introduction	3
4.2	The Present Focus of Learning strategies in Mathematics Education.74	4
4.3	Active Learning and Constructivism8	0
4.4	The Classroom Setting8	5
4.5	The Research Objectives8	8
4.6	Summary89	9
Chapter 5	Research Method 90	0
5.1	Introduction90)
5.2	Pilot Study93	3
5.3	The Research Setting94	
5.4	Data Collection Strategies96	5
5.5	Data Analysis	4
5.6	Validity of Interpretations	3
Chapter 6	Learning Strategies: Classification and Distribution	ì
6.1	Classification of Learning Strategies	0
6.2	Discussion of the Classification System11	5
6.3	Quantitative Analysis of Strategy use12	0
6.4	LASSI-HS Questionnaire	4
6.5	Summary12	7
Chapter 7	The Role of Learning Strategies 12	28
7.1	Cognitive Strategies	28
7.2	Metacognitive Behaviour14	40
7.3	Affective Strategies15	54
7.4	Resource Management Strategies	56
75	Summary 16	54

Chapter 8	Case Studies	166
8.1	Introduction	166
8.2	Case Study 1: Gareth	168
8.3	Case Study 2 Karen	180
8.4	Case Study 3 Jane	191
8.5	Case Study 4 Adam	204
8.6	Passive versus Active Learning	218
Chapter 9	Factors Affecting Learning Strategy Use	223
9.1	Person Factors	223
9.2	Instructional Factors	231
9.3	Contextual Factors	246
9.4	Summary	258
Chapter 10	0 Conclusions	260
10.1	What Learning Strategies are Important in Mathematics?	260
10.2	When Students Fail to Use Learning Strategies	267
10.3	Methodological Implications	274
10.4	Implications for Classroom Instruction	276
10.5	Additional Research	278
10.6	Summary: Major Outcomes	280
Appendice	es ·	283
A.1	Student Information Letter	283
A .2	Student Consent Form	284
A.3	Questionnaires	285
A.4	Homework Diary	286
A.5	Orientation Survey	287
A.6	Learning Behaviours :Jane	288
A.7	Seatwork Behaviours: Karen	292
A. 8	Homework Behaviours: Adam	294
A. 9	Test Revision Behaviours: Gareth	296

List of Figures

Figure 1	A Model of Cognitive Monitoring.	29
Figure 2	Interactive Model of Learning Mathematics	32
Figure 3	Framework Episodes Classified by Predominate Cognitive Level	41
Figure 4	Distribution of Students' Scores from LASSI-HS Questionnaire	125
Figure 5	Metacognitive Knowledge (Karen)	152
Figure 6	Strategic learning Behaviours	266

List of Tables

Table 1	Student Participants in the Study	95
Table 2	Triangulation of Time and Data Source (Gareth)	107
Table 3	Percentage Frequency of Reported Strategy Use	.120
Table 4	Karen's Reported Strategy Range from a Single Lesson	.123
Table 5	Affective Responses	154
Table 6	Frequency of Reported Learning Strategies	.167