Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

INTERPELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN PERFORMANCE OF DIRECT DRILLED SEEDS, SOIL MICRO-ENVIRONMENT AND DRILLING EQUIPMENT

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the ${\tt requirements} \ \ {\tt for} \ \ {\tt the} \ \ {\tt degree}$

of

Doctor of Philosophy at

Massey University
Palmerston North

bу

Mohammad Ashraf Choudhary

1979

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | PAGE |
|--|----------|
| LIST OF TABLES | |
| LIST OF FIGURES | |
| LIST OF PLATES | |
| ABSTRACT | |
| CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW | 1 |
| 1.1 General introduction | 1 |
| 1.2 Interaction between soil, seed and ambient | |
| conditions in tilled soils | 2 |
| 1.2.1 Soil and seed moisture requirements | |
| for seed germination | 2 |
| 1.2.2 The mechanism of soil moisture availabili | ty |
| to seeds | 3 |
| 1.2.3 The importance of seed-soil contact | 4 |
| 1.2.4 The soil-root interface | 5 |
| 1.2.5 The interaction between soil and ambient | |
| environment | 6 |
| 1.2.6 Soil mechanical impedence to seedling | 7 |
| 1.2.7 Soil aeration | 8 |
| 1.3 Interaction between soil, seed, ambient condition | ns |
| and direct drilling coulter designs in untilled | soils 10 |
| 1.4 Specific research objectives | 13 |
| 1.5 The experimental programme | 14 |
| CHAPTER 2: MEASUREMENT OF THE EFFECTS OF SOIL-MA | CHINE |
| INTERACTIONS IN UNDISTURBED BLOCKS | 15 |
| 2.1 General objectives | 15 |
| 2.2 Discussion of materials and experimental technique | ues |
| used | 16 |
| 2.2.1 Tillage bin technique | 16 |
| 2.2.2 Coulter types: Selection | 16 |
| 2.2.3 Initial soil moisture content | 22 |
| 2.2.4 Ambient conditions | 23 |
| 2.2.5 Seed-soil content and cover | 24 |
| 2.2.6 Design parameters of pressing devices | 26 |
| 2.2.7 Design of penetrometer, and measurement of | f |
| soil mechanical resistance | 30 |
| 2.2.8 Design of penetrometer assembly, and metho | ods of |
| operation | 31 |

| | | | PAGE |
|-----|--------|---|------|
| | 2.2.9 | In-groove soil moisture measurement methods | 35 |
| | а | . Psychrometers | 35 |
| | b | . Gypsum blocks | 37 |
| | С | . Gypsum beads | 39 |
| | d | . Glass fibre filter paper | 39 |
| | е | . Thermo-gravimetric method | 41 |
| | 2.2.10 | Design of a soil core sampler and sampling | |
| | | procedure | 41 |
| | 2.2.11 | In-groove soil temperature measurement | 42 |
| | 2.2.12 | Description of climate rooms | 42 |
| | 2.2.13 | Climatic conditions during experiments | 43 |
| | 2.2.14 | Measurement of seed and seedling performance | 43 |
| | 2.2.15 | Experimental design | 44 |
| | 2.2.16 | Data analysis | 46 |
| 2.3 | Experi | ment l: Interactions between direct drilling | |
| | coulte | r designs, seed and dry soil under controlled | |
| | climat | ic conditions | 48 |
| | 2.3.1 | Experiment l(a): Pressure applied over the | |
| | | covered grooves | 48 |
| | | Objectives | 48 |
| | | Results and discussion | 48 |
| | 2.3.2 | Experiment 1(b): Pressure applied directly | |
| | | over the seeds before covering | 65 |
| | | Objectives | 65 |
| | | Results and discussion | 65 |
| 2.4 | Experi | ment 2: Interactions between direct drilling | |
| | coulte | r designs, seed and wet soil under controlled | |
| | climat | ic conditions | 78 |
| | 2.4.1 | Experiment 2(a): Pressure applied over the | |
| | | covered grooves | 78 |
| | | Objectives | 78 |
| | | Results and discussion | 78 |
| | 2.4.2 | Experiment 2(b): Pressure applied directly | |
| | | over the seeds before covering | 89 |
| | | Objectives | 89 |
| | | Results | 89 |
| | | Discussion | 89 |
| 2.5 | Summar | y of results and conclusions of experiments | |
| | | h· 2a & h) | 9.8 |

| | | | PAGE |
|-----------|-------|--|------|
| CHAPTER | 3: | STUDY OF LIMITING FACTORS CAUSING SEEDLIN | NG |
| | | EMERGENCE FAILURE IN SMALL UNDISTURBED | |
| | | TURF BLOCKS | 118 |
| 3.1 | Intr | oduction | 118 |
| 3.2 | Mate | rials and methods | 118 |
| 3.3 | Expe | riment 3 (Pilot Experiment) | |
| | The | effects of coulter design, covering and pressing | |
| | tech | niques on seedling emergence and seed fate | 119 |
| | Obje | ctives | 119 |
| | Resu | lts and discussion | 120 |
| 3.4 | Expe | riment 4: The effects of coulter designs | |
| | and | pressing and covering techniques on seedling | |
| | emer | gence | 120 |
| | 3.4. | l Experiment 4(a): Coulter designs and | |
| | | selected pressing and covering technique | 1 20 |
| | | Objectives | 120 |
| | | Results and discussion | 121 |
| | 3.4. | 2 Experiment 4(b): Promising selected coulter | |
| | | designs and pressing and covering techniques | 127 |
| | | Objectives | 127 |
| | | Results | 128 |
| | | Discussion | 128 |
| 3.5 | Conc | lusions and research priorities | 131 |
| | | | |
| CHAPTER 4 | : STI | JDY OF THE GERMINATION OF WHEAT SEEDS UNDER | |
| | COI | NTROLLED CONDITIONS | 132 |
| | 4.1 | Introduction | 132 |
| | 4.2 | Materials and methods | 132 |
| | | Determination of wheat seed moisture absorption | |
| | | isotherms | 132 |
| | | Experimental methods isotherms | 132 |
| | | Experimental methods | 133 |
| | | Experimental procedure | 134 |
| | 4.3 | Experiment 5: The determination of equilibrium | |
| | | moisture absorption isotherms of karamu wheat | 134 |
| | | Objectives | 134 |
| | | Results and discussion | 135 |

| | | PAGE |
|---------|---|------|
| CHAPTER | 5: STUDY OF THE IN-GROOVE MICRO-ENVIRONMENT | ΑТ |
| | THE SEED-SOIL INTERFACE | 140 |
| 5.1 | Methods and measurement of moisture potential at the | |
| | seed-soil interface | 140 |
| | 5.1.1 Introduction | 140 |
| | 5.1.2 Description of thermo-electric dew point | |
| | hygrometer | 142 |
| | 5.1.3 Design of an in-groove air sampler | 142 |
| | 5.1.4 Humidity measurement procedure | 142 |
| | 5.1.5 Experimental design | 143 |
| | 5.1.6 Data analysis | 146 |
| 5.2 | Experiment 6(a): The effects of climatic conditions, | |
| | coulter design and covering techniques on in-groove | |
| | vapour moisture drying rates | 148 |
| | Objectives | 148 |
| | Results and discussion | 148 |
| 5.3 | Experiment 6(b): Correlations between in-groove | |
| | vapour moisture potential and seedling emergence | |
| | as function of coulter design and covering techniques | 155 |
| | Objectives | 155 |
| | Results and discussion | 155 |
| 5.4 | Summary of results and conclusions of experiments | |
| | (6a & b) | 170 |
| | | |
| CHAPTER | 6: FIELD EXPERIMENTS | 173 |
| 6.1 | Experiment 7: Comparisons of coulter performance | |
| | under a range of climatic and soil conditions | 173 |
| | 6.1.1 Objectives | 173 |
| | 6.1.2 Experimental design | 173 |
| | 6.1.3 Methods and materials | 174 |
| | Ambient conditions | 174 |
| | Soil moisture measurement | 174 |
| | Seedling emergence and seed fate counts | 175 |
| | 6.1.4 Results and discussion | 175 |
| | (a) Seedling emergence and seed fate counts | 175 |
| | (b) Effects of insects and other pests | |
| | on seedling emergence | 185 |
| | (c) Effects of herbicides on seedling | |
| | amardanca | 186 |

| | | PAGE |
|---------|---|------|
| 6.2 | Experiment 8: Assessment of the effects of pre- | |
| | drilling herbicides on wheat seedling establishment | 188 |
| | 6.2.1 Introduction | 188 |
| | 6.2.2 Experimental objectives | 189 |
| | 6.2.3 Experimental design | 189 |
| | 6.2.4 Results and discussion | 190 |
| | | |
| CHAPTER | 7: SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION | 194 |
| | | |
| CHAPTER | 8: BIBLIOGRAPHY | 204 |
| | ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | 211 |
| | APPENDICES | 212 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | | PAGE |
|-----|--|------|
| 1. | The effects of coulter type, applied, soil pressure over | the |
| | covered grooves and relative humidity levels on | |
| | seedling emergence and the seed fate of direct drilled who | eat |
| | seeds in a dry soil | 49 |
| 2. | The effects of direct drilling coulter types, pressure | |
| | application over covered grooves, and relative humidity | |
| | regimes on soil impedence in a dry soil | 58 |
| 3. | The effects of coulter type, relative humidity regimes | |
| | and pressures applied over the covered grooves on the | |
| | in-groove soil temperatures at controlled day/night | |
| | temperature of $22/18^{\circ}C$ | 63 |
| 4. | The effects of coulter types and relative humidity | |
| | regimes on the in-groove day-time temperature at controlle | ed |
| | day-time temperature of 22° C (interactions) | 64 |
| 5. | The effects of coulter type, applied pressure over | |
| | uncovered seeds, and relative humidity levels, on | |
| | seedling emergence and seed fate of direct drilled wheat | |
| | in a dry soil | 66 |
| 6. | The effects of coulter types and the relative humidity | |
| | regimes on day 18 dry matter yields of direct drilled | |
| | wheat | 70 |
| 7. | The effects of coulter type, and relative humidity | |
| | regimes on the in-groove soil moisture contents | 72 |
| 8. | The effects of coulter types and relative humidity | |
| | regimes on the in-groove soil temperature at controlled | |
| | ambient temperature of 22°C/18°C day/night | 74 |
| 9. | The effects of coulter type, applied soil pressure over | |
| | the covered grooves and relative humidity levels on | |
| | seedling emergence and the seed fate of direct drilled | |
| | wheat seed in an adequate soil moisture regime | 79 |
| 10. | The interactions of coulter types and applied | |
| | pressures on seedling emergence of direct drilled wheat | 82 |
| 11. | The effects of coulter types and relative humidity | |
| | levels on herbage dry matter yield at day 18 | 83 |
| 12. | The effects of relative humidity regimes and time on | |
| | in around soil mointure contents (of all coultage types) | 96 |

The effects of groove formation and seed covering

techniques on seedling emergence.

122

129

wheat seeds

22.

| | | PAGE |
|-----|---|------|
| 23. | Equilibrium absorption isotherms of karamu wheat | |
| | at 20°C in NaCl salt solutions (liquid imbibition) | 136 |
| 24. | The effects of coulter design and covering techniques | |
| | and time on the soil in-groove relative humidity | |
| | levels at controlled ambient r.h. of 60% | 146 |
| 25. | The effects of selected coulter designs and covering | |
| | techniques on the in-groove soil drying rates in (r.h.%, | / |
| | day). | 152 |
| 26. | The effects of selected direct drilling coulter types | |
| | and covering techniques on seedling emergence and seed | |
| | fate (at the terminal day 18) | 156 |
| 27. | The effects of ambient conditions on the matrix soil | |
| | moisture content of turf blocks measured at (0-45 mm | |
| | depth) | 159 |
| 28. | The effects of coulter types and covering techniques on | |
| | in-groove r.h. at controlled ambient conditions of | |
| | 60% and 20°C temperature | 160 |
| 29 | The effects of selected coulter design and covering | |
| | methods on the in-groove soil drying rates | 164 |
| 30. | Estimated t-statistics for differences amongst | |
| | pairs of \hat{b}_0 's, \hat{b}_1 's and \hat{b}_2 's from the curves of | |
| | vapour moisture drying rates in six coulter treatments | 165 |
| 31. | The effects of direct drilling coulter types on | |
| | seedling emergence of direct drilled wheat (counts as | |
| | at experiment termination day 21) from 12 drilling | |
| | dates | 176 |
| 32. | The effects of time on soil moisture % measured at | |
| | 0-45 mm depth | 178 |
| 33. | Estimated t-statistics for differences amongst pairs of | |
| | \hat{b}_0 's, \hat{b}_1 's and \hat{b}_2 's from the curves of seedling | |
| | emergence as affected by coulter type | 184 |
| 34. | The effects of insects and pests on the seedling | |
| | emergence percentage in three coulter types | 186 |
| 35. | The effects of type and the time of application of | |
| | pre-drilling herbicides and coulter types on seedling | |
| | emergence of the direct drilled wheat | 191 |
| 36. | The effects of types of pre-drilling herbicides and | |
| | their time of application on the dry matter of wheat | |
| | seedlings (10 week old) | 193 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | | PAGE |
|-------|--|------|
| l. | General plan of tillage bins in an experimental | |
| | set up. | 47 |
| 2. (| a,b) The effects of direct drilling coulter type | |
| | and relative humidity regimes on the percentage rate | |
| | of wheat seedling emergence from a dry soil | |
| | (pressure over covered seed) | 51 |
| 3.(a | ,b) The effects of direct drilling coulter types on | |
| | in-groove liquid soil moisture content in a dry soil | |
| | (pressure over covered seed) | 56 |
| 4. | Typical penetration force and the energy expended (area | |
| | under curves) obtained by the "mechanical seedling" | |
| | in three types of coulter grooves. | 61 |
| 5.(a | ,b) The effects of direct drilling coulter type and | |
| | relative humidity regimes on the percentage rate | |
| | of wheat seedling emergence from a dry soil (pressure | |
| | over uncovered seed). | 68 |
| 6.(a | ,b) The effects of direct drilling coulter type and | |
| | relative humidity regimes on in-groove liquid soil | |
| | moisture content in a dry soil (pressure over | |
| | uncovered seed), | 73 |
| 7.(a | ,b) The effects of direct drilling coulter types and | |
| | relative humidity regimes on the percentage rate of | |
| | seedling emergence of wheat in an adequate soil moisture | |
| | level (pressure over covered seed) | 81 |
| 8. | The effects of direct drilling coulter types and | |
| | relative humidity regimes on in-groove liquid soil | |
| | moisture content in an adequate soil moisture level | |
| | (pressure over covered seed). | 85 |
| 9(a,1 | b) The effects of direct drilling coulter type and | |
| | relative humidity regimes on the percentage rate | |
| | of seedling emergence of wheat in an adequate soil | |
| | moisture level. (pressure over uncovered seed) | 91 |
| 10. | The effects of direct drilling coulter type and | |
| | relative humidity regimes on in-groove liquid soil | |
| | moisture content in an adequate soil moisture level | |
| | (pressure over uncovered seed). | 93 |
| 11. | The effects of initial soil moisture, coulter | |
| | types and applied pressures in a high relative humidity | |
| | regime on the seed fate of direct drilled wheat | 114 |

| | | PAGE |
|------|---|------|
| 12. | The effects of ambient conditions and time on soil | |
| | moisture content. | 124 |
| 13. | Equilibrium moisture vapour imbibition isotherms of | |
| | karamu wheat at 20° C. | 137 |
| 14(a | a) The effects of coulter designs and covering techniques | , |
| | and time on the in-groove relative humidity at 60% | |
| | controlled ambient relative humidity. | 151 |
| 14(b | o) The effects of coulter designs and covering techniques | ; |
| | on in-groove soil moisture drying rates at 60% controll | ed |
| | ambient relative humidity (regression curves). | 153 |
| 15. | The effects of coulter design and covering techniques, | |
| | and time on the in-groove relative humidity at 60% | |
| | controlled ambient relative humidity. | 158 |
| 16. | The effects of coulter types and covering techniques | |
| | on in-groove relative humidity at controlled ambient | |
| | relative humidity of 60% (regression curves). | 162 |
| 17. | Correlation curve of (seedling emergence + sub-surface | |
| | seedling survival) vs in-groove relative humidity loss | |
| | rate. | 168 |
| 18. | The effects of coulter types, under changing field | |
| | moisture conditions, on seedling emergence counts. | 183 |

LIST OF PLATES

| | | PAGE |
|------|---|------|
| l(a) | Turf block extraction procedure; connection of tillage | |
| | bin to turf cutter. | 17 |
| 1(b) | Turf block extraction procedure; initiation of | |
| | turf cutter and bin travel into soil. | 17 |
| 1(c) | Turf block extraction procedure; tillage bin at | |
| | full depth. | 18 |
| 2(a) | The original position of depth control wheels in | |
| | relation to the coulter assembly (note the distance | |
| | ahead of hoe coulter). | 20 |
| 2(b) | The modified position of the depth control wheels (note | |
| | the closeness to the hoe coulter. This plate also | |
| | illustrates the hoe coulter assembly. | 20 |
| 2(c) | Rear view of the chisel coulter assembly with the mod- | |
| | ified position of the depth control wheels. | 21 |
| 2(d) | Rear view of the triple disc assembly with the modified | |
| | position of the depth control wheels. | 21 |
| 3(a) | The press wheel assembly for the chisel coulter; for | |
| | applying pressure over the covered seeds after bar | |
| | harrowing | 28a |
| 3(b) | The press skid for the chisel coulter to directly | |
| | press the seeds into the groove base before bar | |
| | harrowing. | 28a |
| 4(a) | The press wheel assembly for the hoe coulter to apply | |
| | pressure over covered seeds after bar harrowing. | 28a |
| 4(b) | The press wheel assembly for the hoe coulter to | |
| | directly press the seed into the groove base | |
| | before bar harrowing. | 28b |
| 5(a) | The press wheel assembly for the triple disc coulter | |
| | to apply pressure over covered seeds after bar | |
| | harrowing. | 28ь |
| 5(b) | The press wheel assembly for the triple disc coulter | |
| | to directly press the seed into the groove base before | |
| | bar harrowing. | 28b |
| 6(a) | Right: The "mechanical seedling" penetrometer to measur | е |
| | soil impedence above the seed. Left: A 4 mm diameter | |
| | rod to press holes through the soil block from | |
| | beneath to the seed level before measuring the soil | |
| | impedence with the "mechanical seedling". | 33 |

| | | PAGE |
|-------|---|------|
| 6(b) | The "mechanical seedling" penetrometer drive | |
| | mechanism, alignment assembly (electro-magnetic) and | |
| | inductive displacement transducer and proving ring | |
| | unit. | 33 |
| 7. | Soil moisture potential measurement sensors: | |
| | (A) Psychrometer (38 mm long x 6.4 mm dia - "Wescor") | |
| | (B) Gypsum block (15.0 mm long x 15.0 mm dia.) | |
| | (C) Gypsum block (15.0 mm long x 7.5 mm dia.) | |
| | (D) Gypsum block (15.0 mm long x 6.0 mm dia.) | 40 |
| 8. | Core sampler (15.0 mm long x 7.5 mm dia.) | 40 |
| 9. | General view of soil turf block in a climate room | |
| | (day 17). | 52 |
| 10(a | Typical direct drilled wheat seedling emergence | |
| | pattern in initially dry soil (day 17); sown with | |
| | chisel coulter. | 52 |
| 10(b) | Typical direct drilled wheat seedling emergence pattern | |
| | in initially dry soil (day 17); sown with hoe coulter. | 53 |
| 10(c) | Typical direct drilled wheat seedling emergence pattern | |
| | in initially dry soil (day 17); sown with triple disc | |
| | coulter. | 53 |
| 11. | Typical direct drilled wheat seedling emergence | |
| | pattern in initially adequate soil moisture (day 17); | |
| | In the low relative humidity regime with (a) | |
| | chisel coulter (b) hoe coulter (c) triple disc | 96 |
| | coulter; in the high relative humidity regime with | |
| | the (d) chisel coulter (e) hoe coulter (f) triple | 97 |
| | disc coulter | , |
| 12. | | 1 |
| | on day 17; 12(a) General view of small undisturbed | - |
| | soil blocks in a climate room; 12(b) The hand-drawn | |
| | knife cut grooves without cover and pressure (note no | |
| | seed germination/emergence. | 125 |
| 12(c) | The triple disc coulter groove without cover and | 123 |
| 12(0) | pressure (note no seed germination/emergence). | |
| | 12(d) The hand-drawn knife cut groove with 70 kPa | |
| | pressure directly over seed. | |
| | 12(e) the triple disc coulter groove with 70 kPa | |
| | pressure directly over seed. | |
| | 12(f) both the hand-drawn knife cut and triple disc | |
| | coulter grooves covered with clear polythene. | 126 |
| | | |

| | PAGE |
|---|------|
| 12(g) The "germinated but unemerged"seeds from a triple | |
| disc coulter groove in initially dry soil. | 139 |
| 13(a) Typical seed fate of seeds grown in NaCl solutions; | |
| (1) distilled water (2) 0.25 Mol. (3) 0.5 Mol. | |
| (4) 1.0 Mol (5) 2.0 Mol (6) concentrated salt solution. | 139 |
| 13(b) A thermo-electric hygrometer in action to measure | |
| in-groove soil relative humidity. | 145 |
| 14 A "Duncan 730 multiseeder" drill equipped with; | |
| left, triple disc; centre, hoe; right, chisel | |
| coulter assemblies for field drilling. | 145 |
| 15. Typical wheat seedling emergence pattern in; | |
| (a) plots where paraquat + dicamba were used as | |
| predrilling herbicides (b) headlands where no spray was | |
| used (note more vigorous seedling emergence). | 187 |

ABSTRACT

Stand establishment of crops by direct drilling is a function of seed germination and seedling emergence efficacy and their interactions with the soil physical micro-environment created by direct drill coulters.

Experiments conducted in two contrasting controlled climates using 0.5 tonne undisturbed turf blocks suggested that the three coulter types compared (viz. an experimental chisel coulter, a hoe and a triple disc coulter) performed significantly differently, in terms of wheat seedling emergence, when the seed was direct drilled into a fine sandy loam soil. Overall the chisel coulter promoted highest seedling emergence (63.5%) followed by the hoe coulter (50.6%) and the triple disc coulter (27.0%). When the initial soil moisture potential was close to permanent wilting point, seedling emergence counts between these three coulters were highly significant with the difference between the chisel and triple disc coulters being almost six-fold. When the initial soil moisture level was adequate, seedling emergence counts from the triple disc grooves, were still significantly lower than from the chisel and hoe coulters which themselves performed equally.

When the controlled relative humidity was increased from 60% to 90%, seedling emergence increased but this difference was significant only at a lower level of probability of P = 0.10.

Application of pressures (using press wheels) up to 70 kPa over the covered seeds after bar harrowing had no significant effect on seedling emergence at either the low or adequate initial soil moisture levels. When similar pressures were applied directly over the uncovered seeds, seedling emergence significantly increased to 60% in the hoe coulter grooves and to 28% in the triple disc coulter grooves compared to the unpressed seeds. No significant increase was observed from the chisel coulter groove as a result of these pressures because it had already promoted a high seedling emergence count of 58%.

Further experiments, using small undisturbed turf blocks, to examine more closely the poor performance of the triple disc coulter, indicated that smearing had not been the main cause of seedling emergence failure. When the triple disc coulter grooves were modified

using combinations of pressure applications and seed covering techniques, seedling emergence was significantly improved. It appeared that this improvement in seedling emergence was a function of a modified soil physical micro-environment at the seed-soil interface. Based on these results two hypotheses were evolved. The first hypothesis suggested that the transfer of liquid soil moisture to the seed for germination (and perhaps away from the unprotected seedling after germination but prior to emergence) could be altered by the shape of the seed groove and placement and covering of the seed. This was described as "soil moisture diffusion"

The second hypothesis suggested that after the germination, subterranean seedling survival depended on the availability of vapour phase moisture which was also a function of the groove shape and covering medium. This was described as "soil moisture captivity".

A thermo-electric dew point hygrometer was used to measure the in-groove vapour moisture potential within the drilled grooves in the larger turf blocks, in a controlled environment. Significant and repeatable differences in the drying rates of the grooves created by the three coulter types were measured and promised to at least partly explain the underlying causes of their abilities (or lack of abilities in some cases) to promote seedling emergence. Combined counts of seedling emergence and sub-surface seedling survival were moderately correlated (r = 0.71) with the corresponding rates of loss of in-groove soil vapour moisture.

It appeared from the data that the important design characteristics for direct drilling coulters were that they must have the ability to both exploit the limited supply of sub-surface liquid moisture for germination and also to retain soil moisture in the vapour form for seedling emergence and/or survival. The latter function appeared to be aided by the creation of minimum surface shattering and maintenance of a high incidence of surface mulch in the form of sod or organic matter.

Field experiments suggested that when the only measured soil moisture data available was at or prior to drilling, the present state of knowledge would not permit accurate seedling emergence data to

be predicted for any given coulter and covering technique. If however, soil moisture data was available for the period between drilling and seedling emergence, reasonable predictions of seedling emergence could be made, given the characteristics of the coulter types and covering techniques used. Examples of mathematical models were constructed for each of the three coulter types used, to predict seedling emergence as a function of these soil moisture data.

The field experiments also confirmed that higher seedling emergence counts could be expected when the chisel coulter was used in dry soils compared with the hoe or triple disc coulters. During a typical spring-summer-autumn period in the Manawatu, when wheat seed was direct-drilled at fortnightly intervals into a "Tokomaru silt loam soil"; from 16% of the drillings the chisel coulter promoted significantly higher seedling emergence counts than either the hoe or triple disc coulters.