Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Prosocial Behaviour in Adolescents: Classroom and Sport Specific Environments

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science in Psychology

at Massey University, Albany, New Zealand.

Olivia Baudinet

2013

Abstract

Research has found that participation in sports is positively associated with physical health, academic achievement, and social wellbeing. New Zealand lacks studies in this area, particularly in an intermediate school-aged population. For this reason, the purpose of the current study was to examine prosocial behaviour between two major educational contexts to determine if the change in environment had an effect on the self-reported social behaviour perceived of students.

A group of 175 males and females aged 10 -12 years participated in the research. The sample attended a public intermediate school on Auckland's North Shore. Data collection was undertaken on the school premises, through administration of anonymous self-report questionnaires engaging perceived social behaviours including self-efficacy, altruism, empathy, aggression, and prosocial behaviour. The results were interpreted in the context of Bandura's (1991a) social cognitive theory of moral behaviour.

Confirmatory factor analysis was employed to initially assess the fit of the data. Psychometric evaluations found that measures exhibited adequate internal consistency, and adequate fit of the data to the models. Following preliminary analyses, the two contexts in which prosocial behaviour was measured were retained as the focus in multiple regression analyses, utilising given predictor variables. Regression analysis tested found Altruism and Social-Efficacy to be important predictors of prosocial behaviour, whereas Cooperation, Social-Efficacy, and Helping found to contribute to aggression.

Hypothesis testing suggested that physical context did not account for significant differences in prosocial behaviour. However, aggression was affected by a change in physical context. Gender was seen to produce effects, with significant differences noted between the classroom and physical education settings when comparing male, though no differences were found when comparing females between contexts. Limitations and implications for future research are discussed.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my supervisor Dr. Richard Fletcher from Massey University for his time, support, empathy, and valuable advice throughout this journey.

Thank you to all school staff who were involved in this work and contributed to the collection of questionnaires, and to all students and parents who gave their time and permission to participate in the research.

I could not have completed this work without my family; Wendy, Neil, Tori, Lilly, and Emma. Thank you for all your love at crucial times.

Thank you to all other parties involved for their advice and support.

This project has been reviewed and approved by the Massey University Human Ethics Committee: Northern 12/004.

Table of Contents

| | Abstract | ii |
|----|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| | Acknowledgements | iii |
| | Table of Contents | iv |
| | List of Tables | vi |
| | List of figures | vii |
| 1. | Introduction to the current research | 1 |
| 2. | Literature Review | 5 |
| | 2.1. Development of social behaviour | 5 6 |
| | 2.2. Theories of Prosocial Behaviour | 9 |
| | 2.3. Environmental Influences | 18 |
| | 2.4. Prosocial Behaviour | 21 |
| | 2.5. Prosocial Behaviour Predictors | 26 28 29 |
| | 2.6. Research Questions | 33 |
| 3. | 3. Methodology | 34 |
| | 3.1. Participants | 34 |
| | 3.2. Measures | |
| | 3.4. Research Design | 41 |

| 4. | Results | 47 |
|----|--|-----|
| | 4.1. Descriptive Information on the Sample | 47 |
| | 4.2. Descriptive Statistics | 48 |
| | 4.3. Reliability Analysis | 49 |
| | 4.4. Confirmatory Factor Analysis | 50 |
| | 4.5. Correlation Analysis | 53 |
| | 4.6. Regression Analysis | 54 |
| | 4.7.T-Test | 57 |
| | 4.8. Gender Differences | 57 |
| 5. | Discussion | 61 |
| | 5.1. Summary | 61 |
| | 5.2. Influence of Environmental Context | 61 |
| | 5.3. Structure of Measures | 65 |
| | 5.4. Variance in Predictors | 67 |
| | 5.4.1. Self-Efficacy | 67 |
| | 5.4.2. Altruism | |
| | 5.4.3. Empathy | |
| | 5.5. Gender Differences | 70 |
| | 5.6. Limitations | 72 |
| | 5.7. Implications and Future Direction | 74 |
| | 5.7.1. Implications of Research Findings | |
| | 5.7.2. General Implications | |
| 6. | References | 78 |
| 7. | Appendices | 97 |
| | 7.1. Appendix A: Parent Information Sheet | 97 |
| | 7.2. Appendix B: Parent Opt-Out Form | 98 |
| | 7.3. Appendix C: Student Information Sheet | 99 |
| | 7.4. Appendix D: Student Opt-In Form | 100 |
| | 7.5. Appendix E: Classroom Behaviour Scale | 101 |
| | 7.6. Appendix F: Sports Behaviour Scale | 102 |
| | | |

List of Tables

| Table Pa | age |
|--|------------|
| Table 1. Means and Percentages of Demographic Variables | 34 |
| Table 2. Questionnaire Administration | 11 |
| Table 3. Means and Standard Deviations of Sample Descriptives4 | 1 7 |
| Table 4. Descriptive Statistics and Reliability of all Measurement Variables4 | .8 |
| Table 5. Confirmatory Factor Analyses5 | 52 |
| Table 6. Correlation Matrix of the Target Variables5 | 3 |
| Table 7. Hierarchical Entry Analysis of Predictor Variables on Prosocial Classroom55 | 5 |
| Table 8. Hierarchical Entry Analysis of Predictor Variables on Prosocial P.E55 | 5 |
| Table 9. Forward Entry Analysis of Predictor Variables on Aggression Classroom50 | 6 |
| Table 10. Forward Entry Analysis of Predictor Variables on Aggression P.E5 | 6 |
| Table 11. Forward Entry Analysis of Social-Efficacy and Academic-Efficacy on | |
| Prosocial Classroom5 | 57 |
| Table 12. Paired Sample T-tests for Prosocial Behaviour and Aggression in Classroom | |
| and P.E5 | 57 |
| Table 13. Gender Correlation Matrix all Variables5 | 58 |
| Table 14. Paired Sample T-Tests for All, Males, and Females in Classroom and P.E5 | 9 |
| Table 15. Independent Sample T-tests for Males and Females on Predictor Variables6 | 60 |

List of Figures

| Figure | Page |
|--|------|
| Figure 1. Interactional Model of Prosocial Behaviour | 4 |
| Figure 2. Hypothetical Model of Prosocial Behaviour | 13 |
| Figure 3. Conceptual Model of the Effects of Efficacy on Prosocial Behaviour | 28 |