Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Student engagement with selfinstructional course materials

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Education

in

Distance and On-line Learning

At Massey University, Extramural,

New Zealand

Peter Cowie

2010

Abstract

This study is concerned with understanding how students engage with self-instructional materials on campus and at a distance within the context of the hybrid course offered at ABC College. This study examines the interrelationship of (a) time engaged with course materials, (b) the perceived value of course materials, (c) student approaches to engagement and (d) the integration of the course materials into the student learning experience in order to construct an understanding of student engagement with course materials.

This study employed multiple case studies which formed a holistic collective case study. Data on student engagement with the course materials was collected using a questionnaire instrument. The resulting data was analysed using descriptive statistics to create a picture of how students engaged with the course materials. Correlation statistics were used to identify possible relationships between the items. Emerging themes were then explored in focus groups. Subsequent analysis of the focus group data explored the causation and interrelationships between themes resulting in an understanding of student engagement with the course materials.

The findings from this study suggests that student engagement with self-instructional course materials (readings, learning guide, multimedia, etc.) are the result of complex interactions between a student's preferred approach to engagement, their locus of control and the method of integration of the course materials. The majority of participants preferred to engage with the course materials using a deep approach. Participants with an external locus of control reflected the assumptions and approaches they perceived from the method of integration. Participants with an internal locus of control engaged with the course materials using their preferred approach unless they were convinced that another approach served their needs better. The majority of participants exhibited an external locus of control. When a presentation or supplemental method of integrating was used, participants were more likely to engage with the course materials using a surface approach to engagement. They were also more likely to spend less time engaging with the course materials and place a lower value on the course materials. When a discussion or springboard method of integration was used participants were more likely to engage the course materials using a deep approach to engagement. They were also more likely to spend more time engaging with the course materials and place a higher value on the course materials.

Acknowledgements

This thesis would not be possible without the support, guidance and assistance of key individuals.

I am grateful to Dr Ben Kehrwald, my lead supervisor, for supporting me throughout the thesis. He has taught me the importance of methodology, challenged my thinking, required me to think critically about my data and justify my conclusions. For this I am truly thankful.

I would also like to thank Dr Panos Vlachopoulos and Dr Valerie Margrain, my supporting supervisors. They have both shared their insight and experience often shedding light on the issues I faced from a different perspective. I especially want to thank Dr Valerie Margrain for assisting in the completion of the thesis.

Above all, I would like to thank Carole, my wife, and Logan, my son, who have supported me throughout this journey. Their encouragement and understanding has made this thesis a reality.

Contents

	t	
Acknow	vledgements	iii
Contents.		iv
Chapter 1	: Introduction	1
1.1	The Rationale of the Study	
1.2	Research Aim	
1.3	Outline of the Method	
1.4	Limitations of the Study	
1.5	Overview of the Thesis	4
Chapter 2	: Literature Review	6
2.1	The Definition of Student Engagement	
2.2	Conceptual Framework for Studying Student Engagement	9
2.3	Internal Dimension of Student Engagement	10
2.4	External Dimension of Student Engagement	16
2.5	Foreshadowing the Research Questions	23
Chapter 3	: Research Design	24
3.1	Context	24
3.2	Research Approach	25
3.3	Methodology	26
3.4	Overview of the Research Design	26
3.5	Ethical Considerations	27
3.6	Questionnaire	29
3.7	Focus Groups	32
Chapter 4	: Questionnaire data and analysis	
4.1	Description of Cases	37
4.2	Time Engaged with Course Materials	
4.4	Perceived Value of Course Materials	
4.5	Approach to Course Materials	42
4.6	Integration of Course Materials	44
4.7	Foreshadowing the Focus Groups	44
Chapter 5	: Gisborne focus group data and analysis	46
5.1	Time Engaged with the Course Materials	
5.2	The Perceived Value of the Course Materials	
5.3	Approach to Engagement	
5.4	Integrating the Course Materials	
5.5	Summary	57
-	: Hamilton focus group data and analysis	
6.1	Time Engaging with the Course Materials	
6.2	The Perceived Value of the Course Materials	
6.3	The Approach to Engagement	
6.4	Integration of the Course Materials	
6.6	Summary	65
-	: Distance focus group data and analysis	
7.1	Time Engaged with the Course Materials	66

7.2	Perceived Value of the Course Materials	68
7.3	Approach to Engagement	72
7.4	Integration of the Course Materials	73
7.4	Summary	
Chapter 8	8: Discussion and conclusion	75
8.1	Time Engaged with the Course Materials	75
8.2	Perceived Value of the Course Materials	79
8.3	Integration of the Course Materials	82
8.4	Approach to the Course Materials	87
8.5	Summary and Implications of the Discussion	91
8.6	Review of Limitations	
8.7	Areas for Further Research	95
8.8	Conclusion	96
Reference	es	99
	53	
Appendic		
	eses endix A: Email Invitation to Participate in the Questionnaire	107
Арре	endix A: Email Invitation to Participate in the Questionnaire	107
Арре Арре	es	107 108
Appe Appe Appe	esendix A: Email Invitation to Participate in the Questionnaireendix B: Questionnaire Information Sheet	
Appe Appe Appe Appe	endix A: Email Invitation to Participate in the Questionnaireendix B: Questionnaire Information Sheetendix C: Online Questionnaire	
Appe Appe Appe Appe Appe	endix A: Email Invitation to Participate in the Questionnaireendix B: Questionnaire Information Sheetendix C: Online Questionnaireendix C: Email Invitation to Participate in the Focus Groups	
Appe Appe Appe Appe Appe Appe	endix A: Email Invitation to Participate in the Questionnaire	
Appe Appe Appe Appe Appe Appe Appe	endix A: Email Invitation to Participate in the Questionnaire	
Appe Appe Appe Appe Appe Appe Appe Appe	endix A: Email Invitation to Participate in the Questionnaire	

List of tables

Table 2.1: Indicators of student engagement	8
Table 2.2: Approaches to engagement	11
Table 2.3: Underlying assumptions that result in a student's approach to engagement	13
Table 2.4: Internal dimension of student engagement	14
Table 2.5: Distinctive features of self-instructional course materials	16
Table 2.6: Design features which encourage surface and deep approaches to engagement.	17
Table 2.7: Underlying assumptions in the model of learning	18
Table 3.1: Research timeline	27
Table 3.2: Sections within the questionnaire	30
Table 3.3: Strength of association – Cramer's V	31
Table 3.4: Strength of association – Spearman's Rho	32
Table 3.5: Distance focus group discussion schedule	34
Table 4.1: Response rate for the questionnaire	38
Table 4.2: Time spent engaging with the course materials per week compared to the	
approach scale	39
Table 4.3: Amount of the course materials engaged	40
Table 4.4: Value scale	41
Table 4.5: Correlation with value scale	41
Table 4.6: Approach scale	42
Table 8.1: Indicators of student engagement	75
Table 8.2: Methods of integration across the cases	83
Table 8.3: The relationship between approach to teaching and approach	
to engagement	87
Table 8.4: The effect of an internal locus of control on engagement	90
Table 8.5: The effect of an external locus of control on engagement	90
List of figures	
List of figures	
Figure 2.1: Conceptual framework for studying student engagement	9
Figure 2.2: Associative perspective	19
Figure 2.3: Cognitive perspective	20
Figure 2.4: Situative perspective	21
Figure 8.1: Links between teacher's conception of knowledge and method of integrating	
the course materials	82
Figure 8.2: Presentation and supplemental methods of integration	83
Figure 8.3: A teacher's interaction in study and research	84
Figure 8.4: The course materials embody the teacher	84
Figure 8.5: Springboard and discussion methods of integration	85
Figure 8.6: The course materials are the foundation to construct understanding	86
Figure 8.7: Links between teacher's conception of teaching and student learning	87
outcomes	
Figure 8.8: Links between approach to teacher and student underlying assumptions and	89
approach to engagement	