Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

QUANTITATIVE GENETICS OF RESISTANCE

TO NET BLOTCH OF BARLEY (Hordeum vulgare L.)

A thesis

presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements

for the degree of

....

Master of Agricultural Science

in Plant Science

at

Massey University

Grant Brodie Douglas

•

ABSTRACT

A half diallel cross was made amongst five barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) genotypes (Zephyr, Hassan, Mata, Kaniere and Manchuria) which exhibited differential resistance to net blotch disease, incited by the fungus, Drechslera teres.

The 15 genotypes (5 parents and 10 F_1 's) were grown to physiological maturity under two nitrogen regimes (20 mg $10^{-3}m^{-3}$ and 105 mg $10^{-3}m^{-3}$ N), 14 hour photoperiod, light intensity of $170Wm^{-2}PAR$, $22^{\circ}C$ (day)/16°C (night) and relative humidities of 70% (first seven weeks) and 95 to 100%.

All genotypes were first inoculated (9,000 conidia 10^{-6}m^{-3}) at 14 days and thereafter ten times at approximately weekly intervals. Infection occurred only in the high nitrogen environment. Absence of net blotch at the lower nitrogen level was probably due to insufficient plant nitrogen concentrations for growth of the fungus.

The procedures of Mather and Jinks were used to analyse the half diallel cross for net blotch resistance of the following intact plant parts: (1) top canopy (2) bottom canopy (3) flag leaf and (4) second leaf. Resistance of leaf sections in petri dishes was also analysed.

Biometrical analyses for all net blotch data sets showed that additivity was of much greater importance than dominance in controlling resistance. Epistasis and/or correlated gene distributions were trivial. Resistance was conditioned by a partially dominant, single effective factor which was suggested as one gene. Heritability estimates were moderate.

In the high nitrogen environments, net blotch had no significant effect on plant height, tillers per plant, spikelet and grain numbers per ear, grain yield, 100 kernel weight and intensity of physiological brown spot. However heading date of diseased plants was significantly
earlier than for fungicide treated plants.

Nitrogen concentration had a marked effect on most characters. Significant genotype-nitrogen (G x E) interactions occurred for plant height, spikelet number per ear, grain yield, 100 kernel weight and physiological brown spot.

Further biometrical analyses showed that additivity was most important for plant height, tillers per plant, spikelet and grain numbers per ear, and physiological brown spot. Dominance was noteworthy for heading date, grain yield and 100 kernel weight. Epistasis was relatively unimportant.

<u>Keywords</u>: biometrics, barley, diallel analysis, disease resistance, growth stages, inoculation, intensity, leaf area diagrams, net blotch, pathogen, quantitative genetics, susceptibility.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am deeply indebted to my supervisor, Dr. I.L. Gordon, for his encouragement and invaluable criticism during this study and compilation of the manuscript. My co-supervisor, Dr. J.M. McEwan, Crop Research Division, Palmerston North, gave valuable advice on several aspects of the study and assisted with manuscript preparation. He also provided ample space and plant material in one of his Division's glasshouses for part of my crossing nursery.

I wish to acknowledge the considerable pathological guidance given by the late Dr. H.T. Wenham of the Horticulture and Plant Health Department. Dr. Wenham supervised my B.Agr.Sc. (Hons.) dissertation on net blotch and my close association with him continued well into my Masterate candidature.

The following are also gratefully acknowledged:

- Climate Room Laboratory personnel of the Plant Physiology
 Division, D.S.I.R., Palmerston North, particularly
 Mr. I.J. Warrington and Miss H. Turnbull;
- Post graduate students and staff of the Agronomy Department
 for helpful discussions and/or practical assistance;
- Dr. P.G. Long and Mr. H.F. Neilson of the Horticulture and Plant Health Department for advice and the latter also for technical assistance;
- D.S.I.R. genotype-environment interaction grant for computing;
- Mr. R. De Borst, Ispray Ltd., Palmerston North, for a sample
 of "Vitaflo 200";
- Mrs. D. Syers for carefully typing the manuscript;

Plant Materials Group, Aokautere Science Centre,
 Ministry of Works and Development, for being understanding
 and considerate during the final stages of completion of
 the manuscript and for covering photocopying costs.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF	CONI	ENTS .	• •		•		•			٠	•				•		•	•	•	•		•	i
LIST OF T	ABLE	s	• •	• •	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	iv
LIST OF F	IGUR	es.		• . •	٠			•	•	•	•	•	•	۶	÷	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	vii
LIST OF P	LATE	s	• •				•		•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	٠	•		•	ix
INTRODUCT	TON			<u>ة</u> 1000 1			2.3	. é		22							4			2	÷.	a	1
INTRODUCT	101	• • • •		6 . N	•		•	5G	•	8 . 0	•	•	•	*	•		•		•	•	•	•	1
CUADEED 1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		DEU	T 171 1	23																	
CHAPTER 1	•	LITERA.	TURE	REV	LEW																		
1	.1	Net Blo	otch	•••	•	•••	٠	٠	•	٠	•	٠	٠	•	•	٠	٠	•	٠	٠	٠	•	3
		1.1.1	Symp	tom	S		•		•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		3
		1.1.2	Effe	ects	on	ba	rle	ey	gr	ow	th	a	nd	у	ie	ld		•	٠	•		•	3
		1.1.3	Meth	ods	of	yi	eld	1 1	os	s	as	se	ss	me	nt		•	•	•	•	÷	•	6
		1.1.4-	Scre to r	eni net	ng blo	and tch	ge	ene	ti.	.cs	•	f	ho	st	r	es	is	ta.	inc	e.	3		10
		1.1.5	Nitr	oge	n a	nd	net	ъЪ	10	tc	h	sy	mp	to	m	ex	pr	es	si	.or	ι.	•	15
1	.2	Quanti	tativ	ve g	ene	tic	s a	and	l p	la	nt	ь	re	ed	lin	g		•	•	•	•	•	16
		1.2.1	Anal	ysi	s o	fv	ari	Lan	ice	2	•			•		•		•	•	•		•	17
		1.2.2	Part	iti	oni	ng	ger	net	ic	: v	ar	ia	nc	e									18
		1.2.3	Genc	typ	e-e	nvi	roi	nme	ent	: 1	nt	er	ac	ti	on			•					26
		1.2.4	Heri	tab	ili	ty										•		•				•	28
		1.2.5	Hete	ros	is						•	•	•	•		•	•	•				•	30
																8						×	
CHAPTER 2	۰.	MATERT	ALS A	ND	MET	ног	S					2											
-																							
2	.1	Genoty	pes .	•	•••	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	32
2	.2	Experi	nenta	1 d	esi	gn	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	32
2	2.3	Contro	Lled	roo	m e	nvi	roi	ım€	ent		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	33
2	.4	Handlin	ng of	pl.	ant	ma	ter	ria	11.	an	d	nu	tr	ie	nt	s	up	p1	y				33

i

Page

CHAPTER 2.

•

	2.5	Disease establishment and management
		2.5.1 Inoculum preparation
		2.5.2 Inoculation procedure
		2.5.3 Petri dish studies
	2.6	Measurements
		2.6.1 Net blotch severity and infection type 40
		2.6.2 Other characters
	2.7	Data handling and preliminary analysis 43
.*	2.8	Diallel analysis
		2.8.1 Basic array statistics
		2.8.2 Analysis of variance of array statistics 49
		2.8.3 Regression of array statistics
		2.8.4 Genetical component statistics
		2.8.5 Secondary genetical statistics 53
	2.9	Analysis of control/diseased parents
	2.10	Heterosis and heterobeltiosis
	2.11	Significance levels
CHAPTER	3.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
	3.1	Net blotch
		3.1.1 Infection type
		3.1.2 Initial analysis of variance 59
		3.1.3 Top canopy score
		3.1.4 Bottom canopy score
		3.1.5 Flag leaf area
		3.1.6 Second leaf area
		3.1.7 Leaf section area
		3.1.8 Other aspects of net blotch 106
		3.1.8.1 Control vs. disease in diallel parents
		3.1.8.2 Yield loss relationships 107
	3.2	Other characters

Page

CHAPTER 3.

3.2.1	Analysis of variance 112
	3.2.1.1 Homogeneity of error variances and coefficients of variation
	3.2.1.2 Significance of sources
	of variation 114
3.2.2	Diallel analysis
	3.2.2.1 Data conformity to additive -
	dominance model 117
	3.2.2.2 Genetic components 131
	3.2.2.3 Additional genetic statistics 133
	3.2.2.4 Heritability 135
3.2.3	Heterosis and heterobeltiosis 136

CHAPTER 4. GENERAL DISCUSSION

4.1	Net blotch expression	.37
4.2	Quantitative genetics of net blotch resistance]	.37
4.3	Interpretation difficulties	.40
4.4	Breeding implications 1	.41
4.5	Petri dish technique]	.41
4.6	Agronomic side effects	.42
4.7	Net blotch establishment difficulties]	.44
4.8	Other characters and their biometrical analyses]	.45
4.9	Other approaches to quantitative inheritance]	46

di,

APPENDICES .	•		•								•	•				6.79	•							•	•			e		167
BIBLIOGRAPHY	ζ	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	i i	•	•	•	•	ŝ	•	•	Ş	٠	151
CONCLUSIONS	•	•			٠	•	•	٠	•	•		8	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	i i	•	•	٠	•	2		•	6	•	149

Page

iii

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	High and low nitrogen nutrient solutions (mg $10^{-3}m^{-3}$)	35
2.2	R-square estimates for grain yield and 100-kernel weight against moisture content, in low and high nitrogen environments. Estimates are presented separately for diallel material (a) and material receiving fungicide treatment (b)	45
2.3	Equations to estimate genetic components for a half diallel in a single environment	51
3.1	Infection types for all genotypes in each block in high nitrogen environment	58
3.2	Genotype means (upper triangle) and estimates of heterosis and heterobeltiosis (bottom triangle) for top canopy scores (transformed to $\sin^{-1}\sqrt{X/10}$).	60
3.3	Estimates of variances (V_r) and covariances (W_r) of arrays for top canopy score (transformed to $\sin^{-1}\sqrt{X/10}$)	62
3.4	Estimates of $(W_r + V_r)$ and $(W_r - V_r)$ for top canopy score (transformed to $\sin^{-1}\sqrt{X/10}$)	63
3.5	Basic array statistics (means across blocks) for biometrical analyses of net blotch resistance	64
3.6	W _r /V _r regression equations and associated statistics for biometrical analyses of net blotch resistance	65
3.7	Genetic statistics for five biometrical analyses of net blotch resistance	66
3.8	W_r/V_r regression equations and associated statistics for analyses conducted on reduced diallel data sets for top canopy score (transformed to $\sin^{-1}\sqrt{X/10}$)	69
3.9	Genotype means (upper triangle) and estimates of heterosis and heterobeltiosis (bottom triangle) for bottom canopy scores (transformed to $\sin^{-1}\sqrt{X/10}$).	74
3.10	Estimates of variances (V_r) and covariances (W_r) of arrays for bottom canopy score (transformed to $\sin^{-1}\sqrt{X/10}$)	75
3.11	Estimates of $(W_r + V_r)$ and $(W_r - V_r)$ for bottom canopy score (transformed to $\sin^{-1}\sqrt{X/10}$)	76

iv

Table	* ,	P	age
3.12	W_r/V_r regression equations and associated statistics for analysis conducted on reduced diallel data sets for bottom canopy score (transformed to $\sin^{-1}/\overline{X/10}$)		79
3.13	Genotype means (upper triangle) and estimates of heterosis and heterobeltiosis (bottom triangle) for flag leaf area (transformed to $\sin^{-1}\sqrt{X/100}$)		83
3.14	Estimates of variances (V _r) and covariances (W _r) of arrays for flag leaf area (transformed to $\sin^{-1}\sqrt{X/100}$).		85
3.15	Estimates of $(W_r + V_r)$ and $(W_r - V_r)$ for flag leaf area (transformed to $\sin^{-1}\sqrt{X/100}$)		86
3.16	W_r/V_r regression equations and associated statistics for analyses conducted on reduced diallel data sets for flag leaf area (transformed to $\sin^{-1}\sqrt{X/100}$)	•	89
3.17	Genotype means (upper triangle) and estimates of heterosis and heterobeltiosis (bottom triangle) for second leaf area (transformed to $\sin^{-1}\sqrt{X/100}$)	2.42	92
3.18	Estimates of variances (V_r) and covariances (W_r) of arrays for second leaf area (transformed to $\sin^{-1}\sqrt{X/100}$)	•	93
3.19	Estimates of $(W_r + V_r)$ and $(W_r - V_r)$ for second leaf area (transformed to $\sin^{-1}\sqrt{X/100}$)		94
3.20	Genotype means (upper triangle) and estimates of heterosis and heterobeltiosis (bottom triangle) for leaf section area (transformed to $\sin^{-1}\sqrt{X/100}$)	•	98
3.21	Estimates of variances (V_r) and covariances (W_r) of arrays for leaf section area (transformed to $\sin^{-1}\sqrt{X/100}$)	•	100
3.22	Estimates of $(W_r + V_r)$ and $(W_r - V_r)$ for leaf section area (transformed to $\sin^{-1}\sqrt{X/100}$)	•	101
3.23	W_r/V_r regression equations and associated statistics for analysis conducted on reduced diallel data sets for leaf section area (transformed to $\sin^{-1}\sqrt{X/100}$)		104
3.24	Treatment means, pooled means (plus associated standard errors in parentheses) and variance ratio significance for treatments (control vs. disease)	•	108
3.25	Grain yield and 100 kernel weight losses for control and disease treatments	•	109
3.26	Multiple regression of grain yield against flag and second leaf areas infected with net blotch in disease treatment-analysis of variance		111

v

Table		Page
3.27	Multiple regression statistics for grain yield against flag and second leaf areas infected with net blotch in disease treatment	111
3.28	Homogeneity of error variances, and coefficients of variation	113
3.29	Environmental means, pooled grand means (plus associated standard errors in parentheses) and variance ratio significance for environments (nitrogen)	115
3.30	Estimates of components of variance, together with their standard errors (below) and significances	116
3.31	W_r/V_r regression equations and associated statistics for characters in low nitrogen environment	118
3.32	W_r/V_r regression equations and associated statistics for characters in high nitrogen environment	119
3.33A	Genetic statistics for heading date, plant height, tillers per plant, spikelet number per ear and grain number per ear	120
3. 33B	Genetic statistics for grain yield, 100 kernel weight and physiological brown spot	121
3.34	W _r /V _r regression equations and associated statistics for several reanalysed data sets of	

characters in low and high nitrogen environments 132

vi

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.1	Growth stages in cereals (after E.C. Large, 1954)	7
2.1	Standard leaf area diagrams used to assess net blotch intensity (after Hampton and Arnst, 1978)	41
2.2	Standard leaf area diagrams used to assess coverage of physiological brown spot (adapted from James, 1971) .	44
3.1	Regressions of W_r on V_r for top canopy score; (a) all five parents, (b) parent 1 omitted	67
3.2	Regressions of W_r on V_r for bottom canopy score; (a) all five parents, (b) parent 2 omitted	77
3.3	Regressions of W_r on V_r for flag leaf area; (a) all five parents, (b) parent 3 omitted	87
3.4	Regression of Wr on Vr for second leaf area $\ .$	95
3.5	Regressions of W_r on V_r for leaf section area; (a) all five parents, (b) parent 2 omitted	102
3.6	Regression of W_r on V_r for heading date in low nitrogen environment	123
3.7	Regression of W_r on V_r for plant height in low nitrogen environment (all five parents)	123
3.7	Regression of W_r on V_r for plant height in low nitrogen environment (parent 3 omitted)	124
3.8	Regression of W_r on V_r for tillers per plant in low nitrogen environment (all five parents)	124
3.8	Regressions of W _r on V _r for tillers per plant; (a) low nitrogen environment (parent 3 omitted), (b) high nitrogen environment	125
3.9	Regressions of W _r on V _r for spikelet number per ear; (a) low nitrogen environment, (b) high nitrogen environment	126
3.10	Regressions of W _r on V _r for grain number per ear; (a) low nitrogen environment, (b) high nitrogen environment	127
3.11	Regression of W _r on V _r for grain yield in low nitrogen environment	128

Figure

Ρ	a	g	e
-	~	0	~

3. 12	Regression of W _r on V _r for 100 kernel weight in low nitrogen environment
3.13	Regressions of W _r on V _r for physiological brown spot; (a) low nitrogen environment (all five parents), (b) low nitrogen environment (parent 5 omitted)
3.13	Regressions of W _r on V _r for physiological brown spot; (a) high nitrogen environment (all five parents), (b) high nitrogen environment (parent 3 omitted)

LIST OF PLATES

INTRODUCTION

Barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) is susceptible to many diseases (Nyvall, 1979) which may severely affect the quantity and quality of the harvested product. A disease which has received considerable attention, particularly in the last decade, is net blotch (Shipton *et al.*, 1973; Arnst *et al.*, 1978). This is a seed-borne (Neergaard, 1977), foliage disease caused by the fungus *Drechslera teres* (Sacc.) Shoem. (syn. *Helminthosporium teres*), conidial state of *Pyrenophora teres* (Died.) Drechsler. Net blotch is widespread, occurring wherever barley is grown in the humid, temperate regions of the world (Dickson, 1956). In New Zealand, its presence was first recorded by Dingley (1969).

Grain yield losses due to net blotch have been of economic significance in many barley growing regions of the world and yield reductions of up to 50 per cent are documented (Shipton *et al.*, 1973). The most important adverse effect of the disease is grain shrivelling as measured by reduction in 1,000 grain weight (Buchannon and Wallace, 1962; Hampton and Arnst, 1978). Other agronomic features which may be affected adversely by *D. teres* attack include tillering (Hampton, 1977), straw stiffness (Hampton, 1977) and carbohydrate content of grain (Shipton, 1966).

The dramatic yield losses attributable to net blotch have prompted extensive investigation into effective methods of disease control. Numerous studies have been conducted overseas to identify genetic stocks which exhibit a high degree of resistance to net blotch (Shipton *et al.*, 1973), with a view to their possible inclusion in breeding programmes. Qualitative inheritance of resistance has been studied

1

(Schaller, 1955; Mode and Schaller, 1958; Khan and Boyd, 1969a). However, the findings are conflicting (Bockelman *et al.*, 1977) and further investigation on inheritance of net blotch resistance is warranted. Results from qualitative studies are discussed shortly.

Environmental conditions may markedly affect the incidence and severity of net blotch (Shipton *et al.*, 1973). Studies on the effect of nitrogen nutrition on host resistance to *D. teres* are very limited and demonstrate both an increase (Singh, 1963; Piening, 1967) and decrease (Piening, 1967) in resistance with increasing nitrogen levels.

The main objective of the present study is to estimate quantitative genetic statistics for resistance to net blotch under controlled environmental conditions, using a diallel analysis (Griffing, 1956a, b; Mather and Jinks, 1971, 1977). These statistics cannot be estimated from qualitative studies, as conducted previously.

Further objectives are:

- Examination of change in host resistance to net blotch with variation in nitrogen concentration, including estimation of genotype - nitrogen interaction (G x E), and
- Investigation of the adverse effects of net blotch and formulation of a relationship between net blotch intensity and grain yield loss (disease - yield loss model).

2