

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Performance of the Sri Lankan Value-Added Tea Producers: An
Integration of Resource and Strategy Perspectives**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy
in
Agribusiness
at Massey University, Palmerston North,
New Zealand.

Anoma Ariyawardana

2001

Abstract

This research examined the status of sources of competitive advantage and their influence on the performance of value-added tea producers in Sri Lanka using the strategy and resource perspectives of the competitive advantage paradigm. It aimed to extend the literature on competitive advantage by examining whether these perspectives influence firm performance within an agribusiness sector of a developing country.

Both strategy and resource perspectives and the relationship between them were considered in the analytical framework. Primary data came from 40 of the 47 value-added tea producing firms registered at the Ceylon Chamber of Commerce in 1999. Initial assessment of firm characteristics revealed high heterogeneity among the firms in experience, involvement with businesses other than tea, market focus, managerial talent, involvement in the overall tea industry and branding.

Multi-method, multivariate statistical techniques were performed based on the value-added tea industry segment-specific sources of competitive advantage. Core resources based on the dimensions scale, skill, brand equity, managerial talent, experience effects and backward integration, and core strategies based on the dimensions production, marketing, promotion, product development, quality and competitive strategies were selected. Initial factor analyses revealed four distinctive resource and six distinctive strategy patterns. Strategic groups formed based on both these patterns showed that Ward's method outperformed both average linkage and centroid methods. Three groups were identified: *private labelling oriented* (strategic group one), *niche market oriented* (strategic group two) and *mass market oriented* (strategic group three) based on the nature of the firms comprising the groups.

Discriminant analysis showed that the three strategic groups differ significantly in their resource and strategy patterns, with the third group being the strongest with respect to both. Kruskal-Wallis one-way analysis of variance and chi-square procedures indicated that this group was associated with strong mobility barriers and the greatest performance differences. No performance differences were apparent between the first and the second groups. The significant differences across these three strategic groups in

terms of both resource — and strategy-based sources of competitive advantage — demonstrated the importance of incorporating a mix of variables in strategic group formation.

Although canonical analysis revealed a significant relationship between strategy and resource patterns, resource patterns explained only 21 per cent of the variance of the strategy patterns. The analysis indicated that the Sri Lankan value-added tea producing firms' choice of, and ability to perform, a particular strategy are weakly associated with their core resource strength. Factor analysis regressions indicated that both resource and strategy perspectives contribute to explaining firm performance. However, the explanatory power of the model based on the strategy perspective was shown to be weaker than that of the models based on the resource and integrated resource and strategy perspectives. The integrated model based on resource and strategy perspectives outperformed the other models.

Resource-based sources of competitive advantage — skill, managerial experience, size of firm, brand awareness and backward integration — showed a positive influence on firm performance. Of the strategy-based sources of competitive advantage — tea imports, outward foreign direct investments, high perceived competitive advantage, proportion of tea exports, adoption of the Lion logo and the use of trade fairs — showed a positive influence on firm performance. Although prior research and theory have emphasised brand marketing, advertising and product development strategies in creating a unique position and meeting competitive challenges, none explained firm performance.

Derived regressions of the three strategic groups revealed that resource patterns have more consistent relationships with firm performance across the groups than do strategy patterns. The level of influence of resource and strategy patterns on firm performance of these three strategic groups was shown to be different. These revealed that any assistance programmes that aims at developing sources of competitive advantage and enhancing firm performance should be created by considering strategic group differences.

Acknowledgements

The task of accomplishing this research is arduous and would not have been possible without the help of many people who have helped to make this study a reality.

First of all, I am deeply grateful to my supervisors, Prof. William C. Bailey and Prof. Allan Rae for both guidance and advice in undertaking this research. I have benefited from their insights and indispensable contribution which have enabled me to meet high standards in conducting this research. I am greatly indebted to each of them.

Special thanks are extended to the managers of all the firms and other officials that have extended their kind support in gathering information for the fulfilment of this task. I must also acknowledge the support given by Prof. B. Gajameragedara, Dr. N. Nithianandam, Dr. J. Obben, Karen, Channa, Ragu, Duncan, Anthony and Sampath.

I am also grateful to the New Zealand Vice-Chancellors' Committee (Commonwealth Scholarship) and Massey University for providing me with financial support during my stay in New Zealand.

On a more personal level, I must extend my deepest gratitude to my parents for raising and encouraging me with trust and support and guidance. Most importantly, I would like to extend my sincere love and appreciation to my husband, Anura, and to my daughter, Kasuni, for their love, encouragement and support in accomplishing my objectives. My greatest debt is to both of them who have made the greatest sacrifices during the period of my study. I dedicate this work to my husband, daughter and parents with all my love.

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-------------|
| Abstarct | <i>i</i> |
| Acknowledgements | <i>iii</i> |
| Table of contents | <i>iv</i> |
| List of tables | <i>viii</i> |
| List of figures | <i>x</i> |
| Glossary..... | <i>xi</i> |
| Chapter One | |
| Introduction | |
| 1.1 The tea industry and the Sri Lankan economy | 1 |
| 1.2 The tea industry and the need for a better strategic focus | 5 |
| 1.3 Value-added tea production as a viable strategy | 6 |
| 1.4 Role of the firm in value-added tea production..... | 7 |
| 1.5 Objectives of the study | 8 |
| 1.6 Methodology of the study..... | 9 |
| 1.7 Organisation of the thesis | 10 |
| Chapter Two | |
| Value-Added Tea and the Position of the Sri Lankan Tea Industry | |
| 2.1 Value-added tea..... | 11 |
| 2.1.1 Previous research on value-added tea production strategy..... | 16 |
| 2.2 Cost and production structure of primarily pocessed tea | 18 |
| 2.2.1 Cost structure of primarily processed tea | 18 |
| 2.2.2 Area planted in tea..... | 19 |
| 2.2.3 Tea production and productivity | 20 |
| 2.3 Tea prices | 25 |
| 2.4 The tea marketing system..... | 29 |
| 2.5 Tea trade | 31 |
| 2.5.1 Tea exports | 32 |
| 2.5.2 Tea imports | 37 |
| 2.6 Tea consumption and demand..... | 38 |
| 2.7 Policies related to value-added tea..... | 41 |

| | |
|-------------------|----|
| 2.8 Summary | 44 |
|-------------------|----|

Chapter Three

Value-Added Tea and the Globalisation of the Tea Industry

| | |
|---|----|
| 3.1 Globalisation and agribusiness | 46 |
| 3.1.1 Globalisation and the Sri Lankan tea industry | 47 |
| 3.2 Factor comparative advantage and globalisation | 49 |
| 3.2.1 Factor comparative advantage and the Sri Lankan tea industry..... | 52 |
| 3.2.2 The impact of foreign involvement | 54 |
| 3.3 Competitive advantage, competitiveness and globalisation..... | 57 |
| 3.3.1 Competitive advantage | 57 |
| 3.3.2 Competitiveness | 59 |
| 3.3.3 Competitiveness and the Sri Lankan tea industry | 62 |
| 3.3.4 Competitiveness diamond of the Sri Lankan tea industry | 64 |
| 3.4 Role of government in the process of globalisation of the industry..... | 71 |
| 3.5 Summary | 72 |

Chapter Four

The Assessment of Firm Performance through Competitive Advantage

| | |
|---|-----|
| 4.1 The concept of a firm | 74 |
| 4.2 Firm performance | 76 |
| 4.2.1 Firm-effects, industry-effects and firm performance | 77 |
| 4.2.2 The performance indicators of a firm..... | 80 |
| 4.3 Strategy-based view | 82 |
| 4.3.1 Strateg-based view beyond the generic strategies | 86 |
| 4.3.2 Core strategies of a firm | 87 |
| 4.4 Resource-based view..... | 90 |
| 4.4.1 Core resources of a firm | 95 |
| 4.5 The need to bridge both views | 99 |
| 4.6 Strategic groups and firm performance | 105 |
| 4.7 Summary | 107 |

Chapter Five

Methodology for Assessing Firm Performance

| | |
|---|-----|
| 5.1 Analytical framework..... | 108 |
| 5.1.1 Variables representing core resources | 111 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 5.1.2 Variables representing core strategies | 113 |
| 5.1.3 Variables representing performance..... | 117 |
| 5.2 Research hypotheses..... | 118 |
| 5.3 Research design..... | 121 |
| 5.4 Questionnaire development..... | 121 |
| 5.4.1 Pre-testing..... | 122 |
| 5.5 Sampling process..... | 123 |
| 5.6 Data collection process..... | 126 |
| 5.6.1 Assurance of confidentiality..... | 126 |
| 5.6.2 Data collection..... | 127 |
| 5.7 Sample characteristics | 128 |
| 5.8 Data preparation | 129 |
| 5.8.1 Data validation..... | 130 |
| 5.9 Data analysis..... | 131 |
| 5.9.1 Factor analysis | 133 |
| 5.9.2 Cluster analysis..... | 136 |
| 5.9.3 Multiple discriminant analysis | 139 |
| 5.9.4 Investigation of mobility barriers | 140 |
| 5.9.5 Canonical correlation..... | 141 |
| 5.9.6 Factor analysis regression..... | 143 |
| 5.10 Summary | 148 |

Chapter Six

Results and Discussion

Characteristics of Value-Added Tea Producing Firms

| | |
|--|-----|
| 6.1 Firm characteristics | 149 |
| 6.2 Managerial characteristics | 156 |
| 6.3 Firms' involvement in the overall tea industry | 158 |
| 6.4 Firms' involvement in value-added tea production | 161 |
| 6.4.1 Branding of value-added tea..... | 166 |
| 6.4.2 Degree of importance attached to secondary processing and packaging ... | 169 |
| 6.5 Information on firms' input chain..... | 171 |
| 6.6 Information on firms' output chain..... | 172 |
| 6.7 Factors influencing firms' involvement in value-added tea production | 173 |

Chapter Seven

Results and Discussion

Analysis of the Performance of the Value-Added Tea Producing Firms

| | |
|---|-----|
| 7.1 Initial data investigation | 175 |
| 7.2 Structure of core resources | 177 |
| 7.3 Structure of core strategies | 180 |
| 7.4 Strategic groups based on the resource and strategy patterns | 185 |
| 7.5 Strategic group description..... | 188 |
| 7.6 The mobility barriers across the strategic groups..... | 198 |
| 7.7 The relationship between resource and strategy patterns..... | 201 |
| 7.8 Performance differences across the strategic groups | 206 |
| 7.9 The relationships between performance and resource, strategy and integrated Patterns | 211 |
| 7.7.1 The relationship between performance and resource patterns | 213 |
| 7.7.2 The relationship between performance and strategy patterns | 215 |
| 7.7.3 The relationship between performance and integrated, resource and strategy patterns | 218 |
| 7.7.4 Discussion of the analysis of firm performance | 221 |

Chapter Eight

Summary, Conclusions and Recommendations for Strategy

| | |
|--|-----|
| 8.1 Summary and conclusions of the study..... | 229 |
| 8.2 Recommendations for strategy at different levels..... | 236 |
| 8.2.1 Strategic government tasks at the industry level | 238 |
| 8.2.2 Strategic tasks at the value-added tea industry segment level..... | 243 |
| 8.2.3 Strategic tasks at the firm level | 245 |
| 8.3 Suggestions for future research | 248 |
| References | 250 |
| Appendices | 270 |

List of Tables

| Figure | Page |
|--|-------------|
| 2.1 Tea export prices (US\$)..... | 26 |
| 2.2 Proportion of exports and growth rate by type of tea..... | 34 |
| 3.1 Definitions of competitiveness | 61 |
| 4.1 Previous empirical studies on firms' performance based on the strategy- and resource-based views..... | 102 |
| 4.2 Sources of mobility barriers | 106 |
| 5.1 Details of the firms registered at the Ceylon Chamber of Commerce | 124 |
| 5.2 Method of data collection..... | 128 |
| 5.3 Contribution to total tea exports..... | 129 |
| 6.1 VAT production as a percentage of total VAT production (MT) by type of organisation | 150 |
| 6.2 Production of VAT (MT) by firms' years of experience in tea | 153 |
| 6.3 Production of VAT (MT) by firms' years of experience in VAT..... | 153 |
| 6.4 Production of VAT (MT) by firms' involvement with businesses other than tea | 154 |
| 6.5 Production of VAT (MT) by firms' market focus | 155 |
| 6.6 Production of VAT (MT) by level of managerial education..... | 157 |
| 6.7 Production of VAT (MT) by managerial experience | 157 |
| 6.8 Production of VAT (MT) by firms' involvement in the overall tea industry | 160 |
| 6.9 Reasons that limited the backward integration..... | 161 |
| 6.10 Production of VAT (MT) by firms' involvement in secondary processing..... | 164 |
| 6.11 Production of VAT (MT) by firms' involvement in packaging..... | 165 |
| 6.12 Advertising intensity | 167 |
| 6.13 Production of VAT (MT) by firms' branding strategy | 168 |
| 6.14 Degree of importance attached to secondary processing | 170 |
| 6.15 Degree of importance attached to packaging | 170 |
| 6.16 Purchase of teas from different elevations | 172 |
| 6.17 Importation of tea | 172 |
| 6.18 Method of sale | 173 |
| 6.19 The principal difficulty in producing value-added tea | 174 |

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 7.1 | Resource patterns | 183 |
| 7.2 | Strategy patterns | 184 |
| 7.3 | Summary of canonical discriminant functions | 193 |
| 7.4 | Structure matrix | 194 |
| 7.5 | Group centroid values with respect to two discriminant functions | 195 |
| 7.6 | Classification results based on the discriminant analysis..... | 197 |
| 7.7 | Correlation matrix - strategy and resource patterns | 203 |
| 7.8 | Matrices of canonical coefficients and related statistics | 204 |
| 7.9 | Comparison of the performance indicators | 210 |
| 7.10 | Factor analysis regression results - model one: VAT production share..... | 214 |
| 7.11 | Factor analysis regression results - model one: VAT export market share..... | 215 |
| 7.12 | Factor analysis regression results - model two: VAT production share..... | 216 |
| 7.13 | Factor analysis regression results - model two: VAT export market share..... | 217 |
| 7.14 | Factor analysis regression results - model three: VAT production share..... | 219 |
| 7.15 | Factor analysis regression results - model three: VAT export market share..... | 220 |
| 7.16 | Factors influencing VAT production share | 226 |
| 7.17 | Factors influencing VAT export market share | 228 |

List of Figures

| Figure | Page |
|---|------|
| 1.1 Contribution of the tea industry to the economy | 4 |
| 2.1 Stages of value-addition to fresh tea leaves | 14 |
| 2.2 Extent of tea under major tea producers..... | 23 |
| 2.3 Average yield level of major tea producers..... | 24 |
| 2.4 Colombo Tea Auction average prices (in 1961 Prices)..... | 27 |
| 2.5 Tea prices by type (in 1961 Prices) | 28 |
| 2.6 The marketing network of teas in Sri Lanka | 31 |
| 2.7 Share of world tea exports..... | 36 |
| 3.1 Determinants of national advantage | 59 |
| 3.2 Sri Lankan tea industry: competitiveness diamond..... | 66 |
| 3.3 Sri Lankan value-added tea industry segment: competitiveness diamond..... | 70 |
| 4.1 Generic strategies | 83 |
| 4.2 Resources as the basis for profitability..... | 93 |
| 4.3 Comparative advantage theory of competition..... | 94 |
| 5.1 Analytical framework..... | 110 |
| 5.2 Overview of the data analysis process..... | 132 |
| 7.1 Dendrogram based on the Ward's method..... | 187 |
| 7.2 Resource patterns - differences across the strategic groups | 190 |
| 7.3 Strategy patterns - differences across the strategic groups..... | 192 |
| 7.4 Map of strategic groups..... | 195 |
| 8.1 Strategic tasks at different levels..... | 237 |

Glossary

| | |
|--------|--|
| ANOVA | Analysis of variance |
| ASEAN | Association of South East Asian Nations |
| CIS | The Commonwealth of Independent States |
| CTC | Cut, tear and curl tea |
| FDI | Foreign direct investment |
| FOB | Free on board prices |
| GDP | Gross domestic product |
| ISO | International Organisation for Standardisation |
| ITC | International Tea Committee |
| MNCs | Multinational companies |
| MT | Metric tonnes (1 MT = 1,000 Kg) |
| NIEs | Newly industrialised economies |
| RBV | Resource-based view |
| Rs | Sri Lankan Rupees |
| RTD | Ready-to-drink tea |
| SCP | Structure- conduct- performance |
| SBV | Strategy-based view |
| TRI | Tea Research Institute |
| UK | United Kingdom |
| UNCTAD | United Nations Conference on Trade and Development |
| USA | United States of America |
| US\$ | United States dollar |
| USSR | Union of Soviet Socialist Republics/Soviet Union |
| VAT | Value-added tea |