Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

SOME INVESTIGATIONS INTO THE LARVAL DEVELOPMENT ASSAY AND TRICHOSTRONGYLID NEMATODES OF SHEEP.

A thesis presented in partial fulfillment of the requirement of the requirements for the degree of Master of Veterinary Science at Massey University.

SHAABAN BAKARI HOZA.

APRIL 1998.

Abstract

Two experiments were conducted to investigate the change over time in LD_{s0} values in an *in vitro* larval development assay.

In each experiment, six field-reared Romney lambs were effectively treated with an anthelmintic and housed. In experiment I, six three month old lambs were given a single infection of 35000 infective larvae of *T. colubriformis*. From ten days post infection (DPI) three lambs (Group 1.1) were treated twice weekly with 0.5mg/kg of dexamethasone trimethylacetate whilst the other three (Group 1.2) served as controls and remained untreated. In experiment II, three lambs (Group 2.2), six month old were infected with a single dose of 22000 infective larvae of *T. colubriformis* whilst the other three (Group 2.1) of the same age were trickle-infected with 2000 infective larvae once weekly for 14 weeks. Larval development assays were conducted weekly for **14** weeks with ivermectin in Experiment I and ivermectin, avermectinB2 and levamisole in Experiment II.

In Experiment I and II for ivermectin, the LD_{50} values rose to a 4x increase between 50-70 DPI and fell again. The general pattern seen following a single infection with all anthelmintics was for the LD_{50} values to be relatively constant from 21-35 DPI, then rose 2.5-7x increase to peak 49-56 DPI and declined at the same rate again to original starting values by 84 DPI where they remained until the end of the experiment.

In Experiment I, the steroid treated group started with similar values but had a 5x fall by 42 DPI which was not seen in the single infection group. The trickle infection group in Experiment II generally resulted in a small increase of 1-1.5x from 42-77 DPI and then declined again to starting values until the remainder of the experiment.

The study demonstrates that there is a similar change in the LD₅₀ values

with time for *T. colubriformis* with all three anthelmintics tested and that the change was prevented in steroid treated animals and was less apparent in trickle-infected animals.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work would not have been possible without the help of various people. I am thankful to New Zealand Official Development Assistance (NZODA) programme for providing me with the scholarship to undertake this course. I am also thankful to the government of the United Republic of Tanzania for granting me a study leave to undertake this course. I am very grateful to my wife Msindima as well as my children back home for their love, support and encouragement during our stay in New Zealand and again to my wife for helping me to type some of the appendices. Many thanks to my chief supervisor Dr. W. E. Pomroy for assisting with analysis of my experimental data.

Special recognition needs to go to my supervisors; Senior Lecturer Dr. W. E. Pomroy and Associate Professor Dr. W. A. G. Charleston for their valuable advice, criticism, constant patience and continuous encouragement. Many thanks go to Professor Colin R. Wilks for his support and timely encouragement during difficult times and also for allowing me to undertake this course in the Department of Veterinary Pathology and Public Health.

Special thanks go to Mrs. Barbara Adlington and Miss Shirley Calder for their technical assistance accorded to me and looking after my experimental sheep as well as preparing the cultures of infective larvae.

I would also like to thank all the staff of the International Students Office for their hospitality, love and support during my stay in New Zealand. Special thanks go to Mrs. Diana Fountain-Cody whose efforts made my wife's travel to New Zealand be a reality.

Finally I wish to express my sincere appreciation to all those people who were involved in one way or another for making our life in New Zealand be easy and comfortable. Many unforgettable memories both within and outside Massey will remain with us. Thanks so much to all of you.

| Γ | A | BI | LE | OF | CO | N | ΓΕΝ | TS. |
|---|---|----|----|----|----|---|-----|-----|
| | | | | | | | | |

| TOPIC | PAGE. |
|--|-------|
| Abstract | ii |
| Acknowledgement | iii |
| Table of contents | iv |
| List of Tables | viii |
| List of Figures | ix |
| Chapter I | 1 |
| 1.1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2 Literature Review | 1 |
| 1.2.1 Athelmintic Resistance | 4 |
| 1.2.2 Modes of action of anthelmintics and mechanism of anthelmintic | |
| Resistance | 5 |
| 1.2.3 Benzimidazoles and Pro-benzimidazoles | 5 |
| 1.2.4 Levamisole | 7 |
| 1.2.5 Ivermectin | 8 |
| 1.3 In vitro Assays | 9 |
| 1.3.1 Introduction | 9 |
| 1.3.2 The Egg-hatch Assay for Benzimidazoles | 9 |
| 1.3.3 The Egg-hatch Assay for Levamisole | 10 |
| 1.3.4 Larval Paralysis/Motility Assays | 11 |
| 1.3.5 Biochemical Assays | 13 |
| 1.3.6 The Larval Development Assay | 14 |

| 1.4 The Life Cycle of T. colubriformis | | |
|---|----|--|
| 1.5 The population Dynamics of T. colubriformis in the host | | |
| 1.5.1 Effect of Immune Response | | |
| 1.5.2 Population Dynamics | | |
| Chapter 2 | 22 | |
| 2.0 Larval Development Assay Optimization | 22 | |
| 2.1 Methodology | | |
| 2.1.1 Egg Recovery | 22 | |
| 2.1.2 Larval development Assay | 22 | |
| 2.1.3 Statistical Analysis | 23 | |
| 2.1.4 Results | 23 | |
| 2.1.5 Discussion | 25 | |
| Chapter 3 | 28 | |
| 3.0 Experiment I | 28 | |
| 3.1 Introduction | 28 | |
| 3.2 Materials and Methods | 28 | |
| 3.2.1 Animals | 28 | |
| 3.2.2 Experimental Design | 28 | |
| 3.2.3 Egg Recovery Procedure | 28 | |
| 3.2.4 Larval Development Assay | 29 | |
| 3.2.5 Parasitological Procedure | 30 | |
| 3.2.6 Statistical Analysis | 30 | |
| 3.2.7 Results | | |

v

| 3.2.8 Discussion | 32 |
|------------------------------------|----|
| Chapter 4 | 36 |
| 4.0 Experiment II | 36 |
| 4.1 Introduction | 36 |
| 4.2 Materials and Methods | 36 |
| 4.2.1 Animals | 36 |
| 4.2.2 Experimental Design | 36 |
| 4.2.3 Egg Recovery Procedure | 37 |
| 4.2.4 The Larval Development Assay | 37 |
| 4.2.5 Parasitological Procedure | 37 |
| 4.2.6 Statistical Analysis | 37 |
| 4.2.7 Results | 37 |
| 4.2.8 Discussion | 40 |
| Chapter 5 | 47 |
| 5.0 Experiment III | 47 |
| 5.1 Introduction | 47 |
| 5.2 Materials and Methods | 47 |
| 5.2.1 Animals | 47 |
| 5.2.2 Egg recovery Procedure | 47 |
| 5.2.3 The Larval Development Assay | 47 |
| 5.2.4 Parasitological Procedure | 47 |
| 5.2.5 Statistical Snalysis | 48 |
| 5.2.6 Results | 48 |

| 5.2.7 Discussion | |
|--|----|
| Chapter 6 | 50 |
| 6.0 General Discussion | 50 |
| 6.1 Conclusion | 55 |
| Appendix 1 – The McMaster Egg Counting Technique | 56 |
| Appendix II – Feacal Egg Count by day by sheep | 57 |
| Appendix III- Larval Culture Technique | 58 |
| Appendix IV- LD ₅₀ values by day by animal by anthelmintic | 60 |
| Appendix V- The Larval Development Assay | 62 |
| Appendix VI- The proportion of L3 by animal by day by mean controls | 69 |
| Appendix VII- The coefficient of determination for fitting the sigmoid curve | |
| (COD) values by day by animal by anthelmintic | 93 |
| Appendix VIII- Counting of Parasitic Worms at Necropsy | 95 |
| 7.0 Bibliography | 97 |

List of Tables.

.

| Table 2.1 | Incubation Time Relationship. | Page | 24. | | |
|---|---|-----------|---------|--|--|
| Table 2.2 | Larval Development on number of eggs per well. | Page | 25 | | |
| Table 5.1 | LD_{50} and COD (r^2) values for Ivermectin and Levamisole with | | | | |
| | H.contortus by day. | Page | 49. | | |
| Table 6.1 | Time Peak of LD ₅₀ values. | Page | 51. | | |
| Table 6.2 | Comparison of the LD ₅₀ values of <i>T. colubriformis</i> | s, H. coi | ntortus | | |
| and O. circumcincta of Experiments I, II, III and other Publishers. | | | | | |
| | | Page | 54 | | |

List of Figures.

- Figure 3.1 A sigmoid curve relating the proportion of developed L3 to log concentration for sheep S6 on day 112. Page 34.
- Figure 3.2 FEC (epg) values for 3 lambs (S1, S2, S3) treated with 0.5mg/kg dexamethasone trimethylacetate from Day 0 twice weekly and 3 control lambs (S4, S5, S6) all infected with 35000 L3 on Day 0. Page 35
- Figure 3.3 LD₅₀ values of ivermectin (µg/ml) for 3 lambs (S1, S2, S3) treated with 0.5mg/kg dexamethasone trimethylacetate from Day 0 twice weekly and 3 control lambs (S4, S5, S6) all infected with 35000 L3 on Day 0.
 Page 36
- Figure 4.1FEC (epg) values for 3 lambs (S1, S2, S3) infected with 22000 L3on Day 0 and 3 lambs (S4, S5, S6) infected with 2000 L3/weekfrom Day 0.Page 44.
- Figure 4.2 LD₅₀ values of ivermectin (µg/ml) for 3 lambs (S1, S2, S3)
 infected with 22000 L3 on Day 0 and 3 lambs (S4, S5, S6) infected
 with 2000 L3/week from Day 0. Page 45.
- Figure 4.3 LD₅₀ values of avermectinB2 (µg/ml) for 3 lambs (S1, S2, S3)
 infected with 22000 L3 on Day 0 and 3 lambs (S4, S5, S6) infected
 with 2000 L3/week from Day 0.
 Page 46.
- Figure 4.4 LD₅₀ values of Levamisole (μg/ml) for 3 lambs (S1, S2, S3)
 infected with 22000 L3 on Day 0 and 3 lambs (S4, S5, S6) infected
 with 2000 L3/week from Day 0. Page 47.